Stimulation of \textit{in vitro} ovulation and contraction of brook trout \textit{(Salvelinus fontinalis)} follicles by adrenaline through $\alpha$-adrenergoreceptors
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The effects of adrenaline and adrenergoreceptor antagonists on ovulation and follicle wall contraction were investigated in brook trout \textit{(Salvelinus fontinalis)} follicles using \textit{in vitro} incubation systems. Adrenaline significantly stimulated a dose-dependent increase in ovulation and follicle contraction at concentrations between 1.0 and 100 $\mu$mol 1$^{-1}$. The ovulatory and contractile effects of 10 $\mu$mol adrenaline 1$^{-1}$ could be blocked by the $\alpha_1$-adrenoreceptor antagonists WB-4101 and benoxathian, and by the $\alpha_2$-antagonist yohimbine. WB-4101 was the most potent blocker, significantly inhibiting ovulation and contraction at 1.0 $\mu$mol 1$^{-1}$. In contrast, the $\beta$-antagonist propranolol (100–0.001 $\mu$mol 1$^{-1}$) was totally ineffective in blocking adrenaline-induced ovulation and follicle contraction. The results indicate that there is a strong correlation between the effects of adrenaline on ovulation and contraction. In addition, the antagonist studies indicate that adrenaline stimulates ovulation and follicle contraction of brook trout follicles through $\alpha$-adrenergoreceptors.

Introduction

Evidence that catecholamines play a direct role in the control of vertebrate ovulation comes from a variety of investigations (Goetz et al., 1991). However, the most convincing support comes from studies using ovaries perfused \textit{in vitro} or incubation of isolated follicles, since the results of these studies cannot be attributed to extraneous effects. It has been shown, for example, that hCG-induced ovulation in the perfused rabbit ovary can be partially blocked by antagonists of $\alpha$-adrenoreceptors and that noradrenaline can stimulate ovulation alone in the perfused rabbit ovary (Kobayashi et al., 1983; Schmidt et al., 1985) and the rat (Jorgensen et al., 1991) ovary. A review on the control of fish ovulation also indicated that adrenaline stimulates ovulation in isolated rainbow trout follicles through $\alpha$-adrenoreceptors (Jalabert, 1976). However, no data were actually shown in the paper.

It has been generally assumed that the way in which catecholamines influence ovulation is through the stimulation of smooth muscle contraction in the follicle wall. This contention is based on the results of earlier investigations showing that catecholamines can induce contraction of follicle wall strips (Walles et al., 1975, 1982). Further, noradrenaline-induced contraction is also blocked by $\alpha$-adrenoreceptor antagonists (Walles et al., 1975, 1982). However, follicle contraction studies have always been conducted on the follicles of larger mammals such as cows, sheep and humans, whereas the ovulatory effects of catecholamine agonists and antagonists have been investigated on smaller animals such as rabbits and rats. Thus, whether catecholamines can stimulate ovulation in larger mammals and whether catecholamines stimulate contraction of follicles in smaller mammals has not been reported. In addition, while the perfused ovary system may be free of extraneous influences, it is still possible that the effects of certain agents in this system act through vascular changes in the follicle rather than directly on the follicle wall. Finally, while catecholamine-induced contraction does appear to be mediated by $\alpha$-adrenoreceptors (Walles et al., 1975; Owman et al., 1975), it is not at all clear which type of adrenoreceptors catecholamines are acting through in the stimulation of ovulation in perfused ovarian systems (Kobayashi et al., 1983; Schmidt et al., 1985).

Isolated trout follicles will ovulate \textit{in vitro} if they have first undergone germinal vesicle breakdown (resumption of meiosis) \textit{in vivo} (Goetz et al., 1982). In addition, we have recently developed an indirect \textit{in vitro} method to study the contraction of trout follicles under agonist stimulation (Hsu and Goetz, 1992a, b). Thus, using these \textit{in vitro} methods we investigated the effects of catecholamines and their antagonists on ovulation and follicle contraction, in the same animal. Furthermore, given the very large number of follicles in individual trout, extensive dose responses with these agents could be recorded so that their activities could be compared. Our results show that adrenaline can stimulate both ovulation and contraction of brook trout follicles at similar concentrations, and in both cases the stimulation occurs through $\alpha$-adrenoreceptors.

Materials and Methods

Animals and treatments

Female brook trout were purchased from a private hatchery during the reproductive season (September) and held in flow-through tanks at 12.5°C under natural photoperiods. Follicles
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were sampled from females as described by Goetz et al. (1982) to obtain fish in the proper maturational stage. For experiments, fish were anaesthetized in 2-phenoxethanol and then decapitated. The ovaries were removed and dissected in ice-cold Cortland medium (Wolf and Quimby, 1969), containing 20 mmol Hepes l−1 and adjusted to pH 7.8 with 1.0 mol NaOH l−1. The mature follicles (approximately 4 mm diameter) were dissected from the extralocular tissue and immature follicles under a dissecting microscope. Only isolated follicles were used for incubations.

Ovulation studies

For ovulation studies, ovaries were removed from females following germinal vesicle breakdown and replicates of ten isolated follicles were incubated in 3.0 ml medium (as above) in 25 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 12°C for various times depending on the fish and the rate of ovulation (specific times for each experiment are indicated in the legends to Figs 1–5). The agonist adrenaline bitartrate, and the antagonists WB-4101 hydrochloride (2-(2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy-ethyl)aminomethyl-1,4-benzo-dioxane hydrochloride), benoxathian hydrochloride (2-[[2-(2,6-dimethoxyphenoxy)-ethyl]-amino]-methyl]-1,4-benzo-hydrochloride), yohimbine hydrochloride (17-hydroxyyohimb-16-carboxylic acid methyl ester hydrochloride), and propranolol hydrochloride were dissolved directly in the medium. Adrenaline and propranolol were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MD) while WB-4101, benoxathian and yohimbine were purchased from Research Biochemical Inc. (Natick, MA). After 48 h of incubation, or when the amount of ovulation reached a plateau, ovulation was quantified by counting the number of spent follicles in the incubates. This number was then expressed as a percentage of the total number of follicles per flask.

Follicle contraction

The assay of follicle contraction was conducted as described by Hsu and Goetz (1992a,b). Briefly, isolated follicles were dissected as described above, and were then punctured once with a 25-gauge hypodermic needle. The puncture extended completely through the follicle wall and the egg membrane. Thus, if the follicle wall contracted when treated with an agonist, yolk would be expelled through the puncture and into the medium, resulting in a decrease in the weight of the follicle. The greater the contractile force, the larger the weight loss. Punctured follicles were incubated as described above for the ovulation assay. Replicates of ten follicles were incubated in 3.0 ml of medium in 25 ml Erlenmeyer flasks for 16 h at 12°C. At the end of incubation, the follicles in each replicate were collected in a small preweighed plastic dish and the medium surrounding them was removed by a Pasteur pipette and Kimwipes. The follicles were then weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg. The contractility in agonist incubates was then expressed as a percentage of the weight of unpunctured follicle controls. Untreated, punctured controls were always run for comparison to determine the amount of agonist-induced versus spontaneous contraction.

In contraction experiments, follicles were obtained from fish before germinal vesicle breakdown rather than just before ovulation. This is because ovaries are much easier to obtain before germinal vesicle breakdown and the preovulatory ovaries were needed for testing the effects of agonists and antagonists on ovulation. In addition, preliminary experiments indicated that follicles before germinal vesicle breakdown responded to adrenaline and the response was similar to preovulatory follicles.

Statistical analysis

Ovulation and follicle contraction data were transformed using the arcsine transformation before statistical analysis. Statistical significance was assessed by ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Ovulation was significantly stimulated in isolated follicles by adrenaline at concentrations between 1.0 and 100 µmol l−1 (Fig. 1). The same adrenaline concentrations also significantly stimulated follicle contraction when compared with untreated, unpunctured controls (Fig. 1). As observed in previous reports (Hsu and Goetz, 1992a,b), there was a 30% spontaneous (in the absence of agonists) weight loss in punctured controls (i.e. 0.0 µmol adrenaline l−1).
The effects of adrenoreceptor antagonists were tested against the ovulatory and contractile effects of adrenaline at a fixed concentration of 10 µmol l⁻¹. While 1.0 µmol adrenaline l⁻¹ also significantly stimulated both ovulation and contraction, a concentration of 10 µmol l⁻¹ was chosen since this concentration stimulated the same amount of ovulation but a greater amount of contraction. At concentrations between 1.0 and 100 µmol l⁻¹, WB-4101 significantly blocked the effects of 10 µmol adrenaline l⁻¹ on ovulation and contraction (Fig. 2). Benoxathian also significantly blocked the contractile effect of adrenaline but was approximately 10 times less potent than WB-4101 (Fig. 3). As with WB-4101, there was also a dose-related inhibition of ovulation by benoxathian, but this was only significant at 100 µmol l⁻¹.

At 10 and 100 µmol l⁻¹, yohimbine significantly blocked contraction induced by 10 µmol adrenaline l⁻¹ (Fig. 4). This antagonist also significantly blocked ovulation at 10 and 100 µmol l⁻¹ but appeared to exhibit a slightly different effect on ovulation at lower concentrations. At a concentration of 0.001 µmol l⁻¹, yohimbine appeared to increase ovulation above that observed with 10 µmol adrenaline l⁻¹ alone; however, this was not significantly different.

Propranolol did not have a significant effect on contraction or ovulation at concentrations between 0.001 and 100 µmol l⁻¹ (Fig. 5).

The ovulatory and contractile effects of each of the antagonists alone at 1 and 100 µmol l⁻¹ are shown (Table 1). When tested alone, none of the antagonists had any significant effect on contraction when compared with punctured controls, or ovulation when compared with controls without adrenaline.

**Discussion**

The results of this study clearly demonstrate that adrenaline can stimulate both ovulation and contraction of brook trout follicles at levels that are comparable to those previously used in mammalian investigations. Further, these effects could be blocked by α-adrenergic antagonists but not by a β-antagonist. While previous studies have shown that catecholamines can stimulate ovulation in fish and mammals (Jalabert, 1976; Kobayashi et al., 1983; Schmidt et al., 1985; Jorgensen et al., 1991), the results presented here are significant for several reasons. First, while adrenaline and noradrenaline have been reported to stimulate contraction of follicle walls (Walles et al., 1975; Owman et al., 1975), contraction studies have only been conducted on the follicles of large mammals in which the ovulatory effects of catecholamines have not been investigated. In contrast, in those species in which the ovulatory effects of catecholamine agonists and antagonists have been studied, there have been no investigations on the effects of these agents on follicle contractility. The results presented here conclusively demonstrate that both processes are stimulated in the follicles from a single vertebrate, the brook trout, and that there is a correlation between the doses required to stimulate these two processes by catecholamines. Furthermore, both assays were
Fig. 4. Effects of yohimbine on (a) ovulation and (b) contraction induced by 10 µmol adrenaline 1^{-1} (calculated as the percentage of the follicle weight of unpunctured controls). Each bar is the mean of experiments conducted on the follicles of three fish. Within the experiments on each fish, each treatment was conducted in duplicate. In the ovulation experiments, two of the fish were assayed at 48 h and the other at 30 h. *Significantly different from adrenaline incubates without yohimbine (P < 0.05).

Conducted completely in vitro without perfusion through the vasculature, indicating that the effects are directly on the follicle wall.

In the few studies that have looked at the (ovarian perfusion) effects of catecholamines on ovulation in vitro, it is not clear which adrenergic pathway is being stimulated. In rabbits, neither phenoxybenzamine nor phentolamine could block the ovulatory effects of noradrenaline (Kobayashi et al., 1983); however, β-antagonists were not tested for comparison. It does appear that gonadotrophin-induced ovulation in the perfused rabbit ovary involves α-adrenergic stimulation, since this can be blocked (though not totally) with phenoxybenzamine and phentolamine (Kobayashi et al., 1983; Schmidt et al., 1985).

There have been a number of investigations on the effects of catecholamines on follicle contraction primarily using follicle wall strips from bovine and human ovarian follicles. The results consistently indicate that in these vertebrates noradrenaline is slightly more potent than adrenaline in stimulating contraction, and that α antagonists can block this stimulation (Walles et al., 1975; Owman et al., 1975). Thus, this data support the contention that the effects of catecholamines on ovulation are mediated by α-adrenergic-mediated follicle wall contraction.

While a few studies on follicle wall contraction have used receptor subtype specific α antagonists, investigations on ovulation have used only general α antagonists. In the present investigation, we used antagonists that are reported to have very different effects on the α₁- and α₂-adrenoceptor subtypes. WB-4101 and benoxathion are very similar compounds and have high selectivity for the α₁ subtype over α₂ receptors.

Fig. 5. Effect of propranolol on (a) ovulation and (b) contraction induced by 10 µmol adrenaline 1^{-1} (calculated as the percentage of the follicle weight of unpunctured controls). Each bar is the mean of experiments conducted on the follicles of three fish. Within the experiments on each fish, each treatment was conducted in duplicate. In the ovulation experiments, two of the fish were assayed at 48 h and the other at 30 h. In one of the three fish, the ovulatory effects of propranolol were investigated only at concentrations between 0.1 and 100 µmol 1^{-1}, and so only the data for these treatments are shown. No significant effects were observed.

Table 1. Effects of α- and β-adrenoceptor antagonists on brook trout follicle contraction and ovulation in the absence of adrenaline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Concentration (µmol 1^{-1})</th>
<th>Follicle weight (%)</th>
<th>Ovulation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>77.4 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.0^{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>80.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>81.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yohimbine</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>75.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>78.6 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>81.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB-4101</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>67.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>10.0 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>69.3 ± 8.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>68.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benoxathion</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>62.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>68.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>68.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^{a}Values are means (± SEM) follicle weights, calculated as the percentage of unpunctured controls, for experiments conducted on the follicles of three fish, each treatment performed in replicate per fish.

^{b}Mean (± SEM) percentage ovulation from experiments conducted on three fish, each treatment performed in replicate per fish.

(Pigini et al., 1988). In contrast, yohimbine is an α₂-adrenoceptor antagonist. In the experiments described here,
the most potent antagonist was WB-4101. This antagonist could block the effects of adrenaline at 0.1 μmol l⁻¹. However, yohimbine could still block contraction and ovulation, and the results for this antagonist were not very different from another α₁ antagonist, benoxathian. Thus, at this point it is not possible to determine which α-adrenoceptor adrenaline acts through. It is clear, however, that β-adrenoceptors are not involved – at least in the stimulatory effects of adrenaline on ovulation and contraction – since propranolol could not block adrenaline-induced contraction or ovulation. Furthermore, it appeared that the ovulatory response to yohimbine may have actually been different from that with the α₁ antagonists. At very low concentrations, yohimbine appeared to enhance the stimulatory effect of adrenaline, although the effect was not significant. It is possible that at higher concentrations, yohimbine was actually blocking all α-adrenoceptors, whereas at lower concentrations it may have selectively blocked α₁-adrenoceptors. It is clear that yohimbine did not enhance contraction at lower concentrations.

As shown in previous studies, there was a decrease in the weight of punctured follicles even in the absence of agonists or antagonists. This spontaneous loss of yolk is probably an active process requiring contraction, as we have shown (Hsu and Goetz, 1992b) that it can be inhibited by certain agents, such as forskolin and E prostaglandins, that increase the concentration of cAMP. While the adrenoceptor antagonists had effects on adrenaline-stimulated contraction, they did not have any effects of their own on the spontaneous contraction exhibited by punctured controls. Thus, it appears that catecholamines are not responsible for the spontaneous contraction observed in the follicle before the germinal vesicle breakdown stage. In preliminary experiments using preovulatory follicles, we have observed that the amount of spontaneous contraction is higher than that observed with follicles before germinal vesicle breakdown and that the adrenaline effect is also greater. It is possible that this enhanced spontaneous contraction is a result of catecholamine action and this will have to be investigated.

Finally, the fact that adrenaline could stimulate contraction of follicles before germinal vesicle breakdown indicates that the receptors and the ability to contract are present in the follicle before ovulation. Oocytes before germinal vesicle breakdown will not ovulate when incubated in vitro with adrenaline or with other ovulatory agents such as prostaglandins. In fact, trout oocytes will not ovulate in vitro unless they have already undergone germinal vesicle breakdown in vivo (Goetz et al., 1982). Thus, other preparatory processes, such as proteolysis, must be necessary before adrenaline can stimulate ovulation.
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