Endometrial progesterone receptor expression during the human menstrual cycle
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The human endometrium undergoes regular cyclical changes under the endocrine control of oestrogens and progesterone acting via specific nuclear receptors. The molecular and cellular events mediating these changes are not understood. The present study examined the changes in the endometrial progesterone receptor and its mRNA during the menstrual cycle. Forty-four endometrial samples obtained from women with normal menstrual cycles were divided into four categories: early proliferative (days 6–9), late proliferative (days 10–14), early secretory (days 15–21) and late secretory (days 22–28). The progesterone receptor protein was determined using a human progesterone receptor enzyme-linked immunosassay kit. Total RNA was extracted using RNAzol and the abundance of mRNA encoding the progesterone receptor was determined by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and by northern blot analysis. The concentration of the progesterone receptor in the endometrium was highest during the late proliferative phase and was lowest in the late secretory phase. Significant differences were observed between the menstrual cycle phases ($P < 0.003$). No cyclical variation was observed in the concentration of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor in the endometrium when analysed by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction or by northern analysis. There appears to be no association between the amounts of mRNA encoding the progesterone receptor and progesterone receptor protein during the menstrual cycle suggesting that the control of the expression of the progesterone receptor may not occur solely at the transcriptional level.

Introduction

During the menstrual cycle, the endometrium undergoes regular cyclical changes so as to offer a suitable environment for the implantation of the fertilized ovum. These changes are under the influence of oestrogen and progesterone. Oestrogens induce endometrial proliferation during the preovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle (Ferenczy et al., 1979), whereas progesterone induces secretory changes in the oestrogen-primed proliferative endometrium during the post-ovulatory phase (Katzenellenbogen, 1980). The actions of progesterone and oestradiol are mediated by specific intracellular receptor proteins located in stromal and epithelial endometrial cells (Healy and Hodgen, 1983). Concentrations of progesterone receptors have been shown to be increased by oestrogen (Kreitmann et al., 1979) and growth factors (Sumida et al., 1988), and decreased by progesterone and progesterone analogues (Katzenellenbogen, 1980).

Regulation of the synthesis of progesterone receptors in breast cancer cells occurs at transcription (Read et al., 1988). In the endometrium, the concentration of progesterone receptors has been shown to vary throughout the menstrual cycle, although the mechanism by which this is achieved has not been established (Bayard et al., 1978). In the present investigation the relationship between mRNA encoding endometrial progesterone receptors and the progesterone receptor protein was studied throughout the menstrual cycle.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples

Endometrial samples were collected from 44 women, age 36.8 ± 6.2 years (mean ± so) with normal regular menstrual cycles undergoing routine gynaecology surgery. Women who had received hormonal treatment in the preceding six months were excluded from the study. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Local Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained from each woman involved. Two samples were collected from each woman using a pipelle curette (Euro Surgical, Cranleigh). These samples were frozen immediately by immersion in liquid nitrogen and were stored for up to four months before mRNA encoding progesterone receptors was extracted and receptor assays performed. A third sample of endometrium was obtained by curettage. This sample was fixed in 4% (v/v) formalin and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for histopathological evaluation. A peripheral blood sample...
was collected from each woman at the time of surgery for determination of the endocrine profile in the serum. Serum samples were stored in duplicate aliquots at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) until assayed for progesterone and oestradiol.

Menstrual cycles were dated by last menstrual period and menstrual history. The dating of the menstrual cycle phase was confirmed by the histology (Noyes et al., 1950) and the serum profiles of progesterone and oestradiol (Yussman et al., 1970). The menstrual cycle was divided into four phases and the samples were allocated according to the phase of the cycle: early proliferative (EP) days 6–9 (n = 10); late proliferative (LP) days 10–14 (n = 11); early secretory (ES) days 15–21 (n = 15) and late secretory (LS) days 22–28 (n = 8).

Two breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and T47D, which express the progesterone receptor in large quantities (Horwitz et al., 1978) were used as positive controls. The cells were grown in 150 cm\(^2\) flasks containing a growth medium of DMF1 containing Phenol red (Gibco, Paisley, Strathclyde) supplemented with 5 \(\mu\)g amphotericin B, 50 \(\mu\)g gentamycin ml\(^{-1}\) (Gibco) and 100 \(\text{IU}\) penicillin ml\(^{-1}\) (Northumbria Biologicals Ltd, Cramlington, Northumberland). The flasks were incubated at 37\(^\circ\text{C}\) in an humidified atmosphere of 5% \(\text{CO}_2\) in air. mRNA was prepared from the cells using a commercial mRNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Abingdon, Oxon).

**Hormone assays**

The serum concentrations of progesterone (Kodak Clinical Diagnostics, Amersham, Bucks) and oestradiol (Serono Diagnostics, Fleet, Hants) were determined in duplicate using established radioimmunoassay kits. The minimum detectable serum concentrations were 0.25 mmol l\(^{-1}\) for progesterone and 18 pmol l\(^{-1}\) for oestradiol. The interassay coefficients of variation were 8.6% and 6.4% for progesterone and oestradiol, respectively.

**Progesterone receptor assay**

A solid-phase enzyme immunoassay kit obtained from Abbott Laboratories (Chicago, IL) was used to determine the concentration of endometrial progesterone receptors. Cytosolic fractions of endometrium were prepared from 100 mg of frozen tissue according to the assay manufacturer's instructions. The protein concentration in the cytosolic fractions was standardized to 1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) using a protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, Herts) based on the Coomassie brilliant blue method (Bradford, 1976). Receptor concentrations were determined from standard curves with an assay sensitivity of 5 fmol ml\(^{-1}\). The control samples fell within the range specified by the manufacturer of the assay.

**Preparation of RNA**

Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of endometrium by homogenizing with RNAzol according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol (Biogenesis Ltd, Bournemouth, Hants). Routine protocols were followed to avoid RNase contamination of glassware and chemicals.

Semi-quantitative reverse transcription – polymerase chain reaction (RT–PCR)

Any contaminating DNA was removed from the endometrial RNA extracts by incubating 1 unit of RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega, Southampton) with 10 \(\mu\)g total RNA in 50 \(\mu\)l buffer containing 40 mmol Tris–HCl \(\text{pH}\, 7.5\), 6 mmol MgCl\(_2\) \(\text{pH}\, 7.5\), 10 mmol NaCl \(\text{pH}\, 7.5\) and 10 mmol diithiothreitol \(\text{pH}\, 7.5\) for 15 min at 37\(^\circ\text{C}\). The RNA was subsequently purified by one extraction in an equal volume of phenol and chloroform followed by one extraction in an equal volume of chloroform. The RNA was precipitated using 250 \(\mu\)l ethanol, 10 \(\mu\)l glycerol carrier (Invitrogen) and 200 mmol sodium acetate \(\text{pH}\, 5.2\) and resuspended in nuclease-free water.

First strand cDNA was prepared from this material using a first strand synthesis kit (200420: Stratagene, CA) and an oligo dT primer (100 ng \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\) (Promega, Southampton). RT–PCR was carried out on the endometrial cDNA in the presence of 0.25 mmol dNTPs \(\text{pH}\, 7.5\) (Promega), 50 ng primers \(\mu\)l\(^{-1}\), 0.2 \(\mu\)l Taq polymerase (Promega), 1 \(\mu\)Ci [\(\alpha\,^{32}\text{P}\)]dCTP (Amersham International, Amersham, Bucks) and 1.5 mmol mg\(^{-1}\) chloramphenicol. An initial denaturing cycle of 94\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 5 min was followed by 20–35 cycles under the following conditions: (1) primer annealing: 55\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 30 s, (2) primer extension: 72\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 1 min, (3) thermal denaturation: 94\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 30 s. Finally, a primer annealing cycle at 55\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 30 s was followed by a 10 min primer extension cycle at 72\(^\circ\text{C}\).

The sequences of the primers used for the progesterone receptor cDNA (Misrahi et al., 1987) and \(\beta\)-actin cDNA (Ponte et al., 1984) amplification were derived from the published cDNA sequences. \(\beta\)-Actin was used as an invariant control sequence in the PCR reactions to correct for reaction-to-reaction variation in amplification efficiency. The primer sequences, the codons amplified and the expected sizes of the PCR product generated from the progesterone receptor cDNA are listed in Table 1. The [\(\alpha\,^{32}\text{P}\)]dCTP-labelled PCR products obtained were separated on agarose gels and the bands visualized by staining the gel with ethidium bromide and viewing under ultraviolet light. The relevant bands were cut from the gel and the amount of radioactivity in each sample was measured in a beta counter. The ratio of the counts in the progesterone receptor product to the \(\beta\)-actin product was then determined.

**Northern analysis**

Endometrial RNA was analysed on northern blots using [\(\alpha\,^{32}\text{P}\)]dCTP-labelled DNA probes for progesterone receptor and \(\beta\)-actin to determine the relative quantities of RNA present. Total RNA was denatured using 12.5 \(\mu\)l formamide, 2.5 \(\mu\)l \(\times\) 10 Mops buffer and 4 \(\mu\)l 37% (v/v) formaldehyde and heating at 65\(^\circ\text{C}\) for 3 min. The solution was rapidly chilled on ice and mixed with 2.5 \(\mu\)l 50% glycerol containing 0.1 mg bromophenol blue ml\(^{-1}\).

Five micrograms of endometrial RNA was separated on a 1.5% agarose gel containing \(\times\) 1 Mops buffer and 12.5% (v/v) formaldehyde under standard conditions. After separation, the gels were vacublotted onto Hybond-N nylon membrane (Amersham International) using \(\times\) 20 saline–sodium citrate buffer (Sigma Poole, Dorset). The RNA was fixed by ultraviolet crosslinkage and hybridized at 42\(^\circ\text{C}\) overnight with...
Table 1. The primer set sequences used for the reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer set</th>
<th>Nucleotides</th>
<th>Sequence (5' to 3')</th>
<th>Size of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2192–2779</td>
<td>GTGGTCTAAATCATTGCCAGGTTTCG</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GCTTCAAGTTAGCCAGAAGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2192–2607</td>
<td>GTGGTCTAAATCATTGCCAGGTTTCG</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACGATGTGAGCTGACACACTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2584–2779</td>
<td>GAGTTGTGTCGAGCTCACAGCG</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTITCACCATTCTGCAGAAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2429–2607</td>
<td>GCTTCAAGTTAGCCAGAAGA</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACGATGTGAGCTGACACACTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[$\alpha$-$^32$P]dTTP-labelled DNA probes. A 1.2 kilobase [$\alpha$-$^32$P]dTTP-labelled probe for mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor was prepared from a human progesterone receptor complementary DNA clone, hPR1 (kindly provided by P. Chambon, Strasbourg). The membranes were washed at high stringency (× 0.1 saline–sodium citrate buffer containing 0.1% SDS (Sigma)) for 15 min at 65°C and then autoradiography was carried out at −70°C for 24–48 h. Before probing for $\beta$-actin the progesterone receptor probe was removed from the membrane by incubating the membrane in 0.4 M sodium hydroxide $1^{-1}$ at 42°C for 30 min followed by washing the membrane in 0.2 M Tris–HCl buffer $1^{-1}$ at pH 7.5 containing × 0.1 saline–sodium citrate buffer and 0.1% SDS for 15 min. The hybridization-transfer membrane was reprobed with a $\beta$-actin cDNA fragment to examine the quantity of mRNA present. Band densities for the mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor and mRNA encoding $\beta$-actin were visually compared between the different phases of the menstrual cycle.

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed by one-way analysis of variance using the STATGRAPHICS program (version 5) on an IBM PC. Results are expressed as means ± SEM.

Results

Dating of the menstrual cycle

Examination of the haematoxylin-eosin stained endometrial samples under a light microscope confirmed the phase of the menstrual cycle as identified by the last menstrual period. No pathological abnormalities were observed in the samples used in this study. The endocrine profiles obtained from the serum of the women at the time of surgery confirmed the phase of the menstrual cycle. Mean progesterone concentrations during the early proliferative, late proliferative, early secretory and late secretory phases were $2.17 \pm 1.20$, $3.08 \pm 2.81$, $21.00 \pm 15.40$ and $9.29 \pm 7.76$ nmol $1^{-1}$, respectively. Mean oestradiol concentrations during the same menstrual cycle phases were $345.0 \pm 222.7$, $388.0 \pm 291.7$, $264.2 \pm 139.5$ and $194.5 \pm 112.7$ pmol $1^{-1}$, respectively.

Concentrations of progesterone receptor protein

The mean values for the cytosolic concentrations of progesterone receptor protein in the endometrium during the menstrual cycle are shown (Fig. 1). The progesterone receptor concentrations were found to be maximal during the late proliferative phase and minimal during the late secretory phase of the cycle. Within each phase of the cycle, there were large individual variations in the concentrations of progesterone receptors but significant differences were observed between all phases of the menstrual cycle ($P < 0.003$).

Quantification of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor by RT–PCR

Initially, several primer sets were used to amplify progesterone receptor cDNA and, in each case, a product of the expected size was generated (Fig. 2). Primer set 3 was used in
Fig. 2. Products from the reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction generated from human endometrial cDNA using four different primer sets for mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor. (Details of the primer sets are given in Table 1.) Lane 1: 100 bp molecular mass ladder; lane 2: product of 587 bp, generated using primer set 1; lane 3: product of 415 bp, generated using primer set 3; lane 4: product of 195 bp generated using primer set 3 and lane 5: product of 178 bp generated using primer set 5.

Fig. 3. A 195 bp product from the reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction was generated using primer set 3 from first strand cDNA prepared from human endometrial cells and a product of greater than 2000 bp was generated under the same conditions using genomic DNA. Lane 1: 100 bp molecular mass ladder; lane 2: reagent negative control (no cDNA or DNA); lane 3: genomic DNA and lane 4: endometrial cDNA.

Fig. 4. The exponential increase in product from the reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction with increasing cycle number. Aliquots of the PCR reaction were taken after 20, 25, 30 and 35 cycles. A product of 609 bp was generated from the β-actin primer set after 20 cycles (lane 10), 25 cycles (lane 11), 30 cycles (lane 12), and 35 cycles (lane 13). A product of 195 bp was generated from the primer set for mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor after 20 cycles (lane 6), 25 cycles (lane 7), 30 cycles (lane 8) and 35 cycles (lane 9). Both products were generated when primer sets for mRNAs coding for the progesterone receptor and β-actin were used in the same reaction (lanes 2–5) for 20 (lane 2), 25 (lane 3), 30 (lane 4) and 35 (lane 5) cycles. Lane 1 shows the 100 bp molecular mass ladder.

Fig. 5. A 609 bp product from the reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction was generated using primers for mRNA encoding for β-actin and a 195 bp product was generated with the progesterone receptor primer set from cDNA prepared from breast cancer cells and endometrial cells. Lane 1: 100 bp molecular mass ladder; lane 2: reagent negative control (no cDNA); lane 3: MCF-7 breast cancer cell line; lane 4: T47-D breast cancer cell line; lanes 5–8: endometrium from different stages of the menstrual cycle.

Subsequent analyses since the product was easily separated from the β-actin product and the set worked well under the multiplex PCR conditions. The intron–exon structure is not known but on the basis of the intron–exon structure of the chicken progesterone receptor, this primer set was expected to cross an intron (Huckaby et al., 1987). This was confirmed for the human progesterone receptor gene, as a 195 bp product was generated using primer set three with the cDNA, but not with genomic DNA. However, a product of 2–3 kb was generated using genomic DNA suggesting that introns in the human gene are of a similar size to the chicken (Fig. 3). As products of 195 bp were generated only with the first strand cDNA and not with the genomic DNA, the possibility that the products generated from the endometrial cDNA were derived from genomic DNA was eliminated. Time course studies revealed that the amplification of the PCR reaction was within the exponential phase at 30 cycles (Fig. 4).

mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor and β-actin was successfully detected in all endometrial samples by RT–PCR, examples of which are shown (Fig. 5). No significant differences were found between the different stages of the menstrual cycle (Fig. 6). In cases in which sufficient RNA was available, conventional northern blots were performed using the progesterone receptor cDNA probe and β-actin cDNA probes as independent means of verifying the RT–PCR results. In each case, the results of northern blotting were consistent with those obtained by RT–PCR.

Discussion

The changes in the concentration of endometrial progesterone receptor protein observed throughout the menstrual cycle in the study reported here are in agreement with previous observations (Bayard et al., 1978; Levy et al., 1980; Bergqvist and Ferno, 1993). In the present study, an assay based on the direct antigenic recognition of the progesterone receptor molecules by specific monoclonal antibodies was used to quantify the progesterone receptor throughout the menstrual
cycle. This method has the advantage of being independent of steroid-binding activity and also recognizes the 120 kDa and 95 kDa molecular mass forms of the human progesterone receptor. In agreement with previous studies using this method, the concentration of progesterone receptors was found to increase during the proliferative phase and reach a maximum in the late proliferative phase, and then to fall to low concentrations by the late secretory phase (Bergqvist and Ferno, 1993). Similar results were also observed in studies using steroid-binding methods that measure the endometrial cytosolic, nuclear and total progesterone concentrations (Bayard et al., 1978; Levy et al., 1980). In addition, immunocytochemical analysis of the progesterone receptor using monoclonal antibodies have shown similar variations throughout the menstrual cycle (Garcia et al., 1988). In the present study the concentration of cytosolic progesterone receptors throughout the menstrual cycle was determined but no attempt was made to determine the concentration of receptors tightly bound to nuclei. Immunocytochemical studies have found that nuclear staining for progesterone receptors correlates well with cytosolic concentrations of progesterone receptors as determined by steroid-binding assays (Perrot-Applanat et al., 1987).

The use of RT–PCR to quantify mRNA concentrations in tissues is controversial because of difficulties in ensuring efficient cDNA synthesis and amplification, as well as accurately correcting for inter-reaction variation. In the study described here, care was taken to preserve the integrity of the mRNA during cDNA synthesis and to ensure the analysis of the PCR products was made during the exponential phase of PCR amplification. The amplification of both progesterone receptor and β-actin RT–PCR products was in the exponential phase at 30 cycles when analysed separately or in the same RT–PCR reaction. Similar amounts of RT–PCR product were generated when the reaction was conducted with the primer sets individually or in combination. β-Actin was used in the PCR reactions to correct for variation between reactions in amplification efficiency. Studies in vitro on the rat uterus have questioned the use of β-actin as a suitable control in endocrine studies as oestradiol treatment significantly increases the amount of mRNA encoding for β-actin (Rosser et al., 1993). Detailed analysis of the different actin isoforms in immature rat uterus revealed only a 1.4-fold increase in β-actin (Hsu and Frankel, 1987). Although no data from studies in vivo are available for the human menstrual cycle, the alterations in mRNA encoding for β-actin observed in vitro in rats are too small to alter qualitatively the results presented here.

There have been no previous studies in which the progesterone receptor protein and mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor have been measured simultaneously throughout the menstrual cycle. Although large differences were observed in the concentration of progesterone receptors during the menstrual cycle, no significant change was seen in the content of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor in the endometrium. As the mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor was analysed by both RT–PCR and by northern blotting, it seems unlikely that this finding can be ascribed solely to the techniques used. A similar dissociation in the content of mRNA encoding the progesterone receptor and concentrations of the progesterone receptor has been observed in a small study in uterine leiomyomas (Brandon et al., 1993). The leiomyomas were found to have higher amounts of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor than did adjacent myometrium when analysed by RT–PCR or northern analysis, but no correlation was observed between the amount of mRNA and the phase of the menstrual cycle in the leiomyomas. An amplified progestin signal may play a role in the abnormal biology of these tumours and may act at a post-translational site (Brandon et al., 1993).

In breast cancer cell lines, studies investigating the regulation of the progesterone receptor have shown a close relationship between the changes in the content of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor and the amount of progesterone receptor in cells in response to a variety of ligands. Consequently, the changes in the progesterone receptor content of these cells appear to be accounted for by changes at the transcriptional level (Read et al., 1988). Other studies on breast cancer cell lines have failed to show a correlation between the amount of mRNA encoding for the progesterone receptor and concentrations of progesterone receptor (Vegeto et al., 1990) which suggests that there are other mechanisms involved in controlling the numerous steps in the synthesis of progesterone receptors.

Multiple human mRNAs encoding for the progesterone receptor have been found in breast cancer cell lines and in human endometrium. Six mRNA bands are observed by northern blot hybridization experiments in breast and endometrial tissues with molecular masses between 11.4 kb and 2.5 kb (Misrahi et al., 1987). The progesterone receptor is believed to be encoded by a single copy gene and it has been proposed that the supernumerary progesterone receptor messages may arise by alternate exon splicing, by differences in the 5′-untranslated regions or by variable 3′-extensions arising from alternative polyadenylation sites (Jeltsch et al., 1986). In our study, the relative quantities of the different mRNA species encoding for the progesterone receptor were not determined. It is possible that during the menstrual cycle, alterations in the relative amounts of these mRNA species are observed and that these alterations could account for the variation in

---

**Fig. 6.** The ratios of mRNAs encoding the progesterone receptor and β-actin during the menstrual cycle. The menstrual cycle was divided into four stages: early proliferative (EP); days 6–9; late proliferative (LP); days 10–14; early secretory (ES); days 15–21 and late secretory (LS); days 22–28. Identical results were obtained by the reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction and northern blotting. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. There were no significant differences between the stages of the menstrual cycle.
concentration of progesterone receptor protein seen throughout the cycle.

In conclusion, there appears to be a dissociation between the amounts of mRNA encoding the progesterone receptor and the progesterone receptor protein in the endometrium during the menstrual cycle. At present the mechanism by which the progesterone receptor is controlled is unclear. In other tissues, the control of the amount and activity of steroid receptors appears to involve a combination of translational and post-translational events. It may be that this is also the case for the endometrium, as control at the translational or transcriptional levels alone would be too simplistic for such a dynamic tissue undergoing cyclical proliferation and differentiation.
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