Insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), IGF-II and type-I IGF receptor gene expression in the ovary of the laying hen
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Expression of genes encoding insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) and type I insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGFr) was measured in theca and granulosa cells from the ovary of the laying hen, using an RNase protection assay. Expression of genes encoding IGF-I and -II was confined to theca tissue and expression was not detected in granulosa cells. In contrast, expression of genes encoding IGFr in granulosa cells was significantly greater than that in theca tissue. The 98 base IGF-II probe was similar to a region of the second coding exon of chicken IGF-II and produced multiple RNase-protected RNA hybrids. Theca RNA from follicles at all stages of development produced RNase-protected hybrids of size 98, 96 and 90 bases; however, an additional band (66 bases) was also observed in theca RNA from small yellow follicles. The stage of follicular development during which maximum amounts of the 66 base RNase-protected fragment was detected correlates with the stage at which small follicles are selected for recruitment into the follicular hierarchy. The results provide evidence for the involvement of IGFs in the intraovarian control of ovarian function in a non-mammalian species, and highlight the importance of IGF-II in this process.

Introduction

Insulin-like growth factors I and II (IGF-I and IGF-II) are part of a family of peptides, structurally related to proinsulin, that stimulate cell division and differentiation in vitro (Daughaday and Rotwein, 1989; Rotwein, 1991). Their effects are mediated by the type-I IGF receptor (IGFr) that shows a high degree of similarity to the insulin receptor (Rechler and Nisley, 1985).

There is an increasing body of evidence indicating a role for IGFs in the control of ovarian function. The ovary is a major site of hormonally regulated production of IGF-I and IGF-II (Adashi and Roban, 1992; Adashi et al., 1992; Giudice, 1992) and IGF-I has been shown to potentiate the action of gonadotrophins on granulosa and theca interstitial cells in vitro (Hsu and Hammond, 1987; Hutchinson et al., 1988; Mondschein et al., 1989). For example, in rats, IGF-I increases FSH stimulation of progesterone production, the induction of aromatase activity and the acquisition of LH receptors in granulosa cells (Adashi et al., 1985). However, the precise function for IGFs in the intact, developing follicle remains to be clarified. In Laron-type dwarfism, a condition arising in humans from IGF-I deficiency (Laron et al., 1966), normal follicular development has been described (Dor et al., 1992). Similarly, the reproductive performance of chickens containing the sex-linked dwarfing gene, a condition arising from an inactive GH receptor and resulting in greatly diminished IGF-I production, is improved over that of their normal controls (Decuypere et al., 1991). Nevertheless, an absolute requirement for IGF-I in normal ovarian function has been demonstrated using gene targeting techniques. Growth retarded, adult, female mice, in which the IGF-I gene was disrupted (Liu et al., 1993) exhibited a marked reduction in ovarian size and were infertile (Baker et al., 1993).

Evidence is accumulating from studies of a number of mammals, indicating that expression of the genes encoding IGF-I and IGF-II is developmentally regulated in a tissue- and species-specific manner. In humans, expression of the gene encoding IGF-II has been localized to granulosa cells of the dominant follicle and theca interstitial cells of small antral follicles, whereas expression of the gene encoding IGF-I appears to be confined solely to the theca interstitial cells (Hernandez et al., 1992; El-Roeiy et al., 1993). However, in rats, expression of the gene encoding IGF-I is confined to granulosa cells and theca interstitial cells express the gene encoding IGF-II (Oliver et al., 1989; Hernandez et al., 1990).

Little is known about the IGF-ovarian system in non-mammals. However, one study demonstrated expression of the gene encoding IGF-I in both granulosa cells and theca tissue from preovulatory follicles of laying hens (Roberts et al., 1994). IGF-I interacts with LH in stimulating [3H]thymidine incorporation into DNA and progesterone production by granulosa cells (Roberts et al., 1994; Peddie et al., 1993; Onagbesan and Peddie, 1995). A single high-affinity binding site for IGF-I was detected on granulosa and theca tissue that displayed the characteristics of the type-I IGF receptor.

The present study extends previous work by describing the temporal and spatial expression of genes encoding IGF-I, IGF-II and IGFr in the developing ovarian follicles from laying hens. The avian ovary is particularly suited to such studies.
It contains a hierarchy of large preovulatory follicles (> 8 mm) which allows a mature ovum to ovulate on successive days throughout a laying sequence, and granulosa and theca tissue are easily collected from these follicles at accurately defined stages of development (Etches, 1990). The hierarchy is maintained by recruitment of small yellow follicles (< 6 mm) into the hierarchy, one follicle being recruited per day throughout a laying sequence.

The results describe a unique developmental pattern for the expression of the genes encoding IGF-I, IGF-II and IGFr and provide further evidence of a role for IGFs in the autocrine and paracrine control of ovarian function in non-mammals. The importance of IGF-II as an intraovarian regulator of folliculogenesis is highlighted and a role for variant forms of this peptide in the mechanism whereby small follicles are selected for recruitment into the final rapid phase of follicular growth is discussed.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

A flock of commercial, brown egg laying hens (Hissex; 30–40 weeks old) were housed individually under a lighting regimen of 14 h light:10 h dark, with food and water freely available. Birds were selected in the middle of a laying sequence and killed 3 h after or 2–4 h before an expected ovulation. The predicted time of the expected ovulation was confirmed post mortem by the presence of an ovum in the magnum region of the oviduct (3 h after an ovulation) or by the presence of a hard shelled egg in the shell gland (2–4 h before an ovulation). The birds were killed by cervical dislocation and the ovaries were placed in ice cold saline until further dissection.

**Tissue collection and extraction of RNA**

Theca tissue was collected from the largest (F1), third largest (F3) and fifth largest (F5) preovulatory follicles and from 10–15 small, yellow, nonatretic follicles (2–6 mm in diameter). Granulosa tissue was collected from the large preovulatory follicles. In a further experiment, the population of small yellow follicles was divided into three groups: 4–6 mm, 2–4 mm and 1–2 mm in diameter. An additional group of atretic follicles, recognized by the presence of haemorrhages on their surface (Gilbert et al., 1983), were also collected. Theca tissue was isolated from these follicles as described by Armstrong (1994). All tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −70°C. Total RNA was extracted using guanidine thiocyanate and phenol. The amount of RNA (mean (SEM); n = 4) isolated from theca preparations from F1, F3, F5 and small (2–6 mm in diameter) follicles and granulosa from F1 granulosa cell preparations was 1530 (80.3) µg, 1297 (75.7) µg, 728 (75.7) µg, 1041 (215) µg and 175 (20.6) µg, respectively. The ratio of absorbance at 260 nm to absorbance at 280 nm was 1.86 (0.02) mean (SEM; n = 24).

**RNA probes**

The 32P-labeled chicken IGFr probe was prepared as described by Armstrong and Hogg (1992). The IGF-I riboprobe was prepared from a plasmid containing a 224 base pair fragment of a chicken cDNA clone encoding IGF-I (Fawcett and Bulfield, 1990). The fragment, corresponding to positions 554–770 of the cDNA encoding IGF-I, was cloned into the SmaI site of pBS(±) (Stratagene Ltd, Cambridge, UK) (J. M. Boswell, unpublished). The plasmid was linearized with BamH1 and 32P-labeled antisense chicken IGF-I RNA transcripts were generated using T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase under the same conditions as described for the receptor probe. The IGF-II probe was prepared by reverse transcriptase–PCR using a custom made upstream primer 5'-TGTGGAGAAGTCTCCTTTC-3' and downstream primer 5'-GGGAGGTGGCAAGAGGTC-3' (Oswel DNA, Edinburgh) using the method described by Armstrong and Hogg (1992). The primers correspond to positions 351–371 and 429–449, respectively, of a cDNA clone encoding IGF-II described by Taylor et al. (1991). RNA (1 µg) from F1 theca was used as a template for the reverse transcriptase reaction. The amplified fragment was cloned into pCRII using a PCR cloning kit (Invitrogen; R&D Systems Ltd, Abingdon), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The presence of the correct insert and its orientation within the plasmid was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The resulting plasmid was linearized with SpeI and 32P-labeled antisense RNA transcripts encoding IGF-II were generated using T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase. The conditions were as for the previous probes except that no unlabelled UTP was added to the labelling mixture. The RNA transcript contained 200 bases, 102 of which corresponded to the polycloning site of pCRII. A human 18S ribosomal RNA probe was prepared by transcription in vitro using the pT7 RNA antisense control template (AMS Biotechnology UK Ltd, Witney). The labelling mixture for this probe was the same as for the IGF-I and IGFr probes, except that it contained 25 µCi [32P]UTP adjusted to 100 µmol l−1 with unlabelled UTP. The specific activity of the IGF-I and IGFr probes ranged from 2–5 × 106 d.p.m. µg−1; the IGF-II probe ranged from 1–3 × 107 d.p.m. µg−1 and the 18S ribosomal RNA probe was used at specific activity of 2–4 × 108 d.p.m. µg−1.

**RNase protection assay**

32P-labeled probes (50 000–100 000 d.p.m.) were added to the RNA samples (5–50 µg) and precipitated in ethanol. The samples were then resuspended in 40 µl Pipes buffer (0.025 mol l−1, pH 6.8) containing NaCl (0.4 mol l−1), EDTA (1.0 mmol l−1) and formamide (50%, v/v). The concentration of labelled probes ranged from 2–6 ng ml−1 (IGF-I and IGFr probes), 0.1–0.3 ng ml−1 (IGF-II and IGFr probes) and 200–400 ng ml−1 (18S RNA probe). The samples were incubated for 10 min at 85°C followed by a further incubation at 55°C for 16–20 h. The samples were then treated with 300 µl RNase digestion buffer (Armstrong and Hogg, 1994) and incubated at 30°C for 60 min. The hybridization mixtures were adjusted with SDS to give a final concentration of 0.5% and digested with 50 µg proteinase K for further 15 min at 37°C. The samples were then extracted with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated with ethanol and resuspended in 10 µl gel loading buffer (80% [v/v] formamide, 10 mmol EDTA l−1, 1% xylene
cyanol FF ml⁻¹ and 1 mg bromophenol blue ml⁻¹. After heating to 95°C for 5 min, the samples were loaded onto an 8% polyacrylamide gel containing urea (8 mol l⁻¹), run down the gel for 4–5 h at 200 V and exposed to X-ray film. The validation of the assay is described by Armstrong and Hogg (1994).

Expression of genes encoding IGF-I, IGF-II, IGFr and 18S RNA was measured in the same RNA sample from each tissue from four birds. The results from a representative bird are shown.

### Results

**Expression of the gene encoding IGF-I**

Expression of the gene encoding IGF-I in follicular tissue collected 3 h after an ovulation is shown (Fig. 1). The results are representative of four separate experiments performed on four birds, all of which were killed 3 h after an ovulation. A single RNase-protected band (226 bases) was obtained in all theca preparations. No expression was detected in granulosa cells from F1 follicles. Similar results were obtained with granulosa tissue from F3 and F5 follicles (results not shown). The amount of mRNA encoding IGF-I was greatest in theca RNA preparations from large preovulatory follicles and lowest in small follicles (2–6 mm in diameter). This difference between large preovulatory follicles and small follicles was observed in all the birds examined. Expression of the gene encoding IGF-I in ovarian tissue was significantly less than it was in liver. Similar results were obtained using tissue collected 2–4 h before an expected ovulation (results not shown).

**Expression of the gene encoding IGF-II**

The sequence of the IGF-II probe showed a 100% similarity to the corresponding region within the chicken IGF-II cDNA clone (Taylor et al., 1991). The amino acid sequence encoded by the probe and the second coding exon of chicken and human IGF-II are compared (Table 1), with two variant forms of chicken IGF-II (Kallinicos et al., 1990) which differ in the amino acid sequence of their respective D domains.

RNase-protected fragments of the IGF-II probe were detected after hybridization with theca RNA from follicles at all stages of development (Fig. 2). The results shown here are a representative example of those obtained from four birds killed 3 h after an expected ovulation. As with IGF-I, no expression of IGF-II was detected in granulosa tissue from F1 follicles. This latter observation was confirmed by reverse transcriptase-PCR using the primers described with RNA from F1, F3 and F5 granulosa tissue (results not shown). Three RNase protected bands were obtained (98, 96 and 90 bases) with theca RNA from F1, F3 and F5 follicles. In all cases, the 98 base RNA hybrid was the least abundant, and expression in theca tissue from F3 follicles was greater than that with RNA isolated from F1 or F5 follicles. Small follicles (2–6 mm diameter) produced an additional RNase-protected band of size 66 bases which was never observed with theca RNA from large preovulatory follicles. Similar results were obtained using tissue collected 2–4 h before an ovulation. Overall expression of IGF-II mRNA was higher in theca tissue from small yellow follicles than in theca tissue from large preovulatory follicles.

The amounts of the 90, 96 and 98 base RNase-protected hybrids in theca tissue from F1 and F3 follicles was always greater than that found in theca tissue from F5 follicles. In contrast, the relative amount of the 66 base RNase-protected RNA hybrid and the 90, 96 and 98 base RNase-protected hybrids showed considerable variation between batches of small follicles from different birds. In the example shown in

### Table 1. A comparison of the amino acid sequence encoded by the second coding exon of chicken insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) (cExon 2) and human IGF-II (hExon 2) with two variant forms of chicken IGF-II (cIGF-IIa and cIGF-IIb)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cExon 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hExon 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIGF-IIa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIGF-IIb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cExon 2</td>
<td>RPVRNRRRNIRGIVEECCFRSCLALLETTYCAKSVKSERDSATSGLPALNK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hExon 2</td>
<td>---AS−Vs---S*----Tpa------V−TPPTVLFDNFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIGF-IIa</td>
<td>---*---Tpa----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIGF-IIb</td>
<td>---*---Tpa----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dashes represent conserved residues and asterisks indicate the introduction of gaps in the sequence to optimize the alignment. The numbers refer to the residue number within the mature IGF-II peptide. The region coded for by the RNA probe used in these experiments is underlined.
Expression of the gene encoding IGFr

mRNA encoding IGFr was detected in theca and granulosa tissue from follicles at all stages of development (Fig. 4). A single RNase-protected RNA hybrid (274 bases) was detected for each tissue. Unlike IGF-I and II gene expression, which was not detected in chicken granulosa tissue, the abundance of the mRNA encoding IGFr in granulosa cells was significantly greater than that in theca tissue. Expression of the gene encoding IGFr was also detected in granulosa tissue from F3 and F5 follicles (results not shown). Its concentration was low in theca tissue from small follicles (2–6 mm in diameter) and increased as the follicle matured. This was a consistent pattern observed in all birds examined.

18S ribosomal RNA expression

The human 18S ribosomal probe produced two RNase-protected bands (75 and 80 bases). Their intensity was similar in all the tissues examined thus confirming that equal amounts of RNA from each tissue were loaded on to the gel. The results shown (Fig. 5) were obtained using the same RNA samples as for Figs 1, 2 and 4.

Discussion

The hierarchical arrangement of large preovulatory follicles in the ovary of the domestic fowl and the selection process whereby small follicles are recruited into the follicular hierarchy provides an ideal model system for studying ovarian function. However, although the involvement of gonadotrophins in the control of steroidogenesis and folliculogenesis has been extensively studied in this species (Etches, 1990; Johnson, 1990) there is only limited information on the involvement of growth factors in these processes (Johnson, 1993). The effects of IGF-I on chicken ovarian steroidogenesis and cell proliferation have been described together with limited information on expression of the gene encoding IGF-I (Roberts et al., 1994; Peddie et al., 1993; Onagbesan and Peddie, 1995). The present study was therefore designed to provide further information on the IGF system in the chicken ovary by measuring expression of genes encoding IGF-I, IGF-II and IGFr in theca and granulosa tissue in follicles at different stages of development.

The pattern of expression of genes encoding IGF-I and -II in granulosa and theca tissue of hens is different from that found in mammals. In ovaries, collected either before or after an ovulation, their expression is confined solely to theca tissue, no expression being detected in granulosa cells from the largest preovulatory follicle. An earlier study using PCR (Roberts et al., 1994) demonstrated IGF-I expression in both theca and granulosa tissue. We can offer no explanation for this discrepancy. However, the results presented here indicate that if the gene encoding IGF-I is expressed in granulosa tissue it is below the sensitivity of the RNase protection assay and its expression is significantly less than that in theca tissue. It is of interest in this respect to note that expression of the gene encoding IGF-II was not detected in granulosa tissue using PCR.

The expression of IGFr in theca and granulosa tissue from those same follicles showed a different pattern from that observed with IGF-I and IGF-II. In this case, expression in
granulosa tissue was significantly greater than that observed in theca tissue. Hens do not contain a type II IGF receptor (Carnfield and Kornfeld, 1989) and hence both IGF-I and IGF-II must act via the type I IGF receptor. Since IGF-I enhances LH stimulation of granulosa cell proliferation and progesterone production in vitro (Roberts et al., 1994; Onagbesan and Peddie, 1995), the results presented here indicate that theca derived

IGF-I and IGF-II have the potential to control granulosa cell function via paracrine mechanisms.

A precise role for IGF-II in controlling ovarian function has yet to be established, although its expression in ovarian tissue from a number of mammals is now well documented. The results presented here provide indirect evidence of a role for IGF-II in the control of avian ovarian follicular development. The probe used to detect mRNA encoding IGF-II spanned part of the second coding exon of the chicken gene encoding IGF-II that codes for the C, A and D domains and parts of the B and E domains. The presence of multiple RNase-protected bands in the theca tissue from large preovulatory follicles indicates the possibility of alternate splicing of the mRNA encoding IGF-II over the region spanned by the probe. The results also indicate that the type of mRNA encoding IGF-II transcripts present in theca tissue from small follicles is different from that found in theca tissue from the large preovulatory follicles (presence and absence of a 66 base RNAse-protected fragment in small and large preovulatory follicles, respectively). The physiological significance of this difference is unknown. However, comparison of the sequence of two variant forms of chicken IGF-II (Kallinicos et al., 1990) with the amino acid sequence of the second coding exon of chicken and human IGF-II indicates that the differences could arise from the presence or absence of sequences coding for a TPA sequence (residues 69–71) in the chicken variants. The RNase-protected bands observed in theca tissue from F1, F3 and F5 follicles are likely to have arisen from mRNA coding for the variant lacking the TPA sequence resulting in the production of RNase protected bands of size 98, 96 and 90. Hybridization of the IGF-II probe with mRNA encoding the variant containing the TPA sequence would generate a RNase-protected band of 66 bases as observed with the RNA from theca tissue from small follicles. Whether the two variants arise from alternative splicing of the IGF-II mRNA primary transcript or from the presence of two closely related
but distinct genes, awaits further study. However, the exact matching of the actual size of the 66-base RNase-protected fragment with its predicted size is strong evidence for the presence of the two variant forms of IGF-II in the avian ovary.

It is concluded that, since the 66-base variant was detected in only a proportion of small yellow follicles, the IGF-II variant is expressed only for a limited period of follicular development. Its appearance, in follicles 4–6 mm in diameter, coincides both with the period during which small yellow follicles are selected for recruitment into the follicular hierarchy and with the time at which their granulosa cells become steroidogenically competent (Tilly et al., 1991). We suggest that the IGF-II variant is expressed only in follicles at the time they are recruited into the follicular hierarchy; if the IGF-II variant is not expressed, the follicles become atretic. If this hypothesis proves to be correct, then the IGF-II variant would be a major determinant of follicular fate.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that expression of the genes encoding IGF-I and -II is confined to the theca tissue of the large preovulatory follicles. The relatively high expression of IGF in granulosa tissue at this time indicates that IGFs produced in theca tissue control granulosa function by paracrine mechanisms. The results also support a major role for IGF-II in the intraovarian control of ovarian function.
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