Immunization of rats and sheep against granulosa cell-inhibitory factor from bovine follicular fluid increases the number of large follicles in rats and the ovulation rate in sheep
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Granulosa cell-inhibitory factor (GCIF), a low molecular weight factor from bovine follicular fluid, inhibits the proliferation of bovine granulosa cells in vitro and the growth of large follicles in rats in vivo. In this study the effects of (1) immunization of rats against GCIF on follicular growth and (2) immunization of sheep against GCIF on ovulation rate were studied. The ability of antiserum from sheep immunized against GCIF to reduce the inhibitory effect of GCIF on bovine granulosa cell proliferation in culture was also examined. Immunization of rats against GCIF increased the number of large follicles (P < 0.001) but decreased the number of small follicles (P < 0.05) per ovary. Ovarian mass (P < 0.05) and uterine wet (P < 0.05) and dry (P < 0.01) masses were increased in immunized rats. Immunization of sheep against GCIF, followed by boosting over two breeding seasons, increased ovulation rate (P < 0.01). Addition of antiserum from sheep immunized against GCIF reduced or abolished the inhibitory effect of GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation (P < 0.01). These data provide further evidence that GCIF has an important role in controlling follicle growth and ovulation in vivo.

Introduction

Knowledge of the control of ovarian follicle growth and ovulation is crucial to devising better methods for the control of both human and farm animal reproduction. For many years, it was thought that ovulation was strictly controlled by gonadotrophins and the ovarian steroids, and by interactions between these compounds. However, in spite of the fact that in monovular species, such as cattle and humans, many follicles develop as a cohort and are subjected to the same endogenous gonadotropic environment and are, in theory, all capable of ovulating, normally only one follicle from the cohort is ovulated and the remainder undergo atresia (Spicer and Echtrenkamp, 1986). McNatty and Sawers (1975) showed that removal of large follicles from the ovary by cauterization was associated with a compensatory increase in the mitotic index of granulosa cells in the remaining follicles and they postulated that the cauterization of large follicles removed an endogenous inhibitor of granulosa cell mitosis present in the follicular fluid of such follicles. This hypothesis was supported by the finding of Cahill et al. (1984) that administration of steroid-free ovine follicular fluid to PMSG-treated hypophysectomized sheep significantly inhibited the growth of follicles to > 2–4 mm in diameter (for a review of more recent work, see Ginther et al., 1996).

The partial purification of a granulosa cell inhibitory factor (GCIF) of low molecular mass (< 5000 M₀) from bovine follicular fluid that inhibited granulosa cell proliferation in vitro has been reported (Hynes et al., 1996a). Injection of GCIF into female rats decreased the number of large follicles, increased the number of small follicles, and decreased ovarian and uterine mass. In vivo, GCIF markedly reduced the stimulatory effects of FSH, androstenedione, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) on granulosa cell proliferation, indicating that it may play a significant role in modulating the effects of systemic hormones and intraovarian growth factors on granulosa cells and on the growth of follicles. GCIF also decreased the stimulatory effect of IGF-I on the aromatase activity of granulosa cells in vitro. Two factors with similar properties to GCIF have been reported by other workers. Kigawa et al. (1986) partially purified a factor with an estimated M₀ of 1–3 kDa from pig follicular fluid that inhibited oestradiol and progesterone secretion both by rat granulosa cells in vitro and by the oocytes of hypophysectomized diethylstilboestrol-treated immature rats in vivo. Another factor with similar activity to GCIF was described by Chakravorty et al. (1991); this factor was isolated from a < 10 kDa fraction of rat follicular fluid and was named granulosa cell mitostatic protein (GCMP).
In the present study, female rats and sheep were immunized against GCIF, and reproductive function was monitored after booster injections. The effect of antiserum collected from sheep immunized against GCIF on the inhibitory effect of GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation was also examined.

Materials and Methods

Conjugation procedure

GCIF was purified and tested for granulosa cell inhibitory activity as described by Hynes et al. (1996a). Briefly, steroids were removed from bovine follicular fluid with dextran-activated charcoal (Sigma, Poole) and the follicular fluid was separated into < 10 kDa and > 10 kDa fractions by passing it through a hollow fibre unit (Amicon Ltd, Stonehouse). The < 10 kDa fraction was freeze-dried and fractionated on a Sephadex G-25 superfine column using 5% (v/v) formic acid as the eluent. The peak corresponding to the granulosa cell inhibitory factor (GCIF) as described by Hynes et al. (1996a) was conjugated to human serum albumin (HSA, Sigma) using the method of Goodfriend et al. (1964) with some modifications (Briand et al., 1983; Staros et al., 1986). In this reaction, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) catalyses the formation of amide bonds between carboxylic acids and amines and the reaction is enhanced by the presence of N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS).

Human serum albumin (8.7 mg) was added to 4 mg (peptide equivalent) of GCIF and 1.1 mg NHS (Sigma) to produce 10 mg of the GCIF–HSA conjugate. Assuming that the molecular weight of GCIF lies in the range 1–5 kDa (Hynes et al., 1996a), this gives a ratio of moles of GCIF to moles of carrier of from 32:1 to 64:1 and a ratio of EDC to GCIF of from 31:1 to 155:1. The amount of peptide equivalent material in the GCIF fraction was determined by absorbance measurements at 260 and 280 nm (Johnstone and Thorpe, 1982). Coupling was initiated by adding GCIF, HSA and NHS to a final volume of 2.3 ml of 50 mmol 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid 1:1 (MES buffer, Sigma) containing 23.93 mg EDC (125 mmol). The pH of the reaction mixture was monitored closely for 2–3 h after the initiation of coupling and maintained between 5.5–6.0 by the addition of 0.1 mmol NaOH or 0.1 mmol HCl as required. The reaction was allowed to continue overnight at room temperature with continuous stirring. The conjugate solution was then dialysed against four changes of deionised water over 24 h and lyophilized thoroughly.

 GnRH was conjugated to HSA using the same method and concentrations as described for GCIF. GnRH was used as a control to check on the conjugation and immunization procedures. When HSA alone was used for immunization, it was taken through the same conjugation procedure.

Immunization of rats

Virgin Sprague–Dawley rats (Harlan Olac, Shaws Farm, Blackthorn, Oxfordshire), aged 8–10 weeks at the time of primary immunization were used. The rats were maintained in groups of four per cage. Vaginal smears were taken daily and stained with 0.1% (v/v) Giemsa stain for 15 min to determine the occurrence of normal oestrous cycles.

Rats in which at least two consecutive 4 or 5 day oestrous cycles had been recorded were used. Fifteen rats were allocated in equal numbers randomly to one treatment and two control groups. The treatment group was immunized with 50 μg GCIF–HSA conjugate (dissolved in 500 μl sodium chloride solution and emulsified in an equal volume of Freund’s complete adjuvant, FCA) per animal. The emulsion was injected subcutaneously at five sites in each animal. Booster injections were given 6 weeks after the primary immunization at a rate of 20 μg of GCIF–HSA conjugate in 500 μl sodium chloride solution emulsified in an equal volume of non-ulcerative Freund’s adjuvant (NUFA) per animal. One control group consisted of rats immunized and boosted against HSA alone using the same procedure and concentrations as for GCIF. A second control group consisted of rats that were untreated.

Measurement of number of follicles and ovarian, uterine, liver and kidney mass in rats

Rats were killed on day 14 after the booster injection using diethyl ether. The liver, kidneys, uterus and ovaries were excised, all surplus surrounding tissue was dissected away from the organs and each organ was then weighed. Liver and kidney masses were recorded to determine possible non-specific effects of immunization against GCIF. Uterine and ovarian masses were recorded as a measure of ovarian function. The uteri were then lyophilized and their dry masses were determined to measure whether changes in organ mass were due to fluid accumulation within the uterus or to tissue growth or atrophy. After weighing, the ovaries were examined under a dissection microscope and follicles present on the ovarian surface were counted and classified as large or small follicles. Vesicular follicles protruding from the surface of the ovary were classified as large follicles, while vesicular follicles not protruding from the ovarian surface were classified as small follicles.

Immunization of sheep

Mature ewes of Cambridge and Belclare ancestry were immunized against either HSA alone (control group, n = 8), GCIF–HSA-peak 4 conjugate (n = 7) or GnRH–HSA-peak 4 conjugate (n = 8). The effects of immunization against GnRH were examined because GnRH is a peptide in a similar molecular weight range (< 5 kDa) to GCIF (Hynes et al., 1996a). The same immunization protocol was followed for each immunization group. Conjugate or HSA (3 mg) was dissolved in 2 ml sodium chloride solution (0.9%, v/v) and emulsified in an equal volume of FCA. Ewes were immunized by subcutaneous injection of the emulsified conjugate at four sites in the axillary region.

Booster injections of conjugate or HSA (1 mg) were dissolved in 2 ml sodium chloride solution as for primary
**Measurement of antibody titres**

Blood samples from sheep were taken into glass vacutainers (Becton–Dickinson Systems Europe, Grenoble) and held at 37°C for 1 h and then at 4°C overnight. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 300 g for 30 min and stored at −20°C until processing for antibody titre studies. Antibody titres were measured using a solid phase enzyme-immunoassay (Morris *et al.*, 1993). Because the GCIF fraction is not a pure preparation, it was not possible to measure the antibody titres specifically raised against GCIF. However, antibody titres against GnRH were measured in all ewes as an index of the efficiency of the conjugation and immunization procedures with a small peptide.

**Granulosa cell culture**

Granulosa cells were collected from bovine follicles < 10 mm in diameter and plated at a concentration of 2 × 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹ of MEM tissue culture medium with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) in 12-well tissue culture plates as described by Hynes *et al.* (1996a). All granulosa cells were then pre-cultured for 24 h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After this initial 24 h culture period, the spent culture medium was removed and replaced by 1 ml per well of fresh medium containing 0.1% FCS. GCIF was added at random to culture wells at concentrations of 0.01 and 1 μg ml⁻¹. Antiserum to sheep imunized against HSA and GCIF were added to wells containing GCIF at three concentrations (0.1, 1 or 10 μl ml⁻¹) at random; these additions of antiserum were in addition to the presence of 0.1% FCS. [³H]thymidine (methyl-[³H]thymidine, Trk.418, 1 mCi ml⁻¹, Amersham International plc, Amersham) was also added to all wells at the same time (2 μl of a 1 mCi ml⁻¹ solution). The granulosa cells were then re-incubated for a further 24 h after which they were harvested and cell proliferation was measured as described by Hynes *et al.* (1996a).

**Statistical analysis**

Data from the experiments on the effects of immunization of rats, and on the effects of antiserum from immunized sheep in reducing the inhibitory action of GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation in culture were analysed by analysis of variance followed by a post hoc Scheffe’s S test. Data on ovulation rates and the number of ovarian follicles from the experiments on the effects of immunization of sheep were analysed both by analysis of variance in a repeated measures design (after a square root transformation) and by the chi-squared test.

---

Injections but emulsified this time in NUFA. Over a period of two breeding seasons, a total of eight booster injections were given at intervals of 6–10 weeks after the primary immunization. Blood samples were taken before the primary injection and at regular intervals (on days 14, 42, 84 and 560 after boost 1) for measurement of antibody titre.

**Measurement of ovulation rate in sheep**

The oestrous cycles of all sheep were synchronized with a standard intra-vaginal progestogen sponge treatment (Gordon, 1983). The sponges (60 mg medroxyprogesterone acetate per sponge, J&M Veterinary Services, Castleknock, Dublin) were inserted on the day of boost and removed 12 days later. Ewes were run with a vasectomized raddled ram from the day of sponge removal and checked daily from 24–72 h for raddle markings indicating that oestrus had occurred. Ovulation rate in all ewes was measured by mid-ventral laparoscopy during the subsequent luteal phase (10–12 days after oestrus detection) of that cycle. All corpora lutea and the number of follicles > 3 mm in diameter present on both ovaries were counted.
Effect of immunization against GCIF and GnRH on ovulation rate and follicle number in sheep

The effects of immunization against GCIF on ovulation rate and follicle numbers are shown (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 2). With the exception of the first boost, ovulation rate over eight boosts was increased in the GCIF-immunized sheep (Fig. 2a, Table 1; \( P < 0.01 \)); overall mean of 2.75 ± 0.15 ovulations for the GCIF-immunized animals versus 1.85 ± 0.12 for the HSA-immunized animals. When only animals that had ovulated were included in the analysis, immunization against GCIF increased the average ovulation rate by 36% (2.80 ± 0.14 versus 2.05 ± 0.13). The maximum increase in ovulation rate (140%) was recorded after boost 3 in GCIF-immunized animals. When all animals were included in the analysis, immunization against GCIF increased ovulation rate by an average of 48%, with a maximum increase of > 180% after boost 3.

A frequency distribution table for ovulation rate (Table 1) shows that 50% of the cycles of GCIF-immunized sheep resulted in three or more ovulations compared with only 21% of the cycles of HSA-immunized sheep. This increase in ovulation rate was the result of a greater number of sheep having three ovulations rather than a smaller number having very high ovulation rates.

The data from all cycles with one or more ovulations indicate that immunization against GCIF did not affect the number of cycles with ovulation on both sides. In 32 of 58 cycles (55%) of ovulating sheep that were immunized against HSA, there were no ovulations on one side, in contrast to 27 of 55 cycles (49%) of ovulating sheep that were immunized against GCIF \( (P > 0.05) \).

In contrast, the data from cycles with ovulations on one side only showed that immunization with GCIF increased the number of ovulations on that side. There were 15 of 32 cycles (47%) with two or more ovulations on the ovulating ovary in sheep that were immunized against HSA, in contrast to 23 of 27 cycles (85%) in sheep that were immunized against GCIF \( (P < 0.01) \).

The effects of immunization against GCIF on follicles were much less marked than the effects on ovulation. While the average number of follicles of ≥ 3 mm in diameter present on the ovaries was increased after immunization against GCIF (Fig. 2a, Table 2), this increase was neither consistent nor significant \( (P > 0.05) \).

Immunization against GnRH inhibited ovulation. In the first cycle after the first booster injection, only three sheep had ovulated. Although no further booster injections were given for the duration of the experiment, ovulation was abolished in all GnRH-immunized animals for the remainder of the experiment. The growth of follicles to more than 3 mm in diameter was also reduced after immunization against GnRH. All sheep immunized against GnRH attained...
antibody titres > 1 in 60,000 by the end of the experiment (1 in 75,500 ± 6028 over all measurements taken) and only two sheep at any stage had antibody titres < 1 in 60,000. This result indicates that the peptide conjugation and immunization procedures used were efficient.

**Effects of serum from animals immunized against HSA and GCIF on the inhibition of cell proliferation induced by GCIF**

The inhibition exerted on granulosa cell proliferation by GCIF was approximately 40%, and this result is consistent with previous results (Hynes et al., 1996a). Addition of serum collected from animals immunized against GCIF, at concentrations of 0.01% and 0.1%, completely abolished the inhibitory effect of 0.1 μg GCIF ml⁻¹ and partially abolished the effect of 1 μg ml⁻¹ (Fig. 3). A concentration of 1% of anti-GCIF serum completely abolished the inhibitory activity of GCIF at all GCIF concentrations examined.

The addition of either FCS or serum from animals immunized against HSA did not affect the inhibitory activity of GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation in vitro (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Granulosa cell inhibitory factor (GCIF) is a novel and, as yet, uncharacterized factor present in the peak 4 fraction from a G-25 chromatographic separation of the low molecular weight fraction of bovine follicular fluid (Hynes et al., 1996a,b). In the present study, active immunization of rats against GCIF resulted in an increase in ovarian and uterine masses and a concomitant increase in the number of large vesicular follicles present on the ovary. Immunization against GCIF also decreased the number of small follicles present on the ovary but did not affect the total number of follicles. These results are consistent with previous studies (Hynes et al., 1996a), in which direct administration of GCIF to cyclic female rats had opposite effects in that it decreased the number of large follicles per ovary while increasing the number of small follicles and also decreasing ovarian and uterine masses.

During the normal oestrous cycle of the rat, uterine mass reflects ovarian function and, particularly, oestradiol secretion. Increased uterine mass is associated with pro-oestrous and oestrous cycle stages during which numerous large follicles are present on the ovary, while lower uterine masses are associated with stages of the oestrous cycle during which large follicles are absent (Long and McLean Evans, 1922). This association between uterine mass and ovarian function has also been observed in animals immunized against either LH or GnRH, which were found to have markedly decreased ovarian and uterine masses (Popkin and Fraser, 1985).

It would appear that GCIF is involved either in the selection of follicles to grow and reach pre-ovulatory status or in the maintenance of dominance by one follicle over others in the cohort. There are reports of the presence of a factor similar to GCIF in pig (Kigawa et al., 1986) and rat follicular fluid (Chakravorty et al., 1991, 1993).

In the present study, immunization of sheep against GCIF clearly increased ovulation rate. This increase was maintained as animals were boosted into the second year of the experiment. Because GCIF fraction is a relatively crude fraction, no effort was made to obtain antibody titres against the active factor in immunized animals.

If GCIF is a factor secreted by a dominant follicle or follicles to suppress the growth of other follicles, it is interesting to ask the question whether, in multiple ovulating animals such as sheep, it acts mainly to inhibit follicles on the same or the contralateral ovary. The finding that immunization against GCIF does not increase the number of...

---

**Table 1. The frequency distribution of the ovulation rate for human serum albumin (HSA)- and granulosa cell inhibitory factor (GCIF)-immunized ewes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization treatment</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6(9)</td>
<td>15(23)</td>
<td>30(47)</td>
<td>8(13)</td>
<td>5(8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCIF-HSA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
<td>4(7)</td>
<td>23(41)</td>
<td>15(27)</td>
<td>9(16)</td>
<td>3(5)</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HSA and GCIF-HSA immunization treatments were significantly different from each other (chi-squared test, P < 0.01).

**Table 2. The frequency distribution of the number of follicles > 3 mm in diameter for human serum albumin (HSA)- and granulosa cell inhibitory factor (GCIF)-immunized ewes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization treatment</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSA</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17(27)</td>
<td>23(36)</td>
<td>15(23)</td>
<td>9(14)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCIF-HSA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10(18)</td>
<td>15(27)</td>
<td>20(35)</td>
<td>7(12)</td>
<td>2(4)</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HSA and GCIF-HSA immunization treatments were not significantly different (chi-squared test, P > 0.05).
It GCIF cell is and anti-GCIF serum-antiserum, treatment.

(b) presence proliferation. GCIF does not affect ovulation. It produces ovulations after addition of 0.1% or 0.01% anti-HSA, in the presence of 0.1 and 1.0 μg GCIF ml⁻¹. In (c) with 1% added serum-antiserum, the anti-GCIF was different from both the FCS and the anti-HSA treatments (P < 0.01). In (c) with 1% added serum-antiserum, in the presence of 0.1 and 1.0 μg GCIF ml⁻¹, the anti-GCIF was different from both the FCS and the anti-HSA treatments (P < 0.01).

Sheep ovulating from both ovaries but does increase the number of ovulations on the single ovulating ovary indicates that GCIF is only active in the ovary in which it is produced and does not affect the contralateral ovary. This failure to affect the contralateral ovary in sheep may indicate that GCIF is restricted to the ovary that produces it but it is more likely that it is due to the fact that the concentration of GCIF is reduced greatly by dilution in the systemic blood system. It would be even more interesting to see if this failure to affect the contralateral ovary also occurs in mono-ovulating species, such as cattle. The results of the present study indicate clearly that the immunization effect on ovulation is not due to a nonspecific effect exerted on the secretion of hypothalamic or pituitary hormones but to a local ovarian effect.

There have been numerous studies in which sheep have been actively immunized against other follicular fluid factors, including the steroids, oestradiol, testosterone and androstenedione (Scaramuzzi and Hoskinson, 1984; Webb et al., 1984), and the peptides, oxytocin (Wathes et al., 1989) and inhibin (Morris et al., 1991; Murdoch, 1994). Immunization against each of these factors has been shown to alter ovulation rate in sheep, but all of these factors differ from GCIF either in molecular weight, solubility properties or action on ovarian cells.

Addition of anti-GCIF serum to granulosa cell cultures treated with GCIF abolished the inhibitory activity of the GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation, either completely or partially in almost all cases. This finding indicates that the serum of GCIF-immunized animals contained antibodies that blocked the inhibitory activity of GCIF on granulosa cell proliferation, as well as antibodies that increased large follicle growth and ovulation rate, presumably by binding some factor that inhibits follicular growth and ovulation. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that GCIF, which inhibits granulosa cell proliferation in vitro, also inhibits large follicle growth in vivo.

This study provides further evidence that a low molecular weight factor in follicular fluid, GCIF, which inhibits granulosa cell proliferation in vitro, inhibits large follicle growth and ovulation in vivo. This study also indicates that it may be possible to control ovulation rate by immunization against this factor.
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