Stage-specific and differential expression of gap junctions in the mouse ovary: connexin-specific roles in follicular regulation
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Gap junction communication plays an essential role in follicle growth. Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy were used to examine the expression of gap junction connexins of the α and β subfamilies in follicles from primordial to preovulatory stages in the ovaries of prepubertal and adult mice. Connexin-specific antibodies detected α₁, α₄, α₅, β₁, β₂ and β₃ connexins within follicles. In adult ovaries connexin immunolabelling was stronger in larger (more mature) follicles than it was in smaller follicles, with comparatively reduced labelling detected in prepubertal ovaries. In healthy follicles, labelling for α subfamily connexins was detected between granulosa cells, whereas labelling for β subfamily connexins was found in the theca. Labelling for β subfamily connexins and α₄ connexin (preantral stage) was detected on the oocyte surface membrane. In atretic follicles, labelling for β₁ connexin appeared between the granulosa cells. These results demonstrate that α and β connexin subfamilies are segregated to separate cellular compartments in the mouse follicle. The results are discussed in the light of possible roles for differential gap junctional communication in the regulation of folliculogenesis, oocyte maturation and atresia.

Introduction

In the developing ovarian follicle, the oocyte is separated from the local blood supply by an increasing number of granulosa cell layers, the cells of the theca externa being the only follicle cells in direct contact with ovarian capillaries. In this avascular system, intercellular communication between the oocyte and the surrounding somatic cells (the granulosa and theca) is essential for the correct functioning and development of the follicle. An important route for this interaction is provided by gap junctions that occur between follicular cells (Albertini and Anderson, 1974; Anderson and Albertini, 1976).

Gap junctions form channels that directly link the cytoplasm of adjoining cells, and are present in almost all adult tissues (for review, see Kumar and Gilula, 1996). These channels are composed of two opposing hexameric hemi-channels (connexons), consisting of six transmembrane protein subunits known as connexins (Goodenough, 1976). At least seventeen members of the connexin protein family have been sequenced and allocated to subfamilies – α, β, γ and δ – on the basis of sequence homology (Sohl et al., 1998; for review, see Kumar, 1999).

Gap junction communication allows the direct exchange between cells of ions and small molecules such as cAMP and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP₃) (for review, see Kumar and Gilula, 1996). In the ovarian follicle, gap junctions facilitate the uptake by the oocyte of nutrients such as amino acids from somatic cells (Biggers et al., 1967). Gap junctions mediate metabolic co-operation between the oocyte and its somatic companion cells (Heller et al., 1981) and transmit endocrine, paracrine and growth factor effects (for review, see Eppig, 1991).

Gap junction communication may also play a role in the co-ordination of follicular growth and steroid hormone production (Grazul Bilska et al., 1997). Follicular gap junctions maintain the meiotic arrest of the oocyte in the follicle via low tonic amounts of cAMP signalling from the granulosa to the oocyte (Dekel, 1988; Downs et al., 1989; Eppig, 1989). Follicular gap junction communication also plays a role in the final maturation of the oocyte by LH-induced signalling pathways (Dekel, 1988).

Northern blotting, in situ hybridization and RT-PCR have detected mRNA for eight connexin types (α₁ (Cx43), α₄ (Cx37), α₅ (Cx40), α₆ (Cx45), α₇ (Cx60), β₁ (Cx32), β₂ (Cx26), β₃ (Cx30.3)) in the ovaries of a number of species (Beyer et al., 1992; Reed et al., 1993; for review, see Grazul Bilska et al., 1997; Itahana et al., 1998). Immunocytochemistry has confirmed the presence of five of these connexins: α₁, α₄, α₅, β₁ and β₂ (for review, see Grazul Bilska et al., 1997, 1998; Lenhart et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 1999). In addition, studies have shown that cultured
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Materials and Methods

Animals and tissue preparation

F1 (C57BL/6 × CBA/Ca; Olac, Bicester) female mice of either 3–6 weeks old (adult) or 12 days old (prepubertal)

were used. At least five mice were included in each

animal group. Animals were killed by cervical dislocation, and their ovaries were immediately dissected free and

collected into filtered PBS (‘A’ Oxoid, Basingstoke). Ovaries were further cleaned of all fat and other tissue, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight (Sigma, Poole), and then transferred to 30% (w/v) sucrose (BDH, Poole) in PBS solution overnight. The tissue was then coated in OCT compound (BDH), and frozen by immersion in an isopen-
tane (BDH) slush bath surrounded by liquid nitrogen. Sections (10–20 μm) were cut on a Bright cryostat, and

mounted onto poly-lysine-coated slides (Polysine, BDH).

Hearts and livers of 6-week-old mice were dissected at the
time of ovary collection and processed as above for use as
controls.

Generation and characterization of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies

Peptides matching sequences from the intracellular loop of connexins α1 (Cx43) and β1 (Cx32) were synthe-
sized by Immune Systems, Bristol. The following peptides were used: α1: EIKFKGYIEEH; β1: LEGHGDPLHLEE.

Peptides were coupled at the C-terminal end to bovine thyroglobulin at a final molar ratio peptide:thyroglobulin of 40–60:1. Coupled peptides were used to generate monoclonal antibodies in mice by the Monoclonal Antibody Unit, University College London. Two injections of peptide were made, 4 weeks apart. One week after the second injection, primary screening was carried out on small samples of serum from each mouse by ELISA with the immunizing peptide and checked by immunolabelling on sections to identify samples that recognized the parent connexin. Mice that were generating promising antibodies were killed 3 weeks later, the spleens were removed and homogenized, and red cells and excess protein were removed. Spleen cells were fused to JK immortal myeloma cells with 50% (v/v) polyethylene glycol (Sigma) to generate antibody secreting hybridoma cells. After fusion, the cells
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(Cx30) was performed with primary antibodies that had been raised against short peptide sequences of connexins as described by Becker et al. (1995). Antibodies to α3, β4 and α6 connexins were kind gifts of David L. Paul (Harvard Medical School), Colin Green (University of Auckland) and Rob Gourdie (Medical University of South Carolina), respectively. Details of all primary antibodies are given (Table 1). Secondary flourescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated antibodies (Dako, Copenhagen) were used in conjunction with anti-rabbit or rabbit anti-mouse, according to the primary antibody used. Most antibodies were diluted in filtered PBS to concentrations of 1:40–50 except Gap 23 antibody, which were used at 1:100 and 1:1000, respectively.

In brief, slides were washed with filtered PBS (all PBS was filtered with a 0.22 μm Millipore filter), incubated with a 0.1 mol lysis 1−1 (Sigma) in PBS block containing 0.1% (v/v) Triton-X100 (Sigma) for 30 min at room temperature, incubated with the primary antibody directed against the connexin of interest for 1 h at 37°C, washed three times with PBS and incubated with a secondary antibody for 1 h at 37°C in the dark, washed twice with PBS, then washed with 1 μg ml−1 propidium iodide (Sigma) in PBS for 2 min, and finally washed twice with PBS. An avidin–biotin (BDS, Cambridge) multiplication step was also used in conjunction with anti-α4(DP). Slides were mounted with Citiflour (Chem.lab, Canterbury) and immediately observed on a Leica TCS4D confocal microscope. Confocal images were collected and stored digitally for subsequent analysis of gap junction distribution.

The specificity of connexin labelling was checked by: (1) using multiple antibodies for connexins α4 (Cx43), β1 (Cx32) and β2 (Cx26); (2) parallel staining for connexins in mouse heart, liver and skin sections (positive controls, Fig. 1); (3) omitting the primary antibody for each connexin (negative control); and (4) peptide competition experiments (when peptides were available) for α4 (using Gap 15 antibody), β1 (Des 5 antibody) and β2 (Des 3 antibody) connexins, performed as described by Becker et al. (1995). Examples are shown of immunolabelling to check the ability of antibodies 1A (Fig. 1a), 2A (Fig. 1b) and anti-β4 (Fig. 1c) to recognize their parent proteins in frozen sections. Antibody 1A (Fig. 1a) identified rat heart intercalated discs of the cardiac myocytes, the locations of α1, connexin (Cx43)-containing gap junctions (Becker et al., 1995). Antibody 2A (Fig. 1b) detected β1 connexin (Cx32)-containing gap junctions in mouse liver cell plasma membranes (Becker et al., 1995); and anti-β4 recognized gap junctions in rat epidermis (Fig. 1c). There was no immunolabelling of connexins in negative controls. Peptide competition experiments for α4, β1 and β2 connexins showed that peptide concentrations of 1:100 reduced labelling markedly, and concentrations of 1:10 and undiluted peptide (10 μg ml−1) prevented antibody labelling.

**Western blotting**

Protein was extracted from rat heart and intercalated discs (Colaco and Evans, 1981), mouse liver plasma membranes (Ali et al., 1990) and rat epidermis. Blots were resolved by SDS-PAGE using 10% or 12.5% acrylamide gels. All other antibodies had been characterized previously (Table 1). Western blotting was carried out as described by Burnette (1981) and the location of antigens was determined with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (ECL+plus; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont). It has been reported frequently that good immunocytochemical staining is not necessarily associated with good recognition of the denatured proteins on western blots. In accordance with these observations, the monoclonal antibodies tested here showed the same variability. However, both monoclonal antibodies 1A and 2A and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Connexin</th>
<th>Amino acid sequence</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R45</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>QYTELSNAKIAKYKQN</td>
<td>Carboxyl tail</td>
<td>Coppen et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap 15</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>EIHKKFGYIEEEH</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 131–142</td>
<td>Becker et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>EIHKKFGYIEEEH</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 131–142</td>
<td>Becker et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-α5</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>AELSCNKEVG</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 225–270</td>
<td>Coppen et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap 23</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>ALLIAEQMAKIC</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 126–137</td>
<td>Carter et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-α4(DP)</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>α4</td>
<td>VHL to QYV</td>
<td>C-terminus 229–333</td>
<td>Goliger and Paul, 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des 5</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β1</td>
<td>LEGHGDPLHLEE</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 108–119</td>
<td>Monaghan et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des 1</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β1</td>
<td>EKMLRLEGH-GHLEEVKRHK</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 102–112</td>
<td>+ 116–124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>β1</td>
<td>LEGHGDPLHLEE</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 108–119</td>
<td>Rahman and Evans, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-β4</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β4</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-β6</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β6</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Des 3</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β6</td>
<td>FMKGEIKNEFQDKIEC</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 106–119</td>
<td>Monaghan et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28H</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>β6</td>
<td>SEFKDIEEKTQ</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic loop 113–124</td>
<td>Diez et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap 10</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>β sub-family</td>
<td>MWTGTLTTLSGVRHSTAIG</td>
<td>Amino terminus of β1–21</td>
<td>Rahman and Evans, 1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Amino acid sequences for β4 (Cx31.1) and β6 (Cx30, Zymed) antibodies are not available.

* Type of antibody; RP, rabbit polyclonal; MM, mouse monoclonal.
anti-\(\beta_4\) polyclonal identified single bands at positions appropriate for connexins \(\alpha_1\) (Cx43), \(\beta_1\) (Cx32) and \(\beta_4\) (Cx31.1), respectively (Fig. 1). The size of the protein identified by each antibody was determined for each gel by plotting the distance travelled by protein markers against their molecular weight, measuring the position of the band identified by the antibody under test, and reading the molecular weight of the stained protein from the graph. The estimated size for the band recognized by each antibody is indicated (Fig. 1). The blots for testing 2A were run on non-reducing 10% acrylamide gels. The \(\beta_1\) connexin protein is notorious both for its sensitivity to the percentage of acrylamide in the gel and a tendency to form dimers and trimers (Green et al., 1988). In addition, Green et al. (1988) demonstrated that when protein loading of a gel is relatively low, the dimeric form of the \(\beta_1\) protein dominates. Because of this anomalous behaviour, in the illustrated 10% gel, the \(\beta_1\) dimer was prominent at the predicted size of 46 kDa (Fig. 1). Parallel samples of protein extracted from mouse heart and liver showed that 1A recognized proteins only in the heart while 2A recognized proteins only in the liver, according to the expression patterns of the parent proteins.

Results

Propidium iodide labelling of cell nuclei allowed clear identification of follicles at all developmental stages. The ovaries of 12-day-old prepubertal mice contained primordial, primary and secondary follicles. Ovaries of adult mice contained a range of follicle stages from primordial to antral and preovulatory follicles (see Fig. 2 for follicle staging). Healthy follicles of the same developmental stage in 3–6-week-old (adult) mice showed similar immunolabelling, and observations on adult animals are treated as a single group. Differences in nuclear shape and spatial organization differentiated between stromal, thecal, granulosa and oocyte cells. Connexins in gap junctions were visible as discrete spots or lines between cells. Immunolabelling results are summarized (Tables 2 and 3).

Labelling of connexins in ovarian follicles of prepubertal mice

Gap junctions containing \(\alpha_1\) connexin (Cx43) were detected by Gap 15 between granulosa cells of secondary follicles in the central region of ovaries from 12-day-old mice. Expression was similar to that in secondary follicles of adult animals (Table 2). Primordial and primary follicles in the outer regions of the ovaries did not show any

46 kDa, the predicted position for \(\beta_1\) protein run at low loading on a 10% acrylamide gel (Green et al., 1988). (C) Frozen section through rat skin labelled with anti-\(\beta_4\). Punctate gap junctions are visible in the epidermis (arrowheads). The panel to the right shows a western blot of the single band at 31 kDa recognized by this antibody. Scale bars represent 50 \(\mu\)m.
detectable connexin expression (Fig. 3a,b). Gap junction density was greater between mural granulosa cells than between cumulus cells. The β1 connexin (Cx32) antibodies Des 1, Des 5 and 2A showed weak labelling of gap junctions between theca cells in some central secondary follicles, and also some weak labelling of the oocyte (Table 2). Although β2 connexin (Cx26) antibodies labelled the ovarian epithelium, no follicular labelling was seen for other antibodies (Table 2).

**Labelling of connexins in ovarian follicles of adult mice**

In general, the labelling intensity of healthy follicles increased with follicle size (Figs 3c and 4b; Table 3). Primordial and primary follicles had some low-intensity labelling, whereas large antral and Graafian follicles had high-intensity labelling.

α1 connexin (Cx43) was detected only between follicle granulosa cells (Fig. 3c). Preantral follicles (primordial to late preantral stages) showed less labelling than was seen in larger antral follicles (early antral to preovulatory stages; Table 3). The extent of labelling of secondary follicles for α1 connexin was similar to that seen in prepubertal animals. An oblique section of a large antral follicle revealed dense immunolabelling of α1 connexin proteins, which were widely distributed between granulosa cells (Fig. 3d). There was dense expression of α1 connexin-containing gap junctions between mural cells close to the basal lamina (which appeared linear) and between cells close to the antrum (which appeared more macular), and a lower density in between (Figs 3d and 4e). Both α4 antibodies – 1A (monoclonal, Fig. 3c) and Gap 15 (polyclonal, Fig. 3d) – gave similar labelling patterns (Table 3).

Immunolabelling with anti-α4(DP) for α4 connexin (Cx37) on the oocyte surface of a preantral follicle is shown (Fig. 3e). Expression of α4 on the oocyte was restricted to preantral stages. α4 connexins were detected with anti-α4(DP) between granulosa cells in antral follicles (Fig. 3e, inset). In secondary, preantral and early antral follicles, there was weak α4 connexin immunolabelling between granulosa cells, which was generally not evenly distributed but was restricted to patches of the granulosa (Table 3). However, Gap 23 detected α4 connexin-containing gap junctions widely distributed between granulosa cells in large antral follicles (Fig. 3f), with a gradient of gap junction density from close to the antrum (stronger) to the mural granulosa cells (weaker) (Table 3).
**Table 3.** Immunolabelling by antibodies directed against connexins of gap junctions in healthy and atretic ovarian follicles of adult mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connexin</th>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Preantral</th>
<th>Antral</th>
<th>Preovulatory</th>
<th>Atretic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α5 (45)</td>
<td>R45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1 (43)</td>
<td>Gap 15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1 (43)</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α5 (40)</td>
<td>Anti-α5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α4 (37)</td>
<td>Gap 23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α4 (37)</td>
<td>Anti-α2(DP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1 (32)</td>
<td>Des 5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1 (32)</td>
<td>Des 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β1 (32)</td>
<td>2A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β4 (31.1)</td>
<td>Anti-β4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β6 (30)</td>
<td>Anti-β6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2 (26)</td>
<td>Des 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β2 (26)</td>
<td>Gap 28H</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β subfamily</td>
<td>Gap 10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follicle compartments are classified into theca (T), granulosa (G) and oocyte (O). Immunolabelling was scored as follows: –, no immunolabelling apparent (‘none’); +/-, possible immunolabelling (‘very weak’); +, a low density of immunolabelling with lines of plaques clearly visible (‘strong’).

α5 connexins (Cx45, detected with R45) were restricted to gap junctions between granulosa cells of large antral follicles. α6 connexin-containing gap junctions were less frequent, and possessed smaller plaques than did α1-containing gap junctions (Fig. 4a).

The distribution of gap junctions containing members of the β connexin subfamily in the thecal layer and on the oocyte surface (Fig. 4b) was detected with Gap 10 antibody, which was raised against the amino terminus sequence of β1 (Cx32) and, therefore, recognizes several members of the β subfamily. Both preantral and antral follicles have immunolabeling between thecal cells, with stronger labelling in the larger follicles (Fig. 4b). Gap 10 detected β subfamily connexins on the oocyte surface, which appeared to be both inside and outside the zona pellucida (Fig. 4b, inset). Specific labelling for β1 connexins with Des 1 is shown (Fig. 4c) and confirms the presence of β1-containing gap junctions between thecal cells and on the oocyte surface. Specific labelling of β2 connexin (Cx26) with Gap 28H, which matches the distribution of β1 connexin, was demonstrated between thecal cells (Fig. 4d) and on the oocyte (Table 3). It is concluded that gap junctions expressed between thecal cells and on the oocyte surface membrane contain both β1 and β2 connexins.

The connexin expression pattern was modified in atretic follicles, which were identified by the presence of pyknotic nuclei in the granulosa cells and degenerated oocytes. Granulosa cells in an atretic follicle expressed fewer α1 connexin (Cx43)-containing gap junctions than did granulosa cells in an adjacent healthy follicle (Fig. 4e), indicating that α1 connexin expression is suppressed. In healthy follicles, members of the β connexin subfamily are not detected between granulosa cells. However, in atretic follicles, granulosa cells express gap junctions containing β4 connexin (Cx31.1) (Fig. 4f).

Ovarian blood vessel endothelial cells expressed connexins α1 (Cx43), α4 (Cx37), α5 (Cx40) and α6 (Cx45) via free access.
α5 connexins were expressed exclusively in blood vessels and were not detected in ovarian tissue or follicles. β6 connexin (Cx30) was not detectable in ovarian tissue. Connexin β6 has been found to have sequence homology with β2 (Cx26); therefore, it is possible that antibodies raised to β2 recognize β6-containing gap junctions. However, when non-communicating cells were transfected with β2 and β6 connexins, no crossreactivity was observed (D. L. Becker, unpublished), confirming the specificity of β2 antibodies.

Discussion

The present study examined the distribution and composition of gap junctions in developing ovarian follicles of the mouse, by following protein expression for members of the α and β connexin subfamilies with antibodies specific to connexins α1 (Cx43), α4 (Cx37), α5 (Cx40), α6 (Cx45), β1 (Cx32), β2 (Cx26), β4 (Cx31.1) and β6 (Cx30). Connexin labelling was not detected in primordial follicles and only seen occasionally in primary follicles. Secondary follicles, whether in prepubertal or adult mice, always expressed gap junctions. Thus, connexin expression only became substantial after initiation of granulosa cell division. Gap junctions between granulosa cells were composed of α connexins (α1, α4 and α6), whereas those between thecal cells comprised β connexins (β1 and β2). This rule was transgressed only in atretic follicles, where α1 connexin expression between granulosa cells decreased, whereas β4 connexin could be detected. Gap junctions between the

(Fig. 4). Distribution of connexin types within gap junctions of adult mouse ovarian follicles. (A) Immunolabelling of α6 connexin (Cx45)-containing gap junctions with R45 (arrowhead) between granulosa cells at the edge of an antral follicle (a), but not a preantral follicle (pa). (B) Immunolabelling between thecal cells (t, arrow) and on the oocyte surface (arrowhead) by Gap 10, an antibody that recognizes β subfamily members, and (inset) between the cumulus granulosa and the oocyte (o, arrowhead). (C) Immunolabelling of β1 connexin (Cx32)-containing gap junctions with Des 1 in the theca layers (t, arrowheads) of a small antral follicle. (D) Immunolabelling of β2 connexin (Cx26)-containing gap junctions between thecal cells (t) with Gap 28H. (E) Strong immunolabelling of α1 connexin (Cx43) with gap 15 in a healthy follicle (h) and reduced immunolabelling in an atretic follicle (at) with brightly staining apoptotic nuclei (arrowheads). (F) Immunolabelling of β4 connexin (Cx31.1)-containing gap junctions between granulosa cells of an atretic follicle (at) with no labelling in a healthy follicle (h). Scale bars represent 50 μm.

(Fig. 5). Connexin expression in gap junctions within mouse ovarian follicle blood vessels. (A) Immunolabelling of α1 connexin (Cx43, arrowhead). (B) Immunolabelling of α4 connexin (Cx37, arrowhead). (C) Immunolabelling of α5 connexin (Cx40, arrowhead). (D) Immunolabelling of α6 connexin (Cx45, arrowheads). Scale bars represent 50 μm.
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oocyte and granulosa cells were constructed from \( \beta \) subfamily connexins; in preantral follicles \( \alpha_4 \) connexin was also detected.

In prepubertal 12-day-old mice, connexin expression was restricted to the central region of the ovary, which contains secondary follicles. These follicles may be hormone responsive, reflecting the influence of hormones on gap junction expression. The expression pattern may also reflect developmental regulation, since growing follicles express gap junctions first. Toshimori and Oura (1982), using freeze-fracture techniques which can detect very small gap junctions, detected gap junctions in 7–10-day-old mice. Low \( \alpha_1 \) connexin (Cx43) expression was also detected in 11-day-old rats (Granot and Dekel, 1997).

In the healthy follicles of adult mice, \( \alpha_1 \) (Cx43) was the most abundant connexin. Moderate granulosa \( \alpha_1 \) expression began at preantral stages, along with weak \( \beta_1 \) (Cx32) and \( \beta_2 \) (Cx26) expression in the theca and \( \beta_1 \), \( \beta_2 \) and \( \alpha_4 \) (Cx37) expression on the oocyte surface. At antral stages, this pattern was maintained with stronger expression of \( \alpha_1 \), \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) and additional expression of \( \alpha_4 \) in the granulosa. In preovulatory follicles, \( \alpha_4 \) (Cx45) expression was also apparent in the granulosa. Other studies in the mouse have detected \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \beta_1 \) connexin mRNA and protein (localized to the cumulus and oocyte surface) in oocyte-cumulus complexes (Valdimarsson et al., 1993) and \( \alpha_4 \) connexin protein in the granulosa layer of whole follicles (Koike et al., 1993).

Simon et al. (1997) reported \( \alpha_4 \) connexin (Cx37) expression in oocyte–granulosa gap junctions. In their study, \( \alpha_4 \)-knockout mice were infertile, and had lost granulosa–oocyte dye transfer, indicating a loss of gap junction communication. Follicular development in these mice was arrested, with concurrent ‘premature’ corpus luteum formation, symptoms reminiscent of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in women. In PCOS, LH concentrations are increased (Gilling-Smith and Franks, 1993), which could cause gap junction breakdown and premature follicular maturation. In the present study, \( \alpha_4 \) connexin (detected with both Gap 23 and anti-\( \alpha_4 \)(DP)) was found mostly between granulosa cells of antral follicles (in a patchy localization in early antral follicles and more widely distributed in large antral–preovulatory follicles). However, a pattern of oocyte immunolabelling (with anti-\( \alpha_4 \)(DP)) in preantral follicles similar to that described by Simon et al. (1997) was observed in the present study. The differences in \( \alpha_4 \) immunolabelling may be due to the different strains of mice being used in the two studies (the genetic background of \( \alpha_4 \)-knockouts is C57 or 129 (D. Paul, personal communication)), or to a greater specificity of \( \alpha_4 \) antibody (Gap 23) used in the present study. The phenotype seen in \( \alpha_4 \)-knockout mice could also have been produced by loss of communication between granulosa cells.

Connexin expression increased with follicular size. As the number of granulosa cell layers between the oocyte and theca increase during follicle growth, the distance between the oocyte and the blood supply increases, necessitating highly efficient intercellular communication mechanisms to maintain oocyte health. Gap junctions are known to contribute to the control of cell division (for review, see Bruzzone et al., 1996); indeed, reducing \( \alpha_1 \) connexin (Cx43) expression in the rat ovary (Schreiber et al., 1993; Wiesen and Midgley, 1993; Risek et al., 1995) and HeLa cells (Yu et al., 1994), and hormone-responsive connexin gene promoters can regulate connexin expression (De Leon et al., 1994; Yu et al., 1994). In the uterus, oestrogen and progesterone are involved in the control of gap junction activation during labour (Tabb et al., 1992; Lefebvre et al., 1995; Risek et al., 1995).

In atretic follicles, connexin expression is reduced. In rats and sheep, \( \alpha_1 \) connexin (Cx43) expression was reduced after an atresia-inducing stimulus (Wiesen and Midgley, 1994; Huet et al., 1998). The cellular mechanism of follicular atresia is apoptosis (Tilly, 1996). Gap junctions may transmit survival signals between cells and, thus, reduced intercellular communication may be part of an apoptotic cascade, with cessation of survival factor signalling resulting in global cell death in the follicle. Reduced cell contact is a feature of apoptosis (Wyllie, 1997), so reduced connexin expression may simply reflect cell death. An alternative hypothesis may be that gap junctional communication within the dying follicle may be involved in the co-ordination of atresia, which is an ‘all or none’ event. Expression of \( \beta_4 \) connexin (Cx31.1) has been shown, in the present study, to be restricted to atretic follicles. The gap junctions may transmit apoptosis-regulating signals, co-ordinating apoptosis in all cells of an atretic follicle. Indeed, gap junctions have been shown to pass apoptotic signals between neurones and astrocytes, co-ordinating cell death (Lin et al., 1998).

Compartmentalization of connexin expression appears to occur in the mouse follicle, such that gap junction communication occurs between oocyte and granulosa cells, between granulosa cells and between theca cells but not between granulosa and theca cells. The granulosa and theca are physically separated by a basal lamina, through which growth factors can pass, but gap junctional communication cannot take place (Rogers et al., 1995). There may be several reasons for this compartmentalization. The permeability and conductance of gap junctions may be influenced by cellular microenvironment, which may
differ in cellular compartments (Bennett et al., 1988). Similar kinases may have different actions on gap junctions formed by different connexin types (Bennett et al., 1991), which may also allow discrimination of second messengers (Kumar and Gilula, 1996). Compartmentalization of gap junctions may be important in the co-ordination of hormone production in cellular compartments, for example, oestrogen from the granulosa and androgens from the theca (Grazulis Bilska et al., 1997).

Differential expression of connexins in follicular compartments may be a mechanism by which global events have cell-specific effects. Oocyte maturation is an example of this, since at the LH surge, the whole ovary is exposed to the marked increase in LH. However, only preovulatory follicles respond to this event by ovulation, and smaller follicles do not, as only preovulatory follicles have sufficient LH receptors to transmit the signal (Hilliard, 1994). Although LH-induced cAMP signalling is thought to interrupt granulosa–oocyte gap junction communication (Dekel, 1988; Sherizly et al., 1988; Granot and Dekel, 1994), gap junctions containing β subfamily connexins in the theca may continue to communicate, preserving follicular function. The ovulatory follicle must remain functional through ovulation, as it develops into a corpus luteum to support the potential pregnancy.

In conclusion, this study, carried out with highly specific connexin antibodies, confirms and greatly extends previous observations on the location and changing distribution of gap junctions in mouse ovarian follicles. Thecal and oocyte–granulosa connexins are members of the β connexin subfamily, and granulosa connexins are members of the α subfamily. Atretic follicles exhibit generally reduced gap junction expression, but specific upregulation of β1 connexin (Cx31.1). The localization of connexins α6 (Cx45), β1 (Cx32), β3 (Cx26) and β6 in the intact mouse follicle is novel. The distribution and structure of follicular gap junctions reveals potential pathways for cell–cell communication between cell populations in the developing follicle. However, dye transfer experiments will be necessary to discover whether pathways revealed by connexin labelling are mirrored by functional cell–cell communication via gap junctions.
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