Carbohydrate mediation of boar sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cells in vitro
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Introduction

After deposition of semen in the female reproductive tract during mating, spermatozoa are transported to the oviduct in mammals (Hunter, 1984; Smith and Yanagimachi, 1991). Spermatozoa are sequestered at the isthmus by attaching and interacting with oviductal epithelial cells, hence forming a sperm reservoir. In several mammalian species, specific carbohydrates mediate sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. A quantitative in vitro free cell bioassay was developed to investigate the involvement of carbohydrate recognition in pig sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions. This assay was validated. The sensitivity of the assay was such that it was possible to discriminate between different sperm concentrations and sperm–oviductal epithelial cell co-incubation periods, spermatozoa with damaged plasma membranes and epithelial cells of non-reproductive origin. Optimal conditions were used to incubate spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells in the presence of six hexose sugars at concentrations of 0, 2, 10 and 50 mmol l⁻¹. A significant (P < 0.05) reduction in the binding of spermatozoa to the oviductal epithelium was detected with 2, 10 and 50 mmol maltose l⁻¹, 50 mmol lactose l⁻¹ and 50 mmol mannose l⁻¹. These findings support the hypothesis that attachment of pig spermatozoa to oviductal epithelium before fertilization is mediated by carbohydrate recognition.
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study, the development and validation of a novel free cell bioassay for studying sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions in vitro was described.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of spermatozoa

Boar semen obtained from the Pig Improvement Company (PIC) UK was collected by manual methods, and then diluted and stored for 24 h in Beltsville thawing solution (BTS; 205 mmol glucose l–1, 20 mmol sodium citrate dihydrate l–1, 15 mmol NaHCO₃ l–1, 4 mmol EDTA l–1, 10 mmol KCl l–1, pH 7.2). On the day of the experiments, diluted boar semen (30–40 ml) was washed by layering the semen over a two-step iso-osmotic Percoll (Sigma, Poole) gradient (Harrison et al., 1993). The iso-osmotic Percoll gradient consisted of 2 ml 70% (v/v) Percoll overlaid with 2 ml 35% (v/v) Percoll. The gradient was overlaid with 10 ml diluted semen and centrifuged at 200 g for 5 min, followed by 15 min at 900 g. The supernatant was removed carefully and the sperm pellet was recovered from the bottom of the 70% (v/v) Percoll gradient. The concentration of semen was measured in duplicate using a haemocytometer. Unless otherwise stated, the concentration of washed semen sample was adjusted to 150 × 10⁶ spermatozoa ml–1 with TCM-199 (Life Technologies, Paisley) supplemented with 100 iu penicillin ml–1, 100 µg streptomycin B ml–1 and 0.25 g streptomycin ml–1 (Life Technologies) (M199).

Preparation of oviductal epithelial cells

Oviductal epithelial cells were prepared as described by Fazeli et al. (1999). Briefly, oviducts from prepubertal gilts of approximately 120 days of age, with ovaries that did not show signs of cyclicity, were obtained from a local abattoir. The oviducts were washed in PBS and separated from the ovaries and then transferred to a washing medium containing Hank’s balanced salt solution (Life Technologies) supplemented with 100 iu penicillin ml–1, 100 µg streptomycin ml–1 and 0.25 µg amphotericin B ml–1 for rinsing. Each oviduct was divided into three sections. One section, between the fimbria and the middle of the oviductal tube, containing the thicker part of the oviduct, was designated as the ampulla. A section containing 1–2 cm of the caudal part of the uterine horn, uterotubal junction and almost up to the middle of the oviduct containing the thinner part of the oviduct was designated as the isthmus. Finally, a section at the junction of the thin and thick part of the oviduct, approximately 1–2 cm long, was cut and discarded to ensure differentiation of isthmic and ampullar sections of the oviduct. Only the isthmic section of the oviduct was opened longitudinally. The epithelial cells were scraped using the blunt side of a scalpel blade. The media containing scraped tissues from the isthmus were collected and after initial sedimentation were centrifuged for 3 min at 100 g. The supernatant was discarded and 5 ml M199 was added to the pellet. The cells were mixed gently and were disaggregated by being passed once through a 21-gauge needle. The concentration of isthmic epithelial cells was measured using a haemocytometer. Cellular integrity was evaluated by mixing a sample of the cells with an equal volume of 4% (w/v) trypan blue (Sigma) dissolved in PBS. A tissue culture flask (75 cm²; Nalge Nunc International, Naperville, IL) was seeded with isthmic epithelial cells (1 × 10⁶ viable cells ml–1). The flask was incubated at 39°C in an atmosphere of 100% humidity and 5% CO₂ in air. The culture medium was refreshed every 48–72 h.

Isthmic epithelial cells reached confluence between day 7 and day 14. At confluence, cells were rinsed three times with PBS in the absence of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Life Technologies), and were then detached by incubation with 3 ml trypsin–EDTA solution (Life Technologies) containing 0.5 mg trypsin ml–1 and 0.2 mg EDTA ml–1 for 15 min at 39°C. The concentration and viability of detached cells were measured before the cells were resuspended in fresh M199 supplemented with 10% (v/v) glycerol (BDH, Poole). Aliquots of epithelial cell samples containing 1 × 10⁶ viable cells ml–1 were divided into 1 ml samples in cryogenic vials and stored at −70°C overnight before transfer to liquid nitrogen.

A frozen cryogenic vial of isthmic epithelial cells was thawed at 37°C in a waterbath. M199 (9 ml) was added to frozen–thawed cells, and the cell suspension was mixed gently. Cells were centrifuged at 200 g for 2 min. The supernatant was discarded and a further 9 ml of fresh M199 was added. The concentration of isthmic epithelial cells was adjusted to 5 × 10⁴ viable cells ml–1. Oviductal epithelial cells were seeded in 75 cm² flasks and cultured to confluency. The culture medium was refreshed every 48–72 h.

On the day of the experiments, cells were separated using trypsin as described above. Trypsinized cells were washed once with 10–15 ml M199 by centrifugation at 200 g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the cells were resuspended in 1 ml M199. The concentration of oviductal epithelial cells was measured and cell viability was assessed by Trypan blue exclusion as described previously. Unless otherwise stated, the concentration of the isthmic oviductal epithelial cell sample was adjusted to 2 × 10⁶ oviductal epithelial cells ml–1. An aliquot of the detached isthmic cell suspension was sub-cultured for future experiments. Sub-cultures of isthmic cells were maintained as described above, for up to 1 week, before the cells were detached for experimental use. Successive sub-culturing was carried out after each experiment or at 1 week intervals.

Co-incubation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells

Two hundred microlitres of the prepared semen sample was added to an equal volume of detached oviductal epithelial cells in an Eppendorf tube (Life Sciences). The sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspension was mixed.
Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were washed to remove unbound or loosely attached spermatozoa. The sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspension (400 μl) was layered over an isosmotic two-step Percoll gradient. The gradient consisted of 1 ml 70% (v/v) Percoll overlaid with 1 ml 35% (v/v) Percoll. Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were centrifuged at 200 × g for 2.5 min. After centrifugation, three layers of cells were apparent. Non-motile, unbound spermatozoa and unattached oviductal epithelial cells were located primarily at the interface between the media and the 35% (v/v) Percoll layer (layer 1). Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were located predominantly at the interface between the 35% and 70% (v/v) Percoll layers (layer 2). Unattached spermatozoa in layer 3 were discarded. Cells in layers 1 and 2, containing immotile and unbound spermatozoa and unbound oviductal epithelial cells, and sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes, respectively, were removed carefully using a pipette. The cell suspensions were combined and diluted to a final volume of 15 ml with PBS. The diluted cell suspension was washed by centrifugation at 200 × g for 5 min to remove most of the remaining unattached spermatozoa. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet containing sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes was recovered. A further 500 μl of fresh PBS was added and sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were fixed with 1% (w/v) formaldehyde (final concentration in PBS). Ten microlitres of the fixed cell suspension was placed on a microscope slide and a coverslip was added. The number of spermatozoa bound to 100 oviductal epithelial cells was counted as described previously.

Scanning electron microscopy

Oviductal epithelial cells in suspension and sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes in suspension were used in scanning electron microscopy studies. One volume of the cell suspension was added to ten volumes of 2.5% (w/v) gluteraldehyde (TAAB Laboratories Limited, Aldermaston) in Sorenson’s phosphate buffer. The fixative was maintained at the same temperature as the samples (23°C) before fixing to prevent any possible temperature shock or damage to the samples. Approximately 250 μl of the cell suspension was placed on poly-l-lysine-coated (Sigma) coverslips for 10 min. The coverslip was rinsed using Sorenson’s phosphate buffer. All samples were post-fixed for 1 h in 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide (TAAB) in Sorenson’s phosphate buffer, and then rinsed several times in buffer. The samples were dehydrated through an ascending series of ethanol (30, 50, 70 and 90%) and with several changes in absolute ethanol. The samples were dried in a Samdri 780 critical point drier (Tousimis Research Corporation, MD). The coverslips were attached to aluminium stubs using sticky tabs (AGAR) and were coated with gold for 90 s using an SC500 coating unit (Emscope, Ashford). Finally, samples were examined and photographed using an S-450 scanning electron microscope (Hitachi Scientific Instruments, Reading).

Validation for the bioassay

Effect of sperm concentration on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. The effect of sperm concentration on the binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells was examined. Washed semen samples (n = 4) were serially diluted with M199. Two hundred microlitres of diluted semen sample was added to an equal volume of oviductal epithelial cells. Final sperm concentrations of 1, 10, 50 and 100 × 10⁶ spermatozoa ml⁻¹ with 1 × 10⁶ oviductal epithelial cells ml⁻¹ were tested. Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspensions were incubated for 15 min on rotation at 39°C. Thereafter, sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were washed, fixed and the number of spermatozoa bound to 100 oviductal epithelial cells was counted as described previously.

Effect of duration of co-culture incubation on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. Equal volumes of washed semen sample (200 μl) and oviductal epithelial cells were combined and incubated on rotation at 39°C for 5, 10, 15, 30 or 60 min (n = 3). Final concentrations of spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells were 50 × 10⁶ spermatozoa ml⁻¹ and 1 × 10⁶ oviductal epithelial cells ml⁻¹, respectively. After co-incubation, sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were washed, fixed, and the number of sperm bound to 100 oviductal epithelial cells was counted as described previously.

Effect of sperm membrane integrity (viability) on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. Different proportions of spermatozoa with damaged membranes (dead) were co-incubated with oviductal epithelial cells to investigate the effect of sperm membrane integrity on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding (n = 4). An aliquot of the washed semen sample (150 × 10⁶ spermatozoa ml⁻¹) was subjected to repeated cycles of freezing and thawing to damage sperm membranes. One millilitre of washed semen sample in a 15 ml poly-propylene tube (Nalge Nunc) was immersed in liquid nitrogen for approximately 1 min and was then thawed rapidly in a 39°C waterbath. This procedure was repeated five times. A dual fluorescent staining procedure, using carboxyfluorescin diacetate–acetyomethoxyester (CFDA–AM) and ethidium homodimer-1 (ETHD-1), was used to measure the integrity of the sperm membrane of frozen–thawed and washed semen samples by flow cytometry. Sub-samples of washed and frozen–thawed semen were diluted to 2 × 10⁶ spermatozoa ml⁻¹ with M199. CFDA-AM (dissolved in DMSO) and ETHD-1 (dissolved in deionized water) were added at 50.0 ng ml⁻¹ and 3.4 μg ml⁻¹, respectively. Semen samples were incubated for 10 min at ambient temperatures and the percentages of live and dead spermatozoa were assessed using flow
Various proportions of frozen–thawed semen samples containing approximately 94.5 ± 1.6% ETHD-1 positive (dead) spermatozoa were combined with washed semen samples (16 ± 0.75% ETHD-1 positive). Combined semen samples consisted of 0, 25, 50, 75 or 100% frozen–thawed semen, with a total cell concentration of 150 × 10^6 spermatozoa ml⁻¹. Two hundred microlitres of combined semen samples was co-incubated with equal volumes of oviductal epithelial cells for 30 min on rotation at 39°C. Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspensions were washed, fixed and the number of spermatozoa bound to 100 oviductal epithelial cells was counted as described previously.

Sperm binding to a kidney epithelial cell line (LLCPK-1). Washed semen samples were incubated with a kidney epithelial cell line (LLCPK-1; European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures, Wiltshire) or with oviductal epithelial cells to investigate the specificity of sperm–epithelial cell interactions (n = 4). Frozen cryogenic vials of LLCPK-1 were thawed, and the cells were washed and cultured to confluency, as described for oviductal epithelial cells.

On the day of the experiments, flasks of LLCPK-1 and oviductal epithelial cells were detached using trypsin. Cell samples were washed, the concentration and viability determined, and the concentration adjusted to 2 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹, as described previously. Two hundred microlitres of washed semen sample (150 × 10^6 spermatozoa ml⁻¹) was added to an equal volume of LLCPK-1 or oviductal epithelial cell sample and incubated on rotation for 30 min at 39°C. Sperm–epithelial cell suspensions were washed, and fixed, and the number of spermatozoa bound to 100 epithelial cells was counted.

Carbohydrate inhibition studies

Involvement of carbohydrate recognition in sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding was investigated by incubation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspensions in the presence of several monosaccharide and disaccharide hexose sugars. Carbohydrate monomers D-galactose, D-fucose, D-glucose and D-mannose, and dimers α-lactose and α-maltose (Sigma) were tested for their ability to inhibit binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells at various concentrations. Experiments were replicated using semen samples from four different boars (except mannose, n = 3).

Spermatozoa (300 × 10^6 ml⁻¹) and oviductal epithelial cells (4 × 10^6 ml⁻¹) were pre-incubated separately in the presence of 50, 10, 2 and 0 (control) mmol l⁻¹ of different carbohydrates for 15 min on rotation at 39°C. Subsequently, 200 µl of semen sample and an equal sample of oviductal epithelial cells, with the same sugar content and concentration, were mixed and incubated together for 30 min. Sperm motility was examined at the end of the co-incubation period to ensure that carbohydrate treatments did not adversely affect sperm function. Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes were washed, fixed and the number of spermatozoa bound to 100 oviductal epithelial cell was counted.

Statistical analysis

The data for the preliminary experiments were expressed as the mean number of spermatozoa bound per 100 oviductal cells ± SEM. Data for carbohydrate inhibition experiments were expressed as a mean percentage of the control samples ± SEM (sperm binding index). The Shapiro–Wilk’s test was used to confirm that data were distributed normally. ANOVA was used to examine the effect of treatments within the experimental designs. Results for the validation experiments were examined for the effect of sperm concentration, co-incubation period, integrity of sperm plasma membrane and epithelial cell origin. The effect of the type and concentration of carbohydrate was also examined. The level of significance was P ≤ 0.05. Post hoc comparisons were made using least significant difference (LSD) calculations between sample treatments.

Results

Sperm–oviductal epithelial cell co-incubation

Epithelial cell monolayers cultured in M199 at 39°C in 5% CO₂ reached confluency between day 4 and day 7. Proliferating monolayers exhibited typical epithelial features with well packed polygonal cells that did not overlap. In contrast, detached oviductal epithelial cells were spherical and ranged in diameter from 7.5 to 17.5 µm. There was no relationship between the size of the oviductal epithelial cells and the number of spermatozoa bound to each oviductal epithelial cell. Sperm binding was not distributed evenly between individual oviductal epithelial cells, ranging from 0 to 44 spermatozoa per oviductal epithelial cell, and there were differences among boars in the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cell (data not shown). Continued sub-culturing of oviductal epithelial cells resulted in a tendency towards reduced binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells. Spermatozoa were bound tightly to oviductal epithelial cells; binding was not disrupted even after centrifugation through the Percoll gradient.

Scanning electron microscopy

Individual oviductal epithelial cells varied in shape and size. Approximately 95% of the cells were spherical and covered by microvilli (Fig. 1). However, nearly all cells had petal-like structures on part of the cell surface (Fig. 2). Approximately 2–5% of the oviductal epithelial cells had long villi and a distorted shape (Fig. 1). Scanning electron micrographs revealed that all spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells by the apical portion of the sperm head and all spermatozoa possessed intact acrosomes. Microwilli of oviductal epithelial cells were of various lengths, but this did not seem to affect the number of spermatozoa attached (Fig. 3a,b).
Effect of sperm concentration on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding

Co-incubation of oviductal epithelial cells with various concentrations of spermatozoa for 15 min at 39°C resulted in a highly significant effect on the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 4).

Effect of duration of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell co-culture incubation on sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding

The effect of the duration of incubation on the number of sperm bound to oviductal epithelial cells is shown (Fig. 5; P < 0.05). Incubation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspensions for 5 or 10 min at 39°C on rotation resulted in a limited number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (43 ± 29.5 and 71 ± 31.0, respectively). After 15 min of incubation, there was a significant increase in the number of bound spermatozoa (691 ± 317; P < 0.05), followed by a gradual increase thereafter.

Effect of sperm viability on spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells binding

Loss of integrity of the sperm plasma membrane caused by repeated cycles of freezing and thawing significantly reduced the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (P < 0.005) (Fig. 6).

Preferential binding of spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells or LLCPK-1

Epithelial cells of the pig kidney (LLCPK-1) (71 ± 12.1) showed significantly lower sperm binding ability than oviductal epithelial cells (574 ± 12.1) incubated under identical conditions (P < 0.01).

Carbohydrate inhibition studies

The number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells significantly decreased when sperm–oviductal epithelial cell suspensions were incubated in the presence of 2 (P < 0.05), 10 (P < 0.05) and 50 (P < 0.0001) mmol maltose l⁻¹, 50 mmol lactose l⁻¹ (P < 0.002) and 50 mmol mannose l⁻¹ (P = 0.05) (Fig. 7). Inhibition of the binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells was not detected in the presence of galactose, fucose or glucose (Fig. 7). There was a slight but significant (P < 0.05) increase in the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells in the presence of 2 mmol lactose l⁻¹. At the end of the co-incubation period, spermatozoa were highly motile even in the presence of the highest carbohydrate concentrations, indicating that the viability of spermatozoa had been retained.

Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrograph of oviductal epithelial cells. Most of the cells are spherical with microvilli on their surface. Some oviductal epithelial cells (2–5%) are irregularly shaped with cilia or long villi on their surfaces. Scale bar represents 2 μm.
cells in the form of monolayers (Dobrinski et al., 1996b; detection of sperm transport and oviductal interactions in mice, pigs do not have transparent oviducts that allow epithelial cells in pigs. Unlike species such as hamsters and option for analysis of the binding of sperm–oviductal animals as an experimental model does not provide a viable in vitro, this method poses problems of practicality and (Gualtieri and Talevi, 2000), it necessitates monitoring of accuracy. For continuous experiments, the use of explants is time consuming and expensive, as the explants must be obtained regularly from abattoir material. Binding of spermatozoa to oviductal explants is often difficult to assess by microscopy and only permits assessment of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells attached to cells located at the edge of the explant.

Similarly, detection of sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cell monolayers is often difficult. Sperm binding is not homogeneous across the monolayers, resulting in irregular attachments to oviductal epithelial cells. Although this irregular attachment is a characteristic of oviductal epithelial cells both in vivo (Hunter, 1981; Hunter and Nichol, 1983; Smith and Yanagimachi, 1990) and in vitro (Gualtieri and Talevi, 2000), it necessitates monitoring of large numbers of spermatozoa over binding and non-binding areas. However, monolayers as an experimental model are advantageous in that they provide a ready source of oviductal material that is produced by in vitro culture. In addition, as an adherent sheet of cells, binding of spermatozoa to oviductal monolayers can be assessed by direct (Raychoudhury and Suarez, 1991; Gualtieri and Talevi, 2000) or indirect counting methods (by subtracting the number of spermatozoa recovered after co-culture) (Thomas et al., 1994; Chian and Sirard, 1995).

The use of oviductal epithelial cells in a free cell suspension has many advantages as an experimental system. Essentially, this system maintains the advantages of using oviductal epithelial cell monolayers, whereby an immediate source of cellular material is available through cell culture. Oviductal epithelial cells detached from the monolayer are spherical and, therefore, provide greater surface area for sperm attachment. The incubation of spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells on rotation also increases the likelihood of the cells coming into contact with each other, and ensures that the detached oviductal epithelial cells do not adhere to the tubes, which would prevent full recovery of cells. Indeed, the use of a free cell suspension permits simultaneous evaluation of oviductal epithelial cells that have not bound spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells bound to spermatozoa, by assessment of a random sub-sample of the total population.

Incubation of spermatozoa with oviductal epithelial cells resulted in a large variation in the number of spermatozoa bound per oviductal cell (0–44). A number of factors were shown to influence the formation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes. Both oviductal epithelial cells and variation in sperm sample affected the number of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell attachments. Variation within and between oviductal epithelial cell sub-culture samples was apparent. The effect of sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cells from successively sub-cultured monolayers was monitored throughout the experiment. A subset of results, in which spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells were incubated under identical control conditions, was used to show that repeated passages resulted in a tendency towards reduced sperm binding. This finding may be attributable to a decrease in the number of epithelial cells within the sample, which occurs in continually sub-cultured epithelial cells in vitro (Akhondi et al., 1997). In the present study, the oviductal epithelial cells were obtained by scraping the isthmic region of the oviduct. The isthmic region of the oviduct was defined as the caudal region of the uterine horn, uterotubal junction and the proximal part of the oviduct. The oviduct epithelium in these regions consists mainly of ciliated and secretory cells (Abe, 1996). The observed variation in the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells may have been caused by heterogeneity of the types of cell used in the present investigation. The cellular anatomy of the oviductal epithelial cells may also play a role in mediation of sperm binding. Scanning electron micrographs of detached

---

**Fig. 2.** Representative electron micrograph showing petal-like structures on most of the detached oviductal epithelial cells. Scale bar represents 1 μm.

**Discussion**

Current methods for investigating the mechanisms of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions rely on the use of in vivo models (Suarez, 1987), oviductal explants (Suarez et al., 1991; DeMott et al., 1995) or oviductal epithelial cells in the form of monolayers (Dobrinski et al., 1996b; Sidhu et al., 1998; Fazeli et al., 1999). The use of live animals as an experimental model does not provide a viable option for analysis of the binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells in pigs. Unlike species such as hamsters and mice, pigs do not have transparent oviducts that allow detection of sperm transport and oviductal interactions in vivo. Furthermore, the use of live animals for experimentation is costly and invites ethical considerations.

Although oviductal explants provide a system for monitoring sperm binding directly to fresh oviductal tissue in vitro, this method poses problems of practicality and accuracy. For continuous experiments, the use of explants is time consuming and expensive, as the explants must be obtained regularly from abattoir material. Binding of spermatozoa to oviductal explants is often difficult to assess by microscopy and only permits assessment of spermatozoa attached to cells located at the edge of the explant.

Similarly, detection of sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cell monolayers is often difficult. Sperm binding is not homogeneous across the monolayers, resulting in irregular attachments to oviductal epithelial cells. Although this irregular attachment is a characteristic of oviductal epithelial cells both in vivo (Hunter, 1981; Hunter and Nichol, 1983; Smith and Yanagimachi, 1990) and in vitro (Gualtieri and Talevi, 2000), it necessitates monitoring of large numbers of spermatozoa over binding and non-binding areas. However, monolayers as an experimental model are advantageous in that they provide a ready source of oviductal material that is produced by in vitro culture. In addition, as an adherent sheet of cells, binding of spermatozoa to oviductal monolayers can be assessed by direct (Raychoudhury and Suarez, 1991; Gualtieri and Talevi, 2000) or indirect counting methods (by subtracting the number of spermatozoa recovered after co-culture) (Thomas et al., 1994; Chian and Sirard, 1995).

The use of oviductal epithelial cells in a free cell suspension has many advantages as an experimental system. Essentially, this system maintains the advantages of using oviductal epithelial cell monolayers, whereby an immediate source of cellular material is available through cell culture. Oviductal epithelial cells detached from the monolayer are spherical and, therefore, provide greater surface area for sperm attachment. The incubation of spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells on rotation also increases the likelihood of the cells coming into contact with each other, and ensures that the detached oviductal epithelial cells do not adhere to the tubes, which would prevent full recovery of cells. Indeed, the use of a free cell suspension permits simultaneous evaluation of oviductal epithelial cells that have not bound spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells bound to spermatozoa, by assessment of a random sub-sample of the total population.

Incubation of spermatozoa with oviductal epithelial cells resulted in a large variation in the number of spermatozoa bound per oviductal cell (0–44). A number of factors were shown to influence the formation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell complexes. Both oviductal epithelial cells and variation in sperm sample affected the number of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell attachments. Variation within and between oviductal epithelial cell sub-culture samples was apparent. The effect of sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cells from successively sub-cultured monolayers was monitored throughout the experiment. A subset of results, in which spermatozoa and oviductal epithelial cells were incubated under identical control conditions, was used to show that repeated passages resulted in a tendency towards reduced sperm binding. This finding may be attributable to a decrease in the number of epithelial cells within the sample, which occurs in continually sub-cultured epithelial cells in vitro (Akhondi et al., 1997). In the present study, the oviductal epithelial cells were obtained by scraping the isthmic region of the oviduct. The isthmic region of the oviduct was defined as the caudal region of the uterine horn, uterotubal junction and the proximal part of the oviduct. The oviduct epithelium in these regions consists mainly of ciliated and secretory cells (Abe, 1996). The observed variation in the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells may have been caused by heterogeneity of the types of cell used in the present investigation. The cellular anatomy of the oviductal epithelial cells may also play a role in mediation of sperm binding. Scanning electron micrographs of detached...
ovudtinal epithelial cells showed a heterogeneity among cells within a sample, showing variation in the lengths of surface microvilli. Furthermore, the covering of microvilli on many individual cells was not uniform over the entire cell surface. Petal-like structures and often distended portions of the cells were apparent, indicating that detached oviductal epithelial cells maintain some form of polarity. It is possible that these folding structures may represent the point of attachment (basal membrane) to the flask during culture. As spermatozoa often covered a large proportion of the oviductal epithelial cell surface, it was difficult to assess whether differences in the structure of the oviductal epithelial cell surface affected their binding ability. In addition to variability among normal boar spermatozoa, visible pathologies, for example high incidence of sperm tails with hairpin loops, were shown to reduce the overall number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (data not shown). Furthermore, scanning electron micrographs demonstrated that a sub-population of sperm cells was preferentially binding to oviductal epithelial cells, that is, those that were acrosome intact. This finding supports the observation of Gualtieri and Talevi (2000) that only acrosome intact spermatozoa bind to oviductal epithelial cells in vitro. In many species, binding of sperm–oviductal
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(a,b) Scanning electron micrographs of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells. Scale bars represent 2 μm.
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Fig. 4. The effect of sperm concentration on binding of boar spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells (OEC) (n = 3). abcDifferent letters denote significant differences between sperm concentrations (P < 0.0001).
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Fig. 5. The effect of duration of incubation on the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (OEC) (n = 4). abDifferent letters denote significant differences between time intervals (P < 0.05).
epithelial cells has revealed selectivity for functional and intact spermatozoa within a sample. Indeed, the specificity of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions is such that even spermatozoa that have undergone capacitation (Lefebvre and Suarez, 1996; Fazeliet al., 1999) or show even spermatozoa that have undergone capacitation of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions is such that

Fig. 6. The effect of rapid semen freezing and thawing (sperm membrane damage) on the number of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (OEC) (n = 4). abc Different letters denote significant differences between treatments (P < 0.005).

The mediation of carbohydrate recognition during sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding appears to be species-specific. In all species studied to date, different carbohydrates have provided the most effective inhibition of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. In cattle, fucose and the glycoprotein fucoidin are potent inhibitors of sperm binding to oviductal explants (Lefebvre et al., 1997). In horses (Dobrinski et al., 1996a) and hamsters (DeMott et al., 1995), galactose and sialic acid, respectively, inhibit sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cell monolayers. In the present study, the disaccharides lactose and maltose, and the monosaccharide mannose were effective inhibitors of boar sperm binding to oviductal epithelial cells. It would therefore appear that different carbohydrate mediators of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding have evolved in different species. This is not surprising considering that the specificity of some protein binding domains (selectins) for a given carbohydrate can be altered by the change of a single amino acid (Kogan et al., 1995; Revelle et al., 1996).

Whether sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions are mediated by carbohydrate-binding proteins on the membrane of spermatozoa or the oviductal plasma membrane has yet to be confirmed. However, evidence from other studies indicates the involvement of glycoproteins on the oviductal epithelial cell surface in conjunction with sperm plasma membrane carbohydrate recognition domains. Adherence of Lewis-a trisaccharide to acrosome intact bull spermatozoa (Suarez et al., 1998) and fetuin to hamster spermatozoa (DeMott et al., 1995) interfered with sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding. In support of this finding, enzymatic removal of fucose residues from bovine oviductal epithelia resulted in a marked reduction in sperm binding (Lefebvre et al., 1997). However, interpretation of such results should be approached cautiously, as commercially available glycosidases contain traces of proteases and other
glycosidases. Removal of a specific type of sugar from a complex cell-surface glycocalyx is not possible (Varki, 1992).

Of the six carbohydrates tested for inhibition of pig sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding, incubation with two disaccharides, lactose and maltose, and one monosaccharide (mannose) resulted in a reduced number of interactions. The effective inhibition of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding by larger carbohydrate molecules has been demonstrated in other species. In cattle (Lefebvre et al., 1997; Suarez et al., 1998), hamsters (DeMott et al., 1995) and horses (Dobrinski et al., 1996a), glycoproteins inhibit binding more effectively than their monosaccharide subunits. Ahuja (1985) suggested that these differences may be due to a decreased binding affinity of monosaccharides in comparison to oligosaccharides. The interaction between single sugar residues and protein carbohydrate binding domains is weak, occurring through a limited number of contacts between the ligand and receptor molecules (Weis et al., 1992b). Multiple interactions between individual monosaccharides within an oligosaccharide give rise to a spectrum of possible interactions (Varki, 1992; Weis et al., 1992b). Evidence from c-type lectin (calcium dependent-carbohydrate mediated) interactions indicate that high binding affinity of oligosaccharides may be due to a second saccharide binding site in the carbohydrate recognition domain. Alternatively, clustering of carbohydrate recognition domains at one site may permit multiple attachments to a single saccharide residue (Weis et al., 1992b). One of the most studied groups of c-type lectin cell adhesion molecules is the selectins. Selectins, known to have a low

Fig. 7. Percentage index of spermatozoa bound to oviductal epithelial cells (OEC) in the presence of (a) fucose, (b) galactose, (c) glucose, (d) lactose, (e) maltose and (f) mannose at concentrations of 2, 10 and 50 mmol l⁻¹ of each sugar compared with media only (0 mmol l⁻¹) samples. Experiments were performed using semen samples from four different boars (except for mannose; n = 3). Within each carbohydrate, asterisks represent significantly different values compared with controls (P ≤ 0.05).
been implicated in sperm–oocyte binding. Alternatively, these findings may be explained by interactions of mannose with another group of specific c-type lectins: the mannoselbinding proteins. Mannose-binding proteins, generally associated with the immune system in binding mannose residues of bacteria and fungi (Weis et al., 1992a), have similar fundamental features of selectins. If mannoselbinding proteins are present on boar sperm plasma membranes, they could account for the specificity of mannose in inhibiting sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding in pigs. However, mannose-binding proteins are not entirely specific for mannose ligands, and also recognize D-glucose and L-fucose (Weis et al., 1992a) with the same hydroxyl group positioning as mannose. As D-glucose and D-fucose monomers were unable to inhibit binding of sperm–oviductal epithelial cells in the same manner as mannose, it is likely that mediation of binding by mannose is through an as yet unknown specific receptor. A further characteristic of carbohydrate interactions with c-type lectins and selectin molecules may also explain why several different carbohydrates were able to inhibit binding of pig spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells. Apparently unrelated carbohydrates are able to inhibit the same lectin-like interactions because of some aspects of their structure in free solution (Varki, 1992). Very few amino acids within the c-type carbohydrate recognition domains are actually involved in carbohydrate binding. As such, selectins are able to adhere to diverse monosaccharides by binding to homologous sites conserved within the carbohydrate molecules. The overall degree of sequence relatedness of c-type carbohydrate recognition domains is therefore not reflective of the saccharide binding characteristic (Weis et al., 1992b).

In conclusion, results from the present study have shown that a free cell co-culture technique can be used to investigate the mechanisms of sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding in vitro in pigs. At present, this bioassay is new and its use is limited to small experimental designs due to the time taken for individual counts by light microscopy. An automated method for detecting sperm binding would add value to this technique. Use of this free cell co-incubation technique in the present study indicates that binding of pig spermatozoa to oviductal epithelial cells can be inhibited by a number of different carbohydrates. Considering the recent evidence, a possible model for mammalian sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions may involve selectin and c-type lectin interactions. Findings from the present study that demonstrate the preferential inhibition of binding by disaccharide sugars corroborate this contention. Characterization and isolation of the proteins involved in carbohydrate recognition are required to elucidate further the specificity and mechanisms of pig sperm–oviductal epithelial cell interactions, and their role in the formation of the isthmic sperm reservoir. Use of selectin-specific antibodies or recombinant P-selectin proteins are likely to be useful tools and techniques with which to test the specificity of receptors involved in sperm–oviductal epithelial cell binding.
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