Introduction

The reproductive tract is one of the few tissues in the adult that undergoes extensive morphological development and tissue restructuring on a cyclical basis. The events that lead to tissue restructuring are controlled by hormones. Cell adhesion molecules are surface glycoproteins that mediate cell–cell recognition and adhesion essential for normal morphology and maintenance of tissue integrity. Two of the major classes of adhesive receptors expressed by epithelial cells are integrins (Hynes, 1992), which mediate adhesion to extracellular matrix proteins, and cadherins, which mediate cell–cell adhesion (Takeichi, 1991). Cadherins are a superfamily of integral membrane glycoproteins that promote calcium-dependent cell adhesion. The first cadherins identified were named according to their tissue of origin: epithelial (E), neural (N) and placental (P) cadherins (for a review, see Takeichi, 1995). E-cadherin is a 120 kDa transmembrane protein and was the first cadherin identified to have a role in mediating selective adhesion between epithelial cells (Yoshida-Noro et al., 1984). E-cadherin is expressed transiently during vertebrate development (Takeichi, 1991) and in adult reproductive tissue (Byers et al., 1994).

Although little is known about the factors controlling the expression of E-cadherin in the embryo, there is evidence to support hormonal control of E-cadherin expression in reproductive tissues. Oestrogens promote growth of the reproductive tract and have been proposed as key regulators of E-cadherin in the adult female reproductive tract (Blaschuk and Farookhi, 1989). This proposal is based on the fact that oestradiol is a potent stimulator of E-cadherin expression in the mouse ovary (MacCalman et al., 1994a) and uterus (MacCalman et al., 1994b). Similarly, expression of E-cadherin in immature rat granulosa cells is stimulated by oestradiol in vitro (Blaschuk and Farookhi, 1989). In addition, progesterone induces expression of E-cadherin in mouse uterine epithelium (MacCalman et al., 1994b). Whether agents other than steroid hormones influence the expression of E-cadherin in the reproductive tract has not been investigated. Relaxin, a member of the insulin-like growth factor family, like oestradiol, promotes
growth and remodelling of reproductive tissues. In pigs, relaxin stimulates uterine growth in pregnant (Galvin et al., 1991; Min et al., 1997), prepubertal (Hall et al., 1990) and ovariectomized steroid-treated animals (Hall et al., 1992). Relaxin also enhances growth of the uterus in several other species including rats, mice and Rhesus monkeys (for a review, see Sherwood, 1994). Relaxin increases cervical wet mass and protein (Wang-Lee et al., 1998), and promotes softening and dilation of the cervix at parturition (Kertiles and Anderson, 1979; O'Day et al., 1989). Of particular interest is the observation that relaxin increases proliferation, as well as E-cadherin expression, in the MCF-7 mammary epithelial carcinoma cell line (Bani-Sacchi et al., 1994). Growth of reproductive tissue is the net result of mitogenic activity, as well as changes in cell–cell adhesion, which permit tissue expansion. Because of the growth-promoting and remodelling effects of relaxin in reproductive tissues, it was of interest to study the effect of relaxin on the expression of E-cadherin. Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize the expression of E-cadherin during relaxin-induced growth and remodelling of the uterus and cervix in pigs. In particular, this study was designed using a prepubertal pig model to determine the effect of relaxin on the expression of E-cadherin in the reproductive tract, independent of high circulating steroids.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Purified pig relaxin (carboxymethyl A fraction (CMA) fraction; 3000 iu mg⁻¹) was prepared in the Department of Biomedical Sciences (Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON) by extraction and purification from corpora lutea of pregnant sows, using the method of Sherwood and O’Byrne (1974). Purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis, which revealed a single band of approximately 6.2 kDa. Enhanced chemiluminescence kit (ECL) and X-ray film for protein (Hyperfilm-ECL) and mRNA (Hyperfilm-multipurpose (MP)) detection were obtained from Amersham (Arlington Heights, IL). Monoclonal mouse anti-human E-cadherin antibody, specific for the cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin, was obtained from Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY) and rat anti-mouse uromorulin (clone DECMA-1), which crossreacts with the extracellular domain of E-cadherin (Vestweber and Kemler, 1985), was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO). Goat anti-mouse IgG–horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Transduction Laboratories) and a goat anti-mouse IgG–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugate (Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA) were used for the detection of antigen–antibody complexes in protein extracts and tissue sections, respectively. Tissue-Tek optimal cutting tissue (OCT) compound was purchased from Miles Laboratories (Elkhardt, IN). All other chemicals and molecular supplies were purchased from Sigma and Gibco-BRL Life Technologies (Gaithersburg, MD), respectively, unless otherwise stated.

Animals and tissue collection

Prepubertal (approximately 115 days old, n = 9) Yorkshire–Landrace crossbred gilts housed at the Swine Unit of the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station (Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ) were injected i.m. with either purified pig relaxin (0.5 mg (0.5 ml)⁻¹, n = 4) or saline (n = 4) at 6 h intervals for 54 h (Hall et al., 1990). Animals were killed by bolt-action stunning and exsanguination 3 h after the last injection. This animal experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the Rutgers University Animal Care Advisory Committee. The uterus and cervixes were removed, trimmed of fat, measured and weighed. Portions of uterine and cervical tissues from each animal were fixed in 4% (v/v) formalin in PBS. The remaining tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. The trophic effects of relaxin on the uterus and cervix, and systemic and local (uterine fluid) concentrations of relaxin after administration in vivo in this animal model, have been reported (Hall et al., 1992; Ohleth et al., 1997). The prepubertal status of the gilts was confirmed by the absence of oestradiol in the plasma and uterine flushes of all animals before and after the treatment regimen (Ohleth et al., 1997). In addition, follicular oestradiol concentrations were an order of magnitude lower than those in cyclic animals.

Madin Darby canine kidney epithelial cells (MDCK, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) synthesize E-cadherin (Shore and Nelson, 1991), but there is no evidence of E-cadherin expression in skeletal muscle (Eidelman et al., 1989). Thus, MDCK epithelial cells and pig skeletal muscle were used as positive and negative controls, respectively, for detection of E-cadherin protein. Controls for northern blot analysis included total RNA from L-cells, a mouse fibroblast cell line deficient in E-cadherin, and L-cells transfected with a mouse E-cadherin gene (full-length cDNA of 436 base pairs) together with the gene for resistance to the antibiotic G418 (Nagauchi et al., 1987). The normal L-cells and G418-resistant transformants were kindly provided by M. Steinberg (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ).

Immunoblotting

Protein was extracted from tissue samples as described by Ryan et al. (1996). Briefly, tissues were homogenized in boiling lysis buffer (1.0 g in 5.0 ml; 1% (w/v) SDS, 0.01 mol Tris–HCl 1⁻ (pH 7.5), 0.001 mol CaCl₂ 1⁻) and then heated in a microwave for 2 × 5–7 s cycles at high power (approximately 650 watts). Samples were then sonicated to reduce viscosity and centrifuged (12 000 g, 15°C for 30 min) to remove insoluble material. Protein content was determined using a detergent-compatible protein assay kit (DC Protein Assay; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Melville, NY).

Protein samples (20–50 µg) were separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA). After blocking in 5% (w/v) BSA in Tris-buffered saline...
poly-L-lysine-coated slides. Tissue sections, MDCK epithelial embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound were mounted on 55 for 5 min each, washed twice in 2 (30 min each). The blots were exposed to Hyperfilm-MP at

Isolation of RNA and northern blot analysis

Total cellular RNA was extracted from tissue using the method of Cathala et al. (1983), with modifications as described by Koos (1995). In brief, tissue was homogenized in cold 4 mol guanidine isothiocyanate l–1 buffer and precipitated with five volumes of 4 mol LiCl l–1 overnight at 4°C. The precipitate was pelleted, redissolved in TES buffer (0.05 mol Tris–HCl l–1, 0.005 mol EDTA l–1, 0.5% (w/v) SDS) and digested with proteinase K (150 µg ml–1) for 30 min. The digests were extracted with phenol: chloroform:isoamyl alcohol, and were then precipitated in ethanol. Quantity and quality of RNA were determined by spectrophotometry at 260 and 280 nm, respectively.

Total cellular RNA (20 µg per sample) and a molecular size marker (0.29–9.5 kb RNA ladder) were separated by electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose–formaldehyde gels, transferred onto to charged nylon membranes (Nytran; Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH) and prepared for hybridization as described by Ryan et al. (1996). A 2529 base pair mouse E-cadherin cDNA probe (provided by O. Blaschuk, McGill University, Montréal, PQ; Chen et al., 1991) was labelled with [32P]-deoxy-CTP (3000 Ci mol–1; NEN, Boston, MA) by the random primer method (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1983) to a specific activity of approximately 1 × 108 c.p.m. µg–1. Hybridization was performed at 37°C for 30 h in prehybridization buffer containing heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA (final concentration of 0.2 mg ml–1) and the 32P-labelled E-cadherin probe (5 × 106 c.p.m. ml–1). After hybridization, membranes were washed twice in 2 × sodium chloride, sodium phosphate, ethylenediamine tetracetic acid (SSPE) at room temperature for 5 min each, washed twice in 2 × SSPE 1% (w/v) SDS at 55°C (30 min each) and then washed twice with 0.2 × SSPE (30 min each). The blots were exposed to Hyperfilm-MP at –20°C and permeabilized with 0.2% (v/v) Triton-X100 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, 0.01 mol Tris–HCl l–1, pH 7.5) for 20 min. Cells and tissue sections were then rinsed in TBS, blocked with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum for 1 h and subsequently incubated with a mouse anti-human E-cadherin antibody (5 µg ml–1 in 5% (v/v) normal goat serum–TBS) overnight at 4°C. The specificity of E-cadherin fluorescence staining was confirmed by substituting non-immune mouse ascites fluid with the primary antibody. The next morning, slides were washed in TBS (3 × 2 min each), incubated with a goat anti-mouse IgG–FITC conjugate (1:100) in TBS–1% normal pig serum for 1 h. After the slides were washed in TBS (3 × 2 min), they were mounted with coverslips using a gelatin and glycerol mounting agent (2.0% (w/v) gelatin, 5.0% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (w/v) azide in TBS) and viewed using an incident-light fluorescence microscope (G42-110-e Axioscop, Zeiss). All incubations were carried out in a humidified chamber at room temperature. Localization of E-cadherin in reproductive tissue was confirmed using another monoclonal antibody directed against mouse E-cadherin (uvomorulin: clone DECMA1; Vestweber and Kemler, 1985). As the results obtained from the present study using the anti-human E-cadherin and the anti-mouse uvomorulin were the same, data from only the anti-human E-cadherin studies are shown.

Assessment of uterine and cervical luminal epithelial growth was determined by measuring the height of the epithelial layer from the basal lamina to the apical surface using an ocular micrometer under a light microscope. Frozen tissue sections that were used for assessment were fixed in acetone, stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and then mounted with Permount. A minimum of ten sites (chosen at random) viewed under x 20 objective were measured on each uterine and cervical tissue section and the values were given in ocular units (1 unit = 40 µm, mean ± SEM). Owing to the potential variation in the thickness of the cryosections and the plane of sectioning, quantitative analysis of E-cadherin immunofluorescence was not attempted on tissue samples (Bowen et al., 1996).

Densitometry and statistical analysis

Immunoblot and northern blot analyses were performed at least twice on uterine samples from four animals in each treatment group. Because of sample loss, cervical E-cadherin protein and mRNA analysis were performed using tissue from three animals per group. E-cadherin protein and mRNA expression were quantified by scanning densitometry (Ultrascan X; Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology Inc., Piscataway, NJ). Quantitative analysis of E-cadherin protein and mRNA concentrations are presented in densitometric units as mean ± SEM. All E-cadherin immunoreactive bands were included in the quantitative analysis. For RNA analysis, uniformity of loading was checked and corrected for by using ethidium bromide staining of 28S RNA (Bonini and Hofmann, 1991). The data were analysed by ANOVA

Immunohistochemistry

Frozen sections (8–10 µm) of uterine and cervical tissues embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound were mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated slides. Tissue sections, MDCK epithelial cells and L-cells were fixed with cold acetone (10 min at –20°C) and permeabilized with 0.2% (v/v) Triton-X100 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, 0.01 mol Tris–HCl l–1, pH 7.5) for 20 min. Cells and tissue sections were then rinsed in TBS, blocked with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum for 1 h and subsequently incubated with a mouse anti-human E-cadherin antibody (5 µg ml–1 in 5% (v/v) normal goat serum–TBS) overnight at 4°C. The specificity of E-cadherin fluorescence staining was confirmed by substituting non-immune mouse ascites fluid with the primary antibody. The next morning, slides were washed in TBS (3 × 2 min each), incubated with a goat anti-mouse IgG–FITC conjugate (1:100) in TBS–1% normal pig serum for 1 h. After the slides were washed in TBS (3 × 2 min), they were mounted with coverslips using a gelatin and glycerol mounting agent (2.0% (w/v) gelatin, 5.0% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (w/v) azide in TBS) and viewed using an incident-light fluorescence microscope (G42-110-e Axioscop, Zeiss). All incubations were carried out in a humidified chamber at room temperature. Localization of E-cadherin in reproductive tissue was confirmed using another monoclonal antibody directed against mouse E-cadherin (uvomorulin: clone DECMA1; Vestweber and Kemler, 1985). As the results obtained from the present study using the anti-human E-cadherin and the anti-mouse uvomorulin were the same, data from only the anti-human E-cadherin studies are shown.

Assessment of uterine and cervical luminal epithelial growth was determined by measuring the height of the epithelial layer from the basal lamina to the apical surface using an ocular micrometer under a light microscope. Frozen tissue sections that were used for assessment were fixed in acetone, stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and then mounted with Permount. A minimum of ten sites (chosen at random) viewed under x 20 objective were measured on each uterine and cervical tissue section and the values were given in ocular units (1 unit = 40 µm, mean ± SEM). Owing to the potential variation in the thickness of the cryosections and the plane of sectioning, quantitative analysis of E-cadherin immunofluorescence was not attempted on tissue samples (Bowen et al., 1996).

Densitometry and statistical analysis

Immunoblot and northern blot analyses were performed at least twice on uterine samples from four animals in each treatment group. Because of sample loss, cervical E-cadherin protein and mRNA analysis were performed using tissue from three animals per group. E-cadherin protein and mRNA expression were quantified by scanning densitometry (Ultrascan X; Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology Inc., Piscataway, NJ). Quantitative analysis of E-cadherin protein and mRNA concentrations are presented in densitometric units as mean ± SEM. All E-cadherin immunoreactive bands were included in the quantitative analysis. For RNA analysis, uniformity of loading was checked and corrected for by using ethidium bromide staining of 28S RNA (Bonini and Hofmann, 1991). The data were analysed by ANOVA
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and differences were tested using Fisher’s LSD. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was regarded as significant.

**Results**

**Immunoblot analysis**

A major 120 kDa band corresponding to E-cadherin and a lower molecular mass (approximately 118 kDa) immunoreactive band were observed in the uterus (Fig. 1a) of both control and relaxin-treated gilts. Administration of relaxin in vivo increased immunoreactive E-cadherin protein in the uterus (Fig. 1a). Quantitative analysis of the E-cadherin immunoreactive bands revealed that expression of E-cadherin in uterine tissue of relaxin-treated gilts was significantly greater (\( P < 0.05 \)) than that in the control animals (Fig. 1c). In the cervix, E-cadherin immunoreactivity was detected as a 120 kDa band and, similar to the uterus, lower molecular mass forms (approximately 97–118 kDa) were detected in samples from all animals (Fig. 1b). E-cadherin immunoreactivity was more variable in the cervix in comparison with the expression of uterine E-cadherin. No significant changes in total E-cadherin protein were observed in the cervix after relaxin treatment compared with control animals (Fig. 1d). The positive control, MDCK cells, showed a signal at 120 kDa, which corresponded to E-cadherin (Fig. 1a,b), whereas pig skeletal muscle (negative control) showed no E-cadherin immunoreactivity (data not shown).

**Northern blot analysis**

Relaxin significantly increased the expression of E-cadherin transcripts in uterine tissue (\( P < 0.05 \)) compared with controls (Fig. 2a,c). Although a distinct signal for E-cadherin mRNA was evident in tissue from the cervix (Fig. 2b), there was no significant change in E-cadherin expression in these tissues in response to relaxin treatment (Fig. 2d). The G418-resistant L-cell transformants, transfected with the E-cadherin gene (L+), served as a positive control for E-cadherin mRNA (Fig. 2a,b), although no transcript was detected in total RNA from normal L-cells (L−; Fig. 2b).

**Immunohistochemical localization of E-cadherin**

In the uterus, E-cadherin protein was localized in the glandular (Fig. 3b) and luminal epithelium (Fig. 3a,c,d). However, there was no apparent difference in the intensity of E-cadherin staining in uterine tissue between control and
relaxin-treated animals. In the uterus, E-cadherin was localized in glandular epithelial cells (Fig. 3b) whereas, in cervical tissues, only the luminal epithelium stained specifically for E-cadherin (data not shown). The height of the uterine luminal epithelium was measured from the basal lamina to the apical surface (Fig. 3d). In relaxin-treated gilts, the height of the uterine luminal epithelium was significantly greater \( (P < 0.05) \) compared with that of control animals (Fig. 4a), whereas relaxin treatment had no effect on the height of the cervical luminal epithelium (Fig. 4b). There was no immunofluorescent labelling of stroma or muscle tissue. Similarly, labelling was absent in sections in which non-immune mouse ascites fluid was substituted for the E-cadherin antibody. Transformed L-cells and MDCK epithelial cells stained for E-cadherin, whereas non-transformed L-cells showed no evidence of staining (data not shown).

**Discussion**

The present study investigated the effect of relaxin on E-cadherin expression during growth and remodelling of the uterus and cervix in prepubertal gilts. Although the presence of E-cadherin has been reported in reproductive tissues, this is the first study to describe E-cadherin expression in the pig reproductive tract and localization of E-cadherin protein to specific cells in the uterus and cervix of pigs. In the present study, relaxin-induced uterine growth was correlated with a significant increase in E-cadherin protein and mRNA. This response was independent of local or systemic steroids, as both oestradiol (Ohleth et al., 1997) and progesterone (Lenhart et al., 1999) were not detected in plasma or uterine flushes of the relaxin-treated animals. Although it is known that relaxin increases proliferation and E-cadherin expression in the MCF-7 human mammary cancer cell line (Bani-Sacchi et al., 1994), the present study was the first to provide evidence that relaxin increases E-cadherin expression in the uterus of any species. Furthermore, this increase in E-cadherin in response to relaxin is independent of circulating steroids. Collectively, these studies indicate that relaxin-induced growth of uterine and mammary cells is associated with an increase in E-cadherin expression.

The relaxin-induced increase in uterine E-cadherin protein and mRNA expression appears to be due to the increase in epithelial tissue growth that occurs in response...
to relaxin. Because E-cadherin is expressed in tissues of epithelial origin, an increase in the size and the number of uterine epithelial cells during growth would be expected to increase E-cadherin expression. For example, in the present study, relaxin-induced uterine growth resulted in a significant increase in luminal epithelial height, which contributed to an increase in the amount of E-cadherin detected. In rats, relaxin administration also increases

Fig. 3. Immunocytochemical localization of epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin) in the uterus of prepubertal gilts treated with relaxin. Uterine sections (approximately 8 μm) were incubated with anti-human E-cadherin antibody overnight at 4°C and the antigen–antibody complex was visualized with an anti-mouse IgG–FITC conjugate. Immunolabelling of E-cadherin was localized in (a) the luminal epithelium of a control gilt; (b) glandular epithelium of a relaxin-treated gilt; and (c,d) luminal epithelium of a relaxin-treated gilt. The vertical bar defines the luminal epithelial layer from basal lamina to apical surface. Note the marked increase in the height of the luminal uterine epithelium evident in the relaxin-treated animal (d) Staining for E-cadherin is specific to the cell surface as seen in the oblique section of the epithelium (arrow). e: epithelium, g: gland, l: lumen, s: stroma. Scale bars represent (a,b,c) 60 μm and (d) 28 μm.
vaginal epithelial cell height, the number of epithelial cell layers and the total number of vaginal epithelial cells (Zhao and Sherwood, 1998). Administration of relaxin to pregnant rats contributed to cervical growth by promoting cervical epithelial cell proliferation (Burger and Sherwood, 1995). Similarly, Lee et al. (1992) reported that the density of cervical epithelial cells was reduced in relaxin-deficient pregnant rats compared with that of controls. The concept that epithelial cells are a target of relaxin action in reproductive tissue is supported by the localization of relaxin binding sites in epithelial cells of the cervix, mammary gland and nipple of pigs (Min and Sherwood, 1996). In addition, in the human uterus, relaxin binding was detected in both luminal and glandular epithelial cells (Kohsaka et al., 1998).

The localization of E-cadherin to the glandular and luminal epithelia of the pig endometrium and the absence of immunoreactive E-cadherin in the uterine stroma is consistent with the cellular distribution of E- and P-cadherins in the human uterus (Tabibzadeh et al., 1995; van der Linden et al., 1995). The similar pattern and intensity of E-cadherin immunostaining in the uterine and cervical epithelium of both control and relaxin-treated gilts indicates that E-cadherin is constitutively expressed in these tissues. Similarly, in human endometrial epithelium, it has been postulated that constitutive E-cadherin expression may have a role in the maintenance of epithelial architecture of the endometrium during both the proliferative and secretory phases of the oestrous cycle (van der Linden et al., 1995). In addition, the expression of E- and P-cadherins in the human endometrium is independent of the phase of the oestrous cycle, circulating oestrogen or progesterone concentrations, and expression of oestrogen or progesterone receptors (van der Linden et al., 1995). In contrast, both oestradiol and progesterone are reported to enhance E-cadherin transcription in the immature mouse uterus (MacCalman et al., 1994b). However, whether this increase in transcription results in an increase in translation and consequently E-cadherin protein or whether it is due to endometrial epithelial cell growth in response to steroid administration has not been investigated.

Although relaxin treatment increased cervical wet mass and E-cadherin protein in the prepubertal gilt model used in the present study (Wang-Lee et al., 1998), there were no differences in the expression of cervical E-cadherin between control and relaxin-treated animals. In pregnant pigs, relaxin treatment increases cervical wet and dry masses (O’Day-Bowman et al., 1991); however, the effects of relaxin on the proliferation of cervical epithelial cells in pigs have not been reported. In rats, relaxin contributes to cervical growth by promoting epithelial cell proliferation (Burger and Sherwood, 1995). Thus, given that E-cadherin is expressed in epithelial cells, a change in E-cadherin expression would be expected to accompany the increase in pig cervical mass observed in response to relaxin. The absence of an effect of relaxin on the expression of cervical E-cadherin is most likely explained by the fact that the pig cervix consists primarily of extracellular matrix and smooth muscle (Winn et al., 1992), and not E-cadherin-expressing epithelial tissue. The relaxin-induced increase in pig cervical mass is primarily due to increased water uptake (Hall et al., 1990) and the changes in pig cervical epithelial cell proliferation were too small to be detected in the present study.

In summary, the present study is the first to report E-cadherin expression in the pig uterus and cervix. In addition, these studies support the hypothesis that relaxin-induced growth of the uterus involves changes in E-
cadherin expression. The increase in pig uterine epithelial tissue growth that occurs in response to relaxin was accompanied by an increase in both E-cadherin mRNA and protein. However, relaxin did not have a significant effect on the expression of E-cadherin in the pig cervix. There is a growing body of evidence that cadherin-mediated adhesion is important for the structural integrity and growth of reproductive tissues (for a review, see Rowlands et al., 2000). Given the importance of uterine tissue growth and remodelling during the oestrous cycle, implantation and pregnancy, further studies on the control of cellular adhesion, including the expression of cadherins and other adhesion molecules, are important for a better understanding of uterine growth and function.
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