Regulation of endometrial endothelial cell proliferation by oestrogen and progesterone in the ovariectomized mouse
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Although the endometrial epithelial and stromal cell response to oestrogen and progesterone is well characterized, relatively little is known about the endothelial cell response. The aim of this study was to investigate the time course of endometrial endothelial cell proliferation in response to a specific regimen of oestrogen and progesterone, and to compare it with the stromal and epithelial cell response in mouse endometrium. Adult female mice were ovariectomized to induce endometrial regression. After 7 days, hormonal treatments were given according to the following regimen: days 1–3: 100 ng oestradiol; days 4–6: 10 ng oestradiol and 500 µg progesterone; and day 7: 100 ng oestradiol and 500 µg progesterone. On each day of hormonal treatment, mice (n = 5) were injected with bromodeoxyuridine and perfusion fixed 4 h later with buffered formalin. Proliferating endometrial cells were detected by monoclonal antibody against bromodeoxyuridine, and endothelial cells were detected by antibody to CD31. At day 7 after ovariectomy few proliferating cells were found in the endometrium. After 1 day of oestrogen treatment, significant proliferation was detected in the endothelial cells (0.0% versus 16.1 ± 1.2%, P < 0.001). In contrast to the rapid response of the vasculature, glandular epithelial proliferation increased only after 2 days of oestrogen treatment (7.6 ± 1.3% versus 18.8 ± 2.4%, P < 0.05). Progesterone with low dose oestrogen treatment tended to reduce epithelial and endothelial cell proliferation compared with the effect of high dose oestradiol alone. A combination of progesterone with high dose oestrogen induced higher rates of endothelial cell proliferation than did any other treatment (20.8 ± 3.2%). These results demonstrate that oestrogen induces rapid proliferation of endometrial endothelial cells, indicating that vascular growth apparently precedes endometrial tissue remodelling. These data also demonstrate that the proliferative response of endometrial endothelial cells to oestrogen and progesterone is different from that of either epithelial or stromal cells.
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In vivo, oestrogen enhances attachment, migration and proliferation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (Morales et al., 1995) and, in vivo, functional oestrogen receptors are essential for the augmentation of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)-induced angiogenesis by exogenous oestradiol in female mice (Johns et al., 1996). In addition to oestrogen receptor-mediated effects, oestrogen also has non-genomic effects mediated via the endothelial cell membrane, which include enhanced cell survival, migration and tube formation (Razandi et al., 2000). The mechanisms by which oestrogen regulates endometrial angiogenesis in vivo require further elucidation.

It has been reported that endometrial endothelial cells have progesterone receptors and oestrogen receptors (Iruela-Arispe et al., 1999), and that progesterone inhibits endothelial cell proliferation during aortic re-endothelialization in mice (Vazquez et al., 1999). Progesterone is generally regarded as an anti-oestrogen. However, the observations of Martin and Finn (1968) revealed that progesterone influences the two major types of endometrial cell differently: progesterone inhibits the oestrogen-mediated epithelial proliferative...
response, but, in combination with oestrogen, induces stromal cell proliferation. It is unknown whether the proliferative response of endometrial endothelial cells is similar to that of either epithelial or stromal cells, or whether an alternative response occurs. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the time course of the proliferative response of endometrial endothelial cells to oestrogen and progesterone, and compare it with the stromal and epithelial cell response in mouse endometrium.

Materials and Methods

Animals and hormonal treatments

Adult female mice (weight 25–30 g, C57 BL/6J cross CBA strain) were kept under controlled conditions (20°C, 16 h light per day). Mice were ovariec-tomized bilaterally under anaesthesia with Avertin (25 mg per 100 g body weight) injected i.p. (stock solution: 5 g 2,2,2-tribromoethanol (Aldrich Chemical, Co., Milwaukee, WI) plus 5 ml butanol-2-ol (BDH Chemicals, Poole); working solution: 0.25 ml stock solution plus 10 ml of 0.9% (w/v) NaCl to induce regression of endometrial tissue. The dose and schedule of hormone treatment were adapted from previous studies (Martin and Finn, 1968; Finn and Pope, 1984) with minor modification. At day 7 after ovariectomy, hormonal treatment was administered s.c. according to the following regimen: oestrogen treatment on days 1–3: 100 ng oestradiol in 0.1 ml peanut oil per day (denoted as E1, E2 and E3); progesterone with low dose oestrogen treatment on days 4–6: 10 ng oestradiol and 500 μg progesterone per day (denoted as P1, P2 and P3); and progesterone with high dose oestrogen treatment on day 7: 100 ng oestradiol and 500 μg progesterone (denoted as PE). At 20 h after each hormone injection, a group of five mice was injected with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; 40 mg kg⁻¹ body weight hormone injection, a group of five mice was injected

Immunohistochemistry

Cell proliferation detection. Paraffin wax was removed from the tissue sections (5 μm) and they were rehydrated through a graded series of alcohol to water. Sections were microwaved four times for 5 min each in 10 mmol sodium citrate buffer 1⁻¹, pH 6, for antigen retrieval. After cooling to room temperature and washing with distilled water, the sections were treated for 45 min with 0.1 mol HCl 1⁻¹. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked by immersing the sections in 3% (v/v) H2O2 in PBS for 10 min. After rinsing in PBS, the sections were treated with protein blocking solution (PBA, Immunon Shandon, PA) for 10 min at room temperature. The primary antibody, sheep anti-BrdU (10 μg ml⁻¹ in 1% (w/v) BSA–PBS; BioDesign, Saco, ME) was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After washing three times in PBS, the sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody, donkey anti-sheep (4 μg ml⁻¹ in 1% (w/v) BSA–PBS; Jackson Immuno Research Lab Inc., West Grove, PA) for 1 h at room temperature. The sections were covered with streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase (Dako, Via Real Carpinteria, CA) for 15 min at room temperature followed by aminooethyl carbazole, a red chromogen (Zymed, San Francisco, CA), for 5 min, to visualize immunostaining. After washing with distilled water, the sections were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin for 30 s. A positive control section of mouse intestine was included in each batch of staining. A negative control slide was prepared by substituting non-immune sheep serum (4 μg ml⁻¹ in 1% (w/v) BSA–PBS) for the primary antibody. The percentage of BrdU-labelled cells for each type of cell in each endometrial section was counted. Results were based on counts per section of 300–500 luminal and glandular epithelial cells, 100–200 endothelial cells and 600–800 stromal cells.

Vascular density. Frozen endometrial sections (5 μm) from each treatment group were fixed in cold acetone (−20°C) for 10 min and air dried for 30 min. The sections were immersed in 0.3% (v/v) H2O2 in PBS for 10 min and treated with PBA for 10 min at room temperature. The endothelial cells were immunostained with a rat monoclonal antibody against mouse CD31 (10 μg ml⁻¹ in 1% BSA–PBS; PharMingen, Los Angeles, CA) for 1 h at room temperature. A negative control slide was prepared by substituting purified rat IgG immunoglobulin isotype standard (10 μg ml⁻¹ in 1% (w/v) BSA–PBS; PharMingen). After washing three times with PBS, the sections were incubated with biotinylated secondary antibody (1:200 in 1% (w/v) BSA–PBS; Chemicon Inc., Temecula, CA) for 1 h at room temperature, rinsed with PBS and covered with streptavidin–horseradish peroxidase for 15 min at room temperature. Immunoreactivity was visualized with aminooethyl carbazole chromogen (5 min). Vascular density was quantified by counting the number of capillary profiles immunostained for CD31 per mm². A ratio of vascular cell:stromal cell density was calculated for each treatment based on the ratio at endometrial tissue regression equalling 1.

Statistical analyses

Values are presented as mean ± se. The effect of treatment was evaluated by one-way ANOVA followed by
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Results

The proliferating endothelial cells showing red nuclear and peri-nuclear immunostaining were localized in small and large capillaries (Fig. 1a). Mouse intestinal mucosa was used for positive and negative control immunostaining to confirm that BrdU is incorporated into cells undergoing proliferation (Fig. 1b,c).

BrdU labelling was undetectable in endothelial cells during endometrial regression (Fig. 2a). At day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) the endothelial cell labelling index increased sharply \( (P < 0.001) \). The endothelial cell proliferative index gradually decreased after days 2 and 3 of oestrogen treatment (E2 and E3). After day 1 of treatment with progesterone with low dose oestrogen (P1), endothelial cell labelling was low and remained low after days 2 and 3 of progesterone treatment (P2 and P3). However, after day 1 of treatment with a combination of oestrogen (100 ng) and progesterone (500 

\( \mu \)g) (PE), the endothelial cell labelling index increased markedly by approximately fourfold. The proliferation of endothelial cells appeared in small and large capillaries (Fig. 1a). The BrdU labelling index at this stage was significantly higher than after day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) \( (P < 0.05) \).

Proliferative activity was low in glandular epithelium during regression, and there was no significant change at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) (Fig. 2b). The labelling index increased at day 2 of oestrogen treatment (E2) compared with that at regression \( (P < 0.05) \), but was reduced again at day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3). The number of BrdU-labelled cells decreased significantly at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) compared with the number at day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3) \( (P < 0.01) \). However, proliferative activities in the glandular epithelium were high at day 2 of progesterone treatment (P2) compared with those at day 1 of progesterone treatment \( (P < 0.05) \) and this activity remained relatively constant through to the day of treatment with progesterone and high dose oestrogen (PE).

Proliferative activity was also observed in the luminal epithelial cells (Fig. 2c). The BrdU labelling index increased at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) compared with that at regression \( (P < 0.05) \) and decreased slightly at days 2 and 3 of oestrogen treatment (E2 and E3). Proliferative activity decreased at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) compared with that at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) \( (P < 0.05) \), slightly increased at day 2 of progesterone treatment (P2) and remained low at day 3 of progesterone treatment (P3). As with endothelial cell proliferation, the luminal epithelial labelling index increased markedly on the day of treatment with progesterone plus high dose oestrogen (PE) compared with that at day 3 of progesterone treatment (P3) \( (P < 0.001) \) and was also higher than that at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) \( (P < 0.05) \).

The percentage of BrdU-labelled cells in the stroma was low during regression and did not change significantly at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1). Proliferation was slightly increased at day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3) \( (P < 0.01) \) compared with that at regression. In contrast to the reduced proliferative activity observed in endothelial cells, glandular epithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells in response to progesterone with low dose oestrogen, stromal proliferative activity was higher at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) \( (P < 0.001) \) compared with regression and day 1 of oestrogen treatment; Fig. 2d). The intensity of BrdU staining decreased at day 2 of progesterone treatment (P2); however, at day 3 (P3) it returned to values similar to those at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) and remained high on the day of treatment progesterone with low dose oestrogen.

Endometrial endothelial cells were identified using rat monoclonal antibody against mouse CD31. The profiles of the endometrial endothelial cells during regression and day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) are shown (Fig. 1d,e). The profiles of the endothelial cells in which primary antibody was replaced with an equivalent concentration of purified rat IgG immunoglobulin isotype standard are also shown (Fig. 1f).

The density of vascular and stromal cells in the endometrium during regression and hormonal treatment are summarized (Fig. 3a,b, respectively). The vascular density was highest during regression. Thereafter, it decreased at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) \( (P < 0.05) \) and remained low through to the treatment with progesterone and high dose oestrogen (PE). Maximum stromal cell density was also observed during regression. At day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) stromal cell density was significantly decreased compared with that during regression \( (P < 0.001) \) and remained low through to day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3). Stromal cell density increased slightly at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) and was higher at day 2 of progesterone treatment compared with that at day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3) \( (P < 0.01) \), but then decreased through to the day of treatment with progesterone plus high dose oestrogen (PE) \( (P < 0.01) \) compared with day 2 of progesterone treatment. The ratio of vascular cell:stromal cell density per mm\(^2\) was determined to investigate the association between changes in vascular density and changes in stromal cell density (Fig. 3c). The ratio showed that vascular density increased gradually from regression to day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) and reached a maximum at day 3 of oestrogen treatment (E3) \( (P < 0.001) \) compared with regression. Vascular density then gradually decreased at days 1 and 2 of progesterone treatment (P1 and P2) before increasing again at day 3 of progesterone and on the day of treatment with progesterone plus high dose oestrogen (PE).

Discussion

The results from the present study demonstrate two clearly different episodes of proliferation of endometrial endothelial cells in response to oestrogen and progesterone. The first of these is a rapid oestrogen-mediated response that occurs within 24 h of treatment and coincides with or precedes...
Fig. 1. (a) Section of mouse endometrium after treatment with progesterone and high dose oestrogen immunostained to demonstrate bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-labelled cells. Many endothelial cells are labelled (arrows). (b) Section of mouse small intestine stained with sheep anti-BrdU as a positive control section. (c) Section of mouse small intestine in which non-immune sheep serum has been substituted for the sheep anti-BrdU primary antibody. (d) Section from endometrial tissue regression immunostained with anti-CD31 to identify blood vessels. Capillaries of small diameter predominate in the stroma. (e) Section of mouse endometrium treated with oestrogen and immunostained with anti-CD31. Larger capillaries appear frequently in the stroma. (f) Section from endometrial tissue regression in which purified rat IgG immunoglobulin has been substituted for the mouse anti-CD31 primary antibody. C: capillary; M: myometrial layer; S: stroma. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
endometrial epithelial and stromal cell proliferation. The second commences after 48–72 h of exposure to progesterone with low dose oestrogen, and reaches a maximum when high dose oestrogen is added to the progesterone.

The short time frame within which oestrogen elicited a proliferative response in endometrial endothelial cells in this study raises the possibility of a direct effect of oestrogen on the endothelial cells. If the oestrogen effects are direct, it is possible that they are mediated through the endothelial cell membrane (Razandi et al., 2000), through nuclear oestrogen receptors in the endothelial cells (Iruela-Arispe et al., 1999), or through a combination of both. Further studies will be required to clarify this issue.

The early response of the endometrial vasculature to oestrogen has parallels to a study by Franck-Lissbrant et al. (1998), in which the growth of the castrate rat prostate in response to testosterone was preceded by growth of its.
vasculature. Both studies raise the possibility that there is a mechanism whereby tissue growth, or its ultimate mass, might be regulated in part by growth of the endothelial cells (Folkman, 1998). It has generally been assumed that vascular growth or angiogenesis follows tissue growth, and that metabolic signals such as reduced oxygen tension upregulate angiogenic factors like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Although it is likely that this occurs in circumstances such as tumour growth and wound healing, angiogenesis in conjunction with normal tissue growth in the adult, as occurs in the reproductive tract, is less well studied. Further investigation of the in vivo mechanisms by which oestrogen causes a rapid proliferative response in endometrial endothelial cells will help to answer this question.

The reduction in endothelial cell proliferation at day 1 of progesterone treatment with low dose oestrogen (P1) may be due to either the effect of progesterone, or the reduction in the dose of oestrogen from 100 to 10 ng. It is possible that the endothelial cell proliferation that commenced at days 2 and 3 of progesterone treatment (P2 and P3) is occurring in concert with progesterone-driven stromal proliferation, or is a direct effect of the continued administration of progesterone or low dose oestrogen. The peak in proliferation rate after addition of high dose oestrogen to the progesterone (PE) may be due to a direct effect of the oestrogen, or may be a combination of oestrogen and progesterone acting on surrounding stromal or epithelial cells to induce production of angiogenic factors. The present study indicates clearly that endometrial endothelial cells are under different proliferative controls in response to oestrogen and progesterone than either the epithelium or the stroma.

The data from the present study show that at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) proliferative activity was absent in endometrial epithelium and endothelial cells, whereas it remained high in the stromal cells. The results from the epithelial and stromal cells in this study are in agreement with previous studies on the effects of progesterone on cell proliferation in mouse endometrium (Das and Martin, 1973; Martin et al., 1973). There are no previous studies in vivo investigating the effects of progesterone (with or without oestrogen) on endothelial cell proliferation, although data from studies in vitro indicate an inhibitory role (Vazquez et al., 1999). There are at least four possible explanations for the observed inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) in vivo. The first is that progesterone has a simple direct effect on the endothelial cells, preventing proliferation. The second is that progesterone causes local production of angiogenesis inhibitors by adjacent endometrial cells. A possible candidate is thrombospondin 1 (TSP1), a multifunctional extracellular glycoprotein that is capable of inhibiting endothelial cell proliferation (Bagavandoss and Wilks, 1990). In humans, endometrial content of TSP1 mRNA and protein are regulated by progesterone (Iruela-Arispe et al., 1996). The third possible explanation is that progesterone acts indirectly in opposition to oestrogen by preventing the release of angiogenic factors by nearby endometrial cells. Finally, progesterone may have no effect and the reduction in endothelial cell proliferation may be due to the lower dose of oestrogen at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1).

The results from the present study demonstrate that endometrial angiogenesis in ovariectomized mice can occur under two different hormonal regimens: an early, rapid response to oestrogen alone, and a longer-term response to sequential progesterone and low dose oestrogen followed by progesterone and high dose oestrogen. Previous studies in intact rats reported an increase in proliferation of endometrial endothelial cells commencing on day 3 of pregnancy, and increasing throughout the endometrium up to the time of implantation on day 5 of pregnancy (Goodyer and Rogers, 1993). This proliferative response of endothelial cells in early pregnancy coincides with the increase in circulating maternal progesterone concentrations, which occur on top of a background of high circulating oestrogen. These endocrine parameters are similar to those recreated in mice in the present study, indicating that the maximum rate of endothelial cell proliferation on the day of treatment with progesterone and high dose oestrogen (PE) is driven by the same mechanisms that occur in early pregnancy. In contrast, the rapid proliferative response of endometrial endothelial cells to oestrogen observed in the present study has not been reported before.

In addition to the maternal, hormonally driven angiogenic stimulus of early pregnancy, the rate of endometrial endothelial cell proliferation is high in the vicinity of the embryo after implantation in rats, whereas it decreases to basal rates in other regions of the endometrium (Goodyer and Rogers, 1993). This finding supports the concept of a local, embryo-mediated angiogenic stimulus, which appears to be another physiological mechanism by which endometrial angiogenesis is induced.

Data from the present study demonstrate that endometrial vascular density was highest during endometrial regression after ovariectomy. When hormonal treatment commenced, vascular density decreased and did not alter significantly under any of the hormonal conditions. These results are in agreement with earlier observations from our laboratory in which an increase in endometrial microvascular density was observed after ovariectomy in rats (Rogers and Macpherson, 1990). It was also observed that during regression the density of endometrial stromal cells was higher than at any time during hormonal treatment. Taken together, the major reduction in stromal cell and vascular density at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) compared with the densities at regression provide clear evidence for endometrial tissue oedema. Tissue oedema occurs after a net shift of fluid from the blood vessels into the tissue, usually as a result of an increase in vascular permeability. Increased leakiness of vessels is a common feature of angiogenesis, and one of the most potent angiogenic factors, VEGF, also has major effect on vessel permeability.

The ratio of vascular cell:stromal cell density shows that, despite the apparent reduction in overall vascular density at
day 1 of oestrogen treatment, the ratio of the number of blood vessels:stromal cells is increasing. This finding is in agreement with the observation that endothelial cell but not stromal cell proliferation has increased at this time. Conversely, the ratio of vascular cells:stromal cell density decreased at day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1), in concurrence with the observation that endothelial cell proliferation ceased at this time, whereas stromal cell proliferation increased significantly. It is possible that the reduction in vascular density at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1) played a role in stimulating angiogenesis, as the increased vascular spacing may have led to reduced tissue oxygenation. However, the fact that vascular density was similar at day 1 of oestrogen treatment (E1), day 1 of progesterone treatment (P1) and the day of treatment with progesterone plus high dose oestrogen (PE), times at which the rate of endothelial cell proliferation was different, indicates that vascular density per se is not the primary factor driving angiogenesis in this model system.

In summary, the present study demonstrates that, under the influence of oestrogen, proliferation of endometrial endothelial cells commences within 24 h and may precede endometrial tissue growth. Progesterone with low dose oestrogen inhibits endometrial endothelial cell proliferation but subsequently, in combination with high dose oestrogen, significantly increases endometrial endothelial cell proliferation. Further studies are required to elucidate the local angiogenic mechanisms that control these two different, hormonally driven episodes of endometrial endothelial cell proliferation.
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