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Abstract

Implantation in all mammals involves shedding of the zona pellucida, followed by orientation, apposition, attachment and adhesion of the blastocyst to the endometrium. Endometrial invasion does not occur in domestic ruminants; thus, definitive implantation is achieved by adhesion of the mononuclear trophoblast cells to the endometrial lumenal epithelium (LE) and formation of syncytia by the fusion of trophoblast binucleate cells with the LE. This review highlights new information on mechanisms regulating the implantation cascade in sheep. The embryo enters the uterus on day 4 at the morula stage of development and then develops into a blastocyst by day 6. The blastocyst sheds the zona pellucida (day 8), elongates to a filamentous form (days 11–16), and adheres to the endometrial LE (day 16). Between days 14 and 16, the binucleate cells begin to differentiate in the trophoblast and subsequently migrate and fuse with the endometrial LE to form syncytia. Continuous exposure of the endometrium to progesterone in early pregnancy downregulates the progesterone receptors in the epithelia, a process which is associated with loss of the cell-surface mucin MUC1 and induction of several secreted adhesion proteins. Recurrent early pregnancy loss in the uterine gland knockout ewe model indicates that secretions of the endometrial epithelia have a physiologic role in blastocyst elongation and implantation. A number of endometrial proteins have been identified as potential regulators of blastocyst development and implantation in sheep, including glycosylated cell adhesion molecule 1 (GlyCAM-1), galectin-15, integrins and osteopontin. The epithelial derived secreted adhesion proteins (GlyCAM-1, galectin-15 and osteopontin) are expressed in a dynamic temporal and spatial manner and regulated by progesterone and/or interferon tau, which is the pregnancy recognition signal produced by the trophoblast during blastocyst elongation. The noninvasive and protracted nature of implantation in domestic animals provides valuable opportunities to investigate fundamental processes of implantation that are shared among all mammals. Understanding of the cellular and molecular signals that regulate uterine receptivity and implantation can be used to diagnose and identify causes of recurrent pregnancy loss and to improve pregnancy outcome in domestic animals and humans.


Introduction

Implantation of the blastocyst in the uterus is an evolutionary advance associated with viviparity and is required for sustained efficient nutrition and protection of the conceptus (embryo/fetus and associated extraembryonic membranes) during gestation. Because of its recent evolution, there is considerable diversity in types of implantation among eutherian mammals. Despite the diversity of implantation and placentation strategies, the initial events that occur between the trophoblast and maternal uterine endometrial luminal epithelium (LE) are shared among species. Consequently, the comparative biology of implantation is useful to discover and understand the physiologic, hormonal, cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating implantation in mammals. By the blastocyst stage, the trophectoderm has acquired competence to attach and adhere to the endometrial LE, which appears to be the primary site of hormonally regulated uterine receptivity. If blastocyst development is synchronous with uterine receptivity, an adhesion cascade initiated at the apical surfaces of trophectoderm and LE results in definitive implantation. This review highlights new information on the mechanisms and factors regulating implantation that is focused primarily on the sheep.

Anatomic and cellular aspects of conceptus implantation in sheep

Implantation in domestic ruminants (sheep, cattle and goats) takes place at the blastocyst stage. The blastocyst develops from the preceding morula stage embryo as the result of compaction and contains a blastocoele or central cavity surrounded by a cell monolayer or trophectoderm.
The trophectoderm is involved in adhesive interactions with the endometrial epithelia that results in implantation. The structure of the endometrium has common features in all species. The endometrial mucosa is formed by a monolayer or pseudostratified epithelium which is separated from the underlying stroma by a basal lamina. The stroma is highly vascularized and contains coiled and branched glands whose ducts open into the uterine lumen. The endometrial surface epithelium is composed of secretory cells with microvilli and ciliated cells, the latter being concentrated around the openings of the endometrial glands.

The timing of implantation differs among species and is not particularly related to the length of gestation (Guillomot et al. 1993). Differences among species arise from the length of the different implantation phases (hours in rodents to days in humans and domestic animals), the evolution of the cell–cell contacts, and the degree of endometrial invasion by the trophoblast.

In domestic ruminants and pigs, the blastocyst elongates during the latter stages of implantation, but this unique developmental event does not occur in laboratory rodents, horses, primates or humans (Guillomot et al. 1993, Allen & Stewart 2001). The polar trophectoderm over the inner cell mass (Rauber’s layer) is removed before elongation of the blastocyst. Before and perhaps during elongation of the blastocyst, the extraembryonic endoderm originates from the inner cell mass and migrates under the trophectoderm as the blastocoel expands. The mesoderm then originates from the inner cell mass and migrates between the endoderm and trophectoderm. This interposed mesoderm then cavitates; the outer layer forms the chorion with the trophectoderm, whereas the inner layer forms the yolk sac wall with endoderm. Therefore, the extraembryonic membranes form before implantation in domestic ruminants and pigs. It is tempting to speculate that the extraembryonic membranes are important for trophoblast elongation. In summary, the spherical blastocyst becomes tubular and then filamentous as it elongates and becomes a conceptus (embryo/fetus and associated extraembryonic membranes). In contrast, the blastocyst of laboratory rodents, primates and humans implants rapidly before expansion, and the extraembryonic membranes are formed after implantation (Renfree 1982, Guillomot et al. 1993, Carson et al. 2000). Furthermore, the polar trophectoderm does not disappear, but rather proliferates and gives rise to peripheral polyploid cells. However, the initial early stages of implantation are common to all species.

Based on a comparative implantation scheme proposed by Guillomot and colleagues (Guillomot et al. 1981, 1993, Guillomot 1995), the phases of implantation include: 1. shedding of the zona pellucida; 2. precontact and blastocyst orientation; 3. apposition; 4. adhesion; 5. endometrial invasion. In contrast to rodents and humans, true endometrial invasion does not occur in ruminants. Following is an anatomic and cellular description of the phases of implantation in sheep, which are illustrated in Figs 1 and 2.

### Shedding of the zona pellucida (phase 1)

The morula (16–32 cells) stage embryo enters the uterus from the oviduct on day 4 after mating (day 0 = estrus/mating) (Fig. 1). The blastocyst is formed on day 6, and the zona pellucida is shed between days 8 and 9. Loss of the zona pellucida appears to be achieved by rupture and hatching after blastocyst growth or after enzymatic lysis by uterine and/or embryonic proteases. This stage can occur in blastocysts derived by in vitro maturation, fertilization and culture. In general, the zona pellucida is thought to prevent the trophoblast from contacting and attaching to the endometrial LE. The blastocyst is spherical on day 8, measures 200 μm in diameter and contains approximately 300 cells. By day 10, it measures 400–900 μm in diameter and contains approximately 3000 cells. After day 10, elongation of the blastocyst occurs, and it develops first into a tubular and then into a filamentous conceptus (Wintenberger-Torres & Flechon 1974).

### Precontact and blastocyst orientation (phase 2)

Between days 9 and 14, no definitive cellular contacts are observed between the trophectoderm and the endometrial epithelium. The blastocyst appears to be positioned and immobilized in the uterus after loss of the zona pellucida. However, the blastocyst can be easily recovered from the uterus by lavage without causing structural damage. The nonrandom orientation of the blastocyst represents a biologic constant of a given species, and the blastocyst position in the uterine horn is central in species characterized by large expansion of the blastocyst, as in domestic animals.

Starting on day 11, the spherical or slightly tubular blastocyst begins to elongate until it reaches a length of 25 cm or more by day 17 and resembles a long filament composed mainly of extraembryonic trophoblast. By day 12, it has elongated markedly, reaching a length of 10–22 mm. At day 14, the filamentous conceptus is about 10 cm long. The primitive streak appears at this stage and somites soon thereafter. The conceptus, first located in the uterine horn ipsilateral to the corpus luteum, elongates into the contralateral horn on day 13 and may fill more than half of its length on day 17 when only one ovulation has occurred (Rowson & Moor 1966). Hatched blastocysts and trophoblastic vesicles are not able to elongate in vitro unless transferred into the uterus (Heyman et al. 1984, Flechon et al. 1986). Elongation of the blastocyst is critical for developmentally regulated production of interferon tau (IFN-τ) (Farin et al. 1989, Guillomot et al. 1990, Gray et al. 2002), a type I IFN that is the signal for maternal recognition of pregnancy and acts in a paracrine manner on the endometrial epithelia to inhibit development of the luteolytic mechanism (Bazer 1992). The cellular and molecular mechanisms regulating blastocyst elongation are not well understood, but are hypothesized to require apposition and transient attachment of the trophoblast to the LE.
**Apposition (phase 3)**

Apposition of the conceptus involves the trophectoderm becoming closely associated with the endometrial LE followed by unstable adhesion. After day 14, the filamentous conceptus appears to be immobilized in the uterine lumen. The elongating blastocyst maintains close contact with the endometrial LE, which appears to imprint its rounded shape on the trophectoderm in fixed specimens (Guillomot et al. 1993). A close association of the apical membranes of both cell types is observed, although the conceptus can still be recovered intact from the uterus by lavage. In most species, the onset of apposition is accompanied by a reduction of the apical microvilli covering the trophectoderm, a reduction which occurs between days 13 and 15 on the sheep conceptus (Guillomot et al. 1981, 1993). In rodents, the endometrial epithelium undergoes the same modification, allowing a closer association with the trophoblast (Enders & Schlafke 1969); however, loss of apical microvilli on the uterine LE does not appear to occur in sheep (Guillomot et al. 1981, 1982). The permeability of uterine capillaries increases for pontamine blue at the same time (Boshier 1970). The apposition of the blastocyst is ensured by interdigitation of cytoplasmic projections of the trophoderm cells and uterine epithelial microvilli (Guillomot et al. 1981, 1993). In sheep, apposition occurs first in the vicinity of the inner cell mass, that is, the embryo, and spreads toward the extremity of the elongated conceptus.

In ruminants, the uterine glands are also sites of apposition (Guillomot et al. 1981, Guillomot & Guay 1982). Between the caruncles, the trophoblast develops finger-like villi or papillae, which penetrate into the mouths of the superficial ducts of the uterine glands at days 15–18 (Guillomot et al. 1981, Wooding et al. 1982). During their short life (they vanish at day 20), these trophoblastic differentiations are hypothesized to anchor the periattachment conceptus and absorb the histotrophic secretions of the glands (Guillomot et al. 1981). Furthermore, the trophoblast papillae are hypothesized to facilitate the formation of more robust adhesive interactions between the trophoblast and endometrial LE (Wooding et al. 1982). Similar features were described in the cow conceptus from day 15 of pregnancy, but, curiously, the goat conceptus lacked trophoblast papillae.
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The ovine uterine wall can be functionally divided into the endometrium and the myometrium. The normal adult ovine endometrium consists of LE, glandular epithelium (GE), several types of stroma (stratum compactum and stratum spongiosum), blood vessels and immune cells. In sheep, the endometrium has two distinct areas – aglandular caruncular and glandular intercaruncular. The caruncular areas have LE and compact stroma and are the sites of superficial implantation and placentation (Wimsatt 1950, Amoroso 1951). Synepitheliochorial placentation in sheep involves the fusion of placental cotyledons with endometrial caruncles to form placentomes, which serve a primary role in fetal–maternal gas exchange and derivation of nutrients by the placenta. The first changes in the endometrial LE begin on day 14 in both uterine horns (Guillomot et al. 1981). The caruncles become edematous with a folded and depressed surface. These modifications are progressive and do not occur simultaneously on all caruncles. Caruncular folding is perhaps the first step in the formation of crypts that constitute the maternal side of the future placentomes, which are structures that form with placental cotyledons (Wimsatt 1950). Dome-like cytoplasmic protrusions also appear on the caruncular epithelial cells, which have a convex apex. Similar protrusions, which are sites of endocytosis, are termed pinopods and have also been described on the uterine epithelium at the time of implantation in the mouse, rat, human and rabbit (Guillomot et al. 1981).

**Adhesion (phase 4)**

On day 16, the trophoblast begins to adhere firmly to the endometrial LE. Uterine lavage to recover the conceptsuses causes superficial structural damage at this time. The interdigitation of the trophoblast and endometrial LE occurs in both the caruncular and intercaruncular areas of the endometrium. Adhesion of the trophoblast to the endometrial LE progresses along the uterine horn and appears to be completed around day 22 (Boshier 1969, Guillomot et al. 1981). Interestingly, the arrest of IFNγ gene expression occurs in regions of the mononuclear trophoblast which have established cellular contacts with the LE during the implantation process (Guillomot et al. 1990).

The trophoblast giant binucleate cells (BNC) have differentiated from the mononuclear trophoblast by day 16, but only mononuclear trophoblast cells are thought to adhere to the endometrial LE. The BNC of the ruminant placenta may be analogous in many respects to the trophoblast giant cells of the syncytiotrophoblast in humans (Hoffman & Wooding 1993). The BNC have at least two main functions: 1. to form a hybrid fetomaternal syncytium essential for successful implantation and subsequent placentomal growth; 2. to synthesize and secrete protein and steroid hormones, such as placental lactogen and progesterone, that regulate maternal physiology (Wooding 1992, Hoffman & Wooding 1993, Spencer et al. 2004). Trophoblast BNC are thought to arise from the mononuclear trophoblast stem cells by consecutive nuclear divisions without cytokinesis, migrate through the apical trophoblast tight junctions of the chorion, and flatten as they become apposed to the apical surface of the endometrial LE (Wimsatt 1951, Wooding 1984). The BNC then fuse apically with the endometrial LE and form syncytia of trinucleate cells, thereby assimilating and replacing the endometrial epithelium. Subsequently, the trinucleate cells enlarge by continued BNC migration and fusion to form syncytial plaques linked by tight junctions that appear to be limited in size in the ewe to 20–25 nuclei (Wooding 1984). The syncytial plaques eventually cover the caruncular surface and aid in formation of the placentome. Indeed, BNC migrate and fuse with the uterine epithelial cells or their derivatives throughout most of pregnancy. The uterine LE persists but is modified to a variable degree, depending on species, into a hybrid fetomaternal syncytium formed by the migration and fusion of the fetal BNC with those of the endometrial epithelium (Wooding 1992). The mature sheep placenta is defined as synepitheliochorial, being neither entirely syndesmochorial without uterine epithelium, nor completely epitheliochorial with two...
apposed cell layers whose only anatomic interaction is interdigitated microvilli, as in the pig.

**Functional role of the endometrial epithelium and secretions in blastocyst survival and elongation**

All mammalian uteri contain endometrial epithelia that synthesize and secrete or transport a complex array of proteins and related substances termed 'histotroph' (Wimsatt 1950, Amoroso 1952, Bazer 1975); that is, a complex mixture of enzymes, growth factors, cytokines, lymphokines, hormones, transport proteins and other substances. Evidence from human, primate and subprimate species during the last century supports an unequivocal role for secretions of endometrium as primary regulators of conceptus survival, development, production of pregnancy recognition signals, implantation and placentation (reviewed in (Bazer et al. 1979, Roberts & Bazer 1988, Carson et al. 2000, Gray et al. 2001a, Burton et al. 2002). The microvillous epithelial cells of the uterine lumen present a high secretory activity during the luteal phase of the cycle and at the beginning of implantation (Guillomot et al. 1981). The sheep trophoblast appears to be the site of intense pinocytotic activity that increases as the blastocyst develops (Winterberger-Torres & Flechon 1974). Therefore, it has been hypothesized that metabolites necessary for growth of the elongating conceptus are obtained from uterine histotroph. This hypothesis is supported by studies on the asynchronous transfer of embryos and trophoblast vesicles (Lawson et al. 1983, Flechon et al. 1986), but especially by results from studies of the uterine gland knockout (UGKO) ewe (Gray et al. 2001c, 2002).

The UGKO ewe model is produced by continuous administration of a synthetic, nonmetabolizable progesterin to neonatal ewes from birth to at least postnatal day 56 (Gray et al. 2000a). This inappropriate exposure to a progestin permanently ablates differentiation and development of the glandular epithelia (GE) from LE in the endometrium and produces an UGKO phenotype without altering development of myometrium or other Müllerian duct-derived female reproductive tract structures or the hypothalamic–pituitary–ovarian axis (Gray et al. 2000a,b, 2001b). The endometrium is devoid of middle to deep endometrial glands and the LE surface area is markedly reduced. UGKO ewes exhibit recurrent early pregnancy loss in which the blastocyst fails to elongate. Transfer of blastocysts from normal fertile ewes into the uterus of timed recipient UGKO ewes did not ameliorate this defect (Gray et al. 2001c). Morphologically normal blastocysts are present in uterine flushes of bred UGKO ewes on days 6 and 9 after mating, but not on day 14 (Gray et al. 2001c, 2002). On day 14, uterine flushes of mated UGKO ewes contain either no conceptus or a severely growth-retarded tubular conceptus. Therefore, histotrophic secretions from the endometrial epithelium are required for peri-implantation blastocyst survival and elongation in sheep.

Available results indicate that the defects in blastocyst survival and elongation in UGKO ewes are not due to alterations in expression of steroid receptors, mucin glycoprotein 1 (MUC1) or adhesion integrins on the endometrial LE, or to the responsiveness of the endometrium to the conceptus pregnancy recognition signal IFNγ (Gray et al. 2000a, 2002). However, when uterine flushes of day 14 bred UGKO ewes were analyzed for the presence of osteopontin (OPN) and glycosylated cell adhesion molecule 1 (GlyCAM-1) proteins, which are adhesion proteins secreted primarily by GE (Johnson et al. 1999a,b, Spencer et al. 1999a), very low levels of OPN and GlyCAM-1 were found compared to control day 14 pregnant ewes (Gray et al. 2002). Therefore, the reduction or absence in adhesion proteins of endometrial epithelial origin is proposed as the cause of recurrent pregnancy loss in the UGKO ewe.

**Adhesion molecules and implantation**

The external surface of the trophoblast and endometrial LE cells is composed of a glycoprotein coat, or glycocalyx. Biochemical changes occur in the composition or distribution of the trophoblastic glycocalyx during the process of blastocyst attachment in the ewe (Guillomot et al. 1982), but little information is available on the relative glycoprotein composition of the apical membranes of either the trophectoderm or endometrial LE. Recently, several candidate adhesion factors that mediate blastocyst implantation under the influence of progesterone have emerged in the sheep. As the hormone of pregnancy, progesterone plays a pivotal and indisputable role in the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy in mammals. In a number of mammalian uteri, progesterone receptors (PR) are expressed in the endometrial epithelia and stroma during the early to midluteal phase, allowing direct regulation of a number of genes by progesterone via activation of the PR. However, continuous exposure of the endometrium to progesterone negatively regulates PR expression in the endometrial epithelium. Expression of PR protein is not detectable in endometrial LE and GE in sheep after days 11 and 13 of pregnancy respectively (Spencer & Bazer 1995). Furthermore, PR expression is detected only in the endometrial stroma and myometrium throughout most of gestation in the ovine uterus (Spencer et al. 2004). The paradigm of loss of PR in uterine epithelia immediately before implantation is common across mammals (Carson et al. 2000, Spencer et al. 2004). Thus, regulation of endometrial epithelial function during the peri-implantation period may be dependent on loss of epithelial cell PR and/or be directed by specific factors produced by PR-positive stromal cells (Spencer & Bazer 2002, Spencer et al. 2004). The loss of the PR by the endometrial epithelium can be directly correlated with reduced expression of certain genes, such as the antiadhesive protein MUC1. Furthermore, PR loss in the endometrial GE appears to be required for the onset of...
expression of other genes during pregnancy, such as galec-
tin-15, osteopontin and ovine uterine serpins (or uterine
milk proteins) (Spencer et al. 2004), several of which are
discussed further below.

**MUC1**

As the blastocyst approaches the endometrial LE, it
encounters the glycocalyx. One component of the
glycocalyx is MUC1, a large, transmembrane mucin
glycoprotein expressed at the apical surface of a variety of
reproductive tract epithelia (Brayman et al. 2004). MUC1
is particularly abundant on the microvilli and cilia that
extend from the apical cell surface of the endometrial LE.
The extracellular domain of MUC1 contains a very large
amount of glycans (Aplin & Hey 1995). In fact, the core
protein is 120–220 kDa, but with glycosylation it can be
over 400 kDa. In both humans and rodents, the expression
pattern of the glycoproteins MUC1 and MUC4 on uterine
LE may control the accessibility of trophoblast integrin
receptors to their ligands by sterically blocking cell–cell
and cell–extracellular matrix (ECM) adhesion and access
of conceptus trophoblast to uterine LE, due to their exten-
sive glycrosylation and extended extracellular structure
(Carson et al. 2000, Burghardt et al. 2002). The implan-
tation adhesion cascade in sheep is initiated after down-
regulation of MUC1, and this is coincident with loss of PR
from uterine epithelium (Johnson et al. 2001). Immuno-
reactive MUC1 expression by LE decreases at days 9–17
of early pregnancy in normal (Johnson et al. 2001) and
UGKO (Gray et al. 2002) ewes. This pattern of MUC1
expression contrasts with that in rabbits and humans, in
which there is an overall increase in MUC1 expression
during the receptive phase under the influence of pro-
gesterone; however, MUC1 is locally reduced at implan-
tation sites, via the activity of cell-surface proteases that
are triggered by the blastocyst or mediated by paracrine
signals from blastocysts (Carson et al. 2000, Brayman et al.
2004). Regardless of the mechanisms by which MUC1 is
downregulated, removal of this antiadhesive barrier is
hypothesized to be necessary to expose other glyco-
proteins involved in the adhesion between trophoblast
and LE. Given that the mucins contain a large number of
glycans that can be potentially recognized by the blasto-
cyst or secreted animal lectins, they may also be involved
in the apposition phase of implantation (Aplin & Hey

**Glycosylated cell adhesion molecule 1 (GlyCAM-1)**

GlyCAM-1 is a sulfated glycoprotein secreted by the endo-
thelium that mediates leukocyte–endothelial cell
adhesion (Lasky et al. 1992). GlyCAM-1 is a member of the
mucin family of glycoproteins, with approximately 70%
of the native molecular mass composed of O-linked
carbohydrates found in two serine/threonine-rich domains
(Rosen 1993). This mucin glycoprotein is expressed predo-
minantly at the luminal surface of high endothelial
venules of peripheral and mesenteric lymph nodes. As
illustrated in Fig. 3, GlyCAM-1 functions as a carbo-
hydrate ligand for the lectin domain of leukocyte cell-sur-
face selectin (L-selectin) in the lymphoid system (Rosen
1993). Ligation of L-selectin by GlyCAM-1 activates β1
and β2 integrins and promotes firm adhesion to fibronect-
in (Hwang et al. 1996, Giblin et al. 1997). In humans,
trophoblast L-selectin appears to mediate interactions with
the uterine epithelium that may be critical to establishing
human pregnancy (Gebbacev et al. 2003). The temporal
and spatial patterns of GlyCAM-1 in the uterus of cyclic
and pregnant ewes implicate GlyCAM-1 as a potential
regulator of implantation (Spencer et al. 1999a). In cyclic
ewes, GlyCAM-1 expression increases in the endometrial
LE and superficial GE between days 1 and 5 and then
decreases between days 11 and 15. In pregnant ewes,
GlyCAM-1 in the LE and superficial ducal GE is low on
days 11 and 13, increases on day 15 and is abundant on
days 17 and 19. Immunoreactive GlyCAM-1 is also
detected in the conceptus trophoblast on days 13–19. In
pregnant ewes, the relative amount of immunoreactive
GlyCAM-1 in uterine flushings is low on days 11 and 13,
but abundant on days 15 and 17. Thus, a GlyCAM-1-like
protein may be a secretory product of the endometrial epi-
thelium and/or conceptus trophoblast. Patterns of distri-
bution observed for immunoreactive GlyCAM-1-like
protein in the endometrial epithelium, combined with
proposed functions for lymphoid GlyCAM-1, suggest that
this mucin glycoprotein may be involved in conceptus–
maternal interactions during the peri-implantation period
of pregnancy in sheep.

**Galectin-15**

Galectins are proteins with a conserved carbohydrate rec-
nognition domain (CRD) that bind β-galactosides, thereby
cross-linking glycoproteins as well as glycolipid receptors
on the surface of cells and initiating biologic responses
(Cooper 2002, Yang & Liu 2003) (Fig. 3). Recently, a new
galectin family member, galectin-15, was discovered in
the endometrium of sheep by gene expression profiling to
investigate the peri-implantation pregnancy defect in
UGKO ewes (Gray et al. 2004). Ovine endometrial galec-
tin-15 contains a conserved carbohydrate recognition
domain (CRD) that binds β-galactosides, but the carbo-
hydrate-binding specificity for each galectin appears to be
different (Cho & Cummings 1995). In addition to a con-
served CRD, galectin-15 also contains predicted cell
attachment sequences (LDV and RGD) that could mediate
binding to integrins in ECM proteins (Kimber & Spanswick
2000, Wang & Armanit 2002). Other galectins bind integ-
rins as well as fibronectin, laminin and MUC16/CA-125,
because these proteins are modified with β-galactoside
sugars (Cooper 2002, Seelemeyer et al. 2003, Yang &
Liu 2003). Functional studies of other galectins have
implicated these proteins in cell growth, differentiation
and apoptosis as well as in cell adhesion, chemoattracotrion

In the ovine uterus, galectin-15 mRNA was detected only in the endometrial LE and superficial ductal GE (Gray et al. 2004), which are the primary sites of blastocyst apposition and adhesion. In endometria of cyclic and pregnant ewes, galectin-15 mRNA was not detected before day 10, appeared and then increased 13-fold between days 10 and 14, and then noticeably decreased between days 14 and 16 in cyclic, but not pregnant, ewes. Immunoreactive galectin-15 protein was concentrated near and on the apical surface of the luminal and superficial ductal epithelia and localized within discrete cytoplasmic structures of conceptus trophectoderm. In uterine flushings, galectin-15 was present at low levels on days 10 and 12, but was abundant on days 14 and 16 of pregnancy. Progesterone induced and IFNγ increased galectin-15 mRNA in the endometrium. Thus, galectin-15 and Wnt7a are the only genes currently known to be increased by IFNγ in the endometrial LE of the ovine uterus (Choi et al. 2001, Kim et al. 2003, Gray et al. 2004).

The temporal and spatial alterations in galectin-15 mRNA and protein in endometrial LE and lumen of the ovine uterus during pregnancy, combined with the functional aspects of galectin-15 and its family members, make it a strong candidate for a mediator of conceptus–endometrial interactions during implantation. Therefore, the proposed extracellular role of galectin-15 in the uterine lumen is functionally to bind and cross-link β-galactosides on glycoproteins, such as mucins, integrins, fibronectin, laminin and other glycoproteins and glycolipids, thereby allowing it to function as a heterophilic cell adhesion molecule bridging the blastocyst and the endometrial LE. The biologic responses of the trophoblast to galectin-15 may also include migration, proliferation and differentiation, which are critical for successful conceptus implantation. Interestingly, galectin-15 appears to be the 14K protein from sheep endometrium initially characterized as a progesterone-modulated protein associated with crystalline inclusion bodies in uterine epithelia and conceptus trophoblast (Kazemi et al. 1990). The 14K protein was originally identified as a component of conceptus-conditioned culture medium and uterine flushes (Salamonsen et al. 1984). Release of the 14K protein was attributed to the cellular breakdown of conceptuses in culture (Kazemi et al. 1990). Immunogold electron microscopy revealed that within trophoblast, the 14K protein was localized to large, membrane-bound rhomboidal or needle-shaped crystal structures. Thus, it was suggested that the protein was secreted by the endometrial epithelia, taken up by the conceptus from uterine histotroph, and deposited as crystals (Kazemi et al. 1990). These crystals are first...
observed in the sheep trophoblast on day 10 and then increase in number and size between days 10 and 18 of pregnancy (Wintenberger-Torres & Flechon 1974). Indeed, the crystals exhibit a lattice periodicity of about 20 nm in day-14 blastocysts. Similar progesterone-induced crystal proteins are present in endometrium and conceptus trophoblast of many mammals, including rabbit, mouse, pig and human (Nakao et al. 1971, Calarco & Szollosi 1973, Daniel & Chilton 1978, Daniel & Kennedy 1978, Hoffman & Olson 1984, Hernandez & Baum 2002). However, the crystals are generally absent in blastocysts derived in vitro or in parthenotes (Daniel & Kennedy 1978, Talbot et al. 2000). Accordingly, galectin family members are likely to be expressed in the endometrium of many mammals to facilitate conceptus–endometrial interactions. Although the biologic role of galectin-15 crystals in the conceptus is not known, the intracellular roles of other galectins include modulation of cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis through functioning as pre-mRNA splicing factors and interacting with specific intracellular ligands such as Ras and Bcl-2 (Hernandez & Baum 2002, Liu et al. 2002).

**Integrins**

Integrins comprise a family of heterodimeric intrinsic transmembrane glycoprotein receptors that mediate cellular differentiation, motility and adhesion (Giancotti & Ruoslahti 1999). They play a dominant role in interactions with ECM to transduce cellular signals in uterine epithelial cells and conceptus trophoblast (Johnson et al. 2001, Burghardt et al. 2002, Johnson et al. 2003a). The central role of integrins in the implantation adhesion cascade is to bind ECM ligand(s) to cause cytoskeletal reorganization, stabilize adhesion, and mediate cell migration, proliferation and differentiation through numerous signaling intermediates (Giancotti & Ruoslahti 1999). Altered expression of integrins is correlated with several causes of infertility (Lessey 1998), null mutations of several integrins leads to peri-implantation lethality (Hynes 1996), and functional blockade of selected integrins reduces the number of implantation sites (Illera et al. 2000). During the peri-implantation period of pregnancy in ewes, integrin subunits α (v, 4, 5) and β (1, 3 and 5) are constitutively expressed on the apical surfaces of both conceptus trophoblast and endometrial LE (Johnson et al. 2001). These integrin subunits are detected at the apical surfaces of the LE and GE and on conceptus trophoblast; expression of these integrins is constitutive and not influenced by pregnancy or presence of the conceptus. In the sheep, receptivity to implantation does not appear to involve changes in either temporal or spatial patterns of integrin expression, but may depend on expression of other glycoproteins and ECM proteins, such as galectin-15, OPN and fibronectin, which are ligands for heterodimers of these integrins (Johnson et al. 2003a, Gray et al. 2004).

In species such as pig, mouse and humans, interactions between specific integrins and ECM proteins frame the putative window of implantation (Carson et al. 2000, Burghardt et al. 2002, Lessey 2002).

**Osteopontin (OPN)**

OPN is a member of the small integrin-binding ligand, N-linked glycoprotein (SIBLING) family of genetically related ECM proteins recognized as key players in a number of diverse processes such as bone mineralization, cancer metastasis, cell-mediated immune responses, inflammation, angiogenesis and cell survival (Sodek et al. 2000, Johnson et al. 2003a). OPN has also been linked to pregnancy (Johnson et al. 2003a). Microarray profiling identified OPN as the most highly upregulated ECM adhesion molecule in human endometrium that is receptive to implantation (Carson et al. 2002, Kao et al. 2002). Multiple integrin receptors for OPN are present on trophoblasts and LE of humans and domestic animals, some of which increase during the peri-implantation period (Lessey et al. 1994, Bowen et al. 1997, Johnson et al. 2001). Ovine and porcine trophoblast and LE cells show evidence of integrin receptor activation and cytoskeletal reorganization in response to OPN binding *in vitro* (Johnson et al. 2001, Garlow et al. 2002), and polymerized OPN has high tensile strength when simultaneously binding receptors on different cells during adhesion and matrix assembly (Goldsmith et al. 2002). Finally, disruption of the OPN gene in OPN-null and OPN heterozygote mice decreases reproductive success at midgestation, and OPN-null embryos are significantly smaller than wild-type counterparts at term (Weintraub et al. 2004).

OPN has been detected in epithelia and in secretions of many tissues, including the uterus (Johnson et al. 2003a). OPN binds to integrin heterodimers (αvβ1, αvβ3, αvβ5, αvβ6, αvβ8, α4β1, α5β1 and α8β1) via its Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequence, and to α4β1 and α9β1 by other sequences to promote cell adhesion, spreading and migration (Fig. 3). In sheep, OPN is also a component of histotroph secreted from endometrial GE into the uterine lumen during pregnancy. During the peri-implantation period of pregnancy in sheep, OPN mRNA is expressed only by the endometrial glands, first detected in some glands of some ewes by day 13, and is present in all glands by day 19 (Johnson et al. 1999b). Progesterone induces expression of OPN in the endometrial glands, and this induction is associated with a loss of PR in the GE (Spencer et al. 1999b, Johnson et al. 2000). The 45 kDa form of OPN is present in greater amounts in uterine flushings from pregnant than cyclic ewes (Johnson et al. 1999a, 2001). The 45 kDa fragment of OPN has greater binding affinity for αvβ3 integrin than the native 70 kDa form (Senger et al. 1996). Evidence suggests that secreted OPN binds integrin receptors expressed on conceptus trophoblast and endometrial LE, where it can stimulate changes in proliferation, migration, survival, adhesion and remodeling of the conceptus as it elongates, apposes and attaches to the endometrium (Johnson et al. 2003a).
adheres to the LE. OPN is hypothesized to serve as a bifunctional bridging ligand that mediates the adhesion between LE and trophoblast essential for implantation and placentation (Johnson et al. 1999a, 2003a,b).

Conclusions and future directions

During the past decade, knowledge of the mechanisms and factors regulating conceptus implantation in mammals has benefited through insights from the ewe and other domestic animals. However, much remains to be discovered about the interactions that regulate blastocyst implantation. Our knowledge of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of BNC differentiation is also very limited. As illustrated in Figs 2 and 3, a number of potential adhesion factors and cascades are proposed to regulate implantation in sheep. These adhesion systems probably function only in the correct spatiotemporal sequence (Aplin 1997). Results from studies of rodents firmly support the hypothesis that implantation involves a multiplicity of receptor–ligand interactions that are organized into a cascade. Therefore, the individual and integrative roles of adhesion factors will need to be mechanistically determined by in vivo, ex vivo and in vitro experiments. Although gene knockouts and transgenics are technically possible in domestic animals, these techniques are not feasible as research tools to understand the specific roles of factors proposed to regulate implantation. Therefore, other strategies must be employed to conduct hypothesis-based research to determine the specific roles of candidate genes in regulating implantation in domestic animals. Promising technologies include the use of adenoviruses, antisense oligodeoxynucleotides, morpholinos and small inhibitory RNAs that could be used in vivo and ex vivo to perform gain-of-function and loss-of-function studies of specific gene(s) in the endometrial epithelia and trophoblast. Future research in sheep and other domestic animals must incorporate these types of approaches in order to determine the mechanistic roles of specific factors hypothesized to mediate implantation. The sequencing of the genomes of domestic animals is expected to generate knowledge and reagents useful to understand the basis of enhanced fertility in specific breeds of domestic animals (Finnish Landrace sheep and Meishan ewes). Understanding the signals that regulate uterine glands provides histiotrophic nutrition for the human fetus during the first trimester of pregnancy.
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