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Summary. A combination of mouse inbred strains was studied, in which foetal but not placental weight is greater in the F₁ hybrid than in the inbred litters. Gestation period was found to be shorter for the F₁ hybrid than for the inbred litters. It is concluded that, insofar as the length of gestation is inversely related to the mass of the conceptuses, it is the foetus rather than the placenta which matters.

For females of a given strain of mice, the length of gestation may be reduced by the following factors:

(a) Increased number of young in the litter, irrespective both of their distribution between uterine horns and of the number of corpora lutea (Biggers, Curnow, Finn & McLaren, 1963).

(b) Mating with a male of a different inbred strain, so that the young are F₁ hybrids (McLaren & Michie, 1963; D. A. James, personal communication).

(c) Immunization of the female against tissue from a second inbred strain, followed by mating with a male of that strain; this produces an increase in both foetal and placental weight, and gives a further reduction in gestation period compared with (b) (James, 1965).

(d) Inclusion of at least one relatively advanced, transferred embryo in the litter (McLaren & Michie, 1963).

In each case, the reduction in gestation period is associated with an increase in the total amount of both foetal and placental tissue in the litter. The observation by McCarthy (1965) that, in the JU ♀ × R III ♂ cross, foetal size is increased over the inbred JU level but placental size is not, provides a good opportunity of testing which element of the conceptus is the more relevant to the shortening of gestation period—the foetus or the placenta.

Only first litters were studied. Nulliparous females of the JU strain were paired with JU or R III males, and examined daily for mating plugs. The day on which a plug was found was designated the first day of pregnancy. Pregnant females were either killed on the 18th day, and their foetuses and placentae counted and weighed, or they were examined for births twice a day from the
18th day onwards, to determine the length of gestation. Some of the newborn litters were also weighed.

The results confirm McCarthy's (1965) finding, in that foetal weight was significantly higher in F1 than in inbred pregnancies, but placental weight was not affected by the genotype of the litter (Table 1). At birth, the difference in weight between inbred and F1 young was about the same as it had been on the 18th day of gestation, but because of the greater variation among newborn young, the difference was not statistically significant (Table 1). Litter size was similar in the two groups.

Gestation was about half a day longer for females carrying inbred than for those carrying F1 hybrid young (Table 2). Both groups showed a negative regression of gestation period on litter size, but the slope of the regression line was significantly steeper (P<0.05) for the inbred than for the F1 hybrid litters. The mean gestation period in the two groups was therefore compared, and found to differ significantly (P<0.02). This procedure would tend to underestimate the difference in gestation length, since mean litter size was slightly larger in the inbred than in the F1 hybrid group (Table 2).

Biggers et al. (1963) speculated that one way in which litter size might affect gestation length would be if oestrogens were produced by the placentae. The responsiveness of the uterus to oxytocin, and hence the time of onset of parturi¬tion, might depend on a threshold level of oestrogen acting on the uterus; if the

### Table 1

**Weight of foetuses and placentae on the 18th day of gestation, and young on the day of birth, in litters of Ju females mated with Ju males (Inbred litters) or R III males (F1 hybrid litters)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inbred</th>
<th>F1 hybrid</th>
<th>Significance of difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of pregnancies</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean foetal weight (mg)</td>
<td>708±23</td>
<td>860±16</td>
<td>P&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean placental weight (mg)</td>
<td>119±4</td>
<td>109±4</td>
<td>P&gt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number in litter</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

**Duration of gestation in Inbred (Ju♀×Ju♂) and F1 hybrid (Ju♀×R III♂) litters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inbred</th>
<th>F1 hybrid</th>
<th>Significance of difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of pregnancies</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestation period (days)</td>
<td>19.71±0.17</td>
<td>19.18±0.12</td>
<td>P&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number born</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
oestrogens concerned were produced by the placentae, the time at which the threshold level was reached might depend upon the number of placentae present. If larger placentae produced more oestrogen, the effect of conceptus size on gestation length would also be explained. However, Harkness, McLaren & Roy (1964) failed to detect any oestrogen in mouse placentae. The hypothesis becomes even less tenable in the light of the present evidence that an increase in foetal mass alone can reduce the length of gestation.

The shorter gestation length of F₁ hybrid litters might be interpreted as a direct immunological effect of the antigenic dissimilarity between the mother and the F₁ placentae, unrelated to the increased size of the F₁ young. If this were so, antigenic dissimilarity would be independently affecting both gestation length and foetal size (D. A. James, personal communication; one would also have to postulate a third independent effect, namely that of number in the litter on gestation length. It is simpler to suppose that gestation length decreases whenever foetal mass increases. The increase in mass could result either from the presence of a greater number of foetuses, or from an increase in their mean weight, whether this be brought about by the genetical or by the immunological consequences of hybridity.
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