Morphologic changes in boar sperm nuclei with reduced disulfide bonds in electrostimulated porcine oocytes

Michiko Nakai1,2, Naomi Kashiwazaki2, Akiko Takizawa2, Naoki Maedomari1,2, Manabu Ozawa1, Junko Noguchi1, Hiroyuki Kaneko1, Masao Shino2 and Kazuhiro Kikuchi1

1Genetic Diversity Department, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan and 2Laboratory of Animal Reproduction, School of Veterinary Medicine, Azabu University, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-8501, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to K Kikuchi; Email: kiku@nias.affrc.go.jp

Abstract

In pigs, failure of sperm nuclear decondensation has been reported after injection into oocytes. We examined the effects of pretreating sperm heads with Triton X-100 (TX-100) and dithiothreitol (DTT) and of electrical stimulation of oocytes after sperm head injection on time-dependent morphologic changes in sperm nuclei and in vitro development to the blastocyst stage. In experiment 1, spermatozoa were pretreated with 1% TX-100 and 5 mM DTT (T1D) or not treated, and then injected into in vitro matured oocytes. Electrical stimulation (1.5 kV/cm, 20 μs DC pulse) was applied to the oocytes 1 h after injection (stimulated group) or was not applied (unstimulated group). Some of the oocytes in each group were evaluated at hourly intervals until 10 h after injection for morphologic changes in the sperm nuclei. Unstimulated oocytes injected with untreated spermatozoa showed a delayed peak in the rate of nuclear decondensation (39.4–44.1%, 3–6 h after injection) compared with oocytes injected with T1D-treated spermatozoa (57.0% and 52.6%, 1 and 2 h, respectively). The rate of male pronucleus formation peaked 6 h after stimulation (by 40–60%) after injected oocytes had been stimulated with an electrical pulse, irrespective of whether or not the spermatozoa had been pretreated. In unstimulated oocytes, the rate of male pronucleus formation did not increase and stayed at the basal level (less than 20%) throughout the culture period, regardless of the sperm treatment. Thus, T1D treatment of spermatozoa did not affect completion of fertilization. In experiment 2, we evaluated the effects of electrical stimulation and sperm treatment with T1D on the rate of blastocyst formation and the mean number of cells per blastocyst. Oocytes stimulated after injection with either T1D-treated or untreated spermatozoa showed significantly higher percentages of blastocyst formation (24.8% and 27.1%, respectively) than did unstimulated oocytes (1.1% and 4.1%, respectively; P < 0.01 by Duncan’s multiple-range test). The rate of blastocyst formation did not differ between the T1D-treated and untreated groups. The mean number of cells per blastocyst did not differ among any of the groups (14.0–29.4 cells). These results suggest that pretreatment of sperm with TX-100 and DTT shifted the timing of sperm nuclear decondensation forward. However, pronucleus formation and development to the blastocyst stage in vitro were not improved by sperm treatment. Thus, electrical stimulation of injected oocytes enhances in vitro development to the blastocyst stage in pigs.
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Introduction

Intracytoplasmic injection of a nonmotile sperm into the ooplasm for assisted fertilization is a highly specialized procedure for producing new livestock, and is also expected to be useful for sperm-mediated gene transfer (Perry et al. 1999). Although successful production of viable piglets by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), using both in vivo (Kölbe & Holtz 2000, Martin 2000) and in vitro (Nakai et al. 2003) matured oocytes, has been reported, these methods have low successful fertilization rates (Nakai et al. 2003). In many injected pig oocytes, the female pronucleus forms, but most of the sperm heads do not decondense (Kren et al. 2003). The injected sperm nuclei have to be remodeled into the typical somatic state, and participate in subsequent chromosomal activities such as nuclear decondensation and formation of male pronuclei. Remodeling of sperm nuclei requires the reduction of disulfide bonds (S–S) regulated by ooplasmic glutathione (Perreault et al. 1988, Zirkin et al. 1989, Yoshida et al. 1993, Katagiri & Ohsumi 1994) and replacement of protamines by histones (Yanagimachi 1994). These events are considered essential for the completion of fertilization.
of fertilization (Shimada et al. 2000). If it were possible to regulate the S–S status of sperm nuclei before injection, the relationship between S–S reduction and sperm decondensation or pronucleus formation would be clearer, and the efficacy of porcine ICSI might be improved. Dithiothreitol (DTT) is a common chemical agent used to reduce sperm nuclear S–S, resulting in artificial decondensation in vitro in mammalian spermatozoa (Seligman et al. 1994, Motoishi et al. 1996, Rho et al. 1998, Galli et al. 2003), including those of pigs (Shimada et al. 2000). It has also been reported that in hamsters there is a significant relationship between S–S reduction by DTT and pronucleus formation (Perreault et al. 1987). We have already developed an ICSI technique that achieves developmental competence of embryos, resulting in the production of viable piglets after embryo transfer (Nakai et al. 2003). Use of a combination of DTT treatment and the ICSI technique would enable us to clarify the influence of the process of S–S reduction on decondensation and pronucleus formation and, furthermore, its influence on development to the blastocyst stage after injection.

On the other hand, oocyte activation is also an event fundamental to embryonic development in ICSI. In mice (Kimura & Yanagimachi 1995, Kuretake et al. 1996), hamsters (Hoshi et al. 1992), man (Tesarik & Sousa 1995) and rabbits (Keefe 1989), ICSI alone is sufficient to activate oocytes for embryonic development. However, in pigs, artificial oocyte activation is considered essential for successful ICSI; the additional electrical stimulation after ICSI results in better embryonic development than after injection alone (Lee et al. 2003). It has also been reported that oocyte activation by electrical stimulation enhances normal fertilization after injection with round spermatids (Kim et al. 1999) and the development of oocytes to the blastocyst stage after ICSI with frozen-thawed sperm (Nagashima et al. 2003). However, the precise effect of oocyte stimulation on embryonic development, in relation to sperm decondensation, has not yet been clarified.

We examined the effects of pretreating sperm with Triton X-100 (TX-100) and DTT, and also of electrical stimulation of oocytes, on time-dependent morphologic changes in the sperm nucleus and on in vitro development to the blastocyst stage.

Materials and Methods

Oocyte collection and in vitro maturation

Protocols for the use of animals were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences and Azabu University, Japan. Ovaries were obtained from prepubertal cross-bred gilts (Landrace, Large White, and Duroc breeds) at a local slaughterhouse and transported to the laboratory at 35 °C. Cumulus–oocyte complexes (COCs) were collected from follicles 2–6 mm in diameter in TCM 199 (with Hanks’ salts; Sigma) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA), 20 mM Hepes (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan), 100 IU/ml penicillin G potassium (Sigma), and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin sulfate (Sigma). Maturation culture was performed as reported previously (Kikuchi et al. 2002, Nakai et al. 2003). In brief, about 40 COCs were cultured in four-well dishes (Nuncion Multidishes; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY, USA) for 20–22 h in 500 μl maturation medium, which was a modified North Carolina State University (NCSU)-37 solution (Petters & Wells 1993) containing 10% (v/v) porcine follicular fluid, 0.6 mM cysteine, 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM dibutyl cAMP (dbcAMP; Sigma), 10 IU/ml equine chorionic gonadotropin (PMS 1000 Tani NZ; Nihon Zenyaku Kogyo, Koriyama, Japan), and 10 IU/ml human chorionic gonadotropin (Puberogen 1500 U; Sankyo, Tokyo, Japan). This was followed by culture for a further 24 h in maturation medium without dbcAMP and hormones. Maturation culture was carried out at 39 °C in an atmosphere of CO2, O2, and N2 adjusted to 5%, 5% and 90% respectively. After maturation culture, cumulus cells were removed from the oocytes by treatment with 150 IU/ml hyaluronidase (Sigma) and gentle pipetting. Denuded oocytes with the first polar body were harvested under a stereomicroscope and served as in vitro matured oocytes.

Sperm preparation and pretreatment

Spermatozoa for injection were prepared as reported previously (Nakai et al. 2003). Epididymal spermatozoa were collected from a Landrace boar and frozen (Kikuchi et al. 1998, Ikeda et al. 2002). Spermatozoa were thawed in TCM 199 (with Earl’s salts; Gibco) adjusted to pH 7.8, and centrifuged for 2 min at 600 g. The sperm pellet was resuspended in pig fertilization medium (pig FM; Suzuki et al. 2002) supplemented with 5 mg/ml BSA (Fraction V; Sigma). Spermatozoa were then sonicated for 1 min to isolate the sperm heads. In man, DTT is known to promote decondensation of sperm chromatin only after the sperm plasma membrane has been made permeable (Reyes et al. 1989). Therefore, before DTT treatment, the spermatozoa were washed in pig FM supplemented with the nonionic detergent TX-100 at 1% (v/v) at room temperature to remove the sperm membrane. This treatment exposed the sperm nuclei directly to DTT. The sperm were then incubated at 37 °C in pig FM supplemented with 5 mM DTT (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan). After incubation, the spermatozoa were washed in pig FM without DTT. To determine the appropriate time for sperm incubation, we conducted a preliminary experiment (Fig. 1). We treated the sperm with DTT for 1 min and immediately injected them, still with their original morphology, into mature oocytes.

Procedure of sperm head injection

Sperm heads were injected as described previously (Nakai et al. 2003). About 20 oocytes were transferred into a
20 μl drop of injection solution. The solution consisted of modified IVC-PyrLac-Hepes, which was a modified NCSU-37 without glucose but supplemented with 0.17 mM sodium pyruvate, 2.73 mM sodium lactate, 4 mg/ml BSA, 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol (IVC-PyrLac) (Kikuchi et al. 2002) and 20 mM Hepes, of which the osmolarity was adjusted to 285 mOsm. A solution containing mature oocytes was placed on the cover of a plastic dish (Falcon 35–1005; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). A small volume (0.5 μl) of the sonicated sperm head suspension was transferred to 2 μl drops of injection solution with 4% (w/v) polyvinyl pyrrolidone (MW 360 000; Sigma), which was prepared close to the drops used for the oocytes. All drops had been covered with paraffin oil (Paraffin Liquid; Nakarai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). A single sperm head was aspirated from the suspension into an injection pipette, and the pipette was moved to the drop containing the oocytes. The sperm head was injected into the ooplasm with a piezo-actuated micromanipulator (PMAS-CT150; Prime Tech Ltd, Tsuchiura, Japan).

**Oocyte stimulation**

One hour after injection, the sperm head-injected oocytes were transferred to an activation solution consisting of 0.28 M d-mannitol, 0.05 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM MgSO₄ and 0.1 mg/ml BSA, and washed once. They were then stimulated with a direct current pulse of 1.5 kV/cm for 20 μs with a somatic hybridizer (SSH-10; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

**In vitro culture of sperm head-injected oocytes**

Sperm head-injected oocytes before and after electrical stimulation were cultured in vitro. Two types of in vitro culture (IVC) medium were prepared (Kikuchi et al. 2002). The first was IVC-PyrLac. The second contained 5.55 mM glucose, as originally reported in NCSU-37 (Petters & Wells 1993), and also 4 mg/ml BSA and 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol (IVC-Glu). IVC-PyrLac was used from day 0 (the day of injection and electrical stimulation was defined as day 0) to day 2. The medium was changed once to IVC-Glu at day 2 and used for subsequent culture. IVC was carried out at 38.5 °C under 5% O₂.

**Assessment of fertilization and embryonic development**

The sperm-injected oocytes and cultured embryos were mounted on glass slides and fixed in 25% (v/v) acetic acid in ethanol, stained with 1% (w/v) orcein in 45% (v/v) acetic acid, and examined under a phase-contrast microscope. We conducted the examination at 0–10 h after a treated or untreated sperm head had been injected and then the oocytes stimulated or unstimulated. A total of 44 experimental groups were evaluated: three replicated trials using 37–138 oocytes were carried out for each group.

**Statistical analysis**

Fertilization status (percentages of decondensed sperm heads, recondensed sperm heads, and male pronucleus formation) and morphologic changes in sperm nuclei (transformation to metaphase chromosomes) were scored. Embryonic development to the blastocyst stage (rate of blastocyst formation and mean number of cells per blastocyst) was evaluated. The percentage data were arcsine transformed (Snedecor & Cochran 1989). All the data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by the general linear model procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and were then analyzed by Duncan’s multiple-range test.

**Experiment 1**

We examined the effects of 1% TX-100 and 5 mM DTT treatment (T + D treatment), with or without oocyte stimulation, on morphologic changes in the injected sperm. Some of the oocytes in both the T + D-treated and untreated groups were fixed every 1 h after the injection (0–10 h after injection) in whole-mount preparations and stained, and the status of the injected sperm heads was evaluated. The sperm heads were categorized into the following five states: 1. condensation (Fig. 2A); 2. decondensation (Fig. 2B); 3. recondensation into a mass (Fig. 2C); 4. pronucleus formation, identified by the presence of at
least two pronuclei (Fig. 2D); and 5. metaphase-like chromosome formation (Fig. 2E). Rates of decondensation, recondensation and pronucleus formation, and the presence of metaphase-like chromosomes were also assessed together as the rate of ‘post-condensation’.

**Experiment 2**

We evaluated the effects of electrical stimulation and sperm treatment with or without T\(^+\)D on the subsequent development of oocytes after injection of sperm heads. On day 6, the cultured oocytes were fixed and stained, and the percentage of blastocysts and mean numbers of cells per blastocyst were evaluated.

**Results**

**Experiment 1**

Time-dependent changes in the rates of condensation, decondensation, recondensation, pronuclear formation and metaphase-like chromosome formation, as well as post-condensation in T\(^+\)D-treated or untreated sperm heads, with or without oocyte stimulation after injection, are shown in Fig. 3. The results of ANOVA (F-values and significance levels) of the changes in sperm nuclear morphology are shown in Table 1.

**Condensation**

Regardless of whether or not the oocyte had been electrically stimulated, a decrease in the percentage of condensed sperm nuclei was recognized in both the T\(^+\)D-treated and untreated groups (Fig. 3A and B). There was a rapid drop in the percentage just after injection in the T\(^+\)D-treated group (to 43.0% by 1 h in the unstimulated group and to 46.5% and 15.0% by 1 and 2 h respectively, in the stimulated group). In contrast, the rate of condensation decreased gradually in the untreated group (remaining at 98.8% and 94.7% at 1 h in the unstimulated and stimulated groups respectively). Generally, the rates in the T\(^+\)D-treated groups were lower than those in the untreated groups, and this difference was significant in terms of the F-value (\(P < 0.001\)) for the effect of sperm treatment (Table 1). The percentage condensation also differed significantly (\(P < 0.001\)) according to the period after the injection (Table 1).

**Decondensation**

In unstimulated oocytes, the peak rate of sperm nuclear decondensation in the untreated group occurred later (39.4–44.1%, 3–6 h after injection) than in the T\(^+\)D-treated group (57.0% and 52.6%, 1 and 2 h respectively) (Fig. 3C). However, in stimulated oocytes, the peak rate in the untreated group occurred earlier (42.6–44.1%, 2–4 h) – at the same time as that observed in the T\(^+\)D-treated group (82.5%, 2 h) (Fig. 3D). Statistical analysis revealed that sperm decondensation was affected significantly (\(P < 0.001\)) by time elapsed after injection (Table 1).

**Recondensation**

When the injected oocytes were not stimulated, the rate of recondensation of sperm heads increased gradually to about 60% with increasing culture time in both the T\(^+\)D-treated and untreated groups (Fig. 3E). Stimulation of oocytes prevented this increase in the rate of sperm
Figure 3 Time-dependent changes in the rates of condensation (A and B), decondensation (C and D), recondensation (E and F), pronucleus formation (G and H), metaphase formation (I and J) and post-condensation (K and L) of boar sperm heads at every 1 h after injection. Heads were untreated or pretreated with 1% Triton X-100 and 5 mM dithiothreitol. Electrical stimulation (1.5 kV/cm, 20 μs DC pulse) was applied to the oocytes 1 h after injection (B, D, F, H, J and L) or was not applied (A, C, E, G, I and K). Mean percentages ± S.E.M. are presented.
head recondensation (to less than 20%) during all culture periods in both sperm treatment groups (Fig. 3F). Statistical analysis revealed that all three main effects were significant ($P < 0.01$). Both electrical stimulation and culture duration had very significant effects ($P < 0.001$); the F-value for stimulation was particularly high, meaning that stimulation was more important than the other two effects for sperm chromatin recondensation (Table 1).

Pronucleus formation

The rates of pronucleus formation were increased 4 h after stimulation (to about 40–60%) in the T + D-treated and untreated groups (Fig. 3H). However, when injected oocytes were not stimulated, the rates in both groups were not increased and remained at the basal level (less than 20%) for the whole culture period (Fig. 3G). Statistical analysis revealed that the differences in the two effects (electrical stimulation and culture duration) were significant ($P < 0.001$); the F-value for stimulation was particularly high, indicating that stimulation was a more important factor in pronucleus formation (Table 1).

Metaphase-like chromosome formation

The rate of transformation to metaphase-like chromosomes in sperm nuclei was less than 15% in all experimental groups over the whole culture period (Fig. 3I and J). Statistical analysis revealed that the effects of sperm treatment and culture period were significant ($P < 0.01$) (Table 1).

Post-condensation

Generally, the rates of post-condensation in the T + D-treated groups were higher than in the untreated groups (Fig. 3K and L). Significance was detected in terms of F-values ($P < 0.001$) for both sperm treatment and duration of culture (Table 1).

Experiment 2

Table 2 shows the rates of blastocyst formation and the average numbers of cells per blastocyst after injection of T + D-treated or untreated sperm heads with or without electrical stimulation of oocytes. When the oocytes from both the T + D-treated and untreated groups were stimulated by an electrical pulse, they showed significantly higher blastocyst formation rates ($P < 0.01$; 24.8% and 27.1% respectively) than did unstimulated oocytes from both sperm treatment groups (1.1% and 4.1% respectively). No significant difference in the rate of blastocyst formation was detected between the T + D and untreated groups. Moreover, there were no significant differences among any of the groups in the mean numbers of cells per blastocyst (14.0–29.4 cells).

Discussion

Adenot et al. (1991) reported that in mice a sperm head in the ooplasm decondenses just after penetration and then slowly recondenses; it then forms the male pronucleus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Stimulation</th>
<th>Total no. of examined oocytes</th>
<th>No. (% ± S.E.M.) of blastocyst</th>
<th>Average no. ± S.E.M. of cells in blastocyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100 + dithiothreitol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>$26 (24.8 ± 4.8)^a$</td>
<td>$24.8 ± 2.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>$1 (1.1 ± 1.0)^b$</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>$29 (27.1 ± 6.1)^a$</td>
<td>$29.4 ± 2.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$4 (4.1 ± 1.5)^b$</td>
<td>$23.0 ± 3.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four replicate trials were carried out for each group. Values different superscripts (a,b) are significantly different; $P < 0.01$. 

Table 2 Effects of treatment for sperm heads and stimulate to oocytes that were injected sperm heads on the rate of blastocyst formation and the total cells number of blastocyst in pig.
In our present study, almost the same transition of sperm nuclear remodeling was confirmed during porcine ICSI. Structures around the sperm nucleus are the factors responsible for sperm decondensation during porcine ICSI. It has already been reported that pig sperm have stable membrane properties and that membrane damage is thus beneficial to sperm decondensation during ICSI (Lee & Yang 2004). Furthermore, the presence of nonnuclear sperm-head structures, such as the acrosome (Katayama et al. 2002), plasma membrane (Lee & Yang 2004) and perinuclear theca (Sutovsky et al. 2003), reduces the rate of sperm nucleus decondensation. However, the relationship between sperm structures or S–S reduction and decondensation or pronucleus formation has not yet been clarified; we therefore injected S–S-modified sperm after treatment with T + D and analyzed the time-dependent morphologic changes in the nuclei of the injected sperm. TX-100 affects the acrosomal membrane and also removes the sperm plasma membrane (Perry et al. 1999). Furthermore, DTT can access the sperm nucleus via the perinuclear theca, leading to decondensation of the nucleus (Sutovsky et al. 1997). Treatment with T + D for a short period did not induce complete sperm decondensation (Fig. 1) but did accelerate decondensation after injection. We observed that the rate of sperm nuclear decondensation in the T + D-treated group rose to about 60% at 1 h; this peak was shifted forward in comparison with that for the untreated group (Fig. 3C). It has also been reported that, in hamsters, the timing of sperm nuclear decondensation is related to sperm nuclear S–S content (Perreault et al. 1987). Furthermore, the rate of condensed sperm heads in the T + D-treated groups was lower than in the untreated groups (Fig. 3A and B). However, the pronucleus formation rates did not differ between the groups (Fig. 3G and H). These results clearly suggest that treatment with both TX-100 and DTT accelerates sperm nuclear decondensation after injection but does not affect male pronucleus formation; we therefore need to consider the possibility that pronucleus formation is also affected by an ooplasmic mechanism or mechanisms other than S–S reduction in the sperm nucleus. As well as S–S, it should be noted that weak noncovalent bonds, which can be broken with an agent such as sodium dodecyl sulfate, can also affect the stability of condensed sperm heads (Calvin & Bedford 1971).

It is interesting that electrical stimulation accelerated the decondensation of injected sperm heads 1 or 2 h after stimulation (2–3 h after injection) in both the T + D-treated and untreated groups (Fig. 3D). Although the mechanism of this phenomenon has not yet been clarified, it might involve increases in both intracellular pH (Shen & Steinhardt 1978) and the intracellular concentration of calcium (Sun et al. 1992), which are known to occur when oocytes are activated artificially (Ruddock et al. 2001). The changes in pH and calcium might be related to changes in the activity of enzymes involved in loss of substructure of the perinuclear theca, and thus loss of its stability (Fulton 1984, De Lourdes Juarez-Mosqueda & Mujica 1999). Furthermore, activated oocyte cytosol (Cameron & Poccia 1994) and alkaline pH (Collas 1998) promote decondensation of sperm nuclei.

We observed a time-dependent increase in the percentages of recondensation and pronucleus formation in nonstimulated and stimulated oocytes respectively; these phenomena were observed in both the T + D-treated and untreated groups (Fig. 3E and H respectively). It has been reported that artificial oocyte activation aids ICSI in cows (Chung et al. 2000) and pigs (Lee et al. 2003, Nagashima et al. 2003). This is related to the transition of M-phase promoting factor (MPF) activity in the recipient ooplasm (Kikuchi et al. 1995). The activity of unactivated oocytes gradually decreases during arrest at metaphase II, but it is high enough to maintain the M-phase (Kikuchi et al. 1995, 1999a, 2000). This high MPF activity makes the injected sperm nuclei recondense into a chromatin mass, or they may often change into metaphase chromosomes, as reported in mice (Clarke & Masui 1987), pigs (Kikuchi et al. 1999b) and cows (Abeydeera & Niwa 1992). Once the oocyte is activated, MPF activity is reduced to the basal level, resulting in pronucleus formation (Murray et al. 1989). However, some of the activated oocytes show failure of male pronucleus formation, resulting in recondensation or the formation of metaphase-like chromosomes; this could be caused by reactivation of MPF in response to incomplete oocyte activation (Collas et al. 1993, Ito et al. 2004). In fact, in our study, this was reflected in the status of the unstimulated oocytes. Spontaneous oocyte activation was characterized by second polar body emission and retention of the female pronucleus in about 15% of oocytes; however, the percentage of oocytes at metaphase III (in which the oocyte has a metaphase plate with both a first and a second polar body) was increased to about 30% 5 h after injection (data not shown).

Pretreatment of sperm with DTT is useful in bovine ICSI for facilitating pronucleus formation (Rho et al. 1998) and embryo development to the blastocyst stage (Galli et al. 2003). However, our results demonstrated clearly that blastocyst formation is not promoted by the use of T + D-treated sperm heads for injection in pigs, in agreement with a previous report (Yong et al. 2005). In the light of the fact that acrosome removal before ICSI does not affect either blastocyst formation in vitro or development to term in vivo after transfer to recipients (Nakai et al. 2003), these results suggest that, in pigs, pretreatment of sperm, as by removal of the sperm membranes and reduction of S–S, does not have a marked effect on the development of injected oocytes. From these results, we conclude that the most important factor for development of injected porcine oocytes is not the state of the sperm membranes or S–S but activation of the injected oocyte.

In conclusion, pretreatment of sperm with TX-100 and DTT has been shown to bring forward the timing of sperm nuclear decondensation; however, there is still a problem with the course of sperm nuclear decondensation in pig ICSI, because a certain proportion (about 30%) of con-
densed sperm remain. Development to the pronuclear and blastocyst stages in vitro was not improved by this pre-treatment. Furthermore, we demonstrated clearly that, in pigs, artificial oocyte activation is essential for the development of injected oocytes.
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