**Phodopus campbelli** detect reduced photoperiod during development but, unlike **Phodopus sungorus**, retain functional reproductive physiology
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**Abstract**

Golden (Mesocricetus auratus) and Siberian (Phodopus sungorus) hamsters are widely used as animal models for seasonal reproduction; but *M. auratus* shows no developmental delay in short days until after sexual maturity, whereas *P. sungorus* juveniles delay development in short days. As the photoperiodic response of *Phodopus campbelli* is not well established, litters of the two *Phodopus* species were gestated and reared under long days (14 h light:10 h darkness) or short days (10 h light:14 h darkness) until 70 days of age. As expected, under short photoperiod *P. sungorus* showed reduced body, testes, epididymides, uterus, and ovary weight; antral follicles and corpora lutea were absent and vaginae remained closed. Animals moulted to winter pelage, and low concentrations of each of leptin, testosterone, and prolactin were present in male serum. *Phodopus campbelli* juveniles also responded to the short photoperiod as measured by reduced body, testes, epididymides, and ovary weight. The summer pelage persisted. However, both sexes of *P. campbelli* developed functional reproduction under 10 h light:14 h darkness. All females had a patent vagina by 10 weeks; ovaries contained antral follicles and corpora lutea, and uteri were not reduced in weight. In males, the concentrations of testosterone, leptin, and prolactin were not reduced by short photoperiod. Developmental patterns in the three species of hamster, therefore, differ and are not predicted by relatedness or latitude of origin. Other ecological traits, such as predictability of summer rainfall, ambient temperature, and differential responses to social cues might be important.


**Introduction**

Directional changes in photoperiod act as a proximal cue for the coming season, allowing animals to anticipate environmental changes (Goldman 2001). Juvenile Siberian dwarf hamsters, *Phodopus sungorus*, raised under short photoperiods (≤12 h light) have slowed growth, decreased reproductive development, and delayed puberty compared with controls maintained in long photoperiods (>14 h light; Hoffmann 1978, Yellon & Goldman 1984, Furuta et al. 1994, Shaw & Goldman 1995, Hegstrom & Breedlove 1999b, Adam et al. 2000). In contrast, the golden hamster (*Mesocricetus auratus*) exhibits a robust reproductive response to short photoperiod as an adult. In juveniles, the growth, development, and the timing of puberty are not affected by rearing in short photoperiod or total darkness (Darrow et al. 1980).

The closest living relative of *P. sungorus* is the Djungarian dwarf hamster, *Phodopus campbelli* (Ross 1995, 1998, Sokolov et al. 1998). The two species are not sympatric but experience similar photoperiods, because they are found at similar latitudes in middle Asia and Siberia (Flint 1966). However, the habitat of *P. campbelli* is harsher, with less rainfall during a shorter breeding season, and colder winter temperatures (Wynne-Edwards 1998). There is also evidence that juvenile *P. campbelli* have less sensitivity to reduce photoperiod than juvenile *P. sungorus* (Ebling 1994). In juvenile *P. campbelli*, short-day rearing did not significantly reduce body weight, testes or uterine weight, or prolactin concentration at 70 days age (Ebling 1994). Therefore, it is possible that ecological differences, independent of latitude or phylogenetic history, contribute to developmental responses to short photoperiod. However, the *P. campbelli* animals used in the Ebling (1994) study were derived from the pet trade (Wright’s of Essex), and might not be representative of the wild population.

The present study was, therefore, designed to confirm and extend the results of Ebling (1994) with outbred...
laboratory populations of each species, descended from wild-caught individuals (Wynne-Edwards 1995, 2003), which retain species differences in activity and social behavior as seen in the field (Wynne-Edwards 1995, 2003, Wynne-Edwards et al. 1999). Reproductive development in P. campbelli and P. sungorus raised from conception in either a long day (LD = 14 h light:10 h darkness) or a short day (SD = 10 h light:14 h darkness), were compared to determine the time course and effects of reduced photoperiod on body weight, reproductive development, puberty, and serum hormone levels.

Methods

Animals

Sexually naive, male and female P. sungorus and P. campbelli, aged 60–110 days at the beginning of the study, were drawn from a breeding colony that consists of the outbred descendants of wild-caught individuals maintained at Queen’s University since 1990 (Wynne-Edwards 1995). Housing mimics conditions seen in the P. campbelli breeding season in its natural habitat (Wynne-Edwards 1998) with temperatures 18 ± 1.0 °C, to reflect typical burrow temperatures and photoperiod of 14 h light:10 h darkness (0000 h corresponding to the middle of the dark phase). Animals were housed in same-sex sibling groups of one to three individuals from the time of weaning (18 days) until pairing. Standard caging (27 × 21 × 14 cm polycarbonate cages; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY, USA) was used at all stages of these studies. Food (Rodent Diet 5001; LabDiet, Richmond, IN, USA) and fresh tap water were available ad libitum. All animal care procedures complied with the guidelines set out by the Canadian Council on Animal Care under Queen’s University protocols 2004-065 and 2005-011.

Photoperiod manipulation

Twenty-four breeding pairs of P. campbelli and P. sungorus were established using standard procedures for this breeding colony that minimize inbreeding and provide a slight weight advantage to the male. Half of the pairs were moved to an experimental photoperiod (10 h light:14 h darkness) on the day of pairing and the rest were maintained under standard photoperiod (14 h light:10 h darkness). Litters produced by these pairs were reared under the same photoperiod as their parents. Females that did not give birth within 32 days after pairing were excluded from the study. Young were weaned at 18 days of age into same-sex sibling groups of one to four individuals. No attempt was made to track individual identity within a litter.

Developmental measures

During lactation, pups were sexed and weighed at ages 12, 15, and 18 days. Results were reduced to an average for male and female pups in the litter before analysis. After weaning, individuals in cage-groups were weighed weekly until 70 days of age. Vaginal patency was scored as open or closed in female cage-groups, every 2 days, beginning with the day of weaning. Once all females in the cage had been consistently scored as patent (4 successive times) vaginal patency was checked only weekly. Pelage color was scored weekly, beginning on the day of weaning (18 days of age), using a four-point scale (Duncan & Goldman 1984) that ranged from summer pelage (1) to a white winter coat with a remaining dark dorsal stripe (4).

Hormone assays

At 70 days age, serum was collected from males during the light phase, using a method that does not induce handling-related changes in cortisol or prolactin (Reburn & Wynne-Edwards 2000). Animals were anesthetized with remotely administered isoflurane (1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl difluoromethyl ether) vaporized in oxygen. Multiple cages were anesthetized at a time, with no new animals introduced into the sampling room until all carcasses and soiled cages were removed from the room. This precaution was taken to reduce ‘spectator effects’, which alter prolactin levels (Reburn & Wynne-Edwards 2000). Blood (1.0–1.3 ml) was drawn from the retro-orbital sinus using a non-heparinized Pasteur pipette. Samples were stored at 4 °C overnight, centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C, and the resulting serum was stored at −20 °C until assayed for hormone content.

Each serum sample had the concentration of testosterone, leptin, and prolactin determined. To avoid effects of repeated freeze–thaw cycles, testosterone and leptin were quantified over a 2-day period. At the same time, serum for prolactin assay was diluted into assay buffer to yield the 100 μl volume required for the assay, and then re-frozen. Both species and photoperiod manipulations were represented in each assay. Results for multiple males within the same litter were averaged before analyses, so that the independent sample size was the number of litters in each treatment.

Total testosterone concentration was determined using a 125I double antibody kit (Coat-A-Count total testosterone; Diagnostics Products Corporation, Intermedico, Markham, Ontario, Canada). Samples and pools were analyzed in duplicate at 50 μl in two assays. Three human serum controls (CON4, CON5, and CON6; Intermedico) fell within expected ranges, yielding intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) estimates between 3 and 13% and inter-assay CV estimates between 7 and 9%. Blood pools from sexually naive male hamsters contained 10.5 ng/ml (P. campbelli) and 6.2 ng/ml
(P. sungorus), with intra-assay CV between 4 and 9% and inter-assay CV of 8%. The range of the assay was 0.02–32 ng/ml, with interpolated values outside those limits rounded to the limit before analyses. In practice, 11 samples fell below the lower limit and four samples exceeded the upper limit.

Leptin concentration was determined using a $^{125}$I double antibody kit (Multispecies Leptin Kit; Linco Research, Incorporated, St Charles, MO, USA), previously validated in Phodopus (Horton et al. 2000). Samples and pools were analyzed in duplicate at 50 μl and standards were analyzed in triplicate at 100 μl in two assays on the same day. Purified recombinant human leptin (6000K QC1 and QC2) and blood pools from naive hamsters of each species were used as internal controls. All human controls were inside the expected range with intra-assay CV of 22% and 19% (QC1) and 13 and 23% (QC2) and inter-assay CV of 23 and 21% respectively. Homologous serum pools fell at 5.9 ng/ml (P. campbelli) and 9.9 ng/ml (P. sungorus) of human equivalent, with intra-assay CV of 20, 4, 12, and 2% respectively. Inter-assay CV was 18% (P. campbelli) and 9% (P. sungorus). No samples fell outside the 2–100 ng/ml interpolation range.

Prolactin concentration was determined using $^{125}$I heterologous golden hamster prolactin (Dr A F Parlow, Pituitary Hormones and Antisera Center, Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute, Los Angeles, CA, USA) as routinely used for these species (McMillan & Wynn-Edwards 1999, Reburn & Wynn-Edwards 1999, 2000, Brooks 2005). Rat-anti-hamster prolactin (#AFP-7472988) was the primary antibody and goat-anti-rat gamma globulin (titer P4 lot #9TA814; Antibodies, Inc., Davis, CA, USA) was the secondary antibody. Hamster prolactin (#AFP-10302E) was used as a reference standard. Assay sensitivity ranged from 0.02 to 10 ng/ml. Ten microliters of samples and control pools were diluted into a 100 μl volume with assay buffer and assayed in triplicate against a triplicate standard curve. Three assays were from a single iodination. Intra-assay CV was 41, 44, and 39% at 0.04 ng/ml and 51, 6, and 3% at 2.5 ng/ml. Inter-assay CV for those two controls was 44 and 30% respectively. Interpolations outside the detection limits were rounded to the limiting value. In practice, nine determinations fell below the lower limit and none fell above.

Measures at 70 days

Males were killed immediately following blood collection, while still under isoflurane anesthesia. Body weight was recorded and then testes and epididymides were cleared of surrounding fat and weighed to yield a paired fresh weight. Pelage score was also noted.

Females were killed by cervical dislocation without anesthesia, since no blood sample was taken. Vaginal patency, paired ovarian weight, and uterine fresh weight were recorded. Ovaries and uteri were immediately fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin and serially dehydrated into 70% ethanol. Twenty-four ovaries, selected at random to represent six individuals from different litters within each of the four groups, were embedded in paraffin, serially sectioned at 6 μm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. An investigator, blind to species and group status, counted ovarian follicles in every tenth section, with a random start between the fourth and sixth sections, and classified follicles as primordial, transitional, primary, secondary, or antral. Primordial follicles were defined as an oocyte surrounded by a single layer of flattened granulosa cells, whereas transitional follicles contained a mix of flattened and cuboidal granulosa cells. Transitional follicles 0.48; interaction $P=0.02$, although

$Z_00.76$; photoperiod $P=0.48$; interaction $P=0.02$, although no evidence of differences in pup survival to weaning (pups lost: species $P=0.87$; photoperiod $P=0.48$; interaction $P=0.99$).
There was also no evidence for a differential latency to deliver the first litter with 37/41 females delivering litters conceived within one estrous cycle (4 days) of pairing (species $P=0.22$; photoperiod $P=0.15$; interaction $P=0.18$).

**Pup weight**

Although pup survival was not altered, pup weight before weaning (repeated measure across days 12, 15, and 18; $F_{2,275}=3.59$, $P<0.0001$) had additional effects of species ($F_{1,275}=5.62$, $P<0.005$) and pup sex ($F_{1,275}=4.33$, $P<0.02$) but not photoperiod ($F_{1,275}=1.00$, $P=0.37$). However, there was also a significant three-way interaction over species, photoperiod, and pup sex ($P<0.0001$) with two-way interactions across species and photoperiod ($P<0.001$), but not sex and species ($P=0.08$) or sex and photoperiod ($P=1.00$). Within *Phodopus sungorus*, there was a sexual dimorphism in body weight at day 12 with females larger than males (male weight/female weight: *P. campbelli* 0.96; *P. sungorus* 0.71), but this was eliminated by day 15 (*P. campbelli* 1.03; *P. sungorus* 1.02) and later turned into a shared dimorphism of about 15% in favor of males (*P. campbelli* 1.16; *P. sungorus* 1.17). As a result, analyses of weight from days 12 to 70 after birth considered sex, species, and photoperiod (Fig. 1).

Males grew over the ten repeated weight measurements from days 12 to 67 after birth ($F_{9,24}=151$, $P<0.0001$) with significant interactions with species ($F_{1,24}=5.72$, $P<0.0005$) and photoperiod ($F_{1,24}=4.00$, $P<0.005$) and a three-way interaction term ($P=0.02$). Therefore, from days 25 to 67, male *P. campbelli* housed under long days were heavier than male *P. campbelli* housed under short days. Those male *P. campbelli* housed under short days were similar in weight to male *P. sungorus* housed under long days. *P. sungorus* males housed under long days were also heavier than *P. sungorus* males housed under short days (Fig. 1a). Within each species, the males differed in the timing of a photoperiod effect. Long-day *P. campbelli* males were heavier than short-day males on day 18 (one-way ANOVA within day with *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer honestly significant difference (HSD)), but the effect was not significant until day 32 for *P. sungorus* males.

Females also grew over the ten repeated weight measurements from days 12 to 67 after birth ($F_{9,21}=170$, $P<0.0001$) with significant interactions with species ($F_{1,21}=16.7$, $P<0.0001$) and photoperiod ($F_{1,21}=3.68$, $P<0.01$) and a three-way interaction term ($P<0.05$). The order of the groups was similar to the males, although female *P. campbelli* housed under long days were not significantly heavier than female *P. campbelli* housed under short days. Those female *P. campbelli* housed under short days were similar in weight to female *P. sungorus* housed under long days, and all groups were heavier than *P. sungorus* females housed under short days (Fig. 1b). The species difference in weight was established by day 18 (one-way ANOVA within day with *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer HSD). As seen for the males, long-day *P. campbelli* females were heavier than short-day females at day 18, but the photoperiod difference within *P. sungorus* females was not seen until day 32.

**Reproductive development**

Latency to the first female with a vaginal patency is shown in Fig. 2a, with latency until all females in the cage had patent vaginas shown in Fig. 2b. Under long days, the first *P. campbelli* female was patent within 1
week of weaning (23.6 ± 0.8 days). This latency doubled under short days (40.9 ± 6.1 days; \( t = -2.8, \) df = 18, \( P < 0.01 \)). However, the difference did not persist when the latency measure required all females in the cage to be patent, because three cage-groups failed to reach the criterion under long days (long days 40.3 ± 6.6; short days 48.6 ± 6.4; \( z = 1.00, \) \( P = 0.32 \)). In contrast, only 9 of 11 long-day \( P. \) sungorus groups had a single patent female by day 70, and this was later than long-day \( P. \) campbelli females (\( z = 3.96, P < 0.0001 \)). No short-day \( P. \) sungorus females reached vaginal patency by 70 days. This was later than long-day females (\( z = 3.01, P < 0.005 \), but we cannot provide an accurate estimate of the age to reach this developmental stage in \( P. \) sungorus.

In males the rate of reproductive development was estimated by testes (Fig. 3a) and epididymides (Fig. 3b) paired fresh weight at 70 days. Testes were larger in \( P. \) campbelli than \( P. \) sungorus (\( F_{1,37} = 58.7, P < 0.0001 \)) and larger under the long photoperiod (\( F_{1,37} = 42.4, P < 0.0001 \)) with an interaction (\( P < 0.02 \)), because the proportional reduction in weight under short days was greater for \( P. \) sungorus. Results were similar for epididymides (Fig. 3b). For both measures in \( P. \) sungorus males, the decline in tissue weight was proportional to the decline in overall body weight, so that there was no effect of photoperiod if the tissue weight was expressed as a proportion of body weight. In contrast, the effect of photoperiod in \( P. \) campbelli persisted after correction for body weight (testes \( P < 0.002 \); epididymides \( P < 0.002 \)).

Fresh weight of the paired ovaries and the uterus was examined in females. At 70 days, \( P. \) campbelli ovaries were significantly heavier than \( P. \) sungorus ovaries (\( F_{1,37} = 41.8, P < 0.0001 \)), and ovarian weight was greater under long days (\( F_{1,37} = 15.6, P < 0.0005 \)) with no interaction (\( P = 0.73; \) Fig. 3c). Results were similar for uterine weight with a 31% reduction in uterine weight under short days for \( P. \) campbelli and a 71% reduction under short days for \( P. \) sungorus (Fig. 3d).
Ovarian follicular development was further advanced in *P. campbelli* than *P. sungorus*, regardless of photoperiod (Fig. 4). Five of six ovaries from *P. campbelli* had at least one antral follicle and/or corpus luteum (CL), whereas only half the ovaries from *P. sungorus* held under long days had antral follicles and none had CL (Table 1). Follicular development was never advanced beyond the preantral stage (secondary follicles), when *P. campbelli* follicles were greater in females under short days. Numbers of antral follicles were held under short days. Differences were detected across other follicle types. Within these clusters, suggesting that these large cells were hypertrophied granulosa cells from an atretic follicle.

As a previous study had noted that there was a depletion of the resting *P. sungorus* follicular pool (primordial plus transitional follicles) under long days that did not occur under short days (Place et al. 2004), the size of the follicular pool was also compared. In *P. sungorus* females under short days, the pool was somewhat larger (72%) than the primordial follicle pool in females under long days, although the difference did not achieve statistical significance ($z=-1.9$, $P=0.06$).

### Pelage

There was no change in pelage for any *P. campbelli* litters over the 70 days of this experiment. All remained in their full summer pelage ($1.0 \pm 0$). As expected, *P. sungorus* under long photoperiod also remained in their summer pelage throughout the 70 days ($1.0 \pm 0$). In contrast, 5/7 short-day *P. sungorus* litters were already at a pelage score of 2 at 25 days of age, all seven litters had a pelage score of 2 at 60 days, and two litters had moved to a pelage score between 2 and 3 by 67 days of age. Thus, *P. sungorus* pelage responded to the reduced photoperiod, but *P. campbelli* pelage did not.

### Hormones in male serum

Serum testosterone levels were significantly higher in *P. campbelli* than *P. sungorus* males ($F_{1,37}=6.30$, $P<0.02$) with no evidence for a photoperiod effect ($F_{1,37}=2.29$, $P=0.14$) and no interaction ($P=0.80$; Fig. 5a). Serum leptin levels were significantly higher in *P. campbelli* than *P. sungorus* males regardless of treatment group ($F_{1,37}=6.60$, $P<0.02$) with no evidence for a photoperiod effect ($F_{1,37}=0.57$, $P=0.45$) although there was a significant interaction ($P<0.01$), because the *P. campbelli* on short photoperiod had higher leptin than the *P. sungorus* males on short days (post hoc Tukey-Kramer HSD; Fig. 5b). Prolactin levels did not differ across the two species ($F_{1,37}=0.03$, $P=0.87$), but were significantly reduced by short days ($F_{1,37}=19.3$, $P<0.0001$) with a significant interaction ($P<0.05$), because the *P. sungorus* long-day male levels were

---

**Table 1** Ovarian follicular development at 70 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Primordial</th>
<th>Trans</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Antral</th>
<th>Corpora lutea*</th>
<th>Primordial + trans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. campbelli</em> 14 h light:10 h darkness</td>
<td>160.5±34.7</td>
<td>3.5±1.0</td>
<td>33.7±6.5</td>
<td>10.8±3.0</td>
<td>2.3±0.7</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>164.0±35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. campbelli</em> 10 h light:14 h darkness</td>
<td>149.7±16.9</td>
<td>3.8±2.1</td>
<td>31.7±5.6</td>
<td>12.0±2.8</td>
<td>1.8±0.5</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>153.5±18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. sungorus</em> 14 h light:10 h darkness</td>
<td>131.5±19.9</td>
<td>3.2±0.9</td>
<td>26.2±3.1</td>
<td>12.7±2.6</td>
<td>0.7±0.3</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>134.7±19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. sungorus</em> 10 h light:14 h darkness</td>
<td>225.7±44.6</td>
<td>5.7±1.8</td>
<td>33.3±5.6</td>
<td>8.7±2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>231.3±46.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Corpora lutea counts represent the number of females in each group that had CLs present at the time of counting.*

---
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significantly higher than those for both species of males experiencing short days (Fig. 5c).

Discussion

When exposed to a reduced photoperiod of 10 h light:14 h darkness throughout gestation and development, as opposed to a permissive photoperiod of 14 h light:10 h darkness, both male and female juvenile *P. sungorus* responded as expected. Testes and epididymides, as well as uteri and ovaries, remained small under 10 h light:14 h darkness (Hoffmann 1978, Yellon & Goldman 1984, Ebling 1994, Furuta et al. 1994, Hegstrom & Breedlove 1999b, Adam et al. 2000, van den Hurk et al. 2002), and ovaries did not contain antral follicles or corpora lutea (van den Hurk et al. 2002, Place et al. 2004). No females held under 10 h light:14 h darkness displayed vaginal opening by 70 days of age (Adam et al. 2000, Place et al. 2004). Body weight diverged with both males and females under 10 h light:14 h darkness at reduced body weight relative to the 14 h light:10 h darkness animals (Yellon & Goldman 1984, Ebling 1994, Hegstrom & Breedlove 1999b, Adam et al. 2000, van den Hurk et al. 2002), and male leptin concentration significantly reduced relative to 14 h light:10 h darkness (Atcha et al. 2000, Horton et al. 2000, Klingenspor et al. 2000). Pelage moulted to the winter white (Hoffmann 1978). Testosterone and prolactin concentrations were also low in 10 h light:14 h darkness males relative to 14 h light:10 h darkness males (Yellon & Goldman 1984, Ebling 1994, Furuta et al. 1994).

As expected, based on responses of adults to short days (Mercer et al. 1994, 1995a, 1995b, Bilbo et al. 2003), *P. campbelli* also detected the reduced photoperiod, as measured by reduced testes, epididymides, and ovary weight. Body weight was also reduced as a result of short photoperiod exposure, however, unlike *P. sungorus* males, serum leptin levels were unaffected by short photoperiod in *P. campbelli*. As fat pads were not assessed, this species difference in leptin response remains difficult to interpret (Mercer et al. 1994, 1995a, Atcha et al. 2000, Klingenspor et al. 2000, Barton et al. 2002). Although changing pelage in *P. campbelli* adults exposed to short days has been reported (Bilbo et al. 2003), summer pelage did not change with gestation and juvenile development under reduced photoperiod. Reproductive suppression, in contrast to *P. sungorus*, was minimal in both sexes of *P. campbelli* under reduced photoperiod. Specifically, the concentration of testosterone and prolactin in males was not reduced under 10 h light:14 h darkness relative to 14 h light:10 h darkness, all females developed to vaginal patency within 10 weeks, ovaries contained antral follicles and corpora lutea, and uteri were not reduced in weight. Thus, the species differences previously described within a population derived from the pet-trade (Ebling 1994), were confirmed and extended to this population derived from wild-caught individuals.

With our measures of reproductive development at 70 days, we cannot distinguish between (a) slower growth of *P. campbelli* reproductive tissues under 10 h light:14 h darkness than 14 h light:10 h darkness and (b) similar early growth trajectories for *P. campbelli* in the 2 day lengths followed by regression of reproductive tissues in the reduced photoperiod group. This latter pattern is typical of the responses of juvenile golden hamster (*M. auratus*) to gestation in long days, and
rearing in short days (Gaston & Menaker 1967, Darrow et al. 1980). The earlier study comparing these two species of Phodopus, however, also included measures of reproductive tissues at 35 days in addition to measures at 70 days (Ebling 1994). In that study, although body weight was not affected by photoperiod, P. campbelli testes weight and uterine weight at 35 days were reduced under 8 h light:16 h darkness relative to 16 h light:8 h darkness (Ebling 1994). Therefore, the developmental pattern for reproductive tissues in P. campbelli is not the same as the ‘develop and then regress’ pattern described for golden hamsters (Darrow et al. 1980). Thus, the developmental trajectories for reproduction in P. campbelli did not follow established patterns for P. sungorus or the golden hamster.

Despite the close phylogenetic relatedness of these sibling species within the genus Phodopus (Ross 1995, 1998, Sokolov et al. 1998), and their similar latitude of origin (Flint 1966, which determines annual photoperiod), the photoperiod responsiveness of P. campbelli was not predicted by known responses of P. sungorus to reduced photoperiod. Thus, it is likely that local ecological variables have been of greater importance than absolute photoperiod or ancestry in determining the reproductive responses to reduced photoperiod.

In particular, the two species of Phodopus differ in the harshness of their environment. The habitat in which P. campbelli is found has colder winters (January average of −30 °C rather than −20 °C), less rainfall (210 mm vs 300 mm annually), and a shorter season during which rain falls (2 months rather than 5 months; Wynne-Edwards 1998, 2003). Thus, one hypothesis would predict that P. campbelli would wait for longer days before initiating reproduction because the rain occurs later in the summer and early breeding is not likely to be successful. However, these data do not support that hypothesis, because these juvenile P. campbelli activate reproduction even under a day length of 10 h that is typically seen in May and September. An alternate hypothesis is that the breeding season of P. campbelli is less predictable than the P. sungorus breeding season because rain is ephemeral and cannot be accurately predicted by photoperiod. If so, then photoperiod might broadly indicate time of year, but be a less salient predictor of ideal breeding conditions that is supplemented by other variables such as succulent food or rainfall to determine the timing of breeding attempts.

Responses to 14 h light:10 h darkness also suggest that reproductive development in P. campbelli is insensitive to photoperiod relative to P. sungorus. For P. campbelli, all measures of development in the present study were similar to equivalent measures under 16 h light:8 h darkness in the earlier comparative study (Ebling 1994). In contrast, reproductive development in both sexes of P. sungorus was reduced relative to reproductive development at 16 h light:8 h darkness (Ebling 1994). This was consistent with studies showing that 16 h light:8 h darkness promotes more rapid development than 14 h light:10 h darkness in P. sungorus (Gorman 1995). Thus, choice of 16 h light:8 h darkness, rather than 14 h light:10 h darkness as the long-day photoperiod would probably have enhanced measures of long-day reproductive development in P. sungorus without altering measures of reproductive development in P. campbelli.

There might, however, be additional contributing environmental factors to the species difference, such as ambient temperature. In the semi-desert where P. campbelli is found, low temperatures in the night that are cool (4–12 °C), even when the summer days are at their hottest (unpublished local weather records for Erzin, Tuva). This reduces soil temperature so that burrows that are approximately 1 m deep remain cool throughout the summer (approx 18 °C; Wynne-Edwards 1998, 2003). Breeding P. campbelli females are intolerant of ambient temperatures typically used to house laboratory rodents (23 °C) and suffer maternal hyperthermia (Scribner & Wynne-Edwards 1994a, 1994b) that constrains pup attendance (Walton & Wynne-Edwards 1997) and reduces pup survival (Wynne-Edwards & Lisk 1988) relative to an ambient temperature of 18 °C. For this reason, the populations studied here are, and have been, maintained at an ambient temperature of 18 °C (Wynne-Edwards & Lisk 1988, 1989). This ambient temperature is cooler than 23 °C typically used to house P. sungorus in other laboratories (Lerchl & Schlatt 1993, Gorman 1995, Bilbo et al. 2003) and might have slowed developmental trajectories in response to the increased metabolic demands of thermoregulation at the lower ambient temperature.

In addition, cues from conspecifics might have contributed to the species differences seen. In P. sungorus, males remain reproductively competent under short days if housed with a cycling female (Hegstrom & Breedlove 1999a, Park et al. 2004, Timonin & Wynne-Edwards 2006). Thus, it is possible that cues from P. campbelli females supported the developmental trajectory of males under 10 h light:14 h darkness and/or cues from P. campbelli males supported the developmental trajectory of females. A species difference in the salience of social cues is not unlikely, because the two species of Phodopus differ in social organization. Phodopus campbelli show obligate bi-parental care that includes acting as a midwife during the delivery of a litter (Jones & Wynne-Edwards 2000, 2001) and prompt retrieval of an experimentally displaced pup (Reburn & Wynne-Edwards 1999, Brooks et al. 2005, Hume & Wynne-Edwards 2005, 2006, Schum & Wynne-Edwards 2005, Vella et al. 2005), whereas P. sungorus shows none of the same paternal responsiveness (Reburn & Wynne-Edwards 1999, Schum & Wynne-Edwards 2005, Timonin & Wynne-Edwards 2006). Thus, reproductive development in spite of
detection of reduced photoperiod, might reflect an interaction between stimulatory and inhibitory environmental cues that have greater salience for *P. campbelli* than for *P. sungorus* (e.g. Duncan et al. 1985, Masuda & Oishi 1988, Nelson et al. 1989).

Clearly, important differences in developmental responses to gestation and juvenile development under reduced photoperiod characterize *P. sungorus, M. auratus*, and *P. campbelli*. The exceptional photoperiodism of *P. sungorus* is not shared by its closest living relative, even though that relative inhabits similar latitudes (Wynne-Edwards 1995, 1998, 2003, Wynne-Edwards et al. 1999). The golden hamster strategy of reproductive development irrespective of photoperiod, followed by regression in response to reduced photoperiod, also fails to generalize to *P. campbelli*. Latitudinal shifts in range are likely to be required in the face of global climate change (Parmesan 1996, Thomas et al. 2004, Wilson et al. 2005). Given the diversity of responses to photoperiod contained within the hamster clade, we should not overestimate our ability to predict the responses of species and communities to shifting climate on the basis of relatedness, ecological similarity, or results from a few model organisms.
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