Piezo-assisted nuclear transfer affects cloning efficiency and may cause apoptosis
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Abstract

Even though it generates healthy adults, nuclear transfer in mammals remains an inefficient process. Mainly attributed to abnormal reprogramming of the donor chromatin, this inefficiency may also be caused at least partly by a specific effect of the cloning technique which has not yet been well investigated. There are two main procedures for transferring nuclei into enucleated oocytes: fusion and piezoelectric microinjection, the latter being used mostly in mice. We have, therefore, decided to compare the quality and the developmental ability, both in vivo and in vitro, of embryos reconstructed with electrofusion or piezoelectric injection. In addition, the effect of piezo setups of differing electric strengths was investigated. Along with the record of the rate of development, we compared the nuclear integrity in the blastomeres during the first cleavages as well as the morphological and cellular quality of the blastocysts. Our results show that the piezo-assisted micromanipulation can induce DNA damage in the reconstructed embryos, apoptosis, and reduced cell numbers in blastocysts as well as a lower rate of development to term. Even if piezo-driven injection facilitates a faster and more efficient rate of reconstruction, it should be used with precaution and with as low parameters as possible.

Introduction

Although it can result in apparently healthy adults, somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) is a very inefficient process. The success rates of SCNT average 1–3% in most mammalian species (Solter 2000, Perry 2004). Even for mice, which were successfully cloned for the first time 8 years ago (Wakayama et al. 1998), few laboratories have reported the generation of cloned offspring. SCNT can be capricious and is still far from becoming a routine technique (Perry & Wakayama 2002).

The low efficiency of SCNT has been attributed mostly to inefficient reprogramming of the transferred nucleus (Jouneau & Renard 2003, Latham 2004). More recently, it has been shown that the somatic cytoplasm transferred along with the nucleus has a detrimental effect on the subsequent development of the embryo (Van Thuan et al. 2006). However, the specific effect of the cloning technique has been poorly investigated. There are two main procedures to transfer the ‘donor’ nuclei into enucleated oocytes: fusion and piezoelectric microinjection (PEM). In the first case, the membrane of the donor cell is fused with that of the oocyte by an electric current, a virus, or a chemical agent; whereas in the second case, the cytoplasmic membrane is broken and the donor nucleus is injected into the oocyte cytoplasm. While the electrofusion (EF) method is extensively used for most species, the PEM method is mainly applied in rodents.

Indeed, the first cloned mice derived from somatic cells were obtained in 1998 using PEM (Wakayama et al. 1998), but in 2000, generation of SCNT mice using the EF method was also reported (Ogura et al. 2000). Although both methods can be used to produce...
live animals, it is still unclear which method is the safer and more efficient. Galli et al. (2002) reported no difference between the two methods when comparing in vitro and in vivo developmental rates in cattle cloning. In the mouse, two groups have tried to address this question using fibroblasts (Ogura et al. 2000) and ES cells as donors (Yabuuchi et al. 2004) and have also reported no difference in the rate of development between the two methods. However, in both studies, the relatively small sample size makes it difficult to draw any definite conclusion. Moreover, the parameters’ setup for the piezoelectric device was not taken into consideration. It is believed that the use of different piezoelectric strengths may account for the differences in cloning efficiency between laboratories. We therefore decided to compare the quality and the developmental ability, both in vivo and in vitro, of embryos reconstructed with either EF or PEM, the piezo device being used with varying setups. In addition to the record of the rate of development, we also compared the nuclear integrity in the blastomeres during the first cleavages as well as the morphological and cellular quality of the blastocysts. Our results show that the piezo-assisted micromanipulation, although allowing faster and more efficient reconstruction, should be used with precaution as it can induce DNA damage in the reconstructed embryos.

Materials and Methods

Collection of in vivo fertilized embryos

Eight- to ten-week-old B6D2 F1 female mice were superovulated first by sequential administration of 10 IU pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (Ningbo hormone production, Ningbo, China) and then, 48 h later, by 10 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG). The superovulated females were then mated with B6D2 F1 males after hCG injection. Fertilized embryos were collected 20–22 h after hCG injection and cultured in CZB (Chatot Ziemek Bavister) medium at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in air. Twenty to thirty oocytes were placed into a drop of Hepes–CZB medium containing 5 µg/ml cytochalasin B (CB). Pipettes with an internal diameter of 8 µm were used for the injection of the donor nucleus using a piezoelectric device (Prime Tech, Ibaraki, Japan). Three parameters influenced the strength of the piezoelectric pulses: x, pulse frequency; y, pulse intensity; and z, pulse duration. We used three setups of increasing strength for PEM: PEM010 = speed 0, intensity 1, duration 0 impulse; PEM431 = speed 4, intensity 3, duration 4 impulses (estimated 1 s); and PEM433 = speed 4, intensity 3, duration 12 impulses (estimated 3 s). For PEM010, no piezo pulse was used to break the membrane of the donor cumulus cell; instead, the cumulus membrane was broken by repeated aspiration in and out of the pipette, and only a light drill was used to break the oocyte membrane. PEM431 was the common setup used for breaking the zona pellucida and PEM433 an amplified one. After breaking the cumulus cell membrane, the cell is directly injected without removing the cytoplasm.

One to two hours after injection, the reconstructed embryos were activated by a 5-h incubation in calcium-free CZB supplemented with 10 mM SrCl2 and 5 µg/ml CB before being extensively washed and cultured in CZB medium at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in air for 4 days. Diploid parthenogenetic embryos activated by the same means were used as a control.

Cloned embryos produced by the EF method

For direct injection, we used the ‘one-step micromanipulation’ technique developed for rat SCNT (Zhou et al. 2003): the cumulus nucleus was injected into a recipient oocyte and the meiotic metaphase plate was withdrawn while removing the pipette from the cytoplasm after injection. Twenty to thirty oocytes were placed into a drop of Hepes–CZB medium containing 5 µg/ml cytochalasin B (CB). Pipettes with an internal diameter of 8 µm were used for the injection of the donor nucleus using a piezoelectric device (Prime Tech, Ibaraki, Japan). Three parameters influenced the strength of the piezoelectric pulses: x, pulse frequency; y, pulse intensity; and z, pulse duration. We used three setups of increasing strength for PEM: PEM010 = speed 0, intensity 1, duration 0 impulse; PEM431 = speed 4, intensity 3, duration 4 impulses (estimated 1 s); and PEM433 = speed 4, intensity 3, duration 12 impulses (estimated 3 s). For PEM010, no piezo pulse was used to break the membrane of the donor cumulus cell; instead, the cumulus membrane was broken by repeated aspiration in and out of the pipette, and only a light drill was used to break the oocyte membrane. PEM431 was the common setup used for breaking the zona pellucida and PEM433 an amplified one. After breaking the cumulus cell membrane, the cell is directly injected without removing the cytoplasm.

Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI)

ICSI was carried out with a 10 µm internal diameter piezo-driven micropipette as previously described (Kimura & Yanagimachi 1995). The caudal epididymis of B6D2 males was excised and then punctured with a 25 gauge needle several times to release the contents.
into Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate solution (TYH). The sperm was resuspended in TYH at a density of 5–10 million/ml before being incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO2 in air for 45 min prior to ICSI. The sperm suspension was added to Hepes–CZB medium containing 5 μg/ml CB. Once the spermatozoa heads had been cut off by pressing their necks with injection pipettes, they were washed and injected into the oocytes using the piezo with PEM010 setup. After ICSI, the injected oocytes were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in air.

**Immunostaining and laser-scanning confocal microscopy**

One- to four-cell stage embryos (8, 24, and 48 h after activation for SCNT embryos) were fixed in PBS for 20 min with freshly prepared 2% paraformaldehyde and stained 10 min with 0.1 μg/ml Hoechst 33 342 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to detect the DNA. The samples were observed using a Nikon fluorescence microscope and u.v. light.

After fixation, two-cell embryos were washed in 0.1% PVP/PBS thrice and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min before being blocked for 1 h in 2% BSA in PBS. Immunoreaction was performed for 12 h in the blocking solution containing rabbit polyclonal antibodies against γ-H2AX (antiphospho-H2AX-Ser139; 1:100; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA). After three washings in 0.1% PVP/PBS, the embryos were incubated with a fluorescein isothiolyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) diluted 1:200 in the blocking solution and counterstained with propidium iodide (PI, 10 μg/ml; Molecular Probes). The samples were observed with a LSM 510 META microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) using 488 and 535 nm lasers.

**Differential staining of the embryos**

The number of nuclei in the inner cell mass (ICM) and the trophectoderm (TE) cells of blastocysts were determined as previously described (Papaioannou & Ebert 1988). At the end of the culture period (96 h after activation), the zona pellucidae were removed by a brief exposure to 0.5% pronase and the embryos were rinsed in Hepes–CZB medium. They were then exposed to a 1:10 dilution of guinea pig complement (Sigma) for 1 h at 37 °C before the addition of PI (10 μg/ml) and Hoechst 33 342 (1 μg/ml). They were then rinsed briefly in Hepes–CZB medium, mounted under cover slips, and examined using an inverted Nikon fluorescent microscope. Cell counts were made directly under the microscope. The nuclei of the ICM cells were labeled with only Hoechst 33 342 and appeared blue, whereas the nuclei of the TE cells were stained with both fluorochromes and appeared pink. For each type of embryo, 20 (EF group) to 51 (ICSI group) embryos were used for counting.

**Apoptosis analysis with TUNEL assay**

In order to label fragmented 3’ DNA (TUNEL, Clontech) as previously described (Moley et al. 1998), blastocysts were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100, and then incubated with fluorescein-labeled dUTP and terminal transferase in the dark for 1 h at 37 °C. PI was used for nuclear counterstaining. Embryos were observed with a Zeiss confocal fluorescence microscope. At least, 31 (in vivo F embryos) and up to 68 (PEM010 group) embryos were analyzed.

**Embryo transfer**

Two- to four-cell stage SCNT embryos were transferred into oviducts of 0.5 day post coitum (dpc) pseudopregnant ICR mice. Cesarean section was carried out at 19.5 dpc.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were compared using SPSS software (Chicago, IL, USA). One-way ANOVA test was used when comparing the number of embryos or number of cells. All percentages were subjected to arcsin (square root) transformation. The transformed data were analyzed by least significant difference test (P>0.05 after testing the homogeneity of variance) or Dunnett’s C test (P<0.05 after testing the homogeneity of variance). χ² test (P<0.05) was used to analyze the results presented in Table 5.

**Results**

We compared the development of NT embryos reconstructed either by EF or by injection (PEM). For the injection method, three piezo-pulse parameter setups of increasing strength were used. In vivo fertilized (in vivo F) embryos were used as baseline control, whereas ICSI embryos were used as a control for injection and manipulation and parthenotes for activation.

**Comparison of NT efficiency with the PEM and EF methods**

We compared the reconstruction and activation efficiencies from PEM and EF using cumulus as donor cells (Table 1). In the PEM group, more than 90% of manipulated oocytes survived the injection, whereas the rate was three times lower when embryos
were reconstructed by EF (34%). In both cases, all
the reconstructed embryos were activated with the
same high efficiency (84–90%). The rates were slightly
lower than for parthenogenetic embryos (95.6 ± 3.2%,
\( P < 0.05 \), data not shown). Our results show that the
use of the ‘one-step’ method for nucleus injection and
spindle removal does not impair the reconstruction
efficiency.

In vitro development of NT embryos

The cleavage rate and blastocyst formation of embryos
after reconstruction by EF or PEM were evaluated in vitro.
In all groups, the first cleavage rate was high, ranging
from 94 to 100%, with no statistical difference between
NT, fertilized, and parthenogenetic embryos (Table 2).
However, differences appeared at the morula stage, with
less NT embryos reaching this stage (up to 88.9%) than
fertilized (95.6% for in vivo F and 97.8% for ICSI) or
parthenogenetic (94.4%) control embryos. More remark-
ably, it appears that the method of NT affects the
developmental potential of the embryos. The rate of
morula and blastocysts was indeed higher in the EF
group (88.9 and 56.7% respectively) than in the PEM431
and PEM433 groups (73.4–74.4 and 39.8–42.1%
respectively).

We also noticed that fewer ICSI embryos developed to
the blastocyst stage (68.5%) than in vivo F (94.3%) or
parthenote (87.2%) embryos did, although there was no
difference at the morula stage. This result suggests that
the transition from morula to blastocyst is affected by
micromanipulation of matured oocytes.

DNA damage in early cloned embryos

Since the method to introduce the donor nucleus
appeared to influence the rate of pre-implantation
development, we investigated whether this could be
related to nuclear damage. DNA staining with Hoechst
33 342 revealed some small DNA patches in the
cytoplasm of some embryonic blastomeres, in addition
to the major nucleus (Fig. 1). These micronuclei were
detected not only in the cloned embryos but also in some
controls (Table 3). However, none of the controls, EF, or
PEM010 groups presented micronuclei at the one-cell
stage in contrast to NT embryos produced by PEM431
and PEM433. As the PEM embryos developed, the
incidence of micronuclei formation increased, affecting
half of the two- and four-cell embryos in the PEM433
group. The majority of PEM embryos blocked at the two-
cell stage displayed micronuclei (Table 3).

To further investigate the nature of these micronuclei,
we explored the presence of DNA double-strand
fragments (DSFs). It is well known that in response to
DNA damage, a rapid modification of histone compo-
sition within the chromatin leads to the accumulation of
phospho-H2AX (Sedelnikova et al. 2002). The 1:1
correspondence between the number of γ-H2AX foci
and the number of DSFs makes anti-γ-H2AX-specific
antibodies good markers of DSFs. Using this antibody,
we found γ-H2AX positive foci in PEM NT embryos
either co-localized with the micronuclei DNA staining
or within some major nuclei (Fig. 2B–D). Strikingly, the
number of γ-H2AX positive foci increased with the
strength of the piezo pulse used for PEM. In comparison,
few, if any, positive foci were present in EF embryos
and

Table 2 Pre-implantation development of murine reconstructed embryos produced by electrofusion or NT injection methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection</th>
<th>No. of one-cell embryos</th>
<th>No. of replicates</th>
<th>Two-cell</th>
<th>Morula</th>
<th>Blastocyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEM010</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>95.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>69.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>45.5 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM431</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>93.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>73.4 ± 13.4</td>
<td>39.8 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM433</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>95.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>74.4 ± 7.4</td>
<td>42.1 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96.3 ± 5.9</td>
<td>88.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>56.7 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>87.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in vivo F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>98.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>97.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>94.3 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>95.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>68.5 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pa, parthenote embryos. *\( P < 0.05 \). Numbers with different superscripts denote values that differ significantly within a column (one-way ANOVA, 95% confidence interval).
controls (Fig. 2A). These observations indicate that the formation of micronuclei is caused by DSFs as a consequence of high piezo-pulse parameters in the process of nuclear transfer.

**Embryo quality assessment by differential staining and TUNEL assay**

In view of the high incidence of micronuclei and DSFs in the PEM NT embryos, we hypothesized that the rate of apoptosis could be higher in these embryos. Apoptosis has been considered to be a normal process in pre-implantation embryos to eliminate cells with nuclear or chromosomal abnormalities (Hardy 1997). To test this hypothesis, we performed TUNEL assays at the blastocyst stage. The blastocyst cell number and the allocation of cells to the ICM are important parameters in assessing the blastocyst quality (Van Soom et al. 1997, Koo et al. 2002). By differential staining, we evaluated the total number of cells and the ratio of ICM cells in NT and control blastocysts. Results are summarized in Table 4.

Embryos produced with the use of piezo injection (ICSI and PEM NT) displayed a statistically significant lower number of blastocyst cells (33–39.2 cells when compared with 46.7 cells for F embryos on average). In contrast, blastocyst cell numbers were similar in EF embryos (~42) and parthenote controls (~46). The allocation of cells to the ICM differed significantly in all manipulated and/or artificially activated embryos (from 20.9 to 29.3% when compared with F (36.5%) embryos).

The rate of apoptosis was calculated by dividing the number of cells with TUNEL positive nuclei by the total blastocyst cell number. As shown in Table 4, blastocysts are distributed into three groups that differ significantly. The highest rate of apoptosis was found within the PEM431 and 433 NT embryos, with up to 30.3% apoptotic cells. The rate of apoptosis in other NT embryos (PEM010 and EF) was similar to that of the parthenote ones (17%), while higher than both groups of fertilized embryos (in vivo F 6.5% and ICSI 10%).

### Table 3 Micronuclei detection during pre-implantation development of mouse embryos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of NT embryos with micronuclei</th>
<th>No. observed (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-cell embryos (8 h&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM010</td>
<td>0/22 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM431</td>
<td>1/24 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM433</td>
<td>4/19 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>0/23 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>0/26 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo F</td>
<td>0/18 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>0/18 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically different from the others within the column (Fisher’s exact test, *P*<0.001). †Differs significantly from ‡(χ² test, *P*<0.001).

<sup>a</sup>Hours post-activation (for NT embryos). <sup>i</sup>Statistics not applicable.
Full-term development and peri-implantation survival of NT embryos

We then decided to investigate the influence of the reconstruction method used in in vivo development. As the high rate of apoptotic cells in NT embryos reconstructed with strong piezoelectric pulses (PEM431 and PEM433) probably compromised their developmental competency, in this experiment we used the milder PEM010 setup to compare injection versus fusion. Two- or four-cell NT embryos were transferred into E0.5 pseudo-pregnant recipients and pups were delivered by cesarean section at E19 (Table 5). As the rate of in vitro development from two- to four-cell stage was similarly high (about 90% for both PEM and EF NT embryos, data not shown), the results of the in vivo development were pooled. Remarkably, the rates of pregnancy and delivery of living pups were more than three times higher for the EF than for the PEM group. However, when the rate of development to term is computed from the number of oocytes manipulated (rate of reconstruction × rate of activation × rate of two-cell × rate of development to term), the advantage of using the EF method is less obvious: 0.74% of the manipulated oocytes in the EF group give rise to a pup, when compared with 0.49% for oocytes from the PEM group.

Discussion

Two methods have been used to reconstruct embryos by SCNT, EF, or PEM, both having given rise to live animals. During nuclear transfer by EF, a whole cell is fused to the oocyte cytoplasm. It does not require an extensive manipulation of the donor cell. By contrast, the PEM procedure requires the use of piezo pulses to break the donor cell membrane and to penetrate the oocyte. In the present study, we have evaluated the impact of such mechanical stresses on the development of NT embryos reconstructed by injection of cumulus nuclei and compared it with that of NT embryos reconstructed by fusion. The use of different strengths of piezoelectric pulses and of three different types of control embryos has allowed us to distinguish the effects of the following parameters: embryo manipulation, efficiency of NT, injection procedure, piezo-pulse strength, and activation.

Our results show that the efficiency of reconstruction is about three times lower with the EF method, probably owing to the small size of the cumulus donor cells. With fibroblasts as donors, Ogura et al. (2000) have reported a higher rate of fusion, although the efficiency of reconstruction was still lower than after injection. Apart from the surface of contact between the two cells, fusion also depends on the characteristics of the membrane. Powell et al. (2004) suggest that the EF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Blastocyst quality in terms of total cell number, inner cell mass (ICM) allocation, and apoptotic rates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of blastocysts</td>
<td>Total blastocyst cell number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM010</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM431</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM433</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo F</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers with different superscripts denote values that differ significantly within a column. + versus †; † versus ‡, P < 0.05; * versus ‡ (One-way ANOVA, P < 0.01). Data of the ICM cell number were analyzed by Dunnnett's C test (P < 0.05).
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efficiency of fibroblasts is variable from one individual animal to the other, and this difference enlarges when different oxygen concentrations are used for the culture of donor cells. Therefore, further studies will be necessary to evaluate the ability of donor cells from different tissues and individuals to fuse. Nuclei of cumulus cells are in G0/G1 stage and have a loose structure (Schuetz et al. 1996). After a donor cell is aspirated into the injection pipette, piezo pulses are used to break the cell membrane (for PEM431 and PEM433) and then to break the oocyte membrane. When they are submitted to such shear force produced by the piezo pulses, the DNA double-strand structure of the cumulus nuclei may be altered. When the nuclei with such DNA strand fragments are injected into enucleated oocytes and remodeled, DNA fragments may be repaired or remain as such. We indeed found some spots of DNA, or ‘micronuclei’, outside the major nucleus of the blastomeres, which were identified as DSFs by the presence of phosphorylated H2AX. Although these micronuclei were found even in fertilized embryos, which may be caused by the in vitro culture, the rate of embryos affected by these DSFs is clearly increased when high piezo-pulse strength is used. As checkpoints during the cell cycle ensure the integrity of genome through DNA replication and segregation (Nyberg et al. 2002, Qin & Li 2003), damaged blastomeres with important DNA fragmentation that cannot be repaired will therefore not cleave. Enhanced piezo pulse in nuclear transfer that cause important DNA breaks might thus explain why the majority of two-cell blocked embryos produced by injection have micronuclei.

Observing the rate of development to blastocyst stage and the morphology of the blastocysts is the initial criterion in evaluating the quality of NT embryos. In this study, we have found that for all NT groups, the transition from morula to blastocyst is a difficult milestone, as more than one-third of the morulae do not form blastocysts. Among control groups, such an arrest in development is observed only for ICSI embryos. This finding suggests that manipulation and/or injection affect this first differentiation event, the transition from morula to blastocyst. This effect is not apparent in most reports where the morula and blastocyst numbers are combined.

Apoptosis is another criterion of quality as this process is used to eliminate cells with nuclear or chromosomal abnormalities (Hardy 1997). It clearly appears that the quality of the PEM blastocysts is greatly affected in terms of apoptotic blastomeres when increasing strengths of piezo pulse are used, although the blastocyst rates of embryos reconstructed by PEM are only slightly lower than those obtained after EF. Thus, even if some early embryos can cleave in the presence of DNA fragments, the blastomeres with such abnormal nuclei may be eliminated by the process of apoptosis. The increase of apoptosis in NT blastocysts is also correlated with a decrease in the total cell number and with the increasing number of embryos showing micronuclei. This observation suggests that either these NT blastocysts grow more slowly or some blastomeres with abnormal nuclei (micronuclei) have already been eliminated before the blastocyst stage. Indeed, a comparison of apoptosis rate between fertilized embryos has revealed significant differences between strains and culture media (Kamjoo et al. 2002).

Finally, another criterion to evaluate blastocyst quality is the ICM/TE cell ratio. In this study, we found that in comparison with F embryos, in vitro manipulation and/or chemical activation also decrease the number of ICM cells when compared with that of F embryos, even when the total cell number is unaffected, as for parthenogenetic embryos. In all NT groups, the ratio of ICM is similar, suggesting that the process of cell allocation to the ICM is not directly affected by the NT procedure as previously observed with ES cells as donors (Zhou et al. 2001).

Taking into account all these criteria – rate of apoptotic cells, ICM allocation, and total cell number – the blastocysts from the EF and PEM010 groups displayed similar qualities. However, when transferred in vivo at the two- to four-cell stage, EF embryos had a higher ability to implant and develop to term (threefold higher) than PEM embryos. A major difference between EF and PEM010 method is that in the first one the donor nucleus is subjected to mechanical stress before injection. By moving in and out of the pipette until breakage of the cytoplasmic membrane, the repeated change in cell shape should be transduced to the nucleus and thus the configuration of the chromatin may be somehow affected (Maxwell & Hendzel 2001, Vergani et al. 2004). In addition, while in the pipette, the nucleus is subjected to the slight drill necessary to penetrate the oocyte membrane. Even this light piezo pulse may disturb the nuclear architecture. Another difference between the two procedures is that the injection pipette penetrates deeply into the ooplasm, which would disturb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5 Development to term of NT mouse embryos reconstructed with cumulus nuclei.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of two-cell embryos</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers with different superscripts denote values that differ significantly within a column. * versus † (χ² test, P<0.02).

* After 1 week.
and/or damage some intracellular structures. This confirms that rate of development and blastocyst morphology do not foreshadow post-implantation development ability (Jouneau et al. 2006) and also suggests that the expression of genes crucial for post-implantation development may be affected by the method of embryo reconstruction.

In conclusion, our results show that the development of NT embryos to blastocyst stage and the quality of these blastocysts are impaired when strong piezo pulses are used during the injection procedure and that the full-term development is also affected by the use of piezodriven injection. The use of the piezo device, although very efficient for injection of a donor nucleus (NT) or a sperm head (ICSI), should thus be considered cautiously and parameters should be set as low as possible.
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