Expression of the pluripotency marker UTF1 is restricted to a subpopulation of early A spermatogonia in rat testis
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Abstract

The population of early A spermatogonia includes stem cells that possess spermatogonial stem cell properties. Recent reports suggest that these cells have the ability to regain pluripotent properties. Here, we show that expression of the pluripotency marker undifferentiated embryonic cell transcription factor 1 (UTF1) is restricted to distinct germ cells within the testis. In embryonic and neonatal testes, all gonocytes were found to strongly express UTF1. During further testicular development, expression of UTF1 was restricted to a subset of A spermatogonia and with the increase in age the number of cells expressing UTF1 decreased even more. Ultimately, in the adult rat testis, only a small subset of the A spermatogonia expressed UTF1. Remarkably, even in testes of vitamin A-deficient rats, in which the early A spermatogonia (Aa, Ap, and AaL) are the only type of spermatogonia, only a subset of the spermatogonia expressed UTF1. In the adult rat testis, expression of UTF1 is restricted to a subpopulation of the ZBTB16 (PLZF)-positive early A spermatogonia. Furthermore, the observed distribution pattern of UTF1-expressing cells over the different stages of the cycle of the seminiferous epithelium suggests that the expression of UTF1 is restricted to those Aa, Ap, and short chains of AaL spermatogonia that are in the undifferentiated state and therefore maintain the ability to differentiate into A1 spermatogonia in the next round of the epithelial cycle or possibly even in other directions when they are taken out of their testicular niche.


Introduction

Spermatogenesis is a continuous process starting with spermatogonial stem cells (de Rooij & Russell 2000, Aponte et al. 2005), which were until recently thought of as unipotent stem cells only being able to form cells of the spermatogenic lineage (Hofmann et al. 2005, Smith 2006). However, recent reports suggest that these cells have a greater potential. Testes of both neonatal and 6-week-old mice were reported to contain pluripotent cells or cells able to obtain these properties in vitro (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al. 2004, Guan et al. 2006, Seandel et al. 2007).

Previously, it was described that primordial germ cells (PGCs), of both human and mouse origin, could give rise to pluripotent stem cells (Matsui et al. 1992, Shamblott et al. 1998). Finally, PGCs, gonocytes, and spermatogonial stem cells express several genes believed to be essential for pluripotency, e.g., Pou5f1 (previously octamer-binding transcription factor 3/4, Oct3/4) and Sry box 2 (Sox2) (Pesce et al. 1998, Shi et al. 2006). Another pluripotency-associated gene is Utf1 (Okuda et al. 1998). This gene was found to be expressed in embryonic stem (ES) cells, embryonic carcinoma (EC) cells, and PGCs (Okuda et al. 1998, Nishimoto et al. 1999, Chuva de Sousa Lopes et al. 2005). In adult mice, Utf1 mRNA was only detected in the ovary and testis (Okuda et al. 1998). In EC and ES cells, protein expression of UTF1 was found to be localized to the nucleus and subnuclear fractionation, and mobility assays revealed that UTF1 was a chromatin-associated protein with histone-like properties (van den Boom et al. 2007). Differentiation of EC cells is accompanied by a rapid reduction in UTF1 levels, indicating a stem cell-specific function (Okuda et al. 1998, Nishimoto et al. 1999, van den Boom et al. 2007). Furthermore, it was suggested that activation of UTF1 is an important mechanism by which POU5F1 maintains the stem cell state of ES cells (Nishimoto et al. 2005). Experiments indicated that UTF1 plays a role in the proliferation rate and the teratoma-forming capacity of ES cells (Nishimoto et al. 2005). However, recently it was...
proposed that UTF1 might be involved in the maintenance of a specific epigenetic profile that allows lineage-specific differentiation of ES and EC cells while it is not involved in stem cell renewal (van den Boom et al. 2007).

In order to learn more about a possible role of UTF1 in spermatogenesis, we now have studied the localization of UTF1 in the testis at different developmental stages during pre- and postnatal life. During testicular development, the expression of UTF1 was restricted to the gonocytes and A spermatogonia. Cell counts revealed a decrease in the number of UTF1-positive cells during testicular development and expression in the adult rat testis was found to be restricted to a subpopulation of early A spermatogonia.

Results

Utf1 mRNA is expressed in testis of neonatal, pubertal, and adult rats

To determine Utf1 mRNA expression in the rat testis of different ages, we first obtained the rat Utf1 sequence. Adult rat total testis RNA was subjected to RT-PCR using primers derived from the human and mouse Utf1 cDNA sequences.

The resulting rat Utf1 cDNA sequence (GenBank acc. no. EU176857) encodes a predicted protein of 338 amino acids and comparison between rat and mouse or human UTF1 showed an overall amino acid identity of 93 and 65% and similarity of 96 and 73% respectively (Fig. 1). Previously, based on the human and mouse UTF1 protein sequences, two conserved domains, CD1 and CD2, were identified (Fukushima et al. 1998). Analysis of the corresponding domains in rat UTF1 revealed identities of 96 and 87% for CD1 and 100 and 86% for CD2 compared with mouse and human UTF1 respectively (Fig. 1).

To determine Utf1 expression during rat testicular development, RT-PCRs were performed on total testis RNA of rats of various ages (Fig. 2). Utf1 mRNA was detected in neonatal, pubertal, and adult rat testes and in the testes of vitamin A-deficient (VAD) rats (Fig. 2).

Gonocytes and spermatogonia express UTF1

To determine which cell types in the testis express UTF1, we performed immunohistochemistry at different developmental stages during pre- and postnatal life. At 16 days post coitum (dpc), a strong staining for UTF1 was present in the nuclei of all gonocytes (Fig. 3A).
In gonocytes undergoing mitosis, the condensed chromosomes were stained for UTF1 (Fig. 3A). At 18 dpc and 4 days post partum (dpp), the expression was also strong and localized to the nuclei of all gonocytes (Fig. 3B and C). At 9 dpp, when gonocytes have migrated to the basal membrane and have become spermatogonia, UTF1 expression was detected in the nuclei of almost all A spermatogonia (Fig. 3D). At 13 dpp, staining was present in A spermatogonia, but not in B spermatogonia or preleptotene spermatocytes (Fig. 3E). At later stages during development and in adult rat testes, when spermatogenesis was complete, UTF1 expression was restricted to A spermatogonia (Fig. 3F–J). However, in testes of older rats, A spermatogonia that did not express detectable UTF1 levels were also observed. In VAD animals, seminiferous tubules only contain early A spermatogonia (A_s, A_pr, and A_al), Sertoli cells and sporadically preleptotene spermatocytes (Mitranond et al. 1979, Van Pelt & De Rooij 1990). Immunohistochemistry showed that in the VAD rat testis, UTF1 is expressed in spermatogonia (Fig. 3K). Interestingly, also in VAD testes, spermatogonia were observed that did not show expression of UTF1. Immunolocalization experiments were repeated with three other antibodies directed against UTF1, all confirming the localization of UTF1 to the nuclei of gonocytes and A spermatogonia. In accordance with the immunolocalization of UTF1 to the spermatogonia in VAD rat testes, RT-PCR results confirmed the expression of Utf1 in spermatogonia isolated from these testes (Fig. 4). We furthermore found that the early A spermatogonia marker Zbtb16 (previously promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger, PLZF) and the activators of Utf1 transcription Pou5f1 and Sox2 were also expressed by the early A spermatogonia isolated from the VAD rat testes (Fig. 4).

**The number of UTF1-positive cells decreases with age**

The expression pattern of UTF1 during testicular development indicated that the number of UTF1-positive cells in the testis decreased with age. To quantify this finding, the number of UTF1-positive cells was determined by immunohistochemistry. A decrease in the number of UTF1-positive cells was observed with increasing age, indicating a decrease in the number of spermatogonia expressing UTF1. The decrease was more pronounced in the testes of older rats, where fewer A spermatogonia were observed. This suggests that UTF1 expression is important for the maintenance of spermatogonia.

---

**Figure 2** RT-PCR for Utf1 on total testis of rat of several ages and VAD total testis. Numbers express age in days post partum. +, cDNA; −, RT control.

**Figure 3** UTF1 expression in rat testes of different ages. (A) 16 dpc, (B) 18 dpc, (C) 4 dpp, (D) 9 dpp, (E) 13 dpp, (F) 17 dpp, (G) 24 dpp, (H) 42 dpp, (I) 56 dpp, (J) 70 dpp, (K) adult VAD rat, and (L) negative control 70 dpp. Asterisk, mitotic gonocytes; arrows, positive spermatogonia; arrowheads, negative spermatogonia. Bars: (A and I) 100 μm, (B–D and L) 40 μm, (E–H, J and K) 50 μm. Figure shows the immunolocalization of UTF1 performed with the AB3383 antibody.
numbers of cells expressing UTF1 and ZBTB16 were determined. ZBTB16 is a transcriptional repressor known to be required for the self-renewal of spermatogonial stem cells and its expression is known to be restricted to early A spermatogonia (Buaas et al. 2004, Costoya et al. 2004). The numbers of UTF1- and ZBTB16-positive cells per tubule cross-section were scored in adjacent sections of rat testis of different ages (Fig. 5). While the numbers of ZBTB16-positive cells increased during testicular development, the number of UTF1-positive cells clearly decreased. Indicating that in the adult rat, there is a clear reduction in UTF1-positive A spermatogonia, whereas the number of ZBTB16-positive A spermatogonia increased during testicular development.

**UTF1 expression is restricted to a subpopulation of early A spermatogonia**

To study which type of A spermatogonia expressed UTF1, we performed double immunofluorescent labeling for UTF1 and ZBTB16. At 42 dpp, almost all ZBTB16-positive spermatogonia also expressed UTF1. However, at 56 and 70 dpp, not all ZBTB16-positive spermatogonia also expressed UTF1 (Fig. 6). Cells positive for UTF1 but negative for ZBTB16 were not observed. These findings indicate that in the adult rat, UTF1 expression was restricted to a subpopulation of early A spermatogonia.

**Pattern of the UTF1-positive cells during the epithelial cycle**

Determining which early A spermatogonia express UTF1 is extremely difficult as early A spermatogonia are morphologically indistinguishable (de Rooij 1998). However, the numbers of the different types of early A spermatogonia follow a specific pattern during the epithelial stages. Studying the numbers of UTF1-expressing cells during the epithelial stage could thus possibly reveal the identity of UTF1-expressing cells.

The numbers of UTF1-positive spermatogonia per tubule cross-section were scored for the different epithelial stages (Fig. 7). During epithelial stages VII–X, the highest number of UTF1-positive spermatogonia was observed. The number decreased slowly from stages X until II–III, after which an increase in the numbers of UTF1-positive cells was found during stages IV–VI. However, the numbers in stages IV–VI did not yet reach the level observed in stage VII. In comparison, the average number of ZBTB16-positive spermatogonia per tubule cross-section remained relatively constant with exception of the decrease observed in stage XIV (Fig. 7). During all stages, the number of ZBTB16-positive spermatogonia was higher than the number of spermatogonia that expressed UTF1. At stage VII, the biggest overlap between UTF1 and ZBTB16 expression was observed.

**Discussion**

The mechanisms whereby spermatogonial stem cells self-renew, differentiate, and possibly maintain their pluripotent potential are not yet fully known. In order to unravel the underlying mechanisms, the identification of proteins involved in one or more of these processes is crucial. The pluripotency-associated protein UTF1 is a prime candidate for being involved in the process of self-renewal and/or differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells and possibly in maintaining the pluripotent potential of these cells.

The rat sequence of the *Utf1* gene was found to be highly identical to that of mouse, but considerably different from the human sequence (Fukushima et al. 1998). The identities of the conserved domains are higher compared with the overall identity and therefore the rat sequence supports the existence of the two conserved domains (Fukushima et al. 1998). *Utf1* mRNA expression was found in the testes of both developing as well as the adult rats, indicating that *Utf1* expression is not restricted to a certain developmental stage.

In embryonic and postnatal (4 dpp) rat testis, expression of UTF1 protein was found to be restricted to the gonocytes. Following further testicular development, expression of UTF1 was only observed in A spermatogonia. However, the number of cells per tubule cross-section that expressed UTF1 decreased with age, and non-expressing type A spermatogonia were observed in the adult rat testes, suggesting that not all types of A spermatogonia expressed UTF1.

Even in the testes of VAD rats, in which the early A spermatogonia are the only type of spermatogonia, expression of UTF1 was restricted to the spermatogonia. This finding was supported by RT-PCR which showed expression of *Utf1* and its upstream factors *Pou5f1* and *Sox2* in A spermatogonia isolated from VAD rat testes.
Surprisingly, however, in VAD rat testis spermatogonia that did not express UTF1 were also observed, indicating that UTF1 expression was restricted to a subpopulation of the early A spermatogonia.

As the different types of A spermatogonia are morphologically very difficult to distinguish, co-localization studies of UTF1 with ZBTB16 were performed in order to reveal the identity of the UTF1-positive cells. In mice, expression of ZBTB16 in the testis is known to be restricted to early A spermatogonia (Buaas et al. 2004, Costoya et al. 2004). To our knowledge, localization of ZBTB16 in rat testes has not been described before. The expression pattern of ZBTB16 we observed in both cross-sections as well as in whole-mount seminiferous tubules of rat testes was similar to the expression seen in mice (data not shown). In addition, we determined the number of ZBTB16-positive spermatogonia during the cycle of the seminiferous epithelium, which to our knowledge has not been shown before.

The co-localization studies of UTF1 with ZBTB16 showed an almost complete overlap in A spermatogonia of 42 dpp old rats. However, in adult (56 and 70 days old) rats only partial co-localization was observed; cells that expressed UTF1 always expressed ZBTB16, but cells expressing ZBTB16 did not always express UTF1. These observations are in accordance with our cell counts of the numbers of UTF1- and ZBTB16-positive cells per tubule cross-section in the testes of rats of various ages (Fig. 5). At 42 dpp, when in almost all cells UTF1 and ZBTB16 are co-expressed, counting revealed equal numbers of UTF1- and ZBTB16-expressing cells. At 10 weeks, approximately three times more ZBTB16-expressing cells were counted compared with the number of cells expressing UTF1, thereby confirming the co-localization experiments that not all ZBTB16-positive cells expressed UTF1.

Thus, expression of UTF1 in the adult rat testis is restricted to a subpopulation of early A spermatogonia as shown by the lower number of UTF1-positive cells compared with the number of ZBTB16-positive cells, the partial co-localization of UTF1 with ZBTB16, and the observation that in the testis of VAD rats not all early A spermatogonia expressed UTF1.

The important question then is which types of early A spermatogonia in the adult rat testis expressed UTF1. Unfortunately, our attempts to immunohistochemically stain for UTF1 on whole mounts of seminiferous tubules were not successful, not allowing us to identify the UTF1-expressing spermatogonia. In order to shed more light on this issue, we determined the number of UTF1-positive spermatogonia during the cycle of the seminiferous epithelium. A pattern was found in which the number of UTF1-positive spermatogonia peaked in stages VII through X, gradually decreasing thereafter until stage III, and then increasing again. This pattern is quite different from that of the total numbers of early A spermatogonia throughout the epithelial cycle that shows an increase from about stages X to III, almost similar numbers from stages III until VII and sharply decreasing thereafter as the Aal spermatogonia differentiate into A1 spermatogonia (Huckins 1971). As Aal spermatogonia form the largest group within the population of early A spermatogonia, their pattern is
similar to this latter pattern and thus does not reflect the pattern of the UTF1-expressing cell numbers we observed in this study. Surprisingly, the observed expression pattern of UTF1 also does not reflect the pattern of the numbers of $A_s$, $A_p$, spermatogonia that remains about the same during the epithelial cycle (Huckins 1971). Furthermore, very few divisions of early $A$ spermatogonia occur from epithelial stages IV until IX (de Rooij 1998). Thus, as hardly any new early $A$ spermatogonia are formed, the increase in UTF1-positive spermatogonia observed in epithelial stage VII, cannot be explained by the generation of new UTF1-positive cells by mitotic activity of existing UTF1-positive cells. It rather suggests that the observed pattern is related to the function of UTF1 and that its expression is stage specifically induced or upregulated to detectable levels in existing early $A$ spermatogonia during epithelial stages IV–VII.

Recently, it was reported that in EC and ES cells UTF1 has histone-like properties and it was postulated to be involved in maintaining stem cells in a specific epigenetic profile, either by attracting chromatin-modifying proteins or by chromatin compaction (van den Boom et al. 2007). In the testis, UTF1 could have a similar function as in EC and ES cells. It is therefore most likely that the increase in the number of UTF1-positive cells during stages II–III until VII represent the $A_s$, $A_p$, and $A_{al}$ spermatogonia that do not differentiate into $A_1$ spermatogonia but rather go through another round of the epithelial cycle. The observed distribution of UTF1-positive cells over the different epithelial stages supports this idea, as the number of UTF1-positive spermatogonia in stages II–III reflects the number of $A_s$ and $A_{al}$ spermatogonia as determined by Huckins for this stage (Huckins 1971). Furthermore, the early $A$ spermatogonia that during stage VII did not differentiate, do not undergo mitotic divisions until stage X (de Rooij 1998). The decrease in the number of UTF1-positive cells per tubule cross-section thus coincides with the mitotic divisions of the early $A$ spermatogonia in stage X/XI, suggesting that UTF1 expression is gradually lost from the population of early $A$ spermatogonia as new or larger syncytia of $A_{al}$ spermatogonia are generated.

Therefore, we propose that those $A_s$, $A_p$, and short chains of $A_{al}$ spermatogonia that do not differentiate into $A_1$ spermatogonia express UTF1 and that these spermatogonia thus maintain the ability to differentiate in the next round of the epithelial cycle into $A_1$ spermatogonia or possibly even in other directions when they are taken out of their testicular niche.

Recent reports described the presence of pluripotent stem cells in both the neonatal and the adult mouse testis (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al. 2004, Guan et al. 2006). A point of debate was whether these stem cells possessed pluripotency capacity in vivo, or whether these cells acquired their pluripotency in vitro. However, non-cultured cells isolated from adult mouse testes were shown to be able to contribute to multiple tissues upon injection into blastocysts, indicating the presence of pluripotent stem cells in the adult mouse testis (Guan et al. 2006). Since UTF1 is thought to play a role in maintaining the pluripotent capacity and our immunohistochemistry experiments localize the expression of UTF1 to the population of cells that are close to, if not identical to the population of germ cells with stem cell properties, our results suggest that cells with the capacity for pluripotency are present in the testis during all stages of development, including the adult stage. However, our results furthermore suggest that with increasing age the number of stem cells with the capacity for pluripotency decreases and that the isolation and culture of sufficient numbers of these cells will be more difficult from the testes of adult animals than that of young animals, an observation supported by findings previously reported by Kanatsu-Shinohara et al. (2004).

In conclusion, we have shown that during all stages of testicular development expression of UTF1 is restricted to the population of germ cells that contain the stem cells. The distribution of UTF1-positive cells over the different epithelial stages suggests that UTF1 in the testis plays a role in maintaining the cells in an undifferentiated state that allows differentiation into one or more directions. We therefore believe that further studies on the function of UTF1 in the testis will reveal more insight into the mechanism(s) of spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal and the potential pluripotent capacity.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Testes from Wistar rats of different ages (16 and 18 dpc, 4, 9, 13, 17, 24, 42, 56, and 70 dpp) were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen or fixed for 6 h in 4% formaldehyde, followed by overnight fixation in diluted Bouin’s fluid (71% (v/v) 0.9% picric acid, 24% (v/v) 37% formaldehyde, and 5% (v/v) acetic acid). Diluted Bouin’s fixed testes, total testis RNA, and RNA isolated from A spermatogonia (93% pure) of VAD rats were obtained during previous studies (van Pelt et al. 1996, 2002). The A spermatogonia were, as described previously, isolated by enzymatic digestion and percoll gradient centrifugation. The purity was determined by Nomarski interference microscopy (van Pelt et al. 1996). All the procedures were performed according to the regulations provided by the ethical committee of the University of Utrecht that also approved of the experiments.

DNA sequencing and RT-PCR

In order to determine the rat Utf1 sequence, primers were designed by comparing the sequence of human and mouse (accession numbers AB011076 and NM_009482 respectively) mRNA. RT-PCR was performed on cDNA from total testis of an adult rat. DNA fragments of ~1000 bp were isolated from gel and subcloned into pcDNA3.1/V5-His TOPO vector (Invitrogen). Plasmid DNA of two clones was prepared for DNA sequence
Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.35% H2O2 in PBS for 5 min. For localization of UTF1, the boiling time depended on antigen retrieval, sections were boiled in a microwave oven three times for 10 min. For localization of ZBTB16, the sections were boiled in a microwave oven 5 times for 10 min. For immunohistochemistry, sections for localization of ZBTB16 were boiled three times for 5 min.

### Immunohistochemistry

For immunolocalization of UTF1 and ZBTB16, adjacent 5 μm sections of diluted Bouin’s fluid fixed testes were mounted on TESPA-coated glass slides and dried overnight at 37 °C. For antigen retrieval, sections were boiled in a microwave oven (H2500, Bio-Rad) at 98 °C in 0.01 M sodium citrate (pH 6.0). Sections for localization of ZBTB16 were boiled for three times for 10 min. For localization of UTF1, the boiling time depended on the antibody used one time for 10 min (AB3383, Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA; ab24273, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and an antibody generated by Okuda et al. (1998) or 20 min (antibody kindly provided by Dr B J Eggen; Chuva de Sousa Lopes et al. 1998, or 20 min (antibody kindly provided by Dr B J Eggen; Chuva de Sousa Lopes et al. 2003). RT-PCR on cDNA of total testes of rats of different ages was performed with the following primers: UTF1: fw: 5'-gcgtcaagatgtcctc-3', rv: 5'-cagaaggatcctggtg-3' (333 bp); Pou5f1: fw: 5'-cagagaggttcagctctc-3', rv: 5'-gcttcacacagctgtttc-3' (334 bp); Sox2: fw: 5'-accagctcgcagacctct-3', rv: 5'-cccctccaaattctctgtat-3' (388 bp); and Zbtb16: fw: 5'-tcgctgctgttgggaag-3', rv: 5'-gtggcagagtttgctactaa-3' (353 bp).

### Immunofluorescence

Co-immunolocalization of UTF1 and ZBTB16 was studied in diluted Bouin’s fluid fixed testes of rats at 42, 56, and 70 dpp. Five micrometer paraffin sections were mounted on TESPA-coated glass slides and dried overnight at 37 °C. For antigen retrieval, the sections were boiled at 98 °C in 0.01 M sodium citrate for 10 min. The sections were blocked with 5% NHS in 0.1% BSAC and incubated overnight at 4 °C with 1:100 UTF1 (AB3383, Chemicon International) and 1:50 ZBTB16 (OP128, Calbiochem) antibodies in 0.1% BSAC. To fluorescently label the UTF1-positive cells, slides were incubated with 1:100 goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (A11008, Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands) in 0.1% BSAC for 1 h. ZBTB16 antibody was labeled by incubation with 1:200 biotinylated horse anti-mouse (PK6102, Vector Laboratories) for 1 h, followed by incubation with 1:200 streptavidin Alexa Fluor 495 conjugated (S11227, Molecular Probes) in 0.1% BSAC. The sections were mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and viewed with a Leica DMIRB fluorescence microscope (Leica, Voorburg, The Netherlands).
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