HE6/GPR64 adhesion receptor co-localizes with apical and subapical F-actin scaffold in male excurrent duct epithelia
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Abstract

A role for HE6/GPR64 in male excurrent ducts in the regulation of water balance was suggested from targeted gene mutation in the mouse. Results of the present immunolocalization study strengthen this hypothesis. Employing monospecific antibodies and laser confocal microscopy, we studied the localization of the receptor protein in the human and wild-type mouse ductuli efferentes and epididymis. We show that HE6/GPR64 is specifically associated with cell types and subcellular domains involved in the process of fluid reabsorption. In the mouse, dual labelling with anti-tubulin antibodies revealed that HE6/GPR64 was absent from the (kino-) cilia of ciliated cells. Instead, the receptor protein accumulated in the non-ciliated principal cells. Specifically, strong immunofluorescence was observed in the apical compartment of these cells. Dual labelling with phalloidin and anti-ezrin antibodies revealed that in the mouse the bulk amount of HE6/GPR64 protein co-localized with the F-actin–ezrin scaffold in brush border-like microvilli of ductuli efferentes and long stereocilia of the epididymis proper. In the ductuli efferentes, HE6/GPR64 also co-localized with the subapical F-actin network immediately below the microvilli. Comparable immunostaining patterns were observed in human and mouse; however, a specific feature of the human ductuli efferentes was an intense HE6/GPR64-related labelling of crypt-like grooves or furrows of hitherto unknown function.


Introduction

The production of spermatozoa in the testis is accompanied by abundant fluid secretion (Setchell et al. 1969), of which between 50 and 90%, depending upon species and study technique, are reabsorbed within the proximal part of the male genital tract. The importance of fluid reabsorption for male reproduction is emphasized by animal models that exhibit male infertility or subfertility because of a perturbed water balance (Lubahn et al. 1993, Hess et al. 1997, Davies et al. 2004). Fluid reabsorption occurring in the ductuli efferentes and epididymis is a complex and tightly regulated phenomenon. Chronic fluid control is largely dependent on sex hormones, while local acute regulation seems to be determined by load and rate of flow (Clulow et al. 1996; for a review, see Hess 2003). On a cellular level, three major mechanisms seem to be involved: (i) transcellular transport via apical and basolateral aquaporins (for a review, see Da Silva et al. 2006), (ii) cytoskeleton-dependent fluid phase endocytosis (Hermo et al. 1994), and (iii) paracellular transport through apical junctional complexes (Illo & Hess 1992). Transport regulation in the ductuli efferentes seems to be mediated by cAMP (Man et al. 2003) and probably other signal transduction systems. However, a local flow sensor is unknown.

HE6/GPR64, orphan member of the LNB-TM7(B2) subfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs; for a review, see Gottwald et al. 2006, Kirchhoff et al. 2006) was suggested to function in the control of water balance and fluid reabsorption in the male excurrent ducts (Davies et al. 2004). In the human and rodents, HE6/GPR64 mRNA is highly expressed in the epithelia of ductuli efferentes and proximal epididymis, but is not normally found in other tissues of the adult (Osterhoff et al. 1997, Obermann et al. 2003). A role for the receptor in fluid reabsorption was suggested from the phenotype of HE6/Gpr64 knockout (KO) mice, which revealed male infertility correlated with spermatozoa stasis and fluid back-up in the rete testis (Davies et al. 2004). Further support came from an altered gene expression pattern in the epididymides of HE6/Gpr64 KO mice (Davies et al. 2007). Significant downregulation of genes was observed in the KO organs whose expression depended upon testicular luminal factors (Davies et al. 2007). The list of downregulated gene products included claudin-10, member of a family of...
tight junction proteins. Claudin proteins create the tissue-specific and regional differences in paracellular conductance of various epithelia, including epithelia of the male genital tract (Inai et al. 2005, Holmes et al. 2006).

To better understand the functional role of HE6/GPR64, an immunolocalization study was performed here to reveal the cell types and subcellular compartments along the male genital tract that contained the receptor protein. The epithelia of the ductuli efferentes are mainly composed of two cell types, ciliated and non-ciliated (for a review, see Illo & Hess 1994). The non-ciliated cells play a specific role in fluid take-up. So far, most channels and transport proteins have been detected in these cells (for a review, see Da Silva et al. 2006). The ciliated cells seem to have a role in moving fluid and spermatozoa through the ducts but may, to a lesser extent, also take up material (for a review, see Illo & Hess 1994). The epithelium of the epididymis is mainly composed of principal and basal cells (Robaire & Hermo 1988). Other cell types such as the narrow, clear and halo cells are scattered along the duct in lesser number, their structural and molecular features likewise, suggesting that they perform specialized functions. We show here by laser confocal microscopy the co-localization of HE6/GPR64-related protein with the apical and subapical F-actin cytoskeleton of non-ciliated principal cells in the ductuli efferentes and epididymis.

Results

Localization of HE6/GPR64-related protein in human ductuli efferentes and epididymis

Immunoperoxidase staining was performed on paraffin tissue sections through the proximal human epididymis. Polyclonal anti-N2 and A antibodies from rabbits were employed (Obermann et al. 2003), which had been raised against discrete peptide epitopes of the ecto- and endosubunit of the heterodimeric HE6/GPR64 receptor protein respectively. A complex regionalized staining pattern was observed, which was congruent with both HE6/GPR64-directed antibodies (Fig. 1). The immunostaining patterns observed in two different human subjects were also very similar. Control stainings using pre-immune sera as well as antibody preparations, which had been pre-absorbed with the corresponding N2 and A oligopeptides (Fig. 1; compare Obermann et al. 2003) suggested that the regionalized staining pattern described below was specific.

HE6/GPR64-related immunoperoxidase staining was restricted to the duct epithelia; intertubular tissue and duct lumina showed no reaction above background (Fig. 1). Different types of tubules were distinguished on the basis of their epithelial morphology and luminal contents. In the proximal most part of the human caput region, a solitary cystic projection possibly represented a blind-ending efferent ductule. Both the uniform cuboidal epithelium that appeared immature and the luminal contents of this structure that lacked spermatozoa were negative for HE6/GPR64 (Fig. 2). This was possibly due to the lack of luminal fluids from the testis. In comparison, the majority of cross-sections through the ductuli efferentes were strongly immunopositive for HE6/GPR64. Maximum reactivity was seen in the apical most (adluminal) parts of type I epithelia (compare Yeung et al. 1991) that are characterized by a highly irregular outline (Figs 1 and 2). The irregular outline obviously resulted from variable heights of the epithelial cells (Holstein 1969), the epithelium generally appearing as thrown into numerous folds, which formed crests and grooves. In this area, HE6/GPR64-related immunoreactivity specifically accumulated in deep, crypt-like grooves or furrows; crest-like structures showed a weaker staining.
A specifically strong reaction was also observed in the occasionally occurring narrow ductuli that are seen at the periphery of the wider efferent ducts, possibly representing planar sections through the deep grooves (Fig. 1). Similar structures occurring in the ductuli efferentes of animal models had previously been referred to as ‘microcanals’. These canals may form as a consequence of ductular obstruction at exposure to toxicants (for a review, see Hess & Nakai 2000). However, in the two human tissues studied here, no obstructions and/or fibrotic lesions were obvious, which were indicative of such lesions.

Apical HE6/GPR64 immunoreactivity was also prevailing in the duct epithelia which showed a more regular outline of their epithelium (Fig. 2). Ciliated cells were frequent in this type of epithelium (Fig. 2); however, from bright-field microscopy, it was not possible to unequivocally discern HE6/GPR64-positive and HE6/GPR64-negative apical differentiations. On the other hand, adjacent ductular cross-sections were clearly HE6/GPR64 negative, which showed a flat cuboidal epithelium and contained dense masses of luminal spermatozoa (Fig. 2). These unstained epithelia apparently also delineated blind-ending ductuli as described by Yeung et al. (1991), while the HE6/GPR64-positive areas resembled cross-sections of type I and III epithelia.

Further downstream, the most pronounced HE6/GPR64 immunoreactivity resided in the apical ‘stereocilia’ that actually represented long branched microvilli of the epididymis proper (Fig. 2). As these structures occupied much of the neighbouring apical surfaces, it was not possible to decide from bright-field microscopy whether interspersed narrow-type apical mitochondrial-rich cells were positive or negative for HE6/GPR64. The gradually decreasing length of the ‘stereocilia’ was accompanied by a decreasing gradient of HE6/GPR64-related immunoreactivity along the longitudinal axis of the duct epithelium; no other regional differences were obvious. Further distal in the human corpus epididymidis, HE6/GPR64-specific staining gradually disappeared.

**Cell type-specific expression of HE6/GPR64 along the male excurrent ducts of the mouse**

To better resolve the cell type specificity and subcellular location of the HE6/GPR64 receptor, we studied mouse organs that had been fixed by cardiac vascular perfusion; the quality of immersion-fixed human tissues was not sufficient in this regard (data not shown). Immunofluorescence labelling was performed employing the anti-mA23 antibody, which was raised against the third extracellular loop of the murine HE6/GPR64 counterpart (Obermann et al. 2003). Antibodies raised against the N-terminus of the murine HE6/GPR64 counterpart (compare Obermann et al. 2003, Davies et al. 2004) yielded similar results, albeit with a higher background (data not shown). As was already observed in the human, specifically strong HE6/GPR64-related immunoreactivity was restricted to the epithelia of ductuli efferentes and proximal epididymides (Fig. 3A, overview). Organs from three animals were analysed in parallel, and the results were consistent.
Figure 3  Dual labelling of HE6/GPR64 receptor protein and acetylated tubulin in male excurrent ducts of the mouse. Cy2-conjugated second antibody was used in combination with mA23 antibody (green fluorescence) and Cy3-conjugated second antibody in combination with acetylated tubulin (red fluorescence). (A) Overview showing conus region and terminal region of ductuli efferentes plus initial segment (IS) of epididymis. HE6/GPR64-related fluorescence (green) is present in epithelia of ductuli efferentes plus IS epithelium, while acetylated tubulin-related fluorescence (red) is restricted to ductuli efferentes. (B) Ductular cross-sections of conus region; cells with prominent apical HE6/GPR64 staining are in close vicinity to others in which entire cell bodies are labelled. HE6/GPR64 and tubulin fluorescence do not co-localize on merged confocal image. (C) HE6/GPR64-related immunofluorescence is characterized by a dual apical structure intersected by a dark line (highlighted by arrows). This line probably marks the frontier between brush border-like microvilli and the subapical (endosomal?) compartment. The dual structure is most intensely stained in cross-sections of the conus region of ductuli efferentes. (D) Detail showing that HE6/GPR64-related (green) and acetylated tubulin-related fluorescence are associated with alternating apical structures. (E) Overlay of HE6/GPR64-related immunofluorescence and differential interference contrast image suggests that the receptor is localized in the apical domain of the non-ciliated cell type and not in ciliated cells (arrow).
We performed confocal microscopy with dual fluorescence labelling, combining the mA23 antibody with a monoclonal α-tubulin antibody, which had been raised against acetylated α-tubulin. This modified tubulin isoform accumulates only in the stabile microtubules of flagella and cilia but not in the dynamic microtubules (Piperno & Fuller 1985; see Materials and Methods). In our study, acetylated α-tubulin was a highly specific marker of the ciliated cells (Fig. 3) that are interspersed in the epithelium of the ductuli efferentes at varying proportions, depending upon region (compare Ilio & Hess 1994). The bright red apical structures represented the tufts of (kino-) cilia, each of which contained an axoneme of stabile microtubules (Fig. 3B–E).

Confocal imaging revealed that the HE6/GPR64-related green fluorescence did not co-localize with these tufts (Fig. 3B–D). Rather, the receptor protein accumulated in alternating apical structures located in neighbouring non-ciliated cells of the ductuli efferentes epithelium (Fig. 3D). In the non-ciliated cells of the conus region, a dual apical structure was most prominently stained for HE6/GPR64, which was intersected by a frontier between the brush border-like microvilli and the subapical (endosomal?) compartment (Fig. 3C). This frontier was visible as a dark line between these two subcellular domains. Although HE6/GPR64-related fluorescence was prevailing in the apical cellular domain, it was occasionally observed also in the cellular bodies and in the basolateral areas of some ductular cross-sections (Fig. 3B). In comparison, the acetylated tubulin was restricted to (kino-) cilia.

In the initial segment (IS) of the epididymis proper, HE6/GPR64-related fluorescence was largely restricted to the long stereocilia (Fig. 4). Cellular bodies and basolateral membrane domains of the principal cells were barely fluorescent; occasionally observed HE6/GPR64-positive spots resembled transporting vesicles. Basal cells were HE6/GPR64-negative. Interspersed in the IS epithelium were a few tall and slender cells that were strongly positive for acetylated α-tubulin (Fig. 4). These cells resembled apical or narrow cells. As their apical tubulin fluorescence was partially superimposed by long and brightly stained apical projections of adjacent HE6/GPR64-positive principal cells, the presence or absence of the HE6/GPR64 receptor protein in these cells could not be unequivocally decided.

Association of HE6/GPR64 with the apical F-actin–ezrin scaffold

To reveal the nature of the apical HE6/GPR64-positive structures, dual labelling of mouse ductuli efferentes and epididymal duct epithelia was performed with either phalloidin (for a review, see Wieland 1987) or with anti-εzrin antibodies (Berryman et al. 1993). It is well documented that non-ciliated cells in both the ductuli efferentes and the epididymal duct proper carry microvilli of different lengths (for a review, see Robaire & Hermo 1988), which are characterized by parallel F-actin bundles. Ezrin, a minor component of microvilli in the male excurrent ducts (Höfer & Drenckhahn 1996), is considered to be an organizer of the brush border and/or actin terminal web. More recently, ezrin was also found in the apical domain of specialized ciliated cells (Huang et al. 2003).

The anti-εzrin antibody stained the epithelia of both ductuli efferentes and epididymal duct epithelia (Fig. 5A, overview). In the ductuli efferentes, ezrin was specifically localized to the apical membrane domain (Fig. 5B and C). A prominent basal ezrin staining was additionally observed in the IS of the epididymis that was most probably localized in the basal cells (Fig. 5A). Combining mA23 and ezrin antibodies, HE6/GPR64 co-localized with ezrin in the apical epithelial domains, but not in the basal areas. In the ductuli efferentes, this co-localization was interrupted by interspersed cells that were positive for ezrin but negative for HE6/GPR64 (Fig. 5B and C). These cells most probably represented the ciliated cell type of the ductuli efferentes. Apical ezrin expression had previously been observed in ciliated airway epithelial cells (Huang et al. 2003). In the male genital tract, however, different from the airway epithelium, ezrin appeared to be present also in the non-ciliated cells (compare also Höfer & Drenckhahn 1996).

Combining the mA23 antibody with phalloidin, the HE6/GPR64 receptor co-localized with apical F-actin in both efferent ducts and IS epithelia (Figs 6 and 7). No co-localization was observed in the basal parts of the...
epithelial cellular bodies or in the muscular layer below the epithelium (Fig. 7). Spherical protrusions occasionally extended into the duct lumen that seemed to be derived from the apexes of scattered epithelial cells (Fig. 7A). These homogenous bleb-like structures were HE6/GPR64 positive but F-actin negative. As the mouse tissues employed in this study had been fixed by cardiac vascular perfusion (see Materials and Methods), it is assumed that the blebs did not represent fixation artefacts. Examining the co-localization of F-actin and HE6/GPR64 more closely, it was most prominent in apical microvilli (=‘stereocilia’) of the IS; note also the strong basal ezrin staining in this region (red). (B) In the ductuli efferentes, merged image shows that apical co-localization of HE6/GPR64 receptor protein and ezrin is only partial. (C and D) Arrows highlight cells of mouse efferent duct epithelium in which apical ezrin staining (red) is most prominent, but which seem to be negative for HE6/GPR64 (green). Cross-section shows that these cells are associated with groove or furrow-like structure in duct epithelium.

Discussion

The present study revealed that HE6/GPR64 accumulates in the apical epithelial domain of the proximal male efferent duct system. The receptor protein is specifically associated with cell types and subcellular structures that have been implicated in the process of fluid reabsorption. A role for HE6/GPR64 in fluid reabsorption was previously suggested from the infertility phenotype of male KO mice (Davies et al. 2004, 2007). We show here that HE6/GPR64-related protein is highly enriched in the non-ciliated cell type of the ductuli efferentes that is largely involved in fluid reabsorption (for a review, see Da Silva et al. 2006). Apical microvilli plus underlying subapical compartment were most prominently stained in these cells. In comparison, the ciliated cells of the ductuli efferentes were negative for HE6/GPR64. Indeed, these cells have been reported to serve different functions (for a review, see Pastor-Soler et al. 2005).
In the non-ciliated cells of the ductuli efferentes, a prominent dual apical structure was observed, which was strongly positive for HE6/GPR64. This dual structure probably comprised the apical microvillar brush border plus underlying subapical cytoskeleton. It was hypothesized that microvilli function as sensors to detect and amplify hydrodynamic forces and transmit them to the subapical actin cytoskeleton (Du et al. 2004). The present results on the subcellular distribution of the HE6/GPR64 receptor and its co-localization with F-actin are compatible with such sensor function. Also, a well-developed endocytic apparatus has been described in the ductuli efferentes consisting of subapical tubules and endocytic vacuoles. Our results may thus indicate an intense cell surface receptor internalization that takes place for HE6/GPR64 in the ductuli efferentes. Spherical protrusions of HE6/GPR64-positive material were occasionally observed in this region that extended into the lumen of the ductuli efferentes. These bleb-like structures may not be an artefact but rather indicate apocrine release of cellular material (Aumüller et al. 1999, Hermo & Jacks 2002). Alternatively, energy depletion has been suggested to facilitate the formation and detachment of blebs by weakening apical membrane interactions with membrane cytoskeleton linkers, including ezrin (Chen & Wagner 2001). Further downstream in the epididymal duct proper, principal cells actively secrete and (re)absorb numerous proteins and small molecules (for a review, see Robaire &

Figure 7  Details of mouse ductuli efferentes and initial segment (IS) after dual labelling for HE6/GPR64 receptor protein (green) and F-actin (red). (A) In cross-section through ductuli efferentes, co-localization of the receptor protein with F-actin is most prominent in microvillar shafts and also in a subapical zone immediately below the microvillar brush border (yellow fluorescence). Cells with apical co-localization alternate with cells where only phalloidin fluorescence (red) is visible. Arrow accentuates HE6/GPR64-positive (green), but F-actin-negative bleb-like structures. (B) In the IS, HE6/GPR64 protein co-localizes with F-actin in the long microvilli (= ‘stereocilia’) but not with the subapical zone below the microvilli. Note the F-actin-positive, but HE6/GPR64-negative dotted structures (red), which correspond to the apical junctional complexes (arrow) of the IS epithelium. Arrow heads highlight interspersed narrow-type apical cells. Scale bar: 25 μm.
Hermo 1988). In this region, the dual apical structure was no longer obvious. Rather, HE6/GPR64 was mainly associated with the long highly specialized microvilli of principal cells but not with the subapical cytoplasm.

The present study revealed a comparable regional distribution of HE6/GPR64 in the human and mouse excurrent ducts, underlining the conserved expression characteristics of the orphan receptor (compare also Obermann et al. 2003, Kirchhoff et al. 2006). However, a special feature of HE6/GPR64 expression in the human was also observed. Type I epithelia of the ductuli efferentes, which are characterized by a highly irregular outline (compare Yeung et al. 1991) showed an intense immunostaining of crypt-like grooves or furrows. These apparently human-specific structures that had no obvious counterpart in the mouse were remotely reminiscent of crypts in the intestine. Similar structures were shown in a recent publication on the localization of ion transport-associated proteins in the human ductuli efferentes (Kujala et al. 2007). Although not explicitly mentioned by the authors, the putative anion transporter-1 (SLC26A6) and the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger NHE3 (SLC9A3) seemed to be specifically enriched in crypt-like grooves. Comparable differentiations in the ductuli efferentes of other species are seldom mentioned in the literature (but compare Ilio & Hess 1994), and their functional significance remains unknown.

The HE6/GPR64 receptor protein seemed to cover the brush border-like microvilli of ductuli efferentes and also the very long and branched ‘stereocilia’ of the proximal epididymis (Obermann et al. 2003). These apical structures, in addition to their central F-actin bundles, are also enriched in a panel of scaffolding proteins, including ezrin. Using the mouse as a model, our present results confirmed previous localization studies and revealed an intimate association of the HE6/GPR64 receptor with the apical actin–ezrin scaffold. In comparison with F-actin, though, co-localization of HE6/GPR64 with ezrin appeared less continual. An apical area immediately below the ciliated tufts was positive for F-actin and ezrin, but was completely devoid of HE6/GPR64. Indeed, ezrin has been found in the apical domain of specialized ciliated cells of the airway epithelium (Huang et al. 2003) and may thus also be expressed in the ciliated cell type of the ductuli efferentes. A profilin-like protein that was previously suggested to link HE6/GPR64 to the actin cytoskeleton (Kirchhoff et al. 2006), however, could not be verified by co-localization studies (data not shown).

Actin microfilaments are responsible for surface expression, functional activity and intracellular trafficking of numerous ion channels (for a review, see Mazzochi et al. 2006). They may also be involved in the targeting and/or internalization of surface receptors, including HE6/GPR64. It has long been known that growth factor receptors, like the epidermal growth factor receptor, directly bind to actin in vivo. More recently, a link between GPCRs of the brain and the cortical actin cytoskeleton was also described (Schwabe et al. 2005). To date, however, no information is available concerning the in vivo binding partner(s) of HE6/GPR64, which may be responsible for its specific subcellular localization. Also, no information is as yet available regarding possible determinants on the of HE6/GPR64 molecule itself, which might be involved in the polarized targeting to the apical membrane domain.

Coupling of surface receptors to the apical cellular compartment occurs preferentially in the absence of a ligand; after binding of their specific ligand(s), receptors may become internalized. In this context, it is of interest that cross-sections were commonly encountered within the ductuli efferentes, which showed an intense HE6/GPR64 labelling of entire epithelial cell bodies, including the basolateral domain. These ductuli were often found in close vicinity to others, which showed almost exclusive apical staining. In the epididymis, in comparison, HE6/GPR64-related staining was restricted to the long microvilli of the principal cells while basolateral domains and cellular bodies remained largely unstained. It is thus tempting to speculate that subapical and basolateral stainings within the ductuli efferentes might reflect an internalization of the HE6/GPR64 receptor, which occurred upon interaction with its ligand. This ligand may be no longer available more distally in the luminal fluids of the epididymal duct proper.

It should be considered, however, that HE6/GPR64 is an ‘orphan’ member of the adhesion GPCRs, and a ligand is presently unknown. Like other members of this subfamily of cell surface receptors, it has a remarkably long N-terminus that may be involved in molecular adhesion. Thus, HE6/GPR64 may also serve a structural function, comparable with the closely related VLGR1 receptor in the ankle links of hair cell stereocilia (for a review, see Vollrath et al. 2007). Indeed, microvilli of various epithelia serve very different functions. They are involved in fluid reabsorption in kidney and intestinal brush borders, in secretion in gastric parietal cells, in chemosensation in taste buds and vomeronasal organ, and in mechanosensation in inner ear hair cells and Merkel cells. It is conceivable that, according to these divergent functions, different types of microvilli exist, which display pronounced differences in their morphology and also in their molecular composition. The function(s) of the highly specialized microvilli of the male excurrent ducts, which selectively express the HE6/GPR64 receptor remain to be established.

Materials and Methods

Human tissues

Human epididymides were obtained with the authorization of the local ethical committee from two individual patients who underwent orchidecotomy for the treatment of prostate...
mouse HE6/GPR64 homologue (mA23) respectively. Their sequence (N2), to a sequence from the second extracellular loop of the 7 TM region of human HE6/GPR64 (A) and the receptor protein, corresponding to an N-terminal peptide employed for dual immunostaining procedures. Alexa-fluor 546-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes Europe, Leiden, the Netherlands) was employed to specifically stain actin filaments (see below).

Immunoperoxidase staining

Paraffin sections (4 μm) were obtained from proximal parts of human epididymides. A conventional peroxidase-anti-peroxidase (PAP)-avidin–biotin complex (ABC) combination method was adopted with the modifications as described (Balvers et al. 1998). Briefly, after passing through xylol and descending ethanol, sections were washed 2 × 10 min in Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 0.05 M Tris–HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.4). Endogenous peroxidase was suppressed by incubation in 3% (v/v) H2O2 for 45 min at RT. Sections were then blocked with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum for 1 h at RT. After rinsing in TBS, sections were incubated with anti-N2 and A antibodies at dilutions of 1:500–1:2000 in antibody dilution buffer (ADB; TBS containing 2% (v/v) normal goat serum plus 0.05% (w/v) BSA), overnight at 4 °C; the corresponding pre-immune serum served as a control. After rinsing 3 × 5 min in TBS, sections were incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), diluted 1:500 in ADB for 1 h at RT. After 3 × 5 min rinsing in TBS, sections were then incubated in rabbit PAP complex (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) 1:500 in ADB, for 1 h at RT. After 3 × 5 min of rinsing in TBS, these last two incubation steps were repeated, this time for only 30 min per step. After further brief rinsing in TBS, sections were incubated with ABC complex (Vector Laboratories) for 1 h at RT. Specific signals were detected using DAB (Sigma) as chromogen and stopping the reaction by rapidly rinsing in tap water, followed by conventional haemalaun counterstaining. Sections were coverslipped in aqueous mounting medium and investigated by standard bright field microscopy (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and images captured with a digital camera (Leica DC 300; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Immunofluorescence staining

Cryosections (8 μm) were obtained from mouse tissues (Reichert-Jung 2800 Frigocut, Leica Microsystems), collected on Superfrost Plus charged microscope slides (Menzel GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany), air-dried and stored at −20 °C until use. Sections were rehydrated in PBS solution (see above) for 15 min and then treated with 1% SDS (wt/vol) for antigen retrieval as described (Brown et al. 1996). Sections were washed 3 × 10 min in PBS and blocked with 10% (v/v) normal goat serum for 1 h at RT, followed by a 2-h incubation with the primary antibodies at RT employing the appropriate dilutions as specified. Anti-acetylated tubulin was employed at 1:200 in ADB and anti-ezrin at 1:100 in ADB. Slides were rinsed 3 × 5 min in PBS, then the corresponding secondary antibodies were applied for 1 h at RT, and the slides rinsed again 3 × 5 min in fresh PBS. The following secondary antibodies and amplification systems were employed: Cy2- or Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgGs (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) respectively were employed at a final concentration of 1.5 μg/ml. For dual labelling with antibodies raised in different species, the primary antibodies were applied sequentially at the appropriate concentration, followed by the corresponding secondary antibodies. At dilutions higher than 1:100 of the mA23 antibody, tyramide signal amplification was employed for this antibody using a TSA kit (Molecular Probes) that contained Alexa-fluor 488 tyramide and horse radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG. Slides were rinsed in PBS for 10 min, immersed in 0.25% H2O2 in PBS for 60 min, rinsed in...
PBS for 5 min, and incubated with SDS and blocking solutions as described above. TSA (Molecular Probes) was then performed according to the supplier’s instructions. Alexa-fluor 546-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes) was employed according to the suggestions of the supplier at a final concentration of 200 units/ml, which is equivalent to ~6.6 μM. Slides were rinsed 3 × 10 min in fresh PBS and then coverslipped in fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO, Hamburg, Germany).

**Laser confocal microscopy**

Slides were examined for standard fluorescence and LaserScan Confocal imaging on a Leica TCS SL confocal microscopy system using Leica Confocal Software version 2.00. The Leica DM IRE2 fluorescence microscope was equipped with PlanApochromat 10×, 40× and 63× objectives; the 63×/1.2 W immersion oil objective was used to acquire the final confocal images. Differential interference contrast was used for transmitted images. Visualization of green fluorophore (Cy2, Alexa-fluor 488) excitation at 488 nm was achieved by using an argon laser (Leica). Red fluorophore (Cy3, Alexa-fluor 546) excitation at 543 nm was achieved by using a helium/neon laser (Leica). Energy emission in the form of light by green fluorophores (510–520 nm) and red fluorophores (570–590 nm) was detected using independent photomultiplier tubes (PMTs). To calculate optimum PMT settings for each laser line, the glow-over-under option of the Leica Confocal Software was used to adjust brightness and minimize autofluorescence. The pinhole was set at 1 Airy unit for captured confocal images. Colour channels for the final double-label images were captured sequentially and then merged using the Leica TCS NT software. For controls, identical PMT and pinhole settings were used.
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