Involvement of the SLIT/ROBO pathway in follicle development in the fetal ovary
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Abstract

In humans and domestic mammals, pivotal processes in ovary development, including primordial follicle assembly, occur prenatally. These events are essential for determining fertility in adult life; however, they remain poorly understood at the mechanistic level. In mammals, the SLITs (SLIT1, SLIT2 and SLIT3) and their ROBO (ROBO1, ROBO2, ROBO3/RIG-1 and ROBO4/MAGIC ROBO) receptors regulate neural, leukocyte, vascular smooth muscle cell and endothelial cell migration. In addition, the SLIT/ROBO pathway has functional roles in embryonic development and in the adult ovary by inhibiting cell migration and promoting apoptosis. We therefore characterised follicle formation and investigated the expression and localisation of the ROBO/SLIT pathway in the ovine fetal ovary. Using RT-PCR, we identified SLIT2, SLIT3, ROBO1, ROBO2 and ROBO4 in sheep ovaries harvested across gestation. The real-time quantitative PCR results implied that ROBO2 expression and ROBO4 expression were elevated during the early stages of follicle formation and stayed abundant during primordial follicle maturation (P < 0.05). Immunohistochemistry examination demonstrated that ROBO1 was localised to the pre-granulosa cells, while ROBO2, ROBO4 and SLIT2 were expressed in the oocytes of the developing primordial follicle. This indicates that in the fetal ovary, SLIT–ROBO signalling may require an autocrine and paracrine interaction. Furthermore, at the time of increased SLIT–ROBO expression, there was a significant reduction in the number of proliferating oocytes in the developing ovary (P < 0.0001). Overall, these results suggest, for the first time, that the SLIT–ROBO pathway is expressed at the time of follicle formation during fetal ovary development.


Introduction

In most mammals, development of the ovary occurs in utero before birth. Critical events include the successful migration of primordial germ cells (PGCs) along with primordial follicle assembly and development (Loffler & Koopman 2002). The majority of literature also suggests that in mammals, the number of ‘resting’ primordial follicles available to the female during her reproductive life is established and fixed before birth (Skinner 2005).

The normal gestation period in sheep lasts 145 days. At days 23–24 of gestation, the gonad is formed through a thickening of the coelomic epithelium, which is located on the mesonephros (McNatty et al. 1995). During this time, a crucial event is the migration of mitotically active PGCs to the genital ridges (McLaren 2000). Sexual differentiation occurs between days 30 and 32 of gestation with the gonad adopting its fate as either a testis or an ovary (Brennan & Capel 2004). In the ovary, the germ cells divide and cell streams start to develop from somatic cells within the ovarian medulla. These cells intersperse between germ cells creating distinct clusters of oogonia that start to enter meiosis. This occurs between days 40 and 75 of fetal life in the sheep (McNatty et al. 1995).

Another significant period of ovary development is between days 75 and 100 of gestation when follicle formation occurs. On day 75, the maximal population of PGCs is established with ~ 850 000 per ovary. From this point onwards, oocytes become arrested in the diplotene stage of meiosis to form the resting pool of primordial follicles. The follicle is formed through the breakdown of germ cell clusters. Subsequently, somatic cells, derived from the cell streams, form a single layer around individual oocytes (McNatty et al. 1995). During this period, there is a massive 80% reduction in the population of germ cells within the ovary. Throughout the whole process of follicle formation, the oocyte increases in size, as it acquires meiotic and cytoplasmic competence (McNatty et al. 1995). The formation of the...
foll
cule is therefore imperative for oocyte survival and maintenance of meiotic arrest. Although the events that occur during gestation seem to have such a profound effect on the functional lifespan of the ovary in adulthood, the factors regulating PGC migration and follicle formation require further elucidation.

In *Drosophila*, the secreted glycoprotein Slit repels migrating neurons during the assembly of the nervous system (Kidd et al. 1999). The actions of Slit are mediated by its receptor, Roundabout (Robo; Seeger et al. 1993). The SLIT–ROBO interaction, and their role in axon guidance, is conserved in mammals, where three Slit (Slit1, Slit2 and Slit3) and four Robo (Robo1, Robo2, Robo3/Rig-1 and Robo4/Magic Robo) genes have been identified (Dickson 2002, Huminiecki et al. 2002). There is also emerging evidence implicating the SLIT/ROBO pathway in cellular processes outside of the nervous system (Wong et al. 2002). SLIT–ROBO signalling seems to inhibit myogenesis, leukocyte chemotaxis and vascular smooth muscle cell migration (Kidd et al. 1999, Wu et al. 2001, Liu et al. 2006). ROBO4 is distinct from the other receptors, playing a specific role in endothelial cell migration (Legg et al. 2008). Furthermore, ROBO1 (3p12), SLIT2 (4p15.2), SLIT3 (5q34–35) and, to a lesser extent, SLIT1 (10q23.3–24) have emerged as candidate tumour suppressor genes that are inactivated through deletions (10q23.3–24) have emerged as candidate tumour suppressor genes that are inactivated through deletions during...
as ‘Stage 1’. The first fully formed primordial follicles were observed at day 80 with pre-granulosa cells becoming associated with the oocyte (Fig. 1D). This period, with clearly formed follicles, was categorised as ‘Stage 3’. The intense period of stromal migration and follicle formation appeared to occur between days 60 and 70. We classified this period as ‘Stage 2’. Firstly, clear germ cell clusters and stromal cell streams developed. Consequently, the germ cell clusters broke down and there was an increased association between the oocytes and stromal cells (Fig. 1C). Therefore, at these three stages of gestation, there are distinct differences in the cell migration and tissue remodelling that is occurring in the ovary. Next, we characterised cell proliferation, programmed cell death and germ cell maturation during these three critical stages of ovary development.

**Immunolocalisation of cell markers during ovary development**

The number of proliferating cells at the different stages of ovarian development was assessed using immunohistochemistry to determine Ki67 expression (Fig. 2). This antibody has been used in previous studies as a marker for cell proliferation in the developing ovary (Balla et al. 2008). There was intense Ki67 staining in the germ cell clusters, while the surrounding somatic cells showed weaker expression. Furthermore, Ki67 expression changed significantly across gestation ($P<0.0001$, Kruskal–Wallis test). Expression of Ki67 was maximal at Stage 1, and significantly reduced in Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation by 6- to 7.5-fold ($P<0.0001$, Dunn’s multiple comparison test; Fig. 2F).

The SLIT/ROBO pathway is thought to promote apoptosis through activation of caspase-3 (Morrissy et al. 2004, Dickinson et al. 2008). Since cell proliferation changed across gestation, the number of cells undergoing caspase-dependent apoptosis was also assessed using immunohistochemistry for cleaved (activated) caspase-3 (Fig. 3). Specific immunopositive cells could be detected at each key stage, and there was a trend towards a reduction in cleaved caspase-3 staining in Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation, compared with Stage 1 ($P=0.079$, Kruskal–Wallis test; Fig. 3E). However, in Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation cleaved caspase-3 seemed to be localised to pyknotic germ cells within ovigerous cords (Fig. 3B and C). At Stage 1 of gestation, the cleaved caspase-3 staining was not germ cell specific (Fig. 3A).

As OCT-3/4 (also known as POU class 5 homeobox 1 (POU5F1)) is a germ cell marker that changes during maturation, its expression was also investigated by immunohistochemistry across the different stages of ovary development (Fig. 4). Previous studies have used this antibody as a marker for pluripotent germ cells in the developing human ovary (Anderson et al. 2007). POU5F1 expression increased significantly with gestational age ($P<0.01$, Kruskal–Wallis test). The number of POU5F1 immunopositive cells was significantly 28-fold higher at Stage 3 compared with Stage 1.

**Figure 2** Localisation of proliferating cells throughout sheep gestation using Ki67 as a marker. (A) Light-field microscopy revealed intense brown DAB staining for Ki67 in the germ cells of day 50 (Stage 1) ovaries. As gestational age increases, there was a reduction in positive brown DAB staining. Decreased Ki67 expression was observed in the germ cells of Stage 2 (B and C) and Stage 3 (D) ovaries. Arrows are pointing at oocytes showing positive brown DAB staining. (E) No DAB staining was observed in the negative control section. All the scale bars represent 100 μm. (F) To quantify the results, the total number of Ki67-positive cells was counted over 8–12 fields for each ovary. This number was divided by the total area of 8–12 fields (2000–3000 μm²) to give the number of cells per μm² for each ovary. For this experiment, three Stage 1, eight Stage 2 and four Stage 3 ovaries were examined for Ki67 staining. Values are the mean for each group ± s.e.m. Ki67 expression changed significantly across gestation ($P<0.0001$, Kruskal–Wallis test). There was a significant reduction in the number of Ki67-positive cells at Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation compared with Stage 1 ($P<0.0001$, Dunn).
Furthermore, the staining seemed to be confined to more mature oocytes associated with somatic cells and not in the germ cell clusters (P < 0.0001, Dunn’s multiple comparison test; Fig. 4F). These findings confirm that each of the categorised developmental stages is associated with specific events. Stage 1 involves germ cell proliferation, while Stage 2 is associated with stromal cell migration and follicle formation. Stage 3 is associated with formed follicles and germ cell maturation. If the SLIT/ROBO pathway has a role in ovarian development, we hypothesised that they would be expressed in the fetal ovary and the expression would be differentially regulated between the three disparate stages.

Members of the SLIT and ROBO families are expressed in the fetal ovary

Therefore, a cohort of sheep fetal ovary RNA samples was used to investigate whether expression of the SLIT/ROBO pathway plays a novel role in these pivotal stages of ovarian development. The expression of each ROBO and SLIT gene transcript was examined at different gestational stages using RT-PCR (Fig. 5). ROBO3 and SLIT1 were not expressed in the sheep ovary throughout adult and fetal life (data not shown). However, ROBO1, ROBO2, ROBO4, SLIT2 and SLIT3 were expressed not only in the adult ovary but also throughout gestation, suggesting that they may have a role in the development of this organ.

ROBO2 and ROBO4 are differentially expressed across gestation

Real-time quantitative PCR was used to assess whether expression of ROBO1, ROBO2, ROBO4, SLIT2 and SLIT3 was differentially regulated in the ovary during gestation (Fig. 6). ROBO2 and ROBO4 expression changed significantly across the developmental stages (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 respectively, ANOVA; Fig. 6B and C). There was a five- to six-fold increase in ROBO2 expression at Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation compared with Stage 1 (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 respectively, Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test). Expression of ROBO4 was also significantly twofold higher in Stage 2 compared with Stage 1 of gestation (P < 0.05, Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test). ROBO4 expression was maintained during Stage 3 of development but was not significantly higher than at Stage 1. During developmental growth, there was also a trend towards an increase in ROBO1 expression during Stage 2 of gestation (P = 0.1406, ANOVA; Fig. 6A). ROBO1 mRNA did seem to be more abundant than other members of the SLIT and ROBO families. Expression of SLIT2 and SLIT3 showed more variation within the samples and did not change notably throughout gestation (Fig. 6D and E). These results indicate that during ovary development, the SLIT/ROBO pathway is regulated at the receptor level. Furthermore, the expression of SLITs and ROBOs seems to be elevated during the stage of follicle development, rather than that of germ cell proliferation.
In order to determine which cell types express members of the SLIT/ROBO pathway, the proteins were localised by immunohistochemistry. ROBO1 immunohistochemistry was performed to investigate whether the mRNA expression pattern in the fetal ovary was maintained at the protein level (Fig. 7A–D). Results showed clear and specific ROBO1 immunostaining at Stage 3 of gestation with weak expression at Stage 2 and little protein expression at Stage 1. ROBO1 was localised primarily to the pre-granulosa cells of the follicle with some staining of the oocyte. This would be consistent with a role in the forming follicle. As no ROBO1 blocking peptide was available, immunoblotting was performed to confirm that the antibody was specific. Previous research has suggested that the ROBO1 protein is around 181 kDa and is expressed in fetal liver tissue but not in the adult liver (Ito et al. 2006). Immunoblot analysis confirmed the expression of a 181-kDa protein in the protein lysate from two sheep fetal liver samples but not a lamb liver tissue (Fig. 7D).

Using immunohistochemistry, the ligand, SLIT2, was also localised to the forming follicle in the fetal ovary (Fig. 7I–L). Weak SLIT2 staining was apparent from Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation, which coincides with early primordial follicle development (Fig. 8B and C).

**Figure 4** Using the pluripotency marker POU5F1 (OCT-3/4), the number of germ cells increased with sheep gestation. (A) Light-field microscopy of a Stage 1 ovary showing no weak brown DAB staining for POU5F1. (B) An early Stage 2 ovary still had low levels of POU5F1 expression. (C) A late Stage 2 ovary had more prominent positive brown POU5F1 staining. (D) By Stage 3 of gestation, there was intense positive brown DAB staining for POU5F1. Arrows are pointing at oocytes showing positive brown DAB staining. (E) A negative control section had no DAB staining. All the scale bars represent 100 μm. (F) To quantify the results, the number of POU5F1 positive cells was counted over 8–12 fields for each ovary. This number was divided by the total area of 8–12 fields (2000–3000 μm²) to give the number of cells per μm² for each ovary. For this experiment, three Stage 1, eight Stage 2 and four Stage 3 ovaries were examined for POU5F1 staining. Values are the mean for each group ± S.E.M. There was a direct correlation between gestational age and POU5F1 expression (P<0.01, Kruskal–Wallis test). There was a significantly higher number of POU5F1 positive cells in Stage 3 ovaries compared with Stage 1 (P<0.0001, Dunn).

**Figure 5** The SLIT and ROBO gene families were expressed in the sheep ovary. RNA extracted from Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 3 fetal ovaries as well as two adult sheep ovaries was used as a template for RT-PCR. At all stages of development, the fetal ovary expressed ROBO1, ROBO2, ROBO4, SLIT2 and SLIT3. The adult sheep also expressed these genes. Negative control samples containing PCR master mix without any DNA (−) or RNA, which had not been converted to cDNA (−RT) showed no expression suggesting the results were not due to genomic DNA contamination.
of gestation with expression confined to the germ cell clusters. SLIT2 was predominantly expressed in the oocytes with only a little light staining in the pre-granulosa cells. This staining pattern in the forming primordial follicles was more marked by Stage 3 of development. Overall, these findings suggest that the SLIT/ROBO pathway is active during primordial follicle formation and may act in an autocrine or paracrine fashion.

**Discussion**

Key events that culminate in a fertile healthy ovary occur during fetal life in humans and domestic species. However, the molecular and cellular mechanisms that underpin these pivotal processes have yet to be fully elucidated (McNatty et al. 2000). Therefore, research in this area is of critical importance. Along with an evolutionary conserved role in axon guidance, the SLIT/ROBO pathway has a key function during organogenesis (Piper et al. 2000). Our previous research indicated that expression of SLIT2, SLIT3 and ROBO2 was regulated during the lifespan of the human corpus luteum (Dickinson et al. 2008). These studies also suggested that the SLITs and ROBOs regulate apoptosis and cell migration in the human adult ovary, processes that occur during development of this organ as well (Dickinson et al. 2008). This report investigated, for the first time, expression of the SLITs and ROBOs during sheep fetal ovary development. The study also further characterised the period of follicle formation, during sheep fetal ovary development, by quantifying the number of proliferating and apoptotic cells along with oocytes.

The H & E staining results supported previous fetal sheep ovary studies (McNatty et al. 1995, 2000). At day 50 of gestation, nests of oocytes were observed. Between days 60 and 70 of gestation, there were early signs of follicle assembly. Firstly, the germ cell clusters started to become associated with neighbouring stromal cell streams. Subsequently, individual oocytes were surrounded by an incomplete layer of pre-granulosa cells. By day 80 of gestation, the first fully formed primordial follicles were perceived.

Female germ cells are expected to stop proliferating prior to their entry into meiosis, which occurs around day 65–75 in sheep gestation (McNatty et al. 2000, Rae et al. 2002). Using Ki67 as a proliferation marker, in our sheep fetal ovaries, the majority of dividing cells were oocytes. As predicted, the number of proliferating sheep oocytes also declined dramatically as gestational age increased (Fig. 2F). Interestingly, some Ki67-positive germ cells were detected in Stages 2 and 3 ovaries, supporting human, mouse and cow studies (Wrobel et al. 1996, Traut et al. 2002, Stoop et al. 2005). Therefore, Ki67 may have a role in meiosis as well as mitosis and might be an unreliable cell proliferation marker. An alternative marker could have been used but previous studies using proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) also detected positive cells during later stages of sheep fetal ovary development (Rae et al. 2001). These may have been non-cycling cells as well since PCNA also regulates DNA repair pathways and has a long half-life (Lea et al. 2006). Therefore, a robust marker for the end of the oocyte mitosis phase still needs to be developed.

The SLIT–ROBO pathway can inhibit the proliferation of cortical neurons (Andrews et al. 2008). Furthermore, SLIT–ROBO signalling increases breast cancer cell death along with promoting apoptosis in colorectal tumour, lung tumour and luteal ovarian cells potentially through a caspase-3-mediated mechanism (Dallol et al. 2002, 2003, Morrissey et al. 2004, Dickinson et al. 2008). An increase in oocyte apoptosis has been noted during day 75–90 of sheep gestation when primordial follicle

**Figure 6** Expression of SLIT and ROBO genes across gestation using real-time quantitative PCR. (A) ROBO1 expression peaked at Stage 2 of gestation; however, the trend was nonsignificant \((P>0.05, \text{ANOVA})\). (B) ROBO2 expression changed significantly across gestation in the ovary \((P<0.01, \text{ANOVA})\). There was a significant increase in ROBO2 expression at Stage 2 and Stage 3 of gestation compared with Stage 1 \((P<0.01\) and \(P<0.05\) respectively, Bonferonni). (C) Likewise, expression of ROBO4 also changed across sheep gestation. ROBO4 expression was significantly higher in Stage 2 compared with Stage 1 ovaries \((P<0.05, \text{Bonferonni})\). ROBO4 was maintained at elevated expression levels in Stage 3 ovaries, but the value was not significantly different than at Stage 1. (D) SLIT2 expression showed high variation between samples and did not change significantly across gestation \((P>0.05, \text{ANOVA})\). (E) Similarly, expression of SLIT3 also did not change significantly over the developmental stages \((P>0.05, \text{ANOVA})\).

The expression data shown was normalised to the GAPDH expression level. However, the same trends, and similar relative expression values, were observed when HPRT1 was used as a housekeeping gene instead (data not shown). ROBO1, SLIT2 and SLIT3 expression did not change across gestation \((P>0.05, \text{ANOVA})\). At Stage 2 of development, ovaries exhibited higher expression levels of ROBO2 and ROBO4, and this was maintained throughout Stage 3 as well \((P<0.05, \text{ANOVA})\).
assembly begins (Sawyer et al. 2002). Interestingly, human oocytes appear to undergo apoptosis at the corresponding stage of gestation, and this is associated with an increase in caspase-3 activity (Fulton et al. 2005). Therefore, we hypothesised that during Stage 2 of gestation, at the time of early folliculogenesis, there would be elevated caspase-3 activity. Unexpectedly, while active caspase-3 staining was detected in the pyknotic oocytes of primordial follicles at Stage 2 of gestation, a larger number of positive cells were noted in Stage 1 ovaries. However, in Stage 1 ovaries, the cleaved caspase-3 staining was not oocyte specific. Caspase-3 is also expressed transiently, so some apoptotic cells may have been undetected by immunohistochemistry. In addition to apoptosis, mechanisms associated with mitotic arrest may also contribute to germ cell loss in the developing ovary (Wartenberg et al. 2001). Whether a SLIT/ROBO-mediated cell cycle arrest occurs during primordial follicle formation requires further elucidation. However, previous research has suggested that this pathway can regulate cell division in human breast tumour and Drosophila neural precursor cells (Mehta & Bhat 2001, Dickinson et al. 2005).

In species such as mice, the POU5F1 transcription factor is considered to be a marker of pluripotency (Kehler et al. 2004). Previous work in the human ovary has also suggested that, since POU5F1 staining can be detected during oogenesis but not in germ cells involved in folliculogenesis, this undifferentiated state is lost at the onset of meiosis (Stoop et al. 2005). Therefore, POU5F1 positive staining should cease after day 65–75 of sheep gestation. Surprisingly, at Stage 3 of development, around day 80 of gestation, we observed an increase in POU5F1 positive cells. Interestingly, oocytes within mouse primordial and primary follicles also maintain POU5F1 expression (Pesce et al. 1998). Furthermore, in domestic species, including cows and pigs, POU5F1 expression has been detected in non-pluripotent cells of the developing embryo (Kirchhof et al. 2000). Therefore, POU5F1 expression is not evolutionary conserved within different species. We would like to examine POU5F1 staining in ovaries from sheep at earlier and later gestations to characterise ovine germ cell maturation in more detail, but unfortunately these materials were not available for our study.

Our results implied that the sheep ovary expresses, at the RNA and protein level, most members of the SLIT and ROBO families during all stages of gestation. We also demonstrated that the adult sheep ovary expresses SLIT2, SLIT3, ROBO1, ROBO2 and ROBO4. Overlapping expression patterns for the Slits and Robos were noted during vertebrate chick limb...
However, in these studies, the in situ hybridisation results were not quantified, so it was not clear whether individual Slits and/or Robos were differentially expressed over development. Intriguingly, our data suggest that while transcript levels of the SLIT ligands did not change across gestation, ROBO2 and ROBO4 expression did change significantly. Expression of both genes increased during the time of follicle formation and was maintained while the primordial follicles were developing. Our real-time quantitative PCR results indicated that ROBO1 is the most abundant SLIT/ROBO family member at the mRNA level. When either GAPDH or HPRT1 was used as the housekeeping gene, ROBO1 expression was around nine-fold higher than ROBO2 and 35-fold higher than ROBO4, SLIT2 and SLIT3. Like ROBO2 and ROBO4, ROBO1 mRNA expression also increased from Stage 1 to Stage 2 of development, although it was a non-significant trend. Therefore, at the time of reduced germ cell proliferation, there is increased ROBO expression, providing further evidence that these genes may have a role in the loss of oocytes that occurs during ovary development. Furthermore, it is the ROBO receptor, and not the SLIT ligand, that is temporally regulated in the fetal ovary. Interestingly, in the adult human ovary, both the receptor and the ligand showed differential expression over the lifespan of the corpus luteum (Dickinson et al. 2008). In head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and normal tissue, ROBO1 and ROBO2 protein expression corresponded with the mRNA results (Ghosh et al. 2009). Although our immunohistochemistry results were not quantified, expression of ROBO1, ROBO2 and ROBO4 proteins seemed to increase with gestational age. Additionally, while ROBO1 seems to be the most abundant ROBO transcript, the immunohistochemistry results indicate that ROBO2 and ROBO4 are more highly expressed at the protein level. There was little ROBO1 staining in particularly Stage 1 ovaries. These results suggest that there could be post-transcriptional regulation of ROBOs during fetal sheep ovary development. Alternatively, these findings may be due to a time delay in translation after the production of ROBO transcripts. Actually, we cannot fully compare the staining intensity of the different antibodies, as they were not raised against sheep ROBO proteins. While the antibodies did react with the corresponding proteins, they may have been working sub-optimally and have different binding abilities.

Immunohistochemistry examination demonstrated that, in the developing primordial follicle, ROBO1 was predominantly localised to pre-granulosa cells, while ROBO2 and SLIT2 were primarily distributed in the oocytes. ROBO4 is considered an unusual ROBO receptor, as it is mainly expressed in the vasculature outside of the vascular system. Overall, the localisation data imply that SLIT/ROBO signalling involves an autocrine and paracrine interaction, which also occurs during Drosophila heart and kidney development (Piper et al. 2000, Helenius & Beitel 2008). The SLIT2 immunostaining was diffuse but this is typical of secreted proteins. The antibody we used detected SLIT2 in glioblastoma cells (Mertsch et al. 2008). This antibody seems to be specific for the protein as we followed their immunoblotting protocol and identified a single 140-kDa band, corresponding to the N-terminal fragment of SLIT2.
SLIT2, in the protein lysate from two sheep fetal liver samples (data not shown). The potential role of the SLIT/ROBO pathway in the breakdown of the germ cell clusters and the formation of primordial follicles was not investigated in this study. Since the majority of Slit/Robo mutant mice die at birth due to a variety of organ defects, they cannot be used to examine follicle development since this event occurs postnatally in rodents (Andrews et al. 2007). No models with inactive Slits/Robos, in solely reproductive tissues, have been reported.

To conclude, this research has demonstrated, for the first time, expression of the SLIT–ROBO pathway during fetal ovary development. These preliminary results suggest that the SLITs and ROBOs could influence processes that occur during early ovary development such as follicle formation or oocyte survival. Further research should be undertaken to address these theories and confirm the importance of this pathway in, potentially, the female reproductive lifespan.

Materials and Methods

Collection of sheep ovaries

The ovarian cycles of Scottish grey-face ewes were synchronised using progesterone sponges. Following the removal of sponges, the ewes were mated and pregnancy was detected using ultrasonography. The sheep were kept under standard conditions of lighting and temperature and slaughtered under schedule on requirement. This research was part of a project that has undergone ethical and home office review. For immunohistochemical analysis, ovaries were collected from three fetal sheep on day 50, five on day 60, three from day 70 and four from day 80. We were guided by literature describing day 75–90 of gestation in sheep as the period of follicle formation (Tisdall et al. 1999). Originally, we were going to cluster the ovaries by gestational age and have four groups: day 50; day 60; day 70 and day 80. The H & E staining indicated that day 50 and day 80 ovaries had distinct morphology. However, the morphology of day 60 and day 70 ovaries was not well-defined. In fact, some day 60 and day 70 ovaries were similar to one another and had varied morphology. Therefore, we grouped day 60 and 70 ovaries together to give three disparate and absolutely morphologically distinct phases of follicular assembly. For simplicity, they were named Stage 1 (day 50), Stage 2 (day 60–70) and Stage 3 (day 80) respectively. Ovaries were fixed in Bouin’s and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections of 5 m were cut and then mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated microscope slides. Adult sheep ovaries from pregnant sheep were also collected and used as positive controls. Ovaries from three Stage 1, three Stage 2 and four Stage 3 fetal sheep were also frozen at —70 °C for RNA extractions. Again they were named Stage 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Total RNA was extracted from the ovaries using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen Ltd) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All RNAs was DNase treated with on column DNase 1 (Qiagen Ltd).

Expression analysis

Extracted RNA from frozen sheep ovary tissue was used as a template for cDNA synthesis using Taqman RT reagents (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers used for PCR were designed using Primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/) from DNA sequences obtained at Ensembl Genome Browser (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html). Since the sheep sequences for the SLIT and ROBO genes have not been described yet, primers were designed based on the cow sequences. To minimise the amplification of genomic DNA, the forward and reverse primers for each gene were specific for different exons. Primers were synthesised by MWG-AG Biotech (Milton Keynes, UK) and are described in Table 1. PCR was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient authorised thermocycler (Perkin-Elmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) using GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase (Promega Ltd). The PCR thermocycle consisted of an initial denaturation of 5 min at 95 °C followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, annealing temperature (°C) and product size (bp) were used for standard expression analysis. These sheep PCR products were sequenced and compared with the known cow SLIT2, SLIT3, ROBO1, ROBO2 and ROBO4 nucleotide sequences. The percentage of homology between the sheep sequenced PCR products and corresponding cow sequence were then calculated using the ClustalW program (www.ebi.ac.uk). The annealing temperature used for real-time quantitative PCR was 60 °C for all primer pairs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer locations (exons)</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’–3’)</th>
<th>MgCl2 (mM)</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
<th>Percentage of homology between sheep and cow sequenced PCR products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLIT2</td>
<td>(F) 36; (R) 37</td>
<td>TGGAGGTGTGCTCTGTTGATG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLIT3</td>
<td>(F) 10; (R) 12</td>
<td>GGAGCCCTCGACAGAAGA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBO1</td>
<td>(F) 12; (R) 13</td>
<td>TTAATCGGAGGACACTCCTCAA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBO2</td>
<td>(F) 6; (R) 7 &amp; 8</td>
<td>CTTGAGAGCTGGGGTGAGAAA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBO4</td>
<td>(F) 2; (R) 3</td>
<td>TGGAGGCGAGAACCAGCTG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>(F) 6; (R) 8</td>
<td>GGCAGGAAACACCAAGAGATAT</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The annealing temperatures listed were used for standard expression analysis. These sheep PCR products were sequenced and compared with the known cow SLIT2, SLIT3, ROBO1, ROBO2 and ROBO4 nucleotide sequences. The percentage of homology between the sheep sequenced PCR products and corresponding cow sequence were then calculated using the ClustalW program (www.ebi.ac.uk). The annealing temperature used for real-time quantitative PCR was 60 °C for all primer pairs.
temperature for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s and a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. PCR products were visualised on a 2% agarose gel with added ethidium bromide. Products were purified using a gel extraction kit (Qiagen Ltd) and sequenced to confirm their identity. The sequenced PCR products were then compared with the known cow sequences using the ClustalW program at www.ebi.ac.uk. The percentage of homology between the sheep and cow sequenced PCR products is reported in Table 1.

**Real-time quantitative PCR**

RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed as described above. A standard curve was also generated with serial dilutions of cDNA synthesised from sheep adult ovary total RNA (Stratagene Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Real-time quantitative PCR amplification was then performed in duplicate 10-μl reactions using Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen Ltd) following the manufacturer’s instructions and using the ABI 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR system instrument (Applied Biosystems). Primers used were the same as for the expression analysis and are described in Table 1. The cycling program consisted of an initial UDG incubation of 2 min at 50 °C and then a denaturation step for 2 min at 95 °C. This was followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 10 s and 72 °C for 30 s. The default setting for the ABI instrument was used for the melting curve analysis. The ABI analysis software calculated quantitative values for each sample by comparing the sample threshold cycle (C_t) number, where the increase in the signal associated with exponential growth of PCR products begins to be detected, to the standard curve, according to the manufacturer’s manuals. In all cases, the level of gene expression within the samples lay within the boundaries of the corresponding standard curve. Since the precise quality and amount of cDNA that was added to each reaction mix was difficult to assess, transcripts of sheep HPRT1 were also quantified for each sample as a housekeeping gene for SYBR Green real-time quantitative PCR (Baillet et al. 2008).

**Haematoxylin and eosin staining**

First, sections were dewaxed in xylene, rehydrated in ethanol and rinsed in water. To stain basophilic structures, including the nuclei, blue–purple sections were placed in haematoxylin for 30 s. Acid alcohol was then used to lighten the staining outside of the nucleus. Next, sections were washed in slightly alkaline Scott’s tap water to increase the blue colour. The cytoplasm of cells was then stained pink with eosin for 20 s. Finally, sections were washed in water, dehydrated in ethanol, fixed in xylene and mounted using the appropriate coverslip.

**Immunohistochemistry**

First, sections were dewaxed, rehydrated, washed in PBS, subjected to pressure cooker antigen retrieval in 0.01 M citric acid (pH 6.0) for 5 min and left to cool to room temperature. Next, all sections were washed and placed in 3% H_2O_2/ddH_2O for 10 min to block any endogenous peroxidase activity. Sections that were going to be incubated with ROBO1, ROBO2, ROBO4 and SLIT2 antibodies were given an additional avidin and biotin block. All sections were then further blocked using the suitable normal serum (Diagnostics Scotland, Edinburgh, UK) diluted in TBS for 1 h at room temperature. Sections were then incubated with primary antibodies, diluted in appropriate normal serum, for 16–24 h at 4 °C. This was described above. Each sample was normalised on the basis of its GAPDH content by dividing the amount of target gene by the amount of housekeeping gene. To confirm that GAPDH is not regulated in the samples under investigation and therefore is a suitable endogenous control, each sample was also normalised on the basis of its hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl-transferase (HPRT1) content. Previous research using sheep fetal ovary cDNA across gestation had used HPRT1 as a housekeeping gene for SYBR Green real-time quantitative PCR.
followed by washes with PBS on an orbital shaker. Sections were then incubated at room temperature for 1 h with the suitable biotinylated secondary antibody diluted in the appropriate normal serum. After washing, as before, the sections were incubated in avidin–biotin complex HRP (Dako UK Ltd, Ely, Cambridgeshire, UK) for 1 h. Binding was visualised by subsequent incubation with liquid 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetra-hydrochloride (Dako). Sections were counterstained lightly with haematoxylin to enable cell identification. Since non-specific binding of an antibody to proteins other than the antigen can sometimes occur, negative control experiments were conducted where the SLIT2, ROBO2, ROBO4 and cleaved caspase-3 antibodies were neutralised by incubating them in a 2–10 times excess of peptide for either 30 min at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C. The neutralised antibody was then used side-by-side with the antibody alone, and the results were compared. As blocking peptides for ROBO1, POU5F1 and Ki67 were unavailable, negative controls for those experiments were performed in parallel using an identical protocol except primary antibody was replaced with blocking serum containing non-specific immunoglobulins at the same concentration. Negative controls and slides with different primary antibodies were washed separately in PBS to reduce the risk of contamination. Images were captured using an Olympus Provis microscope (Olympus Corp. Optical Co., London, UK) equipped with a Kodak DCS330 camera (Eastman Kodak Corp. Provis microscope (Olympus Corp. Optical Co., London, UK) equipped with a Kodak DCS330 camera (Eastman Kodak Corp.). Sections were blindly compared. As blocking peptides for ROBO1, POU5F1 and Ki67 were unavailable, negative controls for those experiments were performed in parallel using an identical protocol except primary antibody was replaced with blocking serum containing non-specific immunoglobulins at the same concentration. Images were captured using an Olympus Provis microscope (Olympus Corp. Optical Co., London, UK) equipped with a Kodak DCS330 camera (Eastman Kodak Corp.). Sections were blindly compared.

Immunoblotting

Protein lysates were prepared from two fetal sheep liver and one lamb liver tissue with a lysis buffer composed of 9-M urea, 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5) and 0.15 M β-mercaptoethanol as described (Xian et al. 2001). Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd), and 20 μg of lystate was subjected to SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel. Protein was then transferred onto a Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare UK Ltd, Buckinghamshire, UK) for 2 h and probed with an anti-ROBO1 antibody, described in Table 2, at 0.4 μg/ml. Signals were detected using HRP-conjugated chicken anti-goat IgG (Insight Biotechnology, Middlesex, UK) at 0.2 μg/ml and visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare UK Ltd). After analysis, membranes were stained with India ink to allow the total composition of protein in each sample to be assessed.

Cell scoring

Cell scoring was performed on all Ki67 and POU5F1 and caspase-3 immunohistochemical results by an observer that was blinded to the tissue identity. A stratified randomised sampling technique was used to select fields. The number of positive cells was counted in each field using a microscope at ×40 objective and a cell counter. For each ovary, 12 fields were counted, with the exception of ovaries from day 50 of gestation, which only provided enough tissue for eight fields. The area of one field at ×40 objective was noted, using a haemocytometer, as 250 μm². This was multiplied by 12, or 8 in the case of day 50 ovaries, to give the total area. The number of cells per μm² for each ovary was then calculated by dividing the total number of positive cells by the total area.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out on raw data from real-time quantitative PCR after confirmation of normal distributions for parametric analysis with a one-way ANOVA since more than two groups were compared. When group means were significantly different by ANOVA, pairwise comparisons were performed using Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. Raw data from cell scoring did not show a normal distribution so a non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was performed. Dunn’s multiple comparison test was used when group means were significantly different by Kruskal–Wallis test. All statistical tests are highlighted in the figure legends, and differences are given either *P<0.05; **P<0.01; or ***P<0.001. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05.
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