Reproductive biology of female *Bmal1* null mice

Michael J Boden, Tamara J Varcoe, Athena Voultsios and David J Kennaway

School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health, Robinson Institute, Research Centre for Reproductive Health, Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

Correspondence should be addressed to D J Kennaway; Email: david.kennaway@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

The light/dark cycle and suprachiasmatic nucleus rhythmicity are known to have important influences on reproductive function of rodents. We studied reproductive function in female heterozygous and homozygous brain and muscle ARNT-like protein 1 (*Bmal1*, also known as *Arntl*) null mice, which lack central and peripheral cellular rhythms. Heterozygous *Bmal1* mice developed normally and were fertile, with apparent normal pregnancy progression and litter size, although postnatal mortality up to weaning was high (1.1–1.3/litter). The genotype distribution was skewed with both heterozygous and null genotypes underrepresented (1.0:1.7:0.7; $P < 0.05$), suggesting loss of a single *Bmal1* allele may impact on postnatal survival. Homozygous *Bmal1* null mice were 30% lighter at weaning, and while they grew at a similar rate to the wild-type mice, they never achieved a comparable body weight. They had delayed vaginal opening (4 days), disrupted estrus cyclicity, and reduced ovarian weight (30%). *Bmal1* null mice had a 40% reduction in ductal length and a 43% reduction in ductal branches in the mammary gland. Surprisingly, the *Bmal1* mice ovulated, but progesterone synthesis was reduced in conjunction with altered corpora lutea formation. Pregnancy failed prior to implantation presumably due to poor embryo development. While *Bmal1* null ovaries responded to pregnant mare serum gonadotropin/human chorionic gonadotropin stimulation, ovulation rate was reduced, and the fertilized oocytes progressed poorly to blastocysts and failed to implant. The loss of *Bmal1* gene expression resulted in a loss of rhythmicity of many genes in the ovary and downregulation of *Star*. In conclusion, it is clear that the profound infertility of *Bmal1* null mice is multifactorial.

Reproduction (2010) **139** 1077–1090

Introduction

The light/dark cycle has a powerful influence over reproductive function of a wide range of species and is the basis for seasonal reproduction in some of the larger domestic animals, including sheep, goats, and deer as well as wild species of mice and hamsters. The seasonal changes in day length are physiologically integrated through the retina, suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) and the pineal gland and the information transferred to the reproductive system. The role of the SCN and circadian rhythmicity in the control of fertility has been recently reviewed (Barbacka-Surowiak *et al.* 2003, Boden & Kennaway 2006). Stereotactic lesion studies have established the pre-eminent role of the SCN in the daily regulation of the rat gonadotropin secretion (Wiegand & Terasawa 1982). More recent studies have shown that there are neural projections from the SCN to the magnocellular pre-optic nucleus, which control the timing of GnRH secretion (Van der Beek 1996, Palm *et al.* 1999, 2001). The SCN projects to GnRH-positive neurons in the hypothalamus, and the region also expresses estrogen receptors (Van der Beek *et al.* 1993, de la Iglesia *et al.* 1995, Watson *et al.* 1995, Van der Beek 1996).

Endogenous cellular rhythmicity is generated within the SCN by a series of interlocking positive and negative feedback gene transcription and translation loops that include circadian locomotor output cycle kaput (*Clock*), brain and muscle ARNT-like protein 1 (*Bmal1*, also known as *Arntl* and *Mop3*), *Per 1–3*, *Cry 1*, *Cry 2*, and *Nr1d1* (also known as *Rev erb α*). The positive arm of the system is generated by two transcription factors, *Clock* and *Bmal1*. After transcription and translation, the CLOCK and BMAL1 proteins heterodimerize and are transported into the nucleus, and induce *Per1*, *Per2*, *Cry1*, and *Cry2* transcription through binding to CACGTG (E-box) motifs in their promoters. A complex including the PER and CRY proteins then inhibits the actions of CLOCK/BMAL1, effectively repressing their own transcription. *Nr1d1* and *Rora* (*Ror α*) repress and induce *Bmal1* transcription respectively through specific motifs in the *Bmal1* promoter. While these molecular feedback loops are critical for the generation of rhythmicity in the SCN, they are also expressed in a wide range of tissues (e.g. liver, adrenal, pancreas, muscle, and ovary; Hastings *et al.* 2003, Fahrenkrug *et al.* 2006, Karman & Tischkau 2006). In addition to the cyclic expression of clock gene transcription factors, microarray studies of the liver and

heart have revealed that more than 300 genes are rhythmically expressed (Akhtar *et al.* 2002, Panda *et al.* 2002, Storch *et al.* 2002, Oishi *et al.* 2003). Some of these genes possess the appropriate circadian E-box motifs (CACGTG) in the promoter regions that respond to CLOCK/BMAL1 heterodimers (e.g. *Wee1*), while others are rhythmically induced by other tissue-specific, clock-controlled transcription factors (e.g. *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, and *Myc* (*c-myc*)).

There have been only a few studies addressing the effects of disruption of clock gene transcription factor expression on reproductive function. *Clock*⁴¹⁹ (C57Bl/6) mutant mice have impaired reproductive function (Herzog *et al.* 2000, Low-Zeddies & Takahashi 2001, Chappell *et al.* 2003), with detailed investigation suggesting irregular estrus cycles, impaired LH release at proestrus, and increased embryo resorption and non-productive labor (Miller *et al.* 2004). Other studies, including those using different background strains, have found similar impairments in fertility in *Clock*⁴¹⁹ mutants (Kennaway *et al.* 2004, Dolatshad *et al.* 2006). Recently, *Per1* (Zheng *et al.* 2001) and *Per2* (Zheng *et al.* 1999) null mice were shown to have an elevated proportional loss of implanted embryos, decreased litter size, increased loss of pups prior to weaning, and disrupted estrus cyclicity as they aged (Pilorz & Steinlechner 2008).

Loss of *Bmal1* expression disrupts behavioral rhythmicity and gene rhythmicity in the SCN and peripheral tissues (Bunger *et al.* 2000). Therefore, as discussed above, the absence of *Bmal1* gene expression may be expected to have a profound effect on reproduction. Interestingly, *Bmal1* null mice have been described as 'viable and fertile' (Cowden & Simon 2002), although subsequent studies identified a spectrum of physiological changes (Kondratov *et al.* 2006, McDearmon *et al.* 2006, Sun *et al.* 2006). In this report, we describe comprehensive studies on the reproductive biology of female *Bmal1* null mice.

Results

Heterozygous *Bmal1* mouse colony performance

The median interval between pairing and delivery of the first litters of the breeding pairs of heterozygous *Bmal1* mice was 25 ± 2 days (median $\pm$ 95% confidence interval). The median interval between birth of the first and second litters to heterozygous *Bmal1* mice ($n=98$) was 26 ± 2 days (median $\pm$ 95% confidence interval). Ninety-eight percent of the breeding pairs that were evaluated produced a litter during the observation period. The litter sizes of the first and second litters (7.0 ± 0.2 vs 7.5 ± 0.2 pups) were significantly different ($P=0.05$). The perinatal mortality (defined as the loss of pups between birth and weaning) was 1.1 ± 0.2 and 1.3 pups ± 0.2 pups per litter (16 ± 3 and $17 \pm 3\%$ of the total litter) for the first and

second litters respectively. The genotypes of the pups that were lost postnatally could not be determined because they were generally eaten. The sex ratio (52 male:48 female) was normal but there was a significant deviation from the expected Mendelian distribution of genotypes (1.0:1.7:0.7; wild-type:heterozygote:null; $n=3238$, $P<0.001$), with the heterozygous and null genotypes underrepresented. The loss of heterozygous and null mice was similar for males and females.

There was no significant difference in body weight (BW) between wild-type and heterozygous mice (males or females). However, male *Bmal1* null mice weighed significantly less than heterozygous or wild-type littermates at all ages investigated (Supplementary Figure 1A, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article, $P<0.005$). Female *Bmal1* null mice were 30% lighter than their wild-type and heterozygous littermates at weaning ($P<0.02$), and retained this reduced BW phenotype until at least 56 days of age. Six-month-old *Bmal1* null females were slightly (10%) but significantly lighter than wild-type mice (Supplementary Figure 1B, $P<0.05$).

Onset of puberty and estrus cyclicity

The age at vaginal opening of wild-type and heterozygous mice was not significantly different, but in *Bmal1* null mice, vaginal opening was delayed by 4 days (Fig. 1A, $P<0.05$). Similarly, while the BWs of the wild-type and heterozygote mice were similar at the time of vaginal opening, the *Bmal1* null mice weighed significantly less at this time (Fig. 1B, $P<0.01$).

There was no significant difference in cycle length between wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice; however, there was a reduction in the number of estrus cycles in the *Bmal1* null mice compared to the wild-type line (3 ± 0.2 cycles in wild-type mice versus 1.6 ± 0.4 in *Bmal1* null mice; $P<0.01$, Fig. 1C–H). The apparently appropriate changes in vaginal cytology suggested that the *Bmal1* null mice were ovulating and could be fertile but there were often extended periods when individual *Bmal1* null mice ceased cycling. Approximately 75% of the *Bmal1* null mice had apparently normal estrus cycles (Fig. 1E and F), while the remaining 25% had irregular estrus cycles and a high proportion of metestrus or diestrus smears (Fig. 1G and H). Analysis of cycle stage distribution indicated that the *Bmal1* null mice spent significantly more time in metestrus or diestrus, and less in estrus compared to the wild-type mice ($P<0.001$).

Mammary development

At 2 months of age, *Bmal1* null mice that were showing evidence of estrus cyclicity had a 40% reduction in ductal length (21 ± 1 mm in wild-type mice versus 13 ± 2 mm in *Bmal1* null mice; $P<0.001$) and a 43%

Figure 1 The age at the time of vaginal opening, body weights at the time of vaginal opening, and representative changes in vaginal cytology of wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice. (A) The age at which vaginal opening was observed in 20 wild-type, 45 heterozygous, and 18 *bmal1* null mice (mean $\pm$ S.E.M., * $P < 0.05$). (B) The body weights of these mice at time of vaginal opening: wild-type (closed circles), *Bmal1* heterozygotes (open diamonds), and *Bmal1* null (open circles). (C and D) Representative 21-day patterns of the changes in the vaginal cytology of wild-type, (E and F) *Bmal1* null cycling, and (G and H) *Bmal1* null non-cycling mice. Categories are (E)strus, (M)etestrus, (D)iestrus, and (P)roestrus.

reduction in the number of ductal branches compared to wild-type mice (20.1 ± 0.8 branches in wild-type mice versus 11.4 ± 2.3 branches in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.001$). In 2-month-old *Bmal1* null mice that did not present evidence of estrus cyclicity, the lack of mammary development was more pronounced (ductal length, 7 ± 1 mm; ductal branches, 6.9 ± 0.9 ; $P < 0.001$). The reduced invasion of the mammary fat pad in both the cycling and non-cycling *Bmal1* null mice was accompanied by an increased presence of terminal end bud formation. At 6 months of age, virgin *Bmal1* null mice had a 45% reduction in ductal length ($P < 0.001$) and a 55% reduction in the number of ductal branches

($P < 0.001$) compared to the wild-type mice, suggesting a permanent reduction rather than a slower rate of mammary development. At 9 months of age, the deficit in mammary ductal length and branching in virgin *Bmal1* null mice persisted with a 51% reduction in ductal length ($P < 0.01$) and a 69% reduction in the number of ductal branches ($P < 0.005$).

Reproductive organ development

Weight of the ovaries in *Bmal1* null mice was significantly lower than the wild-type mice (Fig. 2A) even when corrected for the lower BWs of the *Bmal1* null mice (0.40 ± 0.01 mg/g BW in wild-type mice versus 0.28 ± 0.02 mg/g BW in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.001$). In non-cycling *Bmal1* null mice, ovarian weight was significantly lower than both the wild-type and the cycling null mice (0.22 ± 0.01 mg/g BW; $P < 0.01$). There were no significant differences in oviduct weights between *Bmal1* null mice and wild-type mice (Fig. 2B; 0.23 ± 0.01 mg/g BW in wild-type mice versus 0.24 ± 0.02 mg/g BW in *Bmal1* null mice (cycling) versus 0.24 ± 0.05 mg/g BW in *Bmal1* null mice (non-cycling). Similarly, there were no significant differences in relative uterine weights between the wild-type and the cycling *Bmal1* null mice (Fig. 2C; 2.43 ± 0.13 mg/g BW in wild-type mice versus 2.67 ± 0.3 mg/g BW in *Bmal1* null mice); however, the non-cycling *Bmal1* null mice had significantly lower uterine weights than the wild-type and cycling *Bmal1* null mice (1.19 ± 0.14 mg/g BW; $P < 0.001$).

Plasma progesterone

In the wild-type mice, there was considerable inter-animal variability in the progesterone levels obtained 4 h after lights on, at the various stages of the estrous cycle, such that there was no significant difference in plasma progesterone levels across the estrus cycle. Nevertheless, two-way ANOVA (stages of the cycle $\times$ genotype) revealed that progesterone levels in the *Bmal1* null mice were significantly lower across the estrus cycle, compared to the wild-type mice ($P < 0.01$), with the greatest difference at metestrus (5.3 ± 1.2 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 2.3 ± 1.2 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice).

Two-month-old *Bmal1* null mice had similar progesterone levels at diestrus (3.0 ± 0.8 ng/ml) compared to wild-type animals (4.8 ± 1.1 ng/ml), but non-cyclic *Bmal1* null mice had significantly lower progesterone levels (2.1 ± 0.6 ng/ml; $P < 0.05$) than diestrus *Bmal1* null mice. At 6 months of age, while wild-type mice continued to have normal estrus cycles, *Bmal1* null mice were acyclic and had significantly lower progesterone levels than the wild-type mice (3.2 ± 0.4 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 1.1 ± 0.3 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice;

Figure 2 Reproductive organ weights of wild-type, *Bmal1* null cycling, and *Bmal1* null acyclic mice at 2 months of age. (A) ovarian, (B) oviductal, and (C) uterine tissue weights are expressed as mg/g body weight (mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $n=34-38$ per group; * $P<0.05$ compared to wild-type; ** $P<0.05$ *Bmal1* null acyclic mice compared to *Bmal1* null cyclic mice). (D–G) Representative micrographs of wild-type and (H–K) *Bmal1* null mice across the first 4 days of the estrus cycle. Scale bar = 1 mm. The arrow indicates large persistent antral follicles in *Bmal1* null ovaries.

$P<0.001$). The reduction of plasma progesterone levels in *Bmal1* null mice was also evident at 9 months of age (2.2 ± 0.1 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 1.2 ± 0.2 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice; $P<0.02$).

Ovarian histology/morphology

The ovaries of cyclic *Bmal1* null mice had fewer follicles and corpora lutea per section at estrus and metestrus ($P=0.01$) and a trend to fewer follicles at diestrus (Table 1, Fig. 2D–K; $P=0.07$). *Bmal1* null and wild-type ovaries had a similar percentage distribution of follicles during estrus, but the null mice had an increased volume of stromal tissue at the cost of luteal tissue at all other stages of the cycle ($P<0.001$), particularly during the estrus-to-metestrus transition and at metestrus (days 2 and 3 of the cycle respectively). The ovaries of *Bmal1* null mice often contained structures similar to large

antral follicles that had failed to ovulate (highlighted with arrowheads in Fig. 2H–K). At day 1 (estrus), these appeared as antral follicles, and in a wild-type animal, they would be suggestive of an ovary at proestrus. However, in ovaries collected at day 2 (estrus-to-metestrus transition), these structures were still present, and beginning to show irregularities in the granulosa cell layer, indicative of a non-ovulated follicle undergoing atresia. This continued in day 3 (metestrus) ovaries, as the granulosa layer continued to break down, to the thecal cell layer in parts, and the antral space showed cell debris as granulosa cells underwent apoptosis. The volume of these follicles increased across the first 3 days of the estrus cycle, resulting in a large proportion of the *Bmal1* null ovaries being composed of this fluid-filled space. By day 4, these structures appeared to have resolved, and the ovary had recruited large antral follicles for the next round of ovulation.

Table 1 Morphometric analysis of wild-type and *Bmal1* null mouse ovaries.

	Counts per section		Tissue distribution per ovary		
	Follicles	CLs	Follicle (%)	CLs (%)	Stroma (%)
Wild-type					
Estrus	12.2 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 0.2	41.9	23.5	34.6
E $\rightarrow$ M	11.4 ± 1.3	2.0 ± 0.6	36.1	14.1	49.8
Metestrus	13.3 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.3	37.3	23.3	39.5
Diestrus	13.2 ± 1.4	2.3 ± 0.4	37.4	23.5	39.1
<i>Bmal1</i> null cycling					
Estrus	$7.4 \pm 0.6^*$	$1.7 \pm 0.2^*$	35.2	19.8	45.0
E $\rightarrow$ M	10.2 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.3	34.5*	4.5*	61.0*
Metestrus	$9.0 \pm 0.3^*$	$1.1 \pm 0.3^*$	41.3*	12.9*	45.8*
Diestrus	8.9 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.4	39.9*	11.4*	48.7*
<i>Bmal1</i> null non-cycling	$8.3 \pm 0.8^*$	$0.4 \pm 0.3^*$	32.9*	2.1*	65.0*

*Indicates that the measurement was significantly different ($P<0.05$) from the wild-type mice at the same stage.

Non-cycling *Bmal1* null mice had ovarian morphology similar to mice in the estrus-to-metestrus transition, showing large antral follicles, in conjunction with reduced luteal and increased stromal tissue.

At 6 months of age, *Bmal1* null mice had a small increase in the number of follicles and corpora lutea per section compared to 6-month-old wild-type mice (5.8 ± 0.5 follicles in wild-type mice versus 8.1 ± 0.9 follicles in *Bmal1* null mice; 1.6 ± 0.3 corpora lutea in wild-type mice versus 2.7 ± 0.3 corpora lutea in *Bmal1* null mice). Analysis of overall tissue composition suggested that the null mice had a small increase in luteal tissue and reduced follicle tissue compared to the wild-type (wild-type distribution = 33.8% follicle, 17.3% corpora lutea, 48.9% stroma; *Bmal1* null distribution = 26.4% follicle, 27.5% corpora lutea, 46.1% stroma), implying that the average size of the follicles in the null mice was reduced. It is important to note that the wild-type mice were showing signs of estrus cycles, while the null animals did not have normal cyclic changes in vaginal cytology, and although the null mice did have increased luteal tissue, the corpora lutea were not clearly defined. It is possible that some of these structures were retained from previous cycles. Nine-month-old *Bmal1* null and wild-type ovaries were composed of stroma and follicles, with no corpora lutea present (data not shown).

Natural mating

Wild-type and CBA female mice mated successfully and supported pups to weaning (litter size, CBA, 5.0 ± 0.3 pups, wild-type, 6.8 ± 1.0 pups; weight at weaning CBA, 9.3 ± 0.1 g, wild-type, 9.7 ± 0.3 g). *Bmal1* null mice did not deliver any pups, although there was evidence of mating (vaginal plugs). In the subsequent experiment to evaluate potential pregnancy progression on day 4, *Bmal1* null mice had a lower insemination rate than the wild-type females (35 vs 76%), although there was no difference between the genotypes in the time to mating for those that did mate (2.9 ± 0.3 days for wild-type mice versus 3.2 ± 0.6 days for *Bmal1* null mice). Significantly fewer embryos were retrieved from *Bmal1* null mice (5.1 ± 0.5 embryos in wild-type mice versus 3.2 ± 0.4 embryos in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.05$), and fewer embryos developed to the blastocyst stage (4.3 ± 0.6 blastocysts in wild-type mice versus 1.6 ± 0.5 blastocysts in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.05$).

Pregnant mare serum gonadotropin/human chorionic gonadotropin-stimulated mating and pregnancy

Ovaries from pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (PMSG)-stimulated wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice had large numbers of mature follicles (19.9 and 19.4 follicles per section respectively), with 69 and 66% of the ovary

composed of follicles for wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice respectively. This proved that the ovaries of *Bmal1* null mice can respond to exogenous hormone stimulation.

Both wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice ovulated and developed corpora lutea 1 day after treatment with PMSG/human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), with ovaries from the *Bmal1* null mice showing a significantly elevated distribution of luteal tissue at the expense of follicle tissue, compared to the wild-type mice (wild-type; 33% follicles, 26% corpora lutea, *Bmal1* null; 21% follicles, 38% corpora lutea, $P < 0.005$). There was no significant difference in the number of follicles or corpora lutea present, and there was no significant difference in ovulation rate between wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice.

There was trend toward a reduction in the number of corpora lutea 4 days after PMSG/hCG treatment (7.6 ± 1.2 per section for wild-type mice versus 4.5 ± 1.1 per section for *Bmal1* null mice; $P = 0.1$). The volume of luteal tissue was not significantly altered (wild-type; 40% follicles, 43% corpora lutea, *Bmal1* null; 45% follicles, 33% corpora lutea). Fewer embryos were collected from the *Bmal1* null mice compared to wild-type mice (37.9 ± 3.3 embryos in wild-type mice versus 13 ± 4 embryos in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.002$), and the development of the embryos in *Bmal1* mice was retarded (16.9 ± 2.5 blastocysts in wild-type mice versus 4 ± 2 blastocysts in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.01$). Plasma progesterone was significantly lower in superovulated *Bmal1* null mice on day 4 (5.2 ± 1.1 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 1.2 ± 0.2 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.01$).

Four days after treatment with PMSG/hCG, non-cycling *Bmal1* null mice had reduced progesterone levels compared to immature superovulated wild-type mice (5.2 ± 1.1 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 1.2 ± 0.2 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.05$) as well as greatly reduced numbers of embryos recovered from the reproductive tract (33.6 ± 4.1 embryos in wild-type mice versus 8 ± 0.7 embryos in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.001$) and very poor progression to mature blastocyst (15 ± 2.5 blastocysts in wild-type mice versus 0.5 ± 0.3 blastocysts in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.001$). Eight days after treatment, *Bmal1* null mice had low levels of serum progesterone compared to the expected high serum levels in superovulated immature wild-type mice (105.4 ± 10.2 ng/ml in wild-type mice versus 0.5 ± 0.1 ng/ml in *Bmal1* null mice; $P < 0.05$), and there were no successful implantations in the *Bmal1* null animals.

Ovarian gene expression across 24 h at estrus

In wild-type mice, *Bmal1* mRNA was expressed in the ovary and changed 2.5-fold across the 24 h of estrus ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 3), with maximal expression at 0300 h. There was no change in the expression of *Clock* mRNA

Figure 3 Expression of *Bmal1*, *Clock*, *Per1*, *Per2*, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Hsd3b1*, and *Star* mRNAs in wild-type ovaries across 24 h at estrus. The data show the relative mRNA expression compared to actin mRNA with the peak expression set at 1. The data are the mean $\pm$ s.e.m.; $n=5$ per time point. The horizontal bars represent the period of darkness.

in the ovary across 24 h ($P>0.05$). Expression of *Per1* and *Per2* mRNAs changed 2.3- and 3.5-fold respectively across 24 h ($P<0.025$ and $P<0.001$), with expression ~ 12 h out of phase with *Bmal1*.

Expression of *Nr1d1* mRNA changed 8.3-fold across 24 h ($P<0.001$), with maximum expression at 1500 h. Mirroring this, *Dbp* mRNA expression displayed a ninefold change in gene expression ($P<0.001$), again peaking at 0300 h. A significant change in expression was observed for both *Star* mRNA (6.6-fold, $P<0.02$) and *Hsd3b1* mRNA (2.6-fold, $P<0.02$). Expression of *Hsd3b1* mRNA reached a peak at 1500 h, before

decreasing to a nadir at 2300 h, whereas *Star* mRNA expression did not achieve maximal levels of expression until 2300 h.

Ovarian gene expression across 24 h at diestrus

In wild-type mice, *Bmal1* mRNA was expressed in the ovary and changed significantly (Fig. 4; $P<0.001$) across 24 h, with peak expression at 0300 h and a fivefold peak to trough amplitude of the rhythm. While *Per1*, *Per2*, *Clock*, *Bhlhe40*, and *Tgfb1* mRNAs were detected in the ovaries, their expression did not change significantly

Figure 4 Expression of *Bmal1*, *Clock*, *Per1*, *Per2*, *Bhlhe40*, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Tgfb1*, *Star*, and *Hsd3b1* mRNAs in the ovaries of wild-type (closed circles) and *Bmal1* null (open circles) mice across 24 h at diestrus. The data show the relative mRNA expression compared to actin mRNA with the peak expression of the wild-type mice set at 1. The data are the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n=5$). The accompanying bar graphs show the estimated marginal means calculated from the two-way ANOVA, with significant global differences in expression ($P < 0.05$) indicated with *. The horizontal bars represent the period of darkness.

across 24 h when analyzed by ANOVA. In *Bmal1* null mice, the ovarian expression of *Per2* mRNA did not change across 24 h, but it was 1.8-fold higher than that in wild-type mice ($P < 0.001$).

In wild-type mice, *Dbp* mRNA expression varied significantly across 24 h (6.6-fold peak to trough; $P < 0.001$), and *Nr1d1* mRNA also varied 9.3-fold across 24 h ($P < 0.01$). In contrast, no rhythm in expression of *Dbp* or *Nr1d1* mRNA occurred in the *Bmal1* null mice. The 24-h expression of *Nr1d1* and *Dbp* mRNAs was significantly decreased by 8.3- and threefold respectively in *Bmal1* null mice ($P < 0.001$).

The expression of *Hsd3b1* and *Star* mRNAs did not change across 24 h in either wild-type or *Bmal1* null mice (Fig. 4). However, in *Bmal1* null mice, there was

a significant reduction in 24-h expression of *Star* mRNA (2.8-fold, $P < 0.001$) and a small but significant increase in the expression of *Hsd3b1* mRNA (1.3-fold, $P < 0.02$).

Screen of functional ovarian genes across estrus cycle

On screening the level of expression of genes across the estrus cycle (Fig. 5), the clock-controlled genes *Nr1d1* (7.8-fold; $P < 0.001$), *Dbp* (1.7-fold; $P < 0.001$), and *Star* (fourfold; $P < 0.002$) were down-regulated in the ovaries of *Bmal1* null mice. Expression of the following genes was higher across the cycle in *Bmal1* null mice compared to wild-type mice: *Hsd3b1* (1.7-fold; $P < 0.01$), *Igf1* (1.5-fold; $P < 0.02$), *Igf2* (2.3-fold; $P < 0.02$), leptin (3.5-fold; $P < 0.02$), prolactin receptor (1.7-fold;

Figure 5 Expression of *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Cyp11a1*, *Hsd3b1*, *Star*, *Vegfa*, *Hif1a*, *Igf1*, *Igf2*, PRL receptor, leptin, *Il5*, *Il10*, and LH receptor mRNAs in the ovaries of wild-type (closed circles) and *Bmal1* null (open circles) mice at 1100 h across the 4 days of the estrus cycle. The data show the relative mRNA expression compared to actin mRNA, with the peak expression of the wild-type mice set at 1. The data are the mean $\pm$ S.E.M. (n=5). The accompanying bar graphs show the estimated marginal means calculated from the two-way ANOVA, with significant global differences in expression ($P < 0.05$) indicated with *.

$P < 0.03$), *Il5* (1.8-fold; $P < 0.05$), *Il10* (1.6-fold; $P < 0.02$), *Vegfa* (1.5-fold; $P < 0.025$), and LH receptor (3.1-fold, $P < 0.02$). There was no significant difference in *Cyp11a1* mRNA expression between wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice. Furthermore, *Vegfa* and *Hif1a* mRNAs were differentially expressed across the estrus cycle, with both genes reaching their peak expression levels during the second day of the cycle and decreasing to their lowest levels by day 4 in the *Bmal1* null mice, but not in the wild-type mice (*Vegfa* 2.1-fold; $P < 0.05$; *Hif1a* 2.9-fold; $P < 0.01$).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the reproductive consequences of disruption of the cellular timing system in the *Bmal1* null mouse. Normal *Bmal1* expression is critical for the function of the molecular clock in both the SCN and peripheral tissues (Bunger *et al.* 2000). The original reports of the health of *Bmal1* null mice stated that they were viable, with a normal distribution of genotype (Bunger *et al.* 2000), and were fertile, with normal implantation of embryos by day 9.5 and normal placentation at day 10.5 (Cowden & Simon 2002). The results of our studies challenge those conclusions.

Mice carrying one defective *Bmal1* allele had no obvious impairment in fertility, which is in keeping with normal circadian rhythms in these mice (Bunger *et al.* 2000), although perinatal mortality was relatively high ($16 \pm 3\%$ of the total litter). Analysis of mammary tissue showed apparent normal development (data not shown) suggesting that the perinatal loss was unlikely to be due to poor lactation. However, *Clock*^{Δ19} (ICR) mutant mice which also have disrupted circadian rhythms were shown to have poor nursing behavior which impaired growth and survival of large litters (Hoshino *et al.* 2006). Nursing behavior was not studied in the *Bmal1* heterozygous mice, so it remains possible that even the loss of a single *Bmal1* allele may have altered this aspect of behavior. The loss of pups was not restricted to a particular postnatal period, suggesting that it was not a specific system failure. Unfortunately, it was not possible to recover tissue from dead pups to determine their genotypes; nevertheless the significant reduction in heterozygous and *Bmal1* null offspring suggests that even the loss of one *Bmal1* allele may impair overall survival. Although our study did not specifically address prenatal loss, the normal litter size implies that the losses were restricted to the postnatal period. The absence of endogenous rhythmicity during early postnatal life in *Bmal1* null mice may render them less viable. The *Bmal1* null pups weighed significantly less than wild-type mice at weaning and failed to catch up. This finding differs slightly from previous reports (Bunger *et al.* 2005, Sun *et al.* 2006), where decreased BW only became evident around 20 weeks of age, secondary to progressive arthropathy interfering with normal feeding.

In contrast to the anecdotal reports of apparently normal reproduction mentioned above, the current study has provided evidence that *Bmal1* null mice have profound reproductive defects. The reproductive tract developed normally, but puberty was delayed (as defined by vaginal opening), a high proportion of the *Bmal1* null mice had irregular estrus cycles, smaller ovaries, low progesterone secretion, and the *Bmal1* null mice never carried pregnancies to term. In addition, *Bmal1* null mice had a low ovulation rate and fewer corpora lutea. The few embryos that were studied from *Bmal1* mice had developed into mature blastocysts by day 4 post insemination, but either failed to develop further or failed to implant by day 8.

The confirmation that *Bmal1* null mice ovulated is surprising, since the loss of central rhythmicity should have compromised the LH surge mechanisms (Barbacka-Surowiak *et al.* 2003). In a previous study involving *Clock*^{Δ19} (C57BL/6J) mutant mice, it was suggested that ovulation occurred in the absence of a detectable LH surge (Miller *et al.* 2004). In the *Clock*^{Δ19} (CBA) mice, the SCN was reported to retain rhythmicity of gene expression, and pineal melatonin rhythmicity was also retained, most likely through rescue by *Npas2* (Kennaway *et al.* 2006). Thus, the failure to detect the LH surge in *Clock*^{Δ19} (C57BL/6J) mutant mice may have been due to methodological reasons rather than physiological reasons. In the case of *Bmal1* null mice, however, there is no evidence that the SCN rhythmicity is retained (Bunger *et al.* 2000) and Kennaway *et al.* (ms in preparation). This issue is even more intriguing given the reports of clock gene rhythmicity in GNRH neurons (Chappell *et al.* 2003, Gillespie *et al.* 2003, Olcese *et al.* 2003) and the alterations in GNRH pulse frequency found in immortalized GNRH cells transiently expressing the *Clock*^{Δ19} mutant protein (Chappell *et al.* 2003). The neuroendocrine triggers of ovulation in the *Bmal1* null mice are not known and require further investigation.

Bmal1 null mice showed obvious signs of ovulation (i.e. development of corpora lutea), often in animals that did not have normal vaginal cytological changes. This suggests that the pre-ovulatory increase in estradiol (E₂) may have been insufficient to alter the vaginal epithelium cells. In 6-month-old *Bmal1* null mice, some of the luteal tissue present was not clearly defined, and serum progesterone levels were reduced, suggesting poor luteal function. At 9 months of age in both wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice, the loss of ovarian function was complete, with no changes in vaginal cytology, no luteal tissue detected, and persistent low serum progesterone levels. While the deterioration of reproductive function in *Bmal1* null mice is similar to that reported in 6-month-old *Per1* and *Per2* null mice (Pilorz & Steinlechner 2008), a central defect may also be at least partly responsible for their poor reproductive function.

Exogenous stimulation by PMSG and hCG resulted in ovulation in *Bmal1* null mice, suggesting that a major cause of the infertility of unstimulated mice may be the lack of an appropriately timed LH surge or a low amplitude surge. However, the oocytes that were released in response to the PMSG/hCG stimulation were not developmentally competent, and embryos progressed poorly to mature blastocysts. It was not clear from this study if the failure to produce mature blastocysts was because of poor oocyte quality or because the oviduct failed to support the developing embryo. Ratajczak *et al.* (2009) reported normal embryo development up to day 3.5 of gestation and suggested that the infertility of *Bmal1* null mice was due primarily to poor implantation. Investigation into the viability of *Bmal1* null derived oocytes could be addressed by use of embryo culture and embryo transfer experiments.

Even following PMSG and hCG stimulation, and the subsequent increase in oocytes progressing to mature blastocysts and increased corpora lutea formation (albeit fewer than in wild-type animals), pregnancy did not progress beyond day 8 post insemination. This was reflected by low progesterone secretion in the *Bmal1* null mice despite an increase in corpora lutea formation. The failed implantation even in superovulated mice was similar to that reported earlier (Ratajczak *et al.* 2009) but was different to the previously reported 'normal implantation' at day 10 in these animals (Cowden & Simon 2002).

Independent of the poor implantation, other aspects of the reproductive dysfunction in *Bmal1* null mice are suggestive of a central cause, with reduced development of the reproductive tissues, decreased hormonal secretion, and impaired mammary tissue development. Using a conditional tissue-specific *Bmal1* null mouse (McDearmon *et al.* 2006), tissue-specific restoration of *Bmal1* expression was shown to rescue some features of the *Bmal1* null phenotype. However, the functions rescued (circadian wheel running behavior, activity levels, tendon ossification, and longevity) varied depending on the tissue in which *Bmal1* gene expression was restored. Restoration of central *Bmal1* gene expression did not restore longevity or restore the growth trajectory to that of the wild-types. The effect on reproductive function in the rescued mice was not reported. If circadian gene expression was restored centrally, appropriate central hormonal signaling may have been re-instated (similar to the effects of exogenous hormone stimulation in the current study). However, if circadian gene expression is needed in the peripheral tissues, such as the ovary, for oocyte maturation, in the oviduct for early embryo support, or in the uterus for appropriate preparation for implantation, little improvement in fertility would be likely to result. It has been reported that exogenous administration of progesterone can rescue the implantation failure in *Bmal1* null mice (Ratajczak *et al.* 2009), although the rescue was incomplete, with only 38% of treated animals retaining

any implantation sites. In those animals that had implantation sites, there were 35% fewer than the controls and they were smaller. It is not known whether progesterone-supplemented *Bmal1* null pregnancies can proceed to term and produce viable offspring. Together, these suggest that the reproductive failure in female *Bmal1* null mice is more complicated than simply an impairment of steroid hormone synthesis.

There have been several studies on the impact of circadian rhythm disruption on fertility using other genetically manipulated mice. The *Clock*^{Δ19} (C57Bl/6) mutant mouse was reported to have irregular estrus cycles, smaller litter sizes, and increased resorptions/abortions (Miller *et al.* 2004). When these mice were exposed to continuous darkness, the disruption of estrus cycles was exacerbated (Dolatshad *et al.* 2006). By contrast, *Clock*^{Δ19} (Balb/c) and *Clock*^{Δ19} (CBA) strains had relatively normal reproductive function (Kennaway *et al.* 2004). Young *Per1* and *Per2* null mice, which have blunted peripheral gene expression and normal behavior rhythms in a normal photoperiod (Zheng *et al.* 1999), are reproductively normal (Pilorz & Steinlechner 2008), but show progressive loss of fertility beyond 6 months of age. *Vipr2* null mice have altered uterine clock gene expression and abnormal estrus cycles, and males have reduced fertility (Dolatshad *et al.* 2006). *Nr1d1* null mice have reduced litter sizes compared to wild-type mice (Chomez *et al.* 2000, Preitner *et al.* 2002), but the underlying reason behind this is unknown. The loss of the clock gene *Tim* is embryonic lethal in mice, with abnormalities occurring from the time of implantation (day 5.5) and embryo loss occurs around day 7.5 (Gottter *et al.* 2000).

There is little information on rhythmic gene expression in the ovary. We investigated the expression of clock genes and putative clock-controlled genes in wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice across the estrus cycle and across 24 h at diestrus. To help focus our studies, we attempted to identify whether key ovarian genes contained putative canonical E-boxes and RORα response element (RRE) motifs within promoter and 5'-untranslated regions (Supplementary Table 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Genes involved in steroid hormone synthesis (*Star*, *Cyp11a1*, and *Hsd3b1*) as well as several genes involved in the regulation of hormone action (*Igf2*, *Igf1* receptor, and prolactin receptor), tissue remodeling (*Il5*, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (*Pai-1*), *Lif*, *Hif1a*, and *Tgfb1*), and regulation of ovulation (*Ptgs2* (*Cox-2*), LH receptor, and FSH receptor) were found to contain these putative regulatory sequences. Loss of *Bmal1* expression in the ovaries of *Bmal1* null mice resulted in the chronic upregulation of *Hsd3b1*, *Igf1*, *Igf2*, prolactin receptor, leptin, LH receptor, *Il5*, *Il10*, and *Vegfa* mRNA expression. Of particular interest, only *Star* mRNA expression was reduced in *Bmal1* null mice. The protein product of this gene, which is the rate-limiting enzyme in steroid

hormone synthesis (which was low in *Bmal1* null mice), has been shown to be rhythmically expressed in the dominant follicle of the quail (Nakao *et al.* 2007) and to be impaired in both male (Alvarez *et al.* 2008) and female (Ratajczak *et al.* 2009) *Bmal1* null mice.

Further analysis of candidate genes across 24 h at estrus in wild-type ovaries showed that *Star* and *Hsd3b1* mRNAs were rhythmically expressed on the first day of the estrus cycle, as were the transcription factor genes *Nr1d1* and *Dbp*. During the 24 h of diestrus, the amplitude of the clock gene rhythms in wild-type ovaries appeared to be reduced compared to estrus. This unexpected result may be due to the influence of gonadotropins altering the rhythm amplitude (Yamada *et al.* 2004). Nevertheless, *Bmal1*, as well as *Dbp* and *Nr1d1*, were rhythmically expressed, with *Dbp* and *Nr1d1* in antiphase to *Bmal1*, confirming that the endogenous clock system was functional in wild-type mice. *Star* and *Hsd3b1* mRNAs, which were rhythmically expressed during estrus, did not change across 24 h during diestrus. This is perhaps not surprising for *Star*, as its expression was rapidly increasing toward the final collection point of 2300 h on the day of estrus, possibly reflecting a continued rise as the corpora lutea began the production of progesterone, rather than a circadian variation in gene expression. However, the expression of *Hsd3b1* mRNA followed a different pattern, with a marked reduction in expression toward the end of the sampling period, suggesting that at least for this gene, the circadian expression pattern was not an artifact caused by the estrus cycle. Perhaps, in this case, the different stage of cycle investigated (diestrus versus estrus) was concurrent with other regulatory factors that abolished the rhythm in expression, possibly in a mechanism similar to the loss of rhythmic *Per1* and *Per2* expression. A study across the entire estrus cycle would clarify this question. Similarly, the genes that were identified as potentially rhythmic in the 'across the cycle' screen (Fig. 5), but which were proven not to be rhythmically expressed, may have only been rhythmic at the time of estrus, and more extensive sampling may reveal circadian regulation of their expression.

In this context, it is interesting that STAR – the rate-limiting enzyme in progesterone synthesis – is expressed in a circadian manner in quail preovulatory ovarian follicles (Nakao *et al.* 2007). One of the genes implicated in the accessory feedback loop underpinning cellular rhythmicity, *Bhlhe40* (also known as *Dec1* and *Sharp2*), is expressed in the rat ovary, and is induced temporarily by equine and hCGs in both theca and granulosa cells (Yamada *et al.* 2004). In the ovary, BHLHE40 appears to act as a repressor (Yamada *et al.* 2004), and either directly or indirectly alters the expression of FSH receptor, prostaglandin endoperoxidase synthase 2 mRNA, and other E-box-dependent genes in a gonadotropin-dependent manner. Given the observed circadian rhythm of *Bhlhe40* expression in the ovary, it is

interesting to speculate that there may be circadian gating of cellular processes at the ovarian level as well as within the hypothalamus at the time of ovulation.

The developing mouse embryo can spend up to 4 days in the oviduct before entering the uterus and implanting, and so it may be exposed to rhythmic maternal signals during this process. It has been shown that the rat oviduct rhythmically expresses clock and clock-controlled genes (Kennaway *et al.* 2003). Among the genes shown to be rhythmically expressed was *Pai-1*, which has been associated with the protection of the developing embryo during its transport along the oviduct (Kouba *et al.* 2000). The uterus also expresses clock genes rhythmically (Johnson *et al.* 2002, Horard *et al.* 2004, Dolatshad *et al.* 2006), and expression of *Per1* and *Per2* is altered by administration of E₂ (Nakamura *et al.* 2005, 2008). There has been only one study to date on the expression of clock-controlled genes in the nonpregnant uterus (Horard *et al.* 2004) which found that *Nr1d1* and estrogen receptor-related receptor α (*Esrra*) mRNA were expressed rhythmically with a high amplitude and peak expression in mid light and early dark respectively.

In conclusion, the loss of functional *Bmal1* gene expression and circadian rhythmicity caused pronounced detrimental changes to reproductive function such that female *Bmal1* null mice were profoundly infertile. The loss of fertility appears to be multifactorial, most likely affecting gonadotropin hormone release, tissue growth and development, oocyte production, and early embryo development and implantation. Finally, ovarian gene expression in *Bmal1* null mice was disrupted, with both a loss of the circadian rhythms of gene expression and persistent up- or downregulation of genes critical for ovarian function.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Bmal1 null mice were generously provided by Dr C Bradfield (University of Wisconsin Medical School, Madison, WI, USA) and were maintained as a heterozygous line on the original mixed background (C57Bl/6 and 129SV). The study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Adelaide. CBA mice were obtained from the Central Animal House Facility at the University of Adelaide. Animals were maintained on a 12 h light:12 h darkness photoperiod in the University of Adelaide Medical School Specific Pathogen Free Animal House, and were provided with food (New Joint Stock, Ridley AgriProducts, Melbourne, Australia) and water *ad libitum*. The genotypes of the offspring were determined as previously described (Bunger *et al.* 2000).

Fertility of heterozygous *Bmal1* mice

The reproductive performance of the colony (100 breeding pairs) was evaluated by determining the length of time between pairing and delivery of the first litters, the interval between the

first and second litters, litter size, and percentage of pups surviving to weaning. Offspring were genotyped at weaning (21 days), and BW was recorded weekly to 2 months of age and at 6 months of age.

Onset and timing of estrus cycles

Vaginal opening

A cohort of female offspring from the colony ($n=83$) was weighed daily, and the day that the vaginal membrane was breeched was recorded.

Evaluation of estrus cyclicity

Wild-type, heterozygous, and *Bmal1* null mice were lavaged daily between 60 and 88 days of age ($n=7-8$ per genotype), and the stage of estrus cycle was recorded. An estrus cycle was defined as the time from a positive estrus smear to the next positive estrus smear with at least 2 days of metestrus/diestrus in between.

Development of reproductive tissues

Mammary gland morphology

Female mice (2 months old, $n=12-15$ per genotype; 6 months old, $n=10-12$ per genotype; 9 months old, $n=4$ per genotype) were killed, and trunk blood was collected. Inguinal mammary fat pads were dissected, mounted onto a glass slide, immersed in Carnoy's fixative overnight, washed in ethanol and water, and stained with carmine alum. The tissue was photographed using a DiMAGE Z20 camera (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed using Image J 1.37v software (NIH, USA). Invasion of the mammary tissue by the ductal network was evaluated by measuring the maximal distance from the nipple to the end of the longest three ductal branches. Incorporation of the mammary fat pad into the ductal network of the mammary gland was evaluated by counting the number of branches along the three longest ducts.

Ovary/oviduct/uterine weight at 2 months of age

Ovaries, oviducts, and uteri were weighed from 2-month-old mice ($n=38$ per genotype) at diestrus. Organ weights from a further cohort of *Bmal1* null mice ($n=35$) which failed to show estrus cyclicity after 3 weeks of monitoring were also evaluated.

Ovarian histology

Ovaries were collected from 2-month-old wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice across the estrus cycle ($n=5$ per genotype time point) along with *Bmal1* null mice which failed to show estrus cycles after 14 days of observation. Additional ovaries were collected from 6- and 9-month-old mice during diestrus ($n=5$ per time point). Diestrus was selected, as estrus cycles were rare in 6-month-old *Bmal1* null mice and vaginal cytology suggested that they were in a persistent diestrus state. Ovaries were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde and paraffin-embedded, and 7- μ m sections were prepared. Sections were stained with hematoxylin/eosin, and every sixth section was analyzed using Video Pro 32 image analysis software (Leading Edge Software,

Adelaide, SA, Australia). The number of follicles and corpora lutea, and the areas of the ovary-containing follicles, corpora lutea, and stromal tissue were quantified for the whole ovary.

Plasma progesterone

Plasma progesterone was assayed in trunk blood in duplicate by RIA (DSL-3400; DSLabs, Webster, TX, USA).

Reproductive performance of *Bmal1* null mice

Natural mating. Two-month-old CBA males ($n=5$) were paired at a ratio of 1:3 with one *Bmal1* null, one *Bmal1* wild-type, and one CBA female mouse (all 2 months old), left with the males for 4 cycles (22 days), and checked daily for vaginal plugs. Presumptive pregnant females (around day 15 of pregnancy) were removed to individual cages to give birth.

PMSG-stimulated mating. Mice (21–23 days old) were injected with 4 IU of PMSG (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA, USA) 5 h after the time of lights on, followed 48 h later with 5 IU of hCG (Organon, Lane Cove, NSW, Australia). The mice were then paired overnight with 2-month-old CBA males of proven fertility and checked the next day for vaginal plugs.

For examination of the ovarian response to PMSG alone, mice ($n=4$ per genotype) were killed 44 h after PMSG administration, 1 h after lights on. For examination of the ovarian response to the PMSG/hCG treatment, mice ($n=10$ per genotype) were administered PMSG and hCG, but not paired with males. Five hours after lights on (24 h after hCG administration), mice were killed and oocytes were flushed from the oviduct for counting, and the ovaries were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde. For examination of embryo development to day 4, mice ($n=8-12$ per genotype) were administered PMSG and hCG, paired with CBA males overnight, and killed on day 4, 5 h after lights on. The oviducts and uteri were flushed, and embryos were counted and evaluated for the level of development, and the ovaries were fixed in paraformaldehyde. For examination of embryo development to day 8, mice ($n=4$ per genotype) were administered PMSG and hCG, and paired with males overnight and killed on day 8, 5 h after lights on. The numbers of successful implantations were counted, and the ovaries were fixed in paraformaldehyde.

Superovulation of mature *Bmal1* null mice. *Bmal1* null mice (2 months of age) were monitored daily by vaginal cytology. Non-cycling females ($n=8-9$ per time point) were injected with PMSG and hCG as above, and tissues were collected at pre-implantation (days 1 and 4), at presumptive early placentation (day 8), and near term (day 17). Ovaries were evaluated by histology, and the number of fertilized ova, blastocysts, implantations, and fetuses were counted.

Bioinformatic search of circadian functional genes

A suite of genes important for ovarian function were selected and analyzed for the presence of sequence motifs known to have a role in circadian modulation of gene expression. The motifs chosen were the canonical circadian enhancer region 'E-box' of sequence 'CACGTG', and the ROR α response

element (or RRE) of sequence 'A[A/T]NT[A/G]GGTCA'. Chromosome gene sequences and the region 10 kb upstream of the gene of interest were obtained from previously published sequences on the NCBI–NIH website (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). The suite of genes selected included those involved in steroid hormone synthesis (*Cyp11a1*, *Hsd3b1*, and *Star*), regulation of steroid hormone synthesis (*Igf1*, *Igf2*, *leptin*, and *prolactin receptor*), tissue remodeling (*Il5*, *Il10*, *Vegfa*, and *Tgfb1*), and regulation of ovulation (LH receptor). Core clock genes (*Bmal1*, *Clock*, *Per1*, and *Per2*) and clock-controlled genes (*Dbp* and *Nr1d1*) were also analyzed in this way as positive controls. The genes were divided into the promoter region (10-kb upstream region), the 5'-untranslated region (sequence between the 10-kb upstream region and the ATG start codon), and the translated region (sequence downstream of the ATG start codon). The chromosome gene sequences were then scanned for the presence of 'E-box' or 'RRE' motifs, and the presence and number of each in the different sections were recorded for each gene.

Analysis of ovarian gene expression in wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice

Ovaries were collected from 2-month-old wild-type and *Bmal1* null mice ($n=5$ per genotype per time point) 4 h after lights on, across the first 4 days of the estrus cycle as well as 4 hourly across 24 h at diestrus (day 4 of cycle). Ovaries were also collected from wild-type mice across 24 h at estrus and stored in RNA Later (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) at -20°C .

RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) with on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer's instructions and reverse transcribed (Kennaway *et al.* 2003) using Superscript III RT (Invitrogen). Primers were designed using the ABI Prism Primer Express program (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA; see [Supplementary Table 1](#) for primers used), and all primer sets gave amplification efficiencies close to 100%. Analyses were conducted on an ABI 5700 LightCycler (Applied Biosystems, UK) using the following PCR protocol: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, and then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Gene expression was quantified using the $\Delta\Delta\text{C}_t$ method as described previously (Livak & Schmittgen 2001, Kennaway *et al.* 2003), using β -actin as the housekeeping gene.

In wild-type ovaries collected across 24 h at estrus, expression of *Clock*, *Bmal1*, *Per1*, *Per2*, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Star*, and *Hsd3b1* mRNAs was analyzed. In wild-type and *Bmal1* null ovaries collected across 24 h at diestrus, expression of *Clock*, *Bmal1*, *Per1*, *Per2*, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Bhlhe40*, *Star*, *Tgfb1*, and *Hsd3b1* mRNAs was determined. In wild-type and *Bmal1* null ovaries collected across the first 4 days of the estrus cycle, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *Star*, *Hsd3b1*, *Igf1*, *Igf2*, *leptin*, *prolactin receptor*, *Il5*, *Il10*, *Vegfa*, *Hif1a*, *Cyp11a1*, and LH receptor mRNA expression was analyzed.

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed using Student's unpaired *t*-tests, one-way ANOVA, or a GLM univariate ANOVA (SPSS 13.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). When appropriate, Mann–Whitney

U tests or Kruskal–Wallis analyses were performed. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. unless otherwise specified. Differences were considered significant at $P<0.05$.

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-09-0523>.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

M J Boden was supported by a scholarship from the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Adelaide. D J Kennaway is an NHMRC Senior Research Fellow.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jeremy Thompson, Darryl Russel, Hannah Brown, John Bromfield, Danielle Glynn, Leanne Sprpek, Wendy Ingman, and Amanda Sferruzzi-Perri for their help with various aspects of this study.

References

- Akhtar RA, Reddy AB, Maywood ES, Clayton JD, King VM, Smith AG, Gant TW, Hastings MH & Kyriacou CP 2002 Circadian cycling of the mouse liver transcriptome, as revealed by cDNA microarray, is driven by the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Current Biology* **12** 540–550.
- Alvarez JD, Hansen A, Ord T, Bebas P, Chappell PE, Giebultowicz JM, Williams C, Moss S & Sehga A 2008 The circadian clock protein BMAL1 is necessary for fertility and proper testosterone production in mice. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* **23** 26–36.
- Barbacka-Surowiak G, Surowiak J & Stoklowska S 2003 The involvement of suprachiasmatic nuclei in the regulation of estrous cycles in rodents. *Reproductive Biology* **3** 99–129.
- Boden MJ & Kennaway DJ 2006 Circadian rhythms and reproduction. *Reproduction* **132** 379–392.
- Bunger MK, Wilsbacher LD, Moran SM, Clendenin C, Radcliffe LA, Hogenesch JB, Simon MC, Takahashi JS & Bradfield CA 2000 Mop3 is an essential component of the master circadian pacemaker in mammals. *Cell* **103** 1009–1017.
- Bunger MK, Walisser JA, Sullivan R, Manley PA, Moran SM, Kalschauer VL, Colman RJ & Bradfield CA 2005 Progressive arthropathy in mice with a targeted disruption of the Mop3/Bmal-1 locus. *Genesis* **41** 122–132.
- Chappell PE, White RS & Mellon PL 2003 Circadian gene expression regulates pulsatile gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) secretory patterns in the hypothalamic GnRH-secreting GT1-7 cell line. *Journal of Neuroscience* **23** 11202–11213.
- Chomez P, Neveu I, Mansen A, Kiesler E, Larsson L, Vennstrom B & Arenas E 2000 Increased cell death and delayed development in the cerebellum of mice lacking the rev-erbA(alpha) orphan receptor. *Development* **127** 1489–1498.
- Cowden KD & Simon MC 2002 The bHLH/PAS factor MOP3 does not participate in hypoxia responses. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **290** 1228–1236.
- Dolatshad H, Campbell EA, O'Hara L, Maywood ES, Hastings MH & Johnson MH 2006 Developmental and reproductive performance in circadian mutant mice. *Human Reproduction* **21** 68–79.

- Fahrenkrug J, Georg B, Hannibal J, Hindersson P & Gras S 2006 Diurnal rhythmicity of the clock genes *Per1* and *Per2* in the rat ovary. *Endocrinology* **147** 3769–3776.
- Gillespie JM, Chan BP, Roy D, Cai F & Belsham DD 2003 Expression of circadian rhythm genes in gonadotropin-releasing hormone-secreting GT1-7 neurons. *Endocrinology* **144** 5285–5292.
- Gotter AL, Manganaro T, Weaver DR, Kolakowski LF Jr, Possidente B, Sriram S, MacLaughlin DT & Reppert SM 2000 A time-less function for mouse timeless. *Nature Neuroscience* **3** 755–756.
- Hastings MH, Reddy AB & Maywood ES 2003 A clockwork web: circadian timing in brain and periphery, in health and disease. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience* **4** 649–661.
- Herzog ED, Grace MS, Harrer C, Williamson J, Shinohara K & Block GD 2000 The role of Clock in the developmental expression of neuropeptides in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **424** 86–98.
- Horard B, Rayet B, Triqueneaux G, Laudet V, Delaunay F & Vanacker JM 2004 Expression of the orphan nuclear receptor ERR alpha is under circadian regulation in estrogen-responsive tissues. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* **33** 87–97.
- Hoshino K, Wakatsuki Y, Iigo M & Shibata S 2006 Circadian Clock mutation in dams disrupts nursing behavior and growth of pups. *Endocrinology* **147** 1916–1923.
- de la Iglesia HO, Blaustein JD & Bittman EL 1995 The suprachiasmatic area in the female hamster projects to neurons containing estrogen receptors and GnRH. *Neuroreport* **6** 1715–1722.
- Johnson MH, Lim A, Fernando D & Day ML 2002 Circadian clockwork genes are expressed in the reproductive tract and conceptus of the early pregnant mouse. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online* **4** 140–145.
- Karman BN & Tischkau SA 2006 Circadian clock gene expression in the ovary: effects of luteinizing hormone. *Biology of Reproduction* **75** 624–632.
- Kennaway DJ, Varcoe TJ & Mau VJ 2003 Rhythmic expression of clock and clock-controlled genes in the rat oviduct. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **9** 503–507.
- Kennaway DJ, Boden MJ & Voultsios A 2004 Reproductive performance in female Clock^{Δ19} mutant mice. *Reproduction, Fertility, and Development* **16** 801–810.
- Kennaway DJ, Owens JA, Voultsios A & Varcoe TJ 2006 Functional central rhythmicity and light entrainment, but not liver and muscle rhythmicity, are Clock independent. *American Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **291** R1172–R1180.
- Kondratov RV, Kondratova AA, Gorbacheva VY, Vykhovanets OV & Antoch MP 2006 Early aging and age-related pathologies in mice deficient in BMAL1, the core component of the circadian clock. *Genes and Development* **20** 1868–1873.
- Kouba AJ, Burkhardt BR, Alvarez IM, Goodenow MM & Buhi WC 2000 Oviductal plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1): mRNA, protein, and hormonal regulation during the estrous cycle and early pregnancy in the pig. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* **56** 378–386.
- Livak KJ & Schmittgen TD 2001 Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(−Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* **25** 402–408.
- Low-Zeddies SS & Takahashi JS 2001 Chimera analysis of the Clock mutation in mice shows that complex cellular integration determines circadian behavior. *Cell* **105** 25–42.
- McDearmon EL, Patel KN, Ko CH, Walisser JA, Schook AC, Chong JL, Wilsbacher LD, Song EJ, Hong HK, Bradfield CA *et al.* 2006 Dissecting the functions of the mammalian clock protein BMAL1 by tissue-specific rescue in mice. *Science* **314** 1304–1308.
- Miller BH, Olson SL, Turek FW, Levine JE, Horton TH & Takahashi JS 2004 Circadian clock mutation disrupts estrous cyclicity and maintenance of pregnancy. *Current Biology* **14** 1367–1373.
- Nakamura TJ, Moriya T, Inoue S, Shimazoe T, Watanabe S, Ebihara S & Shinohara K 2005 Estrogen differentially regulates expression of *Per1* and *Per2* genes between central and peripheral clocks and between reproductive and nonreproductive tissues in female rats. *Journal of Neuroscience Research* **82** 622–630.
- Nakamura TJ, Sellix MT, Menaker M & Block GD 2008 Estrogen directly modulates circadian rhythms of *PER2* expression in the uterus. *American Journal of Physiology. Endocrinology and Metabolism* **295** E1025–E1031.
- Nakao N, Yasuo S, Nishimura A, Yamamura T, Watanabe T, Anraku T, Okano T, Fukada Y, Sharp PJ, Ebihara S *et al.* 2007 Circadian clock gene regulation of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein gene expression in preovulatory ovarian follicles. *Endocrinology* **148** 3031–3038.
- Oishi K, Miyazaki K, Kadota K, Kikuno R, Nagase T, Atsumi GI, Ohkura N, Azama T, Mesaki M, Yukimasa S *et al.* 2003 Genome-wide expression analysis of mouse liver reveals CLOCK-regulated circadian output genes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278** 41519–41527.
- Olcese J, Domagalski R, Bednorz A, Weaver DR, Urbanski HF, Reuss S & Middelendorff R 2003 Expression and regulation of mPer1 in immortalized GnRH neurons. *Neuroreport* **14** 613–618.
- Palm IF, van der Beek EM, Wiegant VM, Buijs RM & Kalsbeek A 1999 Vasopressin induces a luteinizing hormone surge in ovariectomized, estradiol-treated rats with lesions of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Neuroscience* **93** 659–666.
- Palm IF, van der Beek EM, Wiegant VM, Buijs RM & Kalsbeek A 2001 The stimulatory effect of vasopressin on the luteinizing hormone surge in ovariectomized, estradiol-treated rats is time-dependent. *Brain Research* **901** 109–116.
- Panda S, Antoch MP, Miller BH, Su AI, Schook AB, Straume M, Schultz PG, Kay SA, Takahashi JS & Hogenesch JB 2002 Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the circadian clock. *Cell* **109** 307–320.
- Pilorz V & Steinlechner S 2008 Low reproductive success in *Per1* and *Per2* mutant mouse females due to accelerated ageing? *Reproduction* **135** 559–568.
- Preitner N, Damiola F, Lopez-Molina L, Zakany J, Duboule D, Albrecht U & Schibler U 2002 The orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERB alpha controls circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. *Cell* **110** 251–260.
- Ratajczak CK, Boehle KL & Muglia LJ 2009 Impaired steroidogenesis and implantation failure in Bmal1^{−/−} mice. *Endocrinology* **150** 1879–1885.
- Storch KF, Lipan O, Leykin I, Viswanathan N, Davis FC, Wong WH & Weitz CJ 2002 Extensive and divergent circadian gene expression in liver and heart. *Nature* **417** 78–83.
- Sun Y, Yang Z, Niu Z, Wang W, Peng J, Li Q, Ma MY & Zhao Y 2006 The mortality of MOP3 deficient mice with a systemic functional failure. *Journal of Biomedical Science* **13** 845–851.
- Van der Beek EM 1996 Circadian control of reproduction in the female rat. *Progress in Brain Research* **111** 295–320.
- Van der Beek EM, Wiegant VM, van der Donk HA, van den Hurk R & Buijs RM 1993 Lesions of the suprachiasmatic nucleus indicate the presence of a direct vasoactive intestinal polypeptide-containing projection to gonadotrophin-releasing hormone neurons in the female rat. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* **5** 137–144.
- Watson RE, Langub MC, Engle MG & Maley BE 1995 Estrogen-receptive neurons in the anteroventral periventricular nucleus are synaptic targets of the suprachiasmatic nucleus and peri-suprachiasmatic region. *Brain Research* **689** 254–264.
- Wiegant SJ & Terasawa E 1982 Discrete lesions reveal functional heterogeneity of suprachiasmatic structures in regulation of gonadotropin secretion in the female rat. *Neuroendocrinology* **34** 395–404.
- Yamada K, Kawata H, Mizutani T, Arima T, Yazawa T, Matsuura K, Shou Z, Sekiguchi T, Yoshino M, Kajitani T *et al.* 2004 Gene expression of basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, SHARP-2, is regulated by gonadotropins in the rat ovary and MA-10 cells. *Biology of Reproduction* **70** 76–82.
- Zheng B, Larkin DW, Albrecht U, Sun ZS, Sage M, Eichele G, Lee CC & Bradley A 1999 The mPer2 gene encodes a functional component of the mammalian circadian clock. *Nature* **400** 169–173.
- Zheng B, Albrecht U, Kaasik K, Sage M, Lu W, Vaishnav S, Li Q, Sun ZS, Eichele G, Bradley A *et al.* 2001 Nonredundant roles of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes in the mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* **105** 683–694.

Received 22 November 2009

First decision 5 January 2010

Revised manuscript received 26 January 2010

Accepted 3 March 2010