Effect of ectopic expression of homeoprotein EGAM1C on the cell morphology, growth, and differentiation in a mouse embryonic stem cell line, MG1.19 cells
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Abstract

The homeoprotein EGAM1C was identified in preimplantation mouse embryos and embryonic stem (ES) cells. To explore the impact of EGAM1C on the hallmarks of mouse ES cells, MG1.19 cells stably expressing EGAM1C at levels similar to those in blastocysts were established using an episomal expression system. In the presence of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), control transfectants with an empty vector formed flattened cell colonies, while Egam1c transfectants formed compacted colonies with increased E-CADHERIN expression. In Egam1c transfectants, the cellular contents of POU5F1 (OCT4), SOX2, TBX3, and NANOG increased. Cell growth was accelerated in an undifferentiated state sustained by LIF and in the course of differentiation. During clonal proliferation, EGAM1C stabilized the undifferentiated state. In adherent culture conditions, EGAM1C partly inhibited the progression of differentiation at least within a 4-day culture period in the presence of retinoic acid by preventing the downregulation of LIF signaling with a robust increase in TBX3 expression. Conversely, EGAM1C enhanced the expression of lineage marker genes Fgf5 (epiblast), T (mesoderm), Gata6 (primitive endoderm), and Cdx2 (trophectoderm) in LIF conditions. In embryoid bodies expressing EGAM1C, the expression of marker genes for extraembryonic cell lineages, including Tpbpa (spongiotrophoblast) and Plat (parietal endoderm), increased. These results demonstrated that the ectopic expression of EGAM1C is capable of affecting the stabilization of an undifferentiated state and the progression of differentiation in MG1.19 ES cells, in addition to affecting cellular morphology and growth.
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Introduction

In preimplantation mouse embryos, the first developmental decisions occur during the morula stage. The outer cells of the morulae, which have enhanced cell–cell contacts mediated by CDH1 (E-CADHERIN), give rise to the trophectoderm (TE), whereas the inner cells generate the pluripotent inner cell mass (ICM) in blastocysts (Pedersen et al. 1986, Fleming 1987, Riethmacher et al. 1995). In late blastocysts, primitive endoderm (PrE), the precursor cells of the extraembryonic endoderm lineage, forms on the blastocoel-exposed surface of the ICM. Subsequently, PrE differentiates into the extraembryonic endoderm lineage, including visceral endoderm (VE) and parietal endoderm (PE). Cells constituting the ICM are capable of differentiating into all fetal and adult cell lineages and thus are the founders of embryonic stem (ES) cells. Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) can maintain the self-renewal of mouse ES cells through activation of the LIF signaling pathway (Niwa et al. 1998, 2009, Matsuda et al. 1999). LIF stimulation leads to phosphorylation of transcription factor STAT3 and results in its activation.

POU5F1 (OCT4) (Palmieri et al. 1994), SOX2 (Avilion et al. 2003), and NANOG (Chambers et al. 2003, Mitsui et al. 2003) are expressed in the ICM of blastocysts and ES cells, thereby maintaining the pluripotency of these cells. CDX2 is indispensable for segregation of the ICM and TE lineages from the morula stage to the blastocyst stage by ensuring the repression of Pou5f1 and Nanog in both the outer cells of the morula and the TE in the blastocyst (Niwa et al. 2005, Strumpf et al. 2005, Ralston & Rossant 2008). In late blastocysts, GATA6 is expressed in a portion of cells in the ICM to promote the segregation of the extraembryonic endoderm lineages,
such as PrE and its derivatives, VE and PE (Morrisey et al. 1998, Shimosato et al. 2007). It can be concluded that these pluripotency-maintaining and differentiation-inducing genes are expressed to coordinately regulate cell fates during the preimplantation development of mammalian embryos.

The mouse Crxos gene was demonstrated to be expressed in the retina (Alfano et al. 2005). Recently, we have identified three kinds of mRNAs that are transcribed from the Crxos gene as splicing or transcription variants in preimplantation mouse embryos. These transcripts encode structurally related homeoproteins, EGAM1 (also known as CRXOS1), EGAM1N (CRXOS1 sv2), and EGAM1C (CRXOS1 tv3), and are also expressed in mouse ES cells (Saito et al. 2010). The function of these homeoproteins was estimated by forced expression in mouse ES cells without isolation of independent transfectants: EGAM1 and EGAM1N may act as positive and negative regulators, respectively, in the differentiation of ES cells. On the other hand, we have recently reported that EGAM1C is likely to play a role in the expression of members of the placental

---

**Figure 1** Contents of POU5F1 and NANOG and the morphology of MG1.19 ES cells expressing exogenous EGAM1C in the presence of LIF. Control clones transfected with an empty vector (E, total 14 clones) and clones transfected with an Egam1c-expressing vector (C, total six clones) were established. (A) Morphology of a representative control (E2) or Egam1c (C4) transfectant cultured in the presence of LIF (+LIF culture). Scale bar: 50 μm. (B) Comparison of the cellular contents of EGAM1C, POU5F1, and NANOG in control and Egam1c transfectants in +LIF culture conditions by western blotting. Five representative clones of the control and all clones stably expressing EGAM1C were analyzed. ACTB was detected as a loading control. The numbers under each panel represent the relative densities of specific signals normalized to the density of ACTB. The mean value of the control clones was set as 1. Vertical bars on the right side of the panels indicate the areas quantified. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of selected genes associated with ES cell pluripotency or differentiation in +LIF culture conditions. The expression levels of these genes were quantified and normalized with that of Hmbs, a housekeeping gene. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. and mean value of control clones (E) was set as 1. E, 14 clones and C, six clones. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (D) Subcellular localization of CDH1 in a representative control or Egam1c transfectant in +LIF culture conditions. CDH1 was detected using FITC (green)-labeled secondary antibodies by immunofluorescence microscopy. Concurrently, POU5F1, as a pluripotent marker, and cell nuclei were also detected using DyLight594 (red)-labeled secondary antibodies and DAPI (blue) respectively. Scale bar: 25 μm. (E) Cellular content of CDH1 in representative control (E2 and E8) and Egam1c (C4 and C28) transfectants in +LIF culture conditions determined by western blotting.
prolactin gene family in the mouse placenta immediately before partum (Saito et al. 2011). However, the effect of exogenous EGAM1C expression on phenotypes of ES cells is still obscure.

ES cells have drawn the attention of researchers as a renewable source of material in regenerative medicine; therefore, the action of EGAM1C in ES cells is also intriguing. In this study, in order to investigate the effects of EGAM1C on the hallmarks of mouse ES cells, we isolated ES cells expressing exogenous EGAM1C protein using an episomal expression system.

Results

Expression of EGAM1C protein in MG1.19 cells and cell morphology

A feeder-free mouse ES cell line, MG1.19, expressing polyoma large T antigen (Gassmann et al. 1995) was supertransfected using an episomal expression system (Fujikura et al. 2002) with pMN1Pur/Egam1c (Saito et al. 2011) harboring polyoma ori. This vector was replicated episomal in MG1.19 cells, thereby the stable expression of EGAM1C protein would be expected. Transfection with an empty or Egam1c-expressing vector into MG1.19 cells led to the establishment of 14 control clones and six clones stably expressing EGAM1C protein.

Morphological changes were evident in the Egam1c transfectants (Fig. 1A). In general, all the control transfectants examined and parental MG1.19 cell (data not shown) colonies formed mainly comprised flattened cells. On the other hand, all the established Egam1c transfectants formed tightly aggregated, compacted colonies. As shown in Fig. 1B, the expression levels of EGAM1C in Egam1c transfectants were 5- to 13-fold higher than those in the control and were similar to those in blastocysts (as demonstrated later in Fig. 5). Cellular contents of POU5F1 and NANOG increased by 2.4 ± 0.3-fold (mean ± S.E.M., P < 0.001) and 1.9 ± 0.3-fold (P < 0.05) of the control respectively. Next, the expression level of Pou5f1 and Nanog was analyzed (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, the expression levels were almost unchanged. On the other hand, basal expression levels of lineage-specific markers, such as Gata6, a PrE marker, Cdx2, a TE marker, and T, a mesoderm (MES) marker, decreased significantly in Egam1c transfectants. Two representative clones of each transfectant (control clones E8 [data not shown] and E2, and Egam1c clones C28 [data not shown] and C4) were analyzed immunofluorochemically for the expression of POU5F1 and CDH1 (Fig. 1D). Nevertheless, their cell morphology was quite different; both transfectant lines examined were confirmed as positive for immunostaining of POU5F1. Although limited expression levels of CDH1 protein were detected in control clones, as judged by western blotting (Fig. 1E), its cellular contents and subcellular localization on the cell surface (Fig. 1D) were clearly increased in clones C4 and C28.

Cell growth in undifferentiated state-maintaining and differentiation-inducing cultures

To examine the proliferative potential of Egam1c transfectants, serial passage experiments were conducted in + LIF conditions (Fig. 2A). The population doubling times of the control and Egam1c transfectants in the presence of puromycin (2 μg/ml) and G418 (250 μg/ml) were 24.0 ± 0.3 h (mean ± S.E.M., n = 14 clones) and 16.4 ± 0.3 h (n = 6 clones), respectively, indicating that cell growth was accelerated significantly P < 0.001 in Egam1c transfectants. We also isolated three other clones expressing EGAM1C at 26- to 45-fold higher levels than the control transfectants (data not shown). All of them exhibited flattened cell types and
similar growth characteristics to the control. However, their expression of EGAM1C was unstable; after the induction of differentiation, the expression of EGAM1C became undetectable by western blotting (data not shown). Therefore, we excluded these high expressers from this study.

For subsequent detailed analyses in this study, two representative clones of control transfectants, E2 and E8, and Egam1c transfectants, C4 and C28, were selected. As shown in Fig. 2B, the cell growth of the control and Egam1c transfectants was compared by determining cell numbers at ~12 and 69 h after plating without puromycin and G418. Regardless of culture conditions, forced expression of Egam1c yielded an obvious increment in cell number (P < 0.01). It is noteworthy that the growth of EGAM1C transfectants was almost unchanged between +LIF and −LIF+RA culture conditions. Doubling times calculated from the data of control and Egam1c transfectants in the absence of puromycin and G418 were 14.8 h (mean of two clones) and 12.6 h, respectively, in +LIF conditions. It was demonstrated that cell growth was hampered in the presence of puromycin and G418.

The expression levels of proliferation regulatory genes were analyzed (Fig. 3). Among these, the expression of Myc (c-Myc) increased significantly in +LIF and −LIF culture conditions in Egam1c transfectants. In contrast, the expression level of Cdkn1a (p21Cip1), a universal inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase, was downregulated markedly, but conversely, the expression level of Ccnd1 was upregulated in −LIF and +1/10 LIF+RA culture conditions. Upregulation of Ccne1 was not observed in Egam1c transfectants throughout the experiment.

**Localization of anti-EGAM1C-positive cells in blastocysts**

Before comparing the expression levels of EGAM1C protein between Egam1c transfectants and blastocysts, the localization of anti-EGAM1C-positive cells in blastocysts was investigated by immunofluorescence staining. Almost all the primary amino acid sequences for EGAM1C protein (17 kDa) are shared with EGAM1 protein (29 kDa) in its carboxyterminal region (Saito et al. 2010). Therefore, anti-EGAM1C, which was raised against the complete amino acid sequence of EGAM1C as a GST-fusion protein (Saito et al. 2011), recognized both proteins in blastocysts, two-thirds of them were large expanded, hatching, or hatched blastocysts, as judged by western blotting (Fig. 4A). However, signals for EGAM1C were substantially stronger than those for EGAM1, suggesting that the EGAM1C content was much larger than EGAM1 in blastocysts. In these conditions, immunofluorescence staining of blastocysts was performed. As shown in Fig. 4B, a distinct cell cluster both in a single blastocyst and a single, hatching blastocyst was clearly visualized with anti-POU5F1, indicating that the cluster was the ICM or the epiblast (EPI). On the other hand, almost all the nuclei in both types of blastocysts, probably composed of ICM, EPI, TE, or PrE, were positively stained with similar intensities by anti-EGAM1C.

**Expression levels of crucial genes for maintenance of the undifferentiated state and progression of differentiation during adherent cultures in MG1.19 cells expressing exogenous EGAM1C**

Next, the effects of EGAM1C on the expression of crucial transcription factors involved in differentiation from ES cells into EPI and its derivative MES, and PrE and its derivative VE, or TE were investigated. In order to avoid
induction of differentiation, remarkable upregulation of Cdx2 was observed in Egam1c transfectants. The expression level of Cdx2 was significantly higher (P<0.01) than that of TS7 cells.

In these conditions, expression levels of mRNAs and their encoded proteins for crucial transcription factors downstream of the LIF signaling (Niwa et al. 2009) were compared (Fig. 6A and B). In addition to Pou5f1 and Nanog, the expression levels of Sox2, Tbx3, and their encoded proteins were increased robustly in Egam1c transfectants in +LIF culture conditions. Three days after the induction of differentiation in −LIF culture conditions, the Pou5f1 protein level was roughly maintained without an apparent upregulation of Pou5f1. In +1/10 LIF+RA culture conditions, a significant decrease in Stat3 expression (total of Stat3α and β) was detected, although the cellular content of STAT3 protein was almost maintained. The down-regulation of LIF signaling, including phosphorylated STAT3 protein level, expression levels of relevant genes (Klf4, Sox2, Nanog, and Pou5f1), and their encoded proteins, was prevented in Egam1c transfectants. In particular, the expression of Tbx3 and its encoded protein increased obviously. The cell morphology of Egam1c transfectants (Fig. 6C) became flattened with a similar cellular CDH1 content to the control (data not shown). However, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was apparently positive in +1/10 LIF+RA culture conditions without the upregulation of endogenous Lif expression, which was at a level similar to that of the undifferentiated state (+LIF). Therefore, the progression of differentiation in Egam1c transfectants in +1/10 LIF+RA culture conditions seemed, at least in part, to be inhibited.

**Effect of EGAM1C on the expression of lineage-specific marker genes in embryo bodies**

In order to clarify the effect of EGAM1C on cell fate in long-term, differentiation-inducing culture conditions, embryo bodies (EBs) were generated. After 11 days from the initiation of EB formation, the stable expression of EGAM1C protein was also detected (Fig. 7A); the expression level was maintained with that in +LIF, adherent culture conditions (data not shown). Expression levels of Pou5f1 and Nanog in EBs expressing EGAM1C were significantly below those in the control and also lower than those in an undifferentiated state (Fig. 7B). In Egam1c transfectants, the expression of Fgf5 and T disappeared almost completely (P<0.01), although that of Ascl1 (Mash1), a marker for neuroectoderm, remained at a similar expression level (Fig. 7C). Expression of marker genes for extraembryonic cell lineages, including Tpbpa for spongiotrophoblast and Plat (P<0.01) for PE, increased with decreasing expression in Afp.
Stabilization of the undifferentiated state in Egam1c transfectants during clonal proliferation

One of the major characteristics of MG1.19 cells expressing exogenous EGAM1C in LIF conditions was upregulation of pluripotency factors POU5F1, SOX2, NANOG, and TBX3. This phenotype led us to examine the stability of the undifferentiated state in Egam1c transfectants. To this end, transfectants were cultured at a clonal density in the presence of LIF for a 7-day period (Fig. 8), which would allow the spontaneous differentiation. The total number of colonies per well with Egam1c transfectants (292 ± 13 and 318 ± 11 colonies, mean ± S.E.M., for C4 and C28, respectively, n = 3 independent wells) was significantly larger (P < 0.01) than that for the control (204 ± 14 and 207 ± 7 colonies for E2 and E8 respectively), suggesting that EGAM1C promotes the survival of ES cells during clonal proliferation. As shown in Fig. 8B, more than 80% of colonies generated from Egam1c transfectants (C4 and C28) formed tightly aggregated colonies with strong ALP activity (undifferentiated), but almost all colonies showed flattened cells with weakened or an absence of

**Figure 5** Expression of genes encoding lineage-specific transcription factors. (A) Cellular contents of EGAM1C protein in Egam1c transfectants and mouse blastocysts. Control (E2 and E8) and Egam1c (C4 and C28) transfectants were plated with the respective culture media as indicated in the legend for Fig. 3. After a 3-day culture period, expression levels of EGAM1C in ~1.6 × 10^5 cells were compared by western blotting. The mean value of control clones in +LIF culture conditions was set as 1. Expression levels of EGAM1C in varying numbers of blastocysts (34, 67, 100, and 200 embryos) were also analyzed in two separate trials. The data for 200 blastocysts were taken from another blot and combined carefully. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of selected genes associated with ES cell pluripotency or differentiation. Control and Egam1c transfectants were plated with the respective culture media as indicated in the legend for Fig. 3. After 2- and 4-day culture periods, the expression levels of the indicated genes were quantified and normalized with those of Hmbs. Data were processed as indicated in the legend for Fig. 3. In the case of T and Afp, mean values of the control clones in −LIF (D4) and 1/10 LIF + RA (D4) culture conditions, respectively, were set as 1. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (C) Comparison of expression levels of trophoderm markers with those in a mouse TS cell line, TS7 cells. Data for TS7 cells are expressed as mean ± S.D. (n = 4 independent dishes). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
activity in the control (E2 and E8, ‘partially differentiated’ or ‘differentiated’). A relationship between the cellular ALP activity of transfectants and the reactivity with anti-NANOG or anti-POU5F1 antibodies in immunofluorescence staining was confirmed (data not shown). These results indicated that enforced EGAM1C expression stabilized the undifferentiated state of MG1.19 ES cells during clonal propagation.

Discussion

Because the effect of enforced EGAM1C expression in ES cells remains to be clarified (Saito et al. 2010), we aimed to establish mouse ES cells expressing exogenous EGAM1C. It is noteworthy that the expression level was considered as moderate because the level was similar to that in blastocysts. The estimated effects of forced
EGAM1C expression in mouse ES cells are summarized in Fig. 9 in combination with the transcriptional factor network reviewed by Niwa (2007) and Niwa et al. (2009). Results obtained herein can be summarized as follows: Egam1c transfectants 1) showed stabilization of an undifferentiated state in +LIF conditions, 2) promoted differentiation in −LIF conditions, but partially inhibited differentiation in the presence of RA in adherent culture, 3) showed enhanced expression of specific markers for the extraembryonic cell lineages by generation of EBs, 4) exhibited accelerated cell growth, and 5) formed aggregated colonies with increased CDH1 expression in +LIF conditions. These results demonstrated that EGAM1C is capable of affecting hallmarks of mouse ES cells. In our previous study (Saito et al. 2010) using a random integration system of expression vectors, no obvious effect of EGAM1C was shown in a mouse ES cell line, EB3. Forced expression levels of EGAM1C protein in EB3 cells in the previous study were evaluated being less than half of those in MG1.19 clones C4 and C28 (data not shown). These could relate to our previous unclear observations in EB3 cells expressing EGAM1C.

Pou5f1 and Nanog are crucial downstream targets of LIF signaling for maintaining self-renewal and pluripotency of mouse ES cells (Niwa et al. 2009). In this study, the obvious elevation in the cellular contents of POUSF1 and NANOG was shown in Egam1c transfectants in +LIF conditions. Interestingly, an unremarkable activation of the LIF signaling pathway, such as phosphorylation levels of STAT3 and expression levels of Pou5f1 and Nanog, was induced by EGAM1C in +LIF conditions. It is reported that the cellular contents of transcription factors, such as POUSF1, NANOG, and STAT3, are regulated at posttranscriptional levels, including degradation-dependent pathways (Daino et al. 2000, Xu et al. 2004, Zhang et al. 2007, Fujita et al. 2008, Moretto-Zita et al. 2010, Musch et al. 2010). The exact mechanisms underlying the metabolism of these transcription factors in Egam1c transfectants remain to be clarified.

It is well known that POUSF1, SOX2, TBX3, or NANOG play a role in preventing the differentiation of ES cells, such as into TE (Niwa et al. 2000), PrE (Mitsui et al. 2003), EPI (Rao & Orkin 2006), MES (Suzuki et al. 2006, Bourillot et al. 2009), or endoderm (Bourillot et al. 2009). In the presence of a sufficient amount of LIF, the resultant increases in the cellular content of POUSF1, SOX2, TBX3, and NANOG are likely to contribute to the inhibition of spontaneous differentiation in Egam1c transfectants during clonal proliferation. Indeed, a significant repression of basal expression levels of lineage-specific markers was observed in Egam1c transfectants in +LIF culture conditions. It was also demonstrated that ectopic expression of EGAM1C impairs, at least in part, cell differentiation with +1/10 LIF+RA because certain cellular contents of pluripotency factors, ALP activity, and cell growth were maintained, and the induction of the differentiation marker genes examined was partially repressed in Egam1c transfectants. An increase in the expression level of Tbx3 was also induced, as shown in the +LIF conditions, with an accompanying increase in STAT3 protein levels without upregulation of its mRNA. These results indicated a role of EGAM1C in inhibiting differentiation in +1/10 LIF+RA conditions. During
the first 3 days after the induction of differentiation, a 1/10 amount of LIF (the original amount was sufficient for sustaining ES cells in an undifferentiated state) was added to the culture medium. It is likely that the sensitivity to exogenous and endogenous LIF was augmented in *Egam1c* transfectants because endogenous *Lif* expression remained at a lower level. Analysis of cell growth in each culture condition, the mechanism underlying the control of cell growth in *Egam1c* transfectants was probably different for the respective culture conditions.

As summarized in Fig. 9, this study predicted that the ectopic expression of EGAM1C extensively affects genes encoding not only pluripotency and lineage-specific factors but also growth regulatory or intercellular adhesion molecules in self-renewing and differentiating ES cells. In contrast to this feature of EGAM1C, the transcriptional core, pluripotency factors POUSF1, SOX2, and NANOG modulate the expression of specific genes that are required for either pluripotency or differentiation events. In subsequent experiments, knockout or knockdown analysis in embryos will be critical for clarifying the functions of this protein in embryonic development.
Materials and Methods

Embryo collection and culture

Induction of superovulation and subsequent mating of 6- to 12-week-old virgin female CD-1 mice (random bred, Swiss, Charles River Japan, Yokohama, Japan) were performed as described previously (Kobayashi et al. 1996, 2011). Two-cell embryos were flushed in M2 medium from excised oviducts 45–46 h after hCG injection and cultured to the blastocyst stage in 1 ml Whitten’s medium in the presence of 3 mg/ml BSA in 4-well dishes (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). All animal procedures conformed to the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Akita Prefectural University.

Culture of established cell lines

Feeder-free mouse MG1.19 ES cells (Gassmann et al. 1995), expressing polyoma large T antigen and neomycin resistance gene, were routinely maintained on gelatin-coated plates in Glasgow modified Eagle’s medium (GMEM; Sigma) containing...
10% FCS (defined for mouse ES cells, Biological Industries, Haemek, Israel), 10⁻⁴ M 2-mercaptoethanol (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma), 1 mM nonessential amino acids (Sigma), and recombinant human LIF (100 IU/ml, made in-house (Smith 1991)) (+LIF medium) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂/air. Differentiation of ES cells was induced by either the removal of LIF from the culture medium (−LIF medium) or the addition of retinoic acid (RA; 10⁻⁶ M, all-trans type, Sigma) to the medium. In order to prevent the appearance of detrimental effects induced by RA, a 1/10 amount of LIF (mouse LIF, ESGRO, Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA; the original amount was sufficient for maintaining the undifferentiated state in ES cells) was added to the culture medium (+1/10 LIF+RA medium) (Smith 1991). After a 3-day culture period with +1/10 LIF+RA medium, the medium was changed to −LIF medium. In some experiments within a 3-day period, 10⁻⁶ M RA was added to −LIF medium (−LIF+RA medium). Culture of a mouse trophoblast stem (TS) cell line, TS7 cells (Hosoi et al. 2010, Saito et al. 2011), was performed in accordance with the method reported previously (Hosoi et al. 2011).

**Transfection of Egam1c-expressing vector and selection of stable transfectants**

MG1.19 ES cells were supertransfected (Fujikura et al. 2002) with pM1Pur/Egam1c (Saito et al. 2011) harboring polyoma ori (Gassmann et al. 1995) by the lipofection method (Saito et al. 2010). This vector contains a powerful and versatile chicken Hbb promoter with the CMV immediate early enhancer and rabbit Actb intron II acceptor site (CAG promoter (Niwa et al. 1991, Abe et al. 2011)). The vector expresses a single mRNA concomitantly encoding a gene of interest, an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) derived from the encephalomyocarditis virus, and a puromycin-resistant gene. In cells transfected with this vector, the high degree of secondary structure of the IRES in the transcript is recognized by ribosomes, and the puromycin-resistant gene placed downstream of the IRES is translated IRES dependently. Such a dicistronic vector is advantageous to ensure the co-expression of the desired gene in cells expressing a selectable marker gene. This vector was replicated episomally in transfected cells expressing polyoma large T antigen, such as MG1.19 cells.

For the isolation of stable transfectants, after an additional 18 h incubation after transfection, the cells were diluted and divided into new culture dishes (90 mm diameter) with fresh +LIF medium in the presence of 6 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma) and 250 μg/ml G418 (Sigma). After 3 days, the medium was changed to +LIF medium in the presence of 2 μg/ml puromycin and 250 μg/ml G418. Stable transfectants were selected by cultivation with selection medium for ~8 days and independent clones were picked up using a micropipette and further cultured in the presence of 2 μg/ml puromycin and 250 μg/ml G418. Doubling times of transfectants were determined as follows: a total of 1×10⁴ cells were plated into gelatin-coated dishes (60 mm diameter) with +LIF medium containing 2 μg/ml puromycin and 250 μg/ml G418 and cultured for 30 days with passageing at the same density every 3 days.

**Generation of EBs**

Aliquots of the cell suspension (4000 cells/200 μl) were transferred to a 96-well plate (U bottom, Lipidure-coat plate A-U96, NOF, Tsukuba, Japan) with puromycin (0.2 μg/ml) and G418 (25 μg/ml) in −LIF medium. The cell suspension was cultured for a 5-day period at 37 °C with 5% CO₂/air to form EBs. Subsequently, EBs were transferred into a 24-well plate coated with gelatin (four EBs/well) for adherent culture, and the culture was continued for a 6-day period.

**Clonal proliferation analysis**

A single-cell suspension was prepared gently using trypsin–EDTA solutions, seeded at 500 cells/well in gelatin-coated, 6-well plates, and cultured with +LIF medium in the absence of puromycin and G418. A week after seeding, ES cell colonies were stained with a nitro blue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (NBT/BCIP) solution for the detection of

---

**Table 1** Sequences of primers for quantitative RT-PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hmbs</td>
<td>5'-CCCTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-AGCGGCGGTGTTAGTTTCC-3'</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pou5f1</td>
<td>5'-GCGGGTGGATGAGAACAACAC-3'</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-TCCACCGACACTTCCTCTCAC-3'</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanog</td>
<td>5'-GGTATTCTGATTGGGATTCTTTAC-3'</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-AGGAAGCGGAGAAGAGAG-3'</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdx2</td>
<td>5'-TTGAGACTGATAGACTGATGCTG-3'</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-CCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myc</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-GGTATTCTGATTGGGATTCTTTAC-3'</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccdn1</td>
<td>5'-AGGAAGCGGAGAAGAGAG-3'</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cce1</td>
<td>5'-GCGGGTGGATGAGAACAACAC-3'</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdkn1a</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgf5</td>
<td>5'-TGAGACTGATAGACTGATGCTG-3'</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-CCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascl1</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afp</td>
<td>5'-TGGAGACTGATAGACTGATGCTG-3'</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-CCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lif</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-GGTATTCTGATTGGGATTCTTTAC-3'</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat3</td>
<td>5'-AGGAAGCGGAGAAGAGAG-3'</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5'-GGTATTCTGATTGGGATTCTTTAC-3'</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klf4</td>
<td>5'-TGGAGACTGATAGACTGATGCTG-3'</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>5'-CCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tbx3</td>
<td>5'-GCCCTTGTGATGGTCTTCTTTCA-3'</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Ectopic expression of EGAM1C in mouse ES cells**
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ALP activity (Saito et al. 2010). The number of colonies was counted by microscopic observation.

**RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR analysis**

Extraction of total RNA, synthesis of cDNA, and quantitative (q) PCRs were carried out in accordance with the method reported previously (Soma et al. 2011). The set of gene-specific primers for qRT-PCR is shown in Table 1. Hydroxymethylbilane synthase (Hmbs) was analyzed as a housekeeping gene.

**Western bloting**

Cellular proteins separated by SDS–PAGE followed by transfer onto a PVDF membrane were incubated with a PVDF Blocking Reagent (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). Subsequently, the membrane was incubated with anti-EGAM1C antiserum (1:20 000 (Saito et al. 2011)), anti-POU5F1 (1:3000, sc-5279; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-NANOG (1:2000, RCAB001P; ReproCELL, Yokohama, Japan), anti-SOX2 (1:75 000, sc-20088; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-KLF4 (1:75 000, sc-20691; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-TBX3 (1:5000, sc-17871; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-CDH1 (1:75 000, AF748; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), anti-STAT3 (1:5000, sc-482; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-pSTAT3 (1:1500, sc-7993; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or anti-ACTB (1:20 000, IMG-5142A; IMGENEX, San Diego, CA, USA) antibodies. The membrane was incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (AP132P; Chemicon), anti-goat IgG (sc-2768; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or anti-mouse IgG (NA931V; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) as secondary antibodies at appropriate concentrations. The enzyme reaction was detected using ECL plus Western Blotting Reagent (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences) and a LAS-4000 image analyzer (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.1 or 0.5% Triton X-100 for ES cells and blastocysts, respectively, in PBS at room temperature for 15 min. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted to final working concentrations in 1% BSA in PBS. 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 100 ng/ml) was added to a reaction mixture of secondary antibodies. Specimens were mounted with SlowFade Antifade Reagent for ES cells or SlowFade Gold Antifade Reagent for blastocysts (Invitrogen). Images of immunofluorescence samples were obtained by fluorescence microscopic observation. The final concentrations of antibodies were as follows: whole IgG fraction of rabbit anti-EGAM1C antiserum, 0.2 μg/ml for blastocysts; goat anti-CDH1, 1:500; mouse anti-POU5F1, 1:500 and 1:1000 for ES cells and blastocysts respectively; FITC-conjugated anti-goat IgG, 1:500 (A50-200F; Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX, USA); and DyLight594 conjugated anti-mouse IgG 1:500 to 1:1000 (ab96881; Abcam, Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical significance of the difference between sample means was determined using Student’s t-test. Results obtained from the cell growth assay, the clonal proliferation assay, and qRT-PCR analysis for the representative two control and two Egam1c transfectants were subjected to one-way ANOVA followed by the Fisher’s protected least squares difference (PLSD) test. Symbols representing statistical differences are indicated only in cases where both values for two representative transfectants were evaluated as significantly different.
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