The function of chaperone proteins in the assemblage of protein complexes involved in gamete adhesion and fusion processes
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Abstract

The remarkable complexity of the molecular events governing adhesion and fusion of the male and female gametes is becoming apparent. Novel research suggests that these highly specific cellular interactions are facilitated by multiprotein complexes that are delivered to and/or assembled on the surface of the gametes by molecular chaperones in preparation for sperm–egg interaction. While the activation of these molecular chaperones and the mechanisms by which they shuttle proteins to the surface of the cell remain the subject of ongoing investigation, a compelling suggestion is that these processes are augmented by dynamic membrane microdomains or lipid rafts that migrate to the apical region of the sperm head after capacitation. Preliminary studies of the oocyte plasma membrane have also revealed the presence of lipid rafts comprising several molecular chaperones, raising the possibility that similar mechanisms may be involved in the activation of maternal fusion machinery and the regulation of oocyte plasma membrane integrity. Despite these findings, the analysis of oocyte surface multiprotein complexes is currently lacking. Further analyses of the intermediary proteins that facilitate the expression of key players in sperm–egg fusion are likely to deliver important insights into this unique event, which culminates in the cytoplasmic continuity of the male and female gametes.
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Introduction

Originally identified as inducible proteins involved in the protection of cells from multiple stresses, molecular chaperones are now recognized as participants in a diverse range of functions due to their ability to selectively bind to hydrophobic residues of target proteins, directing their involvement in correct protein folding or degradation pathways (Ellis 1987, Hendrick & Hartl 1993). The heat shock proteins (HSPs), among other ubiquitous chaperone families, have well-documented roles in preventing the aberrant association or aggregation of proteins in addition to facilitating protein synthesis, translocation, de novo folding, and higher ordered assembly of multiprotein complexes (Hendrick & Hartl 1993, Neuer et al. 2000). Among this multitude of functions, the ability of chaperones to mediate the assembly of oligomeric complexes is of particular interest as advances in functional proteomics have revealed that a significant portion of a cell’s proteome realizes their functional potential in multiprotein complexes rather than as singular entities (Sali et al. 2003).

This phenomenon is proving particularly true of the male gametes, which must complete several complex phases maturation in order to gain the functional competence to engage in one of the most intricate of all cellular interactions, fertilization. As transcriptionally inactive cells, spermatozoa rely heavily upon post-translational modifications and remodeling of their constituent proteins to attain a state of functional maturity. For a sperm cell to interact with the oocyte, the activation of nascent, receptor-like proteins on the sperm surface is required, a process that has frequently been linked to the coordinated action of a subset of molecular chaperones (Ikawa et al. 2001, Asquith et al. 2004). Members of the HSP families, in addition to several other germ cell-specific chaperones, have been identified in both the mature spermatozoa of a number of species, including mouse (Asquith et al. 2004), human (Mitchell et al. 2007, Naaby-Hansen & Herr 2010), and pig (Spinaci et al. 2005), and in mature murine (Calvert et al. 2003) and bovine (Kawarsky & King 2001) oocytes and have been extensively implicated in gamete adhesion and fusion processes (Cayli et al. 2003, Asquith et al. 2004, Nixon et al. 2005, Huszar et al. 2007, Ikawa et al. 2011).

Preparation for oocyte interactions initially occurs through the formation of zona pellucida (ZP) binding sites during spermatogenesis (Huszar et al. 2007). Several molecular chaperones have been implicated in the remodeling of sperm membranes and the formation of oocyte binding domains during the production of...
morphologically mature cells in the testes (Ikawa et al. 1997, Huszar et al. 2000). Though this prepares the spermatozoon for fertilization, additional phases of post-testicular maturation must be completed to attain a state of functional maturity. These events are initiated during their transit of the epididymis, wherein spermatozoa are bathed in a dynamic luminal microenvironment (Robaire et al. 2006, Cornwall 2009). Within this milieu, the sperm plasma membrane experiences the loss, gain, and modification of multiple proteins, a process that results in the attainment of the potential to move progressively and engage in oocyte interactions (Hermo et al. 1991). As discussed in the following sections, emerging evidence has implicated several HSP family chaperones in these modifications of the sperm plasma membrane during epididymal maturation (Lachance et al. 2010, Naaby-Hansen et al. 2010). As a culmination of both testicular and post-testicular maturation, spermatozoa are able to reach a capacitated state as they progress through the female reproductive tract en route to the site of fertilization. As they ascend the female reproductive tract, the composition of sperm membrane proteins and lipids is subject to further dramatic alterations (Yanagimachi 1994, Harrison et al. 1996, Gadella & Harrison 2000, Gadella et al. 2008), resulting in the acquisition of the ability to recognize and bind to the outer vestments of the oocyte, the ZP. It is widely held that these binding events trigger acrosomal exocytosis and prime the cell for intercellular fusion with the oocyte. These collective events appear to be underpinned by a number of sperm surface protein complexes that comprise molecular chaperones, co-chaperones, and recognition proteins implicated in the specific interactions with oocyte ligands. Recently, a subset of these complexes has been demonstrated to undergo capacitation-associated assembly or activation (Asquith et al. 2005, Nixon et al. 2005). These chaperone-laden complexes may provide the basis for species-specific adhesion of spermatozoa to homologous oocytes and thus have been the major focus of studies of molecular chaperones in this field. In the ensuing review, we seek to discuss the role of molecular chaperones in the mediation of sperm–oocyte adhesion and fusion, two germ cell-specific events that are coordinated largely through the formation of multiprotein complexes.

The role of chaperones in the acquisition of ZP binding potential

Although the expression of chaperones is traditionally viewed as being induced by heat shock and other stresses, members of the HSP60, HSP70, and HSP90 families have proven to be abundant components of the sperm surface that are constitutively expressed in the male germline and function as critical mediators of protein maturation during spermatogenesis (Miller et al. 1992, Boulanger et al. 1995). The generation of a mature spermatozoon requires multiple maturation steps; the first of these occurs in the testis and is assisted by the regulatory action of a diverse family of chaperones, including chaperonin-containing T-complex/TC1P1-ring complex (CCT/TRIC), HSP60 (or HSPD1; Meinhardt et al. 1995), clusterin (Onoda & Djakiew 1990), HSPA2 (Huszar et al. 2000), and the testis-specific chaperones calmegin (CLGN; Ikawa et al. 1997, Ohsako et al. 1994), and calspерin (CALR3; Ikawa et al. 2011), which are developmentally regulated and expressed in spermatogenic cells (see also Dun et al. 2012a).

The role of these chaperones extends to arguably the most essential step in the acquisition of sperm fertilizing potential during spermatogenesis, the remodeling of the sperm morphology through the extrusion of excess cytoplasm, and the formation of a number of highly specialized domains. This remodeling phase culminates in the formation of both hyaluronic acid and ZP binding sites on the sperm plasma membrane that are fundamental to the processes of cumulus oophorus penetration and ZP interaction (Huszar et al. 2000, 2007). Little is known regarding the mechanisms that underpin ZP binding site formation; however, in immature human sperm, the presence of a low number of zona binding sites and hyaluronic acid receptor sites as well as a corresponding reduction in fertility potential has been causally related to the reduced expression of the 70 kDa testis-expressed chaperone, HSPA2 (Huszar et al. 2000, Celik-Ozenci et al. 2003).

In the human, HSPA2 is expressed in the synaptosomal complex of spermatocytes and is again synthesized during late spermiogenesis concurrently with cytoplasmic extrusion and sperm plasma membrane remodeling, processes that require major sperm protein translocations (Huszar et al. 2000). In concert with a number of other testis-expressed chaperones (see below), HSPA2 assists in the mediation of correct protein folding and translocation to appropriate subcellular domains to produce a morphologically mature spermatozoon (Huszar et al. 2000, 2003). The importance of HSPA2 in spermatogenic differentiation is reinforced by studies that indicate that the diminished expression of this chaperone is associated with apoptosis (Dix et al. 1996, Nasr-Esfahani et al. 2010), DNA fragmentation (Kovanci et al. 2001), a lack of histone–protamine substitution (Nasr-Esfahani et al. 2001), increased levels of lipid peroxidation (Huszar et al. 2000), increased frequency of chromosomal aneuploidies (Nasr-Esfahani et al. 2001), as well as IVF failure (Huszar et al. 1992, 2003). Indeed, in the mouse, the targeted elimination of HSPA2 leads to a complete arrest of spermatogenesis (Allen et al. 1996, Mori et al. 1997). These defects appear to be a result of misfolding, misexpression, or incorrect processing of proteins during spermatogenesis (Neuer et al. 2000).
In some instances, chaperones, such as the testis-specific calmegin, remain imperative to sperm function despite the fact that they are not expressed in the mature cell. Such chaperones are necessary as they ensure correct folding of endoplasmic reticulum glycoproteins that are destined to play a more direct role in zona adhesion in addition to promoting their delivery to the appropriate plasma membrane domain (Ikawa et al. 1997). Indeed, disruption of the calmegin (Ikawa et al. 1997, Ikawa et al. 2001) and calserpin (Ikawa et al. 2011) genes in mice has been demonstrated to compromise male fertility due to the impaired formation of testis-specific t-fertilin (ADAM1A and ADAM2, where ADAM denotes a disintegrin and metalloprotease) and sperm surface s-fertilin (ADAM1B and ADAM2) complexes. Such defects are causally associated with impaired zona binding and reduced sperm transport through the uterotubal junction of the female reproductive tract (Ikawa et al. 2001). Interestingly, this phenotype is shared among several knockout models, including calmegin, calserpin, ADAM1A, and ADAM2, and appears to be attributed to the absence of ADAM3 from spermatozoa in each instance (Yamaguchi et al. 2006). Such a finding suggests that the assembly of the fertilin complexes by chaperones during spermatogenesis is essential for the formation of ZP recognition domains as well as the processing and/or escort of key receptor proteins such as ADAM3 (Muro & Okabe 2011).

Following their release from the testes, the second major phase of sperm maturation occurs as the cells progress through the dynamic environment of the epididymis, the extratesticular duct that connects the testis and the vas deferens. As a culmination of epididymal maturation, spermatozoa acquire the potential to move progressively and interact with the ZP. Chaperone proteins including clusterin (Hermo et al. 1991), HSPA5 (Lachance et al. 2010, Naaby-Hansen et al. 2010), and HSPD1 (Asquith et al. 2005) are thought to regulate epididymal maturation through their indirect roles in promoting the remodeling of sperm surface architecture (Dun et al. 2012a). Although the mechanisms by which proteins are incorporated into spermatozoa during epididymal maturation are yet to be completely resolved, it is postulated that this process occurs through sperm membrane fusion with small, epididymal exosomal vesicles termed epididymosomes (Frenette & Sullivan 2001, Sullivan et al. 2007) and/or amorphous, electron-dense structures termed dense bodies (Asquith et al. 2005). The expression of several molecular chaperones in epididymosomes (Frenette & Sullivan 2001, Saez et al. 2003, Sullivan et al. 2005) and dense bodies, including HSPD1, suggests that these proteins may facilitate the bulk transfer of new protein onto the sperm surface during epididymal maturation (Asquith et al. 2005, Dun et al. 2011). In addition, there is some evidence to suggest a correlation between the presence of clusterin-positive sperm and bull fertility (Ibrahim et al. 2000).

In mature mouse sperm, HSPD1 has been shown to subsequently interact with additional chaperones HSPE1 and CCT/TRiC as well as a putative ZP receptor candidate ADAMTS10 (Walsh et al. 2008, Dun et al. 2011, 2012b), which exemplifies the important role this chaperone may play in epididymal sperm surface remodeling to prepare the cell for ZP interactions. In support of this model, HSPA5 has also been identified in epididymosomes (Lachance et al. 2010) and together with its putative client protein, ADAM7, is also transferred to the sperm surface during epididymal maturation (Oh et al. 2009). Within spermatozoa, these proteins form a multimeric complex (comprising an additional chaperone, calnexin, and integral membrane protein 2B), which has been implicated in sperm–oocyte interactions (Han et al. 2011). These findings draw interesting analogies with a number of other cell types, including that of metastatic cancers, where chaperones have been intimately tied to the function of metalloproteases, raising the possibility that this form of interaction may regulate a range of biological and pathological processes (Ikawa et al. 2010).

Despite the collective importance of these maturation stages in the acquisition of ZP binding potential, they do not represent the final changes necessary for the spermatozoon to engage in fertilization. Rather, a further pivotal maturation phase must take place post-ejaculation within the female reproductive tract.

**Multiprotein complexes in sperm capacitation and ZP interaction**

Upon reaching the site of fertilization, the ampulla, spermatozoa must penetrate two barriers before fusing with the oocyte plasma membrane, or oolemma. The first of these is a hyaluronic acid-rich stratum of cumulus cells that surround the oocyte and the second is the extracellular matrix of the oocyte itself, the ZP (Hartmann et al. 1972). Despite the development of both ZP and hyaluronic acid binding sites during spermatogenesis and the acquisition of binding potential as spermatozoa transit the epididymis, these cells require a distinct period of residence within the female reproductive tract before they are able to successfully partake in such interactions (Austin 1951, Chang 1951). The collective changes that spermatozoa undergo within this environment, termed capacitation, enable the cells to respond to signals arising from the cumulus oocyte complex and complete a process of acrosomal exocytosis, rendering them competent for fusion with the oolemma.

The ZP is comprised of a suite of sulfoglycoproteins, namely ZP1, ZP2 and ZP3, that are highly conserved in most mammalian species (though an additional ligand, ZP4/B, has been reported in human and pig oocytes).
(Wasserman et al. 1999, Lefievre et al. 2002, Yonezawa et al. 2012). It is generally held that these ligands govern sperm binding in most species (see also Reid et al. 2011). Remarkably, however, various models are currently still under consideration regarding the identity of the primary sperm receptor within the ZP and the mechanisms by which spermatozoa adhere to this matrix (see also Visconti & Florman 2010)). Similarly, investigations into the identity of the corresponding sperm surface receptor(s) that recognize the appropriate ligand(s) on the ZP have also failed to provide definitive answers. Indeed, there is a growing literature of murine knockouts of auspicious receptor protein candidates (including β-1,4-galactosyltransferase (GALT1), arylsulfatase A (ARSA), and sperm adhesion molecule 1 (SPAM1); for a complete list, see Ikawa et al. 2010)) that each fail to result in complete infertility (Hess et al. 1996, Asano et al. 1997, Baba et al. 2002). Rather, various degrees of reduced binding capability are exhibited, raising the possibility that this process encompasses a degree of functional redundancy and that a number of sperm proteins act in concert to mediate ZP adhesion. The coordination of the activity of these proteins to ensure productive ZP interactions is thus emerging as an important research focus.

In most eutherian mammals, sperm capacitation is thought to be initiated by the activation of a cAMP-mediated pathway that culminates in the tyrosine phosphorylation of multiple sperm proteins (Visconti et al. 1995a, 1995b, Leclerc et al. 1996). Molecular chaperones feature prominently among this suite of proteins, with HSP90AA1, HSP90B1 and HSPD1 being among the proteins revealed to display tyrosine phosphorylation as a consequence of capacitation (Ecroyd et al. 2003, Asquith et al. 2004). Current models suggest that the phosphorylation of these chaperones during capacitation triggers their active role in the assembly of ZP recognition proteins into complexes and/or the translocation of these complexes to the surface of spermatozoa in preparation for fertilization (Ecroyd et al. 2003, Asquith et al. 2004, Nixon et al. 2005, Gadella 2008). Further to this indirect role in gamete adhesion, sperm surface chaperones also have purported functions as adhesion molecules that mediate the recognition of sulfoglycolipids during gamete binding (Boulander et al. 1995, Mamela & Lingwood 2001).

Recently, the technique of blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE), which was originally developed for the analysis of electron transport chain multienzyme complexes (Schägger & von Jagow 1991, Schägger et al. 1994), has been adapted for the assessment of multimeric sperm surface complexes in mice and humans (Dun et al. 2011, Redgrove et al. 2011). This technique allows for the electrophoretic resolution of native protein complexes that retain their biological activity. In human and mouse spermatozoa, the use of BN-PAGE in parallel with Far-Western blotting with whole solubilized zonae has revealed several primary multiprotein complexes that possess affinity for homologous ZP (Dun et al. 2011, Redgrove et al. 2011).

One such complex has been reported to comprise the protein components of the CCT/TRiC complex (CCT1–CCT8), a double-ring structure that functions as a molecular chaperone with a key role in regulating the formation of multiprotein complexes (Feldman et al. 1999, Guenther et al. 2002). Putative evidence in the form of co-immunoprecipitation, co-localization, and proximity ligation assays has identified ZP binding protein 2 (ZPB2) as one of the most compelling client proteins for the CCT/TRiC complex in mature spermatozoa (Dun et al. 2011, Redgrove et al. 2011). Originally implicated in secondary ZP binding, a more recent study has shown that male mice null for ZPB2 are subfertile and display defects in ZP interaction and penetration (Lin et al. 2007). In mice, there is additional evidence that certain CCT/TRiC complex subunits are translocated to the sperm surface during sperm capacitation (Dun et al. 2011).

Another prominent class of chaperones that has been identified on the sperm surface and implicated in the regulation of ZP interactions is the HSP70 family (Naaby-Hansen et al. 2010). As with the CCT/TRiC complex, HSP70 family chaperones also have well-documented roles in the facilitation of both transmembrane protein transport and assembly of stable protein complexes (Mayer & Bukau 2005). One member of the HSP70 family that displays exclusive (mouse) or predominant (human) expression in the testes appears to be essential for male fertility. Indeed, aberrant expression of this chaperone, HSPA2, has been correlated with a phenotype of severe male factor infertility in humans, specifically affecting the ability of spermatozoa to interact with homologous oocytes in vitro (Eddy 1999, Huszar et al. 2007). In both mice and humans, HSPA2 has a fundamental role in spermatogenesis, with targeted deletion of the protein in the former species leading to an early arrest of this process and a concomitant absence of spermatozoa (Eddy 1999). In humans, the expression levels of HSPA2 have been positively correlated with the success of fertilization in vitro (Huszar et al. 2000, 2006, Cayli et al. 2003) and hence are purportedly able to predict the fertility status of men with a high degree of accuracy (Ergur et al. 2002).

Characterization of HSPA2 in our own laboratory has revealed that this chaperone is present in the acrosomal domain of human spermatozoa and is a component of at least five high-molecular-mass protein complexes (Redgrove et al. 2012), including a subset of those shown previously to possess ZP affinity (Redgrove et al. 2011). Consistent with these data, we have secured evidence that the most dominant of the HSPA2 complexes contains two additional proteins, both of which have been previously implicated in sperm–zona interactions (Redgrove et al. 2012). Furthermore, in agreement with...
the published results of Huszar et al., we have been able to demonstrate a significant reduction in HSPA2 levels in the spermatozoa of men with isolated lesions in their ability to engage in interactions with ZP of homologous oocytes in vitro (Redgrove et al. 2012). Our current work is focusing on whether the deficit in ZP adhesion either results from aberrant formation of ZP binding sites in the early stages of spermiogenesis (Huszar et al. 2000) or may be the result of the inability of HSPA2 to participate in sperm surface remodeling events during capacitation such as facilitating the assembly and/or presentation of ZP receptors on the sperm surface in preparation for ZP interaction.

In addition to our own work on the assembly of sperm surface complexes, Han et al. have independently identified an alternative chaperone-laden multiprotein complex on the surface of mouse spermatozoa. Interestingly, as documented above, this complex, comprising HSPA5, calnexin, integral membrane protein 2B, and ADAM7, is apparently assembled during capacitation (Han et al. 2011). While the function of this complex has yet to be fully elucidated, the expression of ADAM7 has been linked to the presence of additional ADAM proteins, ADAM2 and ADAM3 (Kim et al. 2006), that are important for adhesion of spermatozoa to the ZP (Muro & Okabe 2011). In addition, it is known that HSPA5 is involved in promoting adhesion of high-quality spermatozoa to oviducal epithelial cells (OEC) in the isthmus of the female reproductive tract. The formation of this reservoir is believed to have pro-survival effects in terms of maintaining sperm in a non-capacitated, quiescent state in preparation for the oocyte to be released to the ampulla (Topfer-Petersen et al. 2002). Interestingly, the chaperones HSPD1 and HSPA5 have also been localized to the surface of bovine OEC and have thus been implicated in sperm–OEC binding (Boillard et al. 2004).

Also consistent with our own work, the complex identified by Han et al. was shown to reside in membrane microdomains or lipid rafts, specialized regions of the membrane that provide a platform for the functional assembly and presentation of multiprotein complexes (Stein et al. 2006, Nixon et al. 2009, Han et al. 2011). The partitioning of chaperone complexes into the raft environment has also been observed for HSPA2 in human spermatozoa (Nixon et al. 2011) and for components of the CCT/TRiC complex in mouse spermatozoa (Dun et al. 2011). These membrane domains also comprise a number of additional putative ZP receptor proteins, including GALT1, ZP3R, and SPAM1, reinforcing their role in the remodeling of the sperm surface and in ZP binding (Fig. 1; Nixon et al. 2009, Asano et al. 2010). The mechanism(s) by which such proteins are recruited to the lipid rafts are yet to be resolved; however, HSPA2 has been reported to bind via its ATPase domain to 3’sulfogalactosylglycerolipid, the major glycoprotein identified within sperm lipid rafts (Mamelak & Lingwood 2001).

In addition to the putative role of lipid rafts in repositioning of key chaperone complexes and ZP receptor proteins, there is also compelling evidence that many putative ZP receptors, such as ARSA and ZP3R, as well as several molecular chaperones show a capacitation-dependent relocation from intracellular sites such as the acrosome to the sperm surface to prime the cells in advance of their interactions with the ZP (Nixon et al. 2009). It has been proposed that intimate contact between the outer acrosomal membrane and the sperm plasma membrane is mediated through the binding of complementary soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE) proteins, leading to the formation of fusion pores that provide a route for the migration of enzymes to the sperm surface before the complete loss of the acrosomal contents (Sogaard et al. 1994, Blas et al. 2005, Tsai et al. 2007). In support of this model, a study by Brahmaraju et al. (2004) demonstrated that administration of antibodies to VAMP and SNAP into the acrosomal vesicle inhibited sperm–ZP binding in the mouse.

This progressive priming of the sperm surface has raised questions regarding the all or none nature of acrosomal exocytosis. Nevertheless, the functional assembly of SNARE complexes also appears to underpin the prolonged sperm membrane fusion events that permit a complete loss of the acrosomal contents (Tsai et al. 2010). Though it is widely held that contact with the ZP initiates this acrosomal exocytosis in most mammalian species, a number of studies performed in the mouse have demonstrated that spermatozoa that begin acrosomal exocytosis before contact with the ZP are still able to fertilize the oocyte (Nakanishi et al. 1999, Jin et al. 2011). This phenomenon may also be true of guinea pig (Huang et al. 1981) and hamster spermatozoa (Yanagimachi & Phillips 1984). Such findings suggest an important role for the cumulus oophorius in the initiation of the acrosome reaction and raise concerns regarding the ability of in vitro studies performed with cumulus-denuded oocyte zona structures to accurately report on the true nature of the acrosome reaction and indeed ZP interaction.

Notwithstanding such controversy, chaperone-like molecules have also been implicated in acrosomal exocytosis by virtue of their ability to promote the assembly of glutamine-containing SNAREs (Q-SNAREs) and arginine-containing SNAREs (T-SNAREs) into tight ternary complexes (Tomes et al. 2002, Sørensen 2005). Interestingly, elegant studies in pigs have demonstrated that capacitation induces stable docking of the sperm plasma membrane with the outer acrosomal membrane in preparation for fertilization (Tsai et al. 2010). More recent studies performed by Tsai et al. (2012) have also provided evidence for the presence of unilamellar mixed vesicles that, among other important functions, allow the
recruitment of secondary ZP binding proteins at the sperm surface and possess a novel trimeric SNARE complex consisting of syntaxin 3, SNAP23, VAMP2, and an additional protein, complexin 2. The energy released by the formation of such complexes is in turn used to initiate membrane fusion by pulling together the plasma membrane and inner acrosomal membrane of the spermatozoon (Tomes et al. 2002). The completion of this process is critical in the exposure of sperm domains that participate in the downstream events of fertilization: oolemma binding and fusion.

**Molecular chaperones in gamete fusion**

Sperm–oocyte fusion occurs between the inner acrosomal membrane of the sperm cell and the microvillar-rich region of the oocyte plasma membrane (Johnson et al. 1975). Specific fusion proteins tightly regulate these events through interactions with lipids and other protein ligands. Through comprehensively studied membrane fusion processes such as vesicle trafficking and virus–cell fusion, it has been revealed that membrane fusion often involves an initial tethering step, followed by the
activation of the fusion machinery, the close apposition of the lipid bilayers, and then the final fusion of the membranes (Jahn & Grubmüller 2002).

Although evidence for the role of chaperones in the mediation of intercellular gamete fusion is limited, given the mounting support for the involvement of chaperone-laden complexes in the regulation of ZP binding, it is expected that this ubiquitous class of proteins may also assist in the activation of fusion machinery and/or organization of functional fusion complexes. Analogous to the regulation of sperm–ZP interaction, a functional redundancy exists between the numerous sperm proteins required for efficient fusion of the sperm cell to the oolemma. One sperm protein that has been established as essential for sperm–oocyte fusion is IZUMO1. IZUMO1 was originally identified in spermatozoa through the use of anti-sperm monoclonal antibodies that were shown to block IVF and, upon being knocked out, Izumo1-null sperm were shown to be unable to fuse with the oolemma (Inoue et al. 2005).

Notwithstanding recent evidence from knockout models, an extensive body of literature suggests that ADAMs are also vital for sperm–egg adhesion and fusion (Primakoff et al. 1987, Cho et al. 1998, Nishimura et al. 2001, Choi et al. 2003). ADAMs share adhesion-mediating motifs that allow them to interact with integrins on the egg surface. However, this interaction occurs in a coordinated manner, possibly with IZUMO1 and the fusogen, angiotensin-converting enzyme 3 (ACE3; Krege et al. 1995), and as such no ADAM has thus far been found to be singularly essential for sperm–egg interaction. Preliminary evidence of the interaction between IZUMO1, ACE3, and the ADAM family of proteins was afforded by Ellerman et al. (2009) who indicated that ACE3 and ADAM proteins possess an IZumo domain and are able to form heteromultimeric complexes on spermatozoa. Given that ADAMs are able to form heteromultimeric complexes and have been shown to interact with several molecular chaperones during the maturation events upstream of fusion, it is tempting to speculate that molecular chaperones may coordinate the actions of ADAMs during fusion; however, such a role is yet to be investigated.

In terms of the maternal contributions to membrane fusion, egg plasma membrane tetraspanins and integrin receptors are the key molecules to have been implicated in sperm adhesion and fusion processes. Of particular importance are CD9 and CD81, members of the tetraspanin family that are present on the oolemma and essential for sperm–egg fusion (Kaji et al. 2000, Le Naour et al. 2000, Miyado et al. 2000). Remarkably, CD9 and CD81 appear to work in concert to facilitate fusion and when knocked out simultaneously, Cd9+/−/Cd81+/− females are completely infertile (Rubinstein et al. 2006). Though the precise role of these tetraspanins is unclear (for a current review, see Evans (2012)), CD9 has interestingly been reported to contribute to fusion through the organization of functional oolemmal multimeric complexes (Chen et al. 1999).

Although a link between oocyte tetraspanins and the action of molecular chaperones has not been developed, tetraspanins have been shown to interact with chaperones in other cell types. Working in a B-cell model, it has been shown that the chaperone, calnexin, and the tetraspanins, CD9 (Rubinstein et al. 1997) and CD82 (Cannon & Cresswell 2001), can be co-immunoprecipitated from this cell type. Additionally, the endoplasmic reticulum transmembrane domain chaperone BAP31 has been identified as a constituent of a high-molecular-weight complex in which it associates with the integrin CD11c/CD18, regulating the mobilization of the integrin complex to the neutrophil cell surface from secondary granules (Zen et al. 2009). This activity may be mediated through the chaperones’ association with hydrophilic residues of the transmembrane domains of its client proteins (Adachi et al. 1996). In turn, BAP31 has also been shown to form complexes with calnexin (Zuppini et al. 2002) and is thought to regulate tetraspanin trafficking through the assembly of tetraspanin–calnexin complexes (Berditchevski & Odintsova 2007).

The presence of multiprotein complexes on the surface of the oocyte has not been extensively investigated due to the difficulty in acquiring significant biological material to characterize the proteome or perform analyses such as BN-PAGE. Despite this, the individual chaperone proteins, calreticulin, calnexin, HSPA1A, HSPA5, HSP90AA1, and HSP90B1, have been confirmed on the surface of mature mouse oocytes (Calvert et al. 2003) and may act in a coordinated manner to activate fusion machinery on the surface of the oocyte. In an independent study, calreticulin has also been found to localize to the cortical granules of hamster oocytes and appears to be released into the perivitelline space following oocyte activation (Munoz-Gotera et al. 2001), raising the possibility that it is involved in the priming of oocytes in preparation for fusion with a spermatozoon.

Of the chaperone proteins identified on the oolemma, HSP70 is constitutively synthesized in the preovulatory mouse oocyte (Calvert et al. 2003) as well as the mature bovine oocyte (Kawarsky & King 2001). Following zygotic gene activation in the two-cell mouse embryo, HSP70 is one of the primary genes to be expressed. From this observation, it is anticipated that chaperones of the HSP family that are found in the mature egg may be required to ensure accurate translation and folding of nascent proteins during activation of the zygotic genome. Furthermore, HSP70 and an additional chaperone protein, HSPA5, possess anti-apoptotic activity that may imply that HSPs have uncharacterized functions in terms of promoting cell survival (Heikkila et al. 1986).
Conclusions

Though it is widely accepted that molecular chaperones are vital for the maturation of mammalian spermatozoa in the testis and male reproductive tract, techniques such as BN-PAGE have led to the discovery of functional, chaperone-laden complexes in the mature sperm cell. In addition, murine gene manipulation studies and bioassays have begun to elucidate the functional roles of these chaperones in gamete interactions. Such studies have established a link between the phosphorylation of molecular chaperones during sperm capacitation and the formation and/or presentation of multiprotein complexes on the surface of the cell. Many of these complexes comprise putative ZP receptor proteins, and accordingly, they have been shown to possess affinity for homologous zonae. The presence of multiple chaperone-laden, ZP recognition complexes on the surface of the spermatozoon at the time of fertilization is consistent with the high level of functional redundancy that characterizes this intricate adhesion event.

Downstream of ZP interactions, little is known regarding the role of chaperones in the activation of fusion machinery and the subsequent adhesion and fusion of a spermatozoon to the oolemma. It is of interest, however, that several chaperone proteins have been identified on the surface of the oolemma and are thus well positioned to participate in these processes.

Figure 2 Chaperone expression during capacitation, ZP interaction, and sperm–oolemma fusion. A large suite of molecular chaperones have been implicated in the structural and functional maturation of mammalian spermatozoa within the testes and male reproductive tract. The presence of a number of these chaperones in ejaculated spermatozoa raises the possibility that they fulfill important functions during the capacitation of these cells and in facilitating their interaction with the oocyte within the ampulla of the female reproductive tract. Consistent with this notion, molecular chaperones including CCT/TRiC, HSPA2, HSPA5, HSPD1, HSP90AA1, and HSP90B1 have been shown to be targets for capacitation-associated modification and/or have been indirectly implicated in zona recognition. Specifically, chaperones such as these appear to assist in priming the sperm surface architecture for ZP interactions by virtue of their ability to assemble/present and/or activate ZP-receptor complexes. Although the role of chaperones in downstream interactions such as adhesion and fusion to the oolemma is less certain, a number of them including calreticulin, HSPA1A, HSPA5, HSP90AA1, and HSP90B1 have been localized to the surface of the oolemma and are thus well positioned to participate in these processes.
sperm–oolemma fusion (Fig. 2) highlight the importance of the chaperone machinery in gamete biology and may set the stage for an exciting new facet of proteomic research.
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