Differential effects of docosahexaenoic acid on preterm and term placental pro-oxidant/antioxidant balance
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Abstract

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) supplementation in pregnancy may confer some clinical benefits; however, this compound can exert pro-oxidant effects. In this study, we investigated the effects of DHA on pro-oxidant/antioxidant balance in term and preterm placental explants, assessing oxidative stress marker concentrations, antioxidant capacity and pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Term ($n=8$) and preterm ($n=9$) placental explants were exposed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 1 ng/ml), DHA (1, 10 and 100 $\mu$M), and DHA and LPS simultaneously or pre-treated with DHA for 24 h prior to LPS treatment. The production of malondialdehyde (MDA, lipid peroxidation), 8-hydroxy-2-deoxy guanosine (8-OHdG, oxidative DNA damage) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumour necrosis factor $\alpha$ (TNF$\alpha$), interleukin 6 and interferon-$\gamma$) and total antioxidant capacity were measured. DHA at a concentration of 100 $\mu$M induced oxidative stress in term placentas, while at all the three concentrations, it induced oxidative stress in preterm placentas. DHA and LPS resulted in reduced MDA levels in term ($P<0.005$) and preterm ($P=0.004$) placentas and reduced 8-OHdG levels in preterm placentas ($P=0.035$). DHA pre-treatment, but not co-treatment with LPS, reduced 8-OHdG levels ($P<0.001$) in term placentas. DHA increased antioxidant capacity only in term placentas ($P<0.001$), with lower antioxidant capacity being observed overall in preterm placentas compared with term placentas ($P<0.001$). In term placentas, but not in preterm ones, DHA co-treatment and pre-treatment reduced LPS-induced TNF$\alpha$ levels. The ability of DHA to alter placental pro-oxidant/antioxidant balance is dependent on the DHA concentration used and the gestational age of the placental tissue. DHA has a greater capacity to increase oxidative stress in preterm placentas, but it offers greater protection against inflammation-induced oxidative stress in term placentas. This appears to be a result of DHA altering placental antioxidant capacity. These data have implications for the timing and concentration of DHA supplementation in pregnancy.
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Introduction

Fish oil supplementation is beneficial for the treatment of autoimmune, inflammatory and cardiovascular disorders, with its effects being attributed to the high content of the n-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6$\Delta 3$). DHA modulates a number of cell functions and exerts a wide range of biological effects including alterations in endothelial function, vascular reactivity, leukocyte and macrophage function, cytokine production and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (Gorjao et al. 2009). In pregnancy, dietary supplementation with n-3 fatty acids confers significant clinical benefits, reducing the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth (Olsen et al. 2000, Smuts et al. 2003, Makrides et al. 2010).

Pregnancy is characterised by a balance between the increased production of ROS and the antioxidant defences that protect the human placenta and fetus. The placenta is an important source of products of oxidative stress, such as lipid peroxides (Mutlu-Turkoglu et al. 1998). Theoretical concerns exist that dietary n-3 fatty acid supplementation may lead to increased placental oxidative stress (Shoji et al. 2009). Exposure of placental cells to low-dose n-3 fatty acids has been found to alleviate oxidative DNA damage, while higher doses have been reported to accelerate lipid peroxidation. However, evidence from a recent study in rats suggests a protective effect of n-3 fatty acid supplementation on the placenta and developing fetus, resulting in increased n-3 fatty acid composition of the placenta and increased placental and fetal weights compared with those in dams fed a control diet (Jones et al. 2013). These alterations were associated with a significant reduction in the levels of markers of placental oxidative stress (F2-isoprostanes), suggesting that n-3 fatty acid supplementation is not harmful to the developing fetus and, importantly, contributes to the maintenance of placental oxidative balance. Therefore, n-3 fatty acids may improve pregnancy outcomes by...
protecting against oxidative damage, which is elevated in conditions that complicate pregnancy (Fujimaki et al. 2011) and result in preterm birth (Mori et al. 2003).

The mechanisms underlying n-3 fatty acid-mediated effects on free radical homeostasis remain unclear, but they could involve alterations in ROS production (Fisher et al. 1990) and the expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes (Takahashi et al. 2002) or a reduction in pro-inflammatory mediator release that can lead to increased ROS production (Mori et al. 2003). Given that the rates of preterm birth are reduced with n-3 fatty acid supplementation, without an increase in the overall length of gestation period (Makrides et al. 2010), preterm placentas may be more sensitive to the beneficial effects of n-3 fatty acids than term placentas. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to investigate the effect of DHA on placental oxidative stress and determine whether DHA could attenuate placental oxidative stress induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) exposure in a human explant model, using term and preterm placentas. Placentas were obtained from non-labour caesarean sections, as labour itself is known to increase oxidative stress (Hung et al. 2011). The concentrations of markers of placental antioxidant capacity and pro-inflammatory cytokine production were also measured to assess potential mechanistic pathways.

Materials and methods

The Central and Northern Area Health Service, Women’s and Children’s Health Network and University of Adelaide Human Research Ethics Committees approved the study. Pregnant women not using fish oil or DHA supplements were recruited, and they provided written informed consent prior to non-labour caesarean sections, as labour itself is known to increase oxidative stress (Hung et al. 2011). The concentrations of markers of placental antioxidant capacity and pro-inflammatory cytokine production were also measured to assess potential mechanistic pathways.

Placental explant stimulation studies

DHA was reconstituted in ethanol (UNIVAR Analytical Reagent, Tarren Point, NSW, Australia) and prepared at three concentrations (1, 10 and 100 μM) previously found to induce oxidative stress in BeWo cells (Shoji et al. 2009). Term placental explants were cultured with increasing doses of DHA to determine the concentration-dependent induction of oxidative stress. Explant culture conditions, including optimal incubation time periods (6 and 24 h) and LPS concentration (1 ng/ml), were used, as described previously (Scott et al. 2011). Briefly, placental explants were treated with LPS (Sigma–Aldrich), DHA alone, or DHA and LPS simultaneously or pre-treated with DHA for 24 h prior to the addition of LPS. Control wells included those with no treatment compound (i.e. media only) or the DHA vehicle (ethanol). The final concentration of ethanol in the culture medium for any experimental condition was <0.1%. The supernatants were collected and stored at −20 °C until analysis.

Placental oxidative stress and antioxidant capacity

The concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA), an advanced stable lipid peroxidation end product, was measured in explant supernatants using ELISA (OxiSelect, Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), and it is expressed as picomoles per milligram placenta. The concentration of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxy guanosine (8-OHdG), produced by the oxidative damage of DNA by ROS and reactive nitrogen species, was measured in explant supernatants using ELISA (Stressmarq Biosciences, Victoria, BC, Canada), and it is expressed as picograms per millilitre. The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of explant supernatants was measured using the TAC assay kit (OxiSelect, Cell Biolabs, Inc.), with the results being expressed as μM copper reducing equivalents. The limits of detection were 1.87 pmol/mg (MDA), 10.3 pg/ml (8-OHdG) and 8.54 μM copper reducing equivalents (TAC). All data were normalised for the weight of placental tissue in each well.

Placental cytokine production

The concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin 6 (IL6) and interferon-γ (IFNγ)) of explant supernatants were measured using ELISA (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), and the results are expressed as picograms per millilitre. The limits of detection were 9.4 pg/ml (IL6) and 7.6 pg/ml (TNF-α and IFNγ). The inter-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were 8.9% (IL6), 11.1% (TNFα) and 9.2% (IFNγ). All data were normalised for the weight of placental tissue in each well.

Statistical analyses

All data are expressed as medians (interquartile range), unless otherwise indicated. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v19.0 (SPSS). Differences between control, DHA and LPS conditions were tested using non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney U tests for post hoc comparisons where indicated adopting a Bonferroni’s correction. Term and
preterm responses were compared using Mann–Whitney U tests, adopting Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple comparisons. In order to remove potential confounding by the underlying disease states, the analyses were repeated by removing the results obtained from placentas associated with pathophysiological pregnancies (including chorioamnionitis and gestational diabetes) to assess their contribution. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Maternal, neonatal and obstetric characteristics related to the placentas used in this study are presented in Table 1. There was no difference in maternal age, parity, gravidity, cigarette smoking rate or pre-existing hypertension between women who delivered at term and those who delivered at preterm (Table 1). The rates of obstetric complications were also equal between the preterm and term groups. As expected, gestational length was significantly shorter in the preterm group compared with that in the term group, as were birth weight, birth length and head circumference. Placental weight was also equal between the two groups; however, there was more variation in the preterm group compared with the term group, owing to the inclusion of a placenta from a twin delivery in this group. All placentas were collected from women undergoing non-labour caesarean sections, with indications for delivery including maternal health conditions (pre-eclampsia or chorioamnionitis), suspected slowing of fetal growth or suspected intrauterine growth restriction, or previous caesarean section. Maternal antenatal steroids were administered to three women in the preterm group and one woman in the term group, who had presented to the hospital earlier in gestation due to threatened preterm labour.

Effect of DHA concentration on placental oxidative stress

The levels of oxidative stress markers (MDA and 8-OHdG) produced by term placental explants did not differ between the vehicle and media conditions, at either 6 or 24 h. The levels of MDA were significantly increased following incubation of placental explants with 100 μM DHA for both 6 h (χ² = 8.043, P = 0.045) and 24 h (χ² = 8.646, P = 0.03) compared with those observed for both control conditions (media and vehicle) and at 1 and 10 μM DHA concentrations (Fig. 1A). The MDA levels of placental explants exposed to the 1 and 10 μM DHA concentrations did not differ significantly from each other or from those observed for either of the control conditions. The production of 8-OHdG in placental explants was significantly increased at all the three concentrations of DHA compared with that observed for the control conditions at 6 h (χ² = 21.231, P < 0.001) and 24 h (χ² = 19.354, P < 0.001; Fig. 1B).

No significant difference was observed at the three DHA concentrations. The time of incubation had no effect on the production of either MDA or 8-OHdG at each concentration of DHA.

Given that the aim of this set of experiments was to identify the potential mechanisms responsible for the beneficial effects of DHA in pregnancy, we continued to carry out the experiments using only the lower two DHA concentrations that did not induce increased placental lipid peroxidation. In preterm placentas, DHA alone (both 1 and 10 μM) significantly increased both MDA (Fig. 2B) and 8-OHdG (Fig. 2D; P = 0.001 in both instances) levels above the control levels (media and vehicle), an effect not observed in term placentas (Fig. 2A and C).

Effect of DHA on LPS-induced oxidative stress and antioxidant capacity

The time of incubation and concentration of DHA had no effect on the levels of any of the markers of oxidative stress or antioxidant capacity measured in each explant condition (Table 2). Therefore, the data are presented grouped across time and DHA concentration.

In term placentas, LPS induced a significant increase in MDA (Fig. 2A; χ² = 30.903, P < 0.01) and 8-OHdG
post hoc test with Mann–Whitney vehicle controls. Analyses were conducted using the Kruskal–Wallis median values. Boxes and whiskers represent the interquartile range and 95% CI, with bars representing the exception of that of IL6 and TNF

preterm placentas was similar, with the exception of that of IL6 and TNF-α.

The time of incubation and concentration of DHA had no effect on cytokine production, and the data were, therefore, collapsed across these variables. The concentrations of IL6 and IFNγ did not differ significantly between each DHA and LPS condition for either term or preterm placentas (Table 3). The production of TNFα was significantly increased following exposure of both preterm and term placentas to LPS (preterm P=0.013; term P=0.009). In term placentas, both DHA co-treatment and pre-treatment significantly reduced TNFα levels following exposure to DHA, LPS, or the combination of DHA and LPS (P≤0.001 in all cases). TAC was significantly lower in preterm placentas compared with term placentas in each condition (P≤0.001 in all cases).

**Effect of DHA on LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine production**

The time of incubation and concentration of DHA had no effect on cytokine production, and the data were, therefore, collapsed across these variables. The concentrations of IL6 and IFNγ did not differ significantly between each DHA and LPS condition for either term or preterm placentas (Table 3). The production of TNFα was significantly increased following exposure of both preterm and term placentas to LPS (preterm P=0.013; term P=0.009). In term placentas, both DHA co-treatment and pre-treatment significantly reduced TNFα levels following exposure to DHA, LPS, or the combination of DHA and LPS (P≤0.001 in all cases). TAC was significantly lower in preterm placentas compared with term placentas in each condition (P≤0.001 in all cases).

**Effect of DHA on LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine production**

The time of incubation and concentration of DHA had no effect on cytokine production, and the data were, therefore, collapsed across these variables. The concentrations of IL6 and IFNγ did not differ significantly between each DHA and LPS condition for either term or preterm placentas (Table 3). The production of TNFα was significantly increased following exposure of both preterm and term placentas to LPS (preterm P=0.013; term P=0.009). In term placentas, both DHA co-treatment and pre-treatment significantly reduced TNFα levels following exposure to DHA, LPS, or the combination of DHA and LPS (P≤0.001 in all cases). TAC was significantly lower in preterm placentas compared with term placentas in each condition (P≤0.001 in all cases).
conditions where cytokine levels were significantly higher in the preterm explants (*P*<0.001 in each case). The presence of pregnancy complications (gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia or chorioamnionitis) did not affect placental responses to DHA or LPS.

**Discussion**

This study has demonstrated that the ability of DHA to alter the pro-oxidant/antioxidant balance in the placenta is dependent on the DHA concentration used and the gestational age of the placental tissue. Specifically, and

**Table 2** MDA and 8-OHdG concentrations, TAC and cytokine concentrations in the supernatants of placental explants exposed to DHA at two concentrations (1 and 10 μM) for 6 and 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>6 vs 24</th>
<th>6 vs 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 μM DHA</td>
<td>10 μM DHA</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (pmol)</td>
<td>5.9 (0–8.5)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.9–10.9)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-OHdG (pg/ml)</td>
<td>39.3 (32.8–63.0)</td>
<td>40.6 (28.5–56.4)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (µmol copper reducing equivalents)</td>
<td>280.4 (219.1–364.8)</td>
<td>302.9 (254.9–391.2)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα (pg/ml)</td>
<td>51.4 (0–895)</td>
<td>480 (0–1229)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1702 (4–10 650)</td>
<td>1621 (264–3341)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNγ (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0 (0–48)</td>
<td>13 (0–49)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as medians (interquartile range). *P* values represent significance level of comparison using the Mann–Whitney *U* non-parametric test. MDA, malondialdehyde; 8-OHdG, 8-hydroxy-2-deoxy guanosine; TAC, total antioxidant capacity.

*1* 1 μM DHA. *2* 10 μM DHA.
Table 3  Concentrations of TNFα, IL6 and IFNγ in the supernatants of preterm and term placental explants exposed to media/vehicle, DHA, LPS or DHA + LPS co-treatment and 24-h DHA pre-treatment followed by LPS treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Media/vehicle</th>
<th>DHA</th>
<th>LPS</th>
<th>LPS + DHA</th>
<th>DHA (24 h) and then LPS</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm</td>
<td>65 (0–1054)</td>
<td>40  (0–480)</td>
<td>951 (32–1935)*</td>
<td>962 (652–1300)*</td>
<td>1081 (689–1370)*</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>0 (0–3)</td>
<td>0   (0–22)</td>
<td>998 (0–1336)*</td>
<td>369 (0–1308)</td>
<td>642 (0–1117)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>1964 (257–2725)</td>
<td>1191 (492–3340)</td>
<td>2095 (881–3182)</td>
<td>1918 (1558–2762)</td>
<td>2536 (1557–3288)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm</td>
<td>178 (5–648)</td>
<td>462 (11–5612)</td>
<td>4540 (0–11 424)</td>
<td>3711 (20–11 302)</td>
<td>3463 (25–11 070)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>10 (0–71)</td>
<td>4   (0–60)</td>
<td>4 (0–25)</td>
<td>17 (0–65)</td>
<td>26 (0–92)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNγ</td>
<td>0 (0–14)</td>
<td>3    (0–52)</td>
<td>93 (0–143)</td>
<td>0 (0–62)</td>
<td>31 (0–83)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as medians (interquartile range). *P, significance level of the Kruskal–Wallis non-parametric test, comparing across conditions. †P<0.03 compared with media/vehicle and DHA-alone groups (post hoc Mann–Whitney U test).

as observed in a previous study (Shoji et al. 2009), a high concentration of DHA (100 μM) induced placental oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage) in term placental tissue, while lower concentrations (both 1 and 10 μM DHA) did not increase placental lipid peroxidation, yet still resulted in a degree of oxidative DNA damage. In preterm placental tissue, the lower doses of DHA resulted in increased lipid peroxidation and oxidative DNA damage. In response to an inflammatory challenge, the lower doses of DHA were able to ameliorate both LPS-induced oxidative stress and TNFα production and restore antioxidant capacity in term placentas. Conversely, in preterm placenta, DHA did not reduce LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine production or increase placental antioxidant capacity, although a reduction in LPS-induced oxidative stress was observed. However, it should be noted that in preterm placenta DHA only reduced LPS-induced lipid peroxidation to the level induced by DHA alone, while in term placentas, lipid peroxidation was reduced further to control levels. Together, these data suggest that DHA has a greater capacity to increase oxidative stress in preterm placenta compared with term placenta, suggesting that preterm placenta are more susceptible to oxidative damage as a result of limited placental antioxidant capacity. When exposed to inflammation, DHA appears to protect against oxidative damage to a greater degree in term placentas compared with preterm placentas, through an increase in antioxidant capacity and a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokine production. The reduction in LPS-induced oxidative stress by DHA in preterm placentas does not appear to be a result of increased antioxidant capacity or a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Further research is warranted to elucidate the mechanism contributing to decreased oxidative stress in the context of preterm delivery.

The suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokine production following DHA exposure has been observed previously in other tissues. For example, exposure of human THP-1 monocyte-derived macrophages to DHA (25 and 100 μM) prior to LPS stimulation reduced both mRNA and protein expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF (TNFα), IL6 and IL1B (IL1B) and prevented the nuclear translocation of NFκB (Weldon et al. 2007). In the present study, we observed a similar effect of DHA treatment in term placentas, with DHA suppressing LPS-induced TNFα production back to basal levels. In preterm placentas, however, no such inhibition was observed with either DHA pre-treatment or DHA co-treatment. This may be due to the differential effects of DHA on term and preterm placentas, via actions on surface or intracellular receptors, such as the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs). PPARs are important transcription factors that when activated by n-3 fatty acids modulate inflammatory responses (Blanquart et al. 2003) including fetoplacental inflammation (Lappas et al. 2006). Placental PPARγ is particularly notable because it has strong anti-inflammatory properties, including inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokine gene transcription (Lappas et al. 2002). Previous studies assessing the expression of placental PPARs (collected following vaginal delivery) have indicated that preterm (~34 weeks of gestation) and term placentas have equivalent PPARa (PPARα) mRNA and protein levels; however, protein expression of PPARD (PPARδ) and PPARG (PPARγ) is significantly higher in preterm placentas compared with term placentas (Holdsworth-Carson et al. 2009). However, DNA binding of all the PPAR isoforms did not differ between the preterm and term tissues (Holdsworth-Carson et al. 2009), suggesting an equal functional capacity of PPARs across late gestation. However, labour is associated with a reduction in PPARγ levels (Dunn-Albanese et al. 2004). The effects of labour on preterm placental PPAR expression and function are currently unknown, but may explain the observed differences between term and preterm responses to DHA in the present study, given that we collected placentas from women undergoing non-labour caesarean sections. The reduced levels or activity of PPARγ in the preterm placentas compared with term placentas may contribute to the current findings and should be confirmed in future studies.
DHA can also directly mediate cellular functions by altering cell membrane phospholipid composition. DHA supplementation, in both human and animal studies of pregnancy, increases DHA content of maternal and fetal plasma lipids and erythrocytes as well as placenta and fetal liver (Dunstan et al. 2004, Krauss-Etschmann et al. 2007, Jones et al. 2013). The phospholipid composition of the cell membrane is an important determinant of membrane protein function, membrane fluidity and lipid raft formation (for a review, see Calder (2012)). Lipid rafts are membrane microdomains, composed of cholesterol, sphingolipids and glycolipids, which function as a platform for the co-localisation of receptor-mediated signalling components, including the LPS receptor TLR4 (Wong et al. 2009). Because DHA has low affinity for cholesterol, it is not incorporated directly into lipid rafts; however, its incorporation into membrane non-raft regions appears to affect lipid raft formation, with downstream effects on TLR4 signal transduction (Wong et al. 2009, Kim et al. 2013). This dysregulation of lipid raft function by DHA may have contributed to the attenuation of LPS-induced cytokine production observed in the present study in the term placental explants. The lack of DHA-induced inhibition of cytokine responses in preterm placentas, despite an equivalent and robust response to LPS alone, may suggest that preterm placentas have a reduced ability to incorporate DHA into the placental membranes compared with term placentas. This was not assessed in the present study or previously in the literature, but it may provide critical information explaining this differential gestation-specific response.

In the present study, the TAC of preterm placentas was markedly lower than that of term placentas in all conditions, including baseline (control) levels. This is consistent with the known developmental pattern of enzymatic antioxidant expression and activity and the availability of non-enzymatic antioxidants across gestation. The activity of the primary enzymatic antioxidants (catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione reductase) increases over pregnancy, while that of placental glutathione peroxidase remains unchanged (Qanungo & Mukherjea 2000). Conversely, serum or plasma levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants, including vitamin A (De Vriese et al. 2001) and β-carotene (Oostenbrug et al. 1998), decrease throughout pregnancy, while levels of α-tocopherol increase (Oostenbrug et al. 1998, De Vriese et al. 2001). Together these gestational changes in antioxidant capacity appear to place the preterm newborn at an increased risk of ROS-induced injury (Shah & Shah 2009). DHA has previously been shown to enhance glutathione reductase levels in response to oxidative stress in human fibroblast cells (Arab et al. 2006). This may have contributed to the reduction in LPS-induced oxidative stress and increase in antioxidant capacity observed with DHA treatment in the term placental tissue in the present study. As DHA did not enhance the antioxidant capacity of preterm placentas, this mechanism may be limited by the inherently low expression of antioxidant enzymes earlier in gestation.

Human studies indicate that dietary supplementation with n-3 fatty acids (fish oil) in pregnancy does not appear to increase the levels of markers of oxidative stress in maternal urine (Shoji et al. 2006), but that it can reduce cord plasma F2-isoprostane levels in the infant (Barden et al. 2004). This supplementation is associated with an increase in the length of gestation period and a reduced risk of preterm birth (Olsen et al. 2000, Smuts et al. 2003, Szajewska et al. 2006, Horvath et al. 2007, Makrides et al. 2010), although the majority of studies have been limited by small sample sizes. With oxidative stress being widely implicated in pathophysiological pregnancy, attention has been focused on the potential benefits of other antioxidant dietary interventions, such as vitamins C and E and selenium. Vitamin C and E supplementation reduces the levels of circulating markers of endothelial activation and lipid peroxidation (Chappell et al. 2002); however, meta-analyses have failed to demonstrate that this provides any clinical benefits (Rumbold & Crowther 2005a, 2005b, Polyzos et al. 2007, Roberts et al. 2010) and may even increase the rates of adverse pregnancy outcomes (Poston et al. 2006, Klemmensen et al. 2009). Clinical studies of selenium supplementation, an important component of the antioxidant glutathione peroxidase, in pregnancy are still awaited.

Underlying maternal disease states are associated with increased oxidative stress and inflammation. As such, we collected placentas from pregnancy cases associated with gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia and chorioamnionitis and repeated the analysis to ensure that our findings were not influenced by these conditions. However, the results remained unchanged, with DHA reducing oxidative stress in LPS-stimulated term and preterm tissues and increasing antioxidant capacity only in term placentas. This suggests that the ability of DHA to influence pro-oxidant/antioxidant balance is dependent on gestational age, and this is unaffected by the presence of these conditions. However, our sample size for this subanalysis was small, and large-scale studies should address these questions further. The large variability observed in placental responses to stimulation observed in this study is not uncommon in studies of placentas. It was not due to the cases associated with maternal disease, and indeed larger individual variations in placental responses were observed in term tissue compared with preterm tissue, where the incidence of maternal disease is less common. Large individual placental responses to stimulation are well documented in the literature, where inter-individual coefficients of variation have been reported up to 86% (Turner et al. 2006, Scott et al. 2011). This is a limitation of studying human placental samples. Many factors may contribute to these differences, including genotype, single-nucleotide polymorphisms in promoter regions of
genes encoding cytokines (Holtegaard & Bidwell 2006) and fetal sex (Scott et al. 2011).

Summary

Oxidative damage is elevated in conditions that complicate pregnancy and result in preterm birth (Myatt 2010), with excessive ROS production playing a significant role in the development of oxygen radical diseases of the newborn (Auten & Davis 2009). Recent clinical studies of maternal dietary supplementation with fish oil or other DHA-containing supplements suggest that some clinical benefits exist, such as a reduction in preterm birth rates; however, this compound is well known to exert pro-oxidant effects. In this study, we demonstrated that the potential for DHA to induce placental oxidative stress was enhanced in preterm placentas and with high doses of DHA. Lower DHA doses were sufficient to inhibit LPS-induced oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory cytokine production and increased the antioxidant capacity of term placentas. However, DHA was unable to improve antioxidant capacity or inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokine production in preterm placentas. The reason for this effect is currently unknown, but it may be secondary to reduced DHA incorporation into preterm placentas. These findings have clear implications for DHA supplementation during pregnancy, with differential benefits and harm implicated according to the timing of supplementation and concentration of DHA consumed. Further benefits from DHA supplementation may be gained once the optimal concentration and timing of supplementation are established.
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