On the role of germ cells in mammalian gonad development: quiet passengers or back-seat drivers?
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Abstract

In addition to their role as endocrine organs, the gonads nurture and protect germ cells, and regulate the formation of gametes competent to convey the genome to the following generation. After sex determination, gonadal somatic cells use several known signalling pathways to direct germ cell development. However, the extent to which germ cells communicate back to the soma, the molecular signals they use to do so and the significance of any such signalling remain as open questions. Herein, we review findings arising from the study of gonadal development and function in the absence of germ cells in a range of organisms. Most published studies support the view that germ cells are unimportant for foetal gonadal development in mammals, but later become critical for stabilisation of gonadal function and somatic cell phenotype. However, the lack of consistency in the data, and clear differences between mammals and other vertebrates and invertebrates, suggests that the story may not be so simple and would benefit from more careful analysis using contemporary molecular, cell biology and imaging tools.

Introduction

In his book *The Selfish Gene* published in 1976, Richard Dawkins portrays the organism as a temporary vessel or ‘survival machine’ for genes (Dawkins 1976). Viewed in this way, it is the germ cells that represent the critical components of the machine, as they form the sperm and egg cells that carry the genetic information from one generation to the next. Irrespective of whether Dawkins’ gene-centred view of evolution is valid, it is clear that the organism makes, regulates and nurtures its germ cells with great care, and employs various mechanisms to protect their DNA cargo from potential damage. These processes involve co-ordinated physical and molecular communication from the somatic cells of the gonads to the germ cells. The nature of this communication has been studied intensely and has started to become clear in the last decade (Ross & Capel 2005, Bowles & Koopman 2010).

Less clear is whether molecular signals also flow in the reverse direction. In the case of the ovary, studies on mice and other vertebrates where gonads have been depleted of germ cells have suggested that germ cells are required for maintaining tissue integrity in the postnatal period (Guigon & Magre 2006). The stage at which the presence of germ cells becomes critical is not yet known, and the key signals coming from the germ cells have not been identified. For the testis, it is conventionally accepted that establishment and maintenance of tissue architecture are unaffected in the absence of germ cells (McLaren 1991, 1995), suggesting that no signals from germ cells are required.

This review focuses on the role of germ cell signalling during foetal gonadal development. We examine recent experimental analyses of gonadal development and maintenance in the absence of germ cells, in both males and females. This evidence comes mostly from studies on mice, and also involves representatives of several other taxa including fish, reptiles, anurans and insects. Our analysis of the published data exposes many instances of conflicting observations and leads us to conclude that current dogma deserves to be re-examined by a systematic approach using contemporary molecular, genetic and embryological tools.

Sex determination and early gonad development

In the mouse embryo, primordial germ cells (PGCs) arise from a group of pluripotent epiblast cells that cluster at the base of the allantois in the extraembryonic mesoderm at ~7.25 days post coitum (dpc) (Ginsburg et al. 1990, Lawson & Hage 1994). Migrating PGCs colonise the embryonic gonads (the genital ridges) at ~10 dpc, just as somatic sex determination is about to begin. At this stage, the genital ridges are morphologically and functionally identical between males and females. The supporting cell lineage has the potential to develop as either granulosa cells (in females) or Sertoli...
cells (in males), depending on the presence and expression of Sry (Koopman et al. 1991).

If present and functional, SRY triggers the upregulation of Sox9 and the specification of Sertoli cell precursors (Sekido & Lovell-Badge 2008). SOX9 expression sets in train a cascade of transcriptional and signalling events that not only reinforce Sertoli cell fate but also influence the differentiation of other bipotential lineages and induces testis-specific organogenetic changes such as delineation of testis cords and interstitium, and vascularisation (for review, see Svingen & Koopman (2013)). These signalling pathways involve secreted ligands such as fibroblast growth factor 9 (FGF9; Kim et al. 2006), prostaglandin D2 (PGD2; Wilhelm et al. 2005, Kim et al. 2006), anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH; Behringer et al. 1994) and desert hedgehog (Behringer et al. 1996, Bitgood et al. 1996). As a result of this complex signalling network, the basic architecture of the testis is in place by 12.5 dpc.

In the XX embryo, absence of Sry results in the differentiation of the gonads into ovaries, marked by the development of supporting cells into pre-granulosa cells (McLaren 1991). This differentiation is known to involve key regulators such as forkhead box protein L2 (FOXL2), β-catenin, R-spondin 1 (RSPO1) and Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 4 (WNT4; Liu et al. 2010). Little histological organisation emerges during early ovarian development, and germ cells remain mixed with somatic cells until the formation of follicles, where a single oocyte is surrounded by granulosa cells and theca cells (Ungewitter & Yao 2013). Compared with the testis, much less is known about the signalling environment present in the ovary and how the sex-specific differentiation of the different cell lineages is coordinated.

**Somatic signals dictate germ cell sex differentiation**

While gonadal somatic development is progressing, germ cells start to differentiate as either spermatogonia in males or oocytes in females. In the mouse ovary, germ cells enter meiosis at ~13.5 dpc and arrest in prophase I before birth, remain in this suspended state until just before ovulation and finally complete meiosis at fertilisation. In contrast, germ cells in the mouse testis are arrested in G0/G1 of the cell cycle at ~14.5 dpc, resuming mitosis immediately after birth and initiating meiosis later during puberty (McLaren 2003). Although entry into meiosis is normally considered a hallmark of oocyte differentiation, recent evidence has emerged that suggests the two processes are genetically separable (Dokshin et al. 2013).

In experimentally created XX/XY chimeras, all germ cells, whether XX or XY, are able to enter meiosis when present in a foetal ovary, or to enter mitotic arrest when present in a foetal testis (Ford et al. 1975, Adams & McLaren 2002). Thus, germ cell sex differentiation is dependent on signals from the surrounding environment rather than on the chromosomal sex of the germ cells themselves. Although the nature of the somatic signals regulating germ cell sex differentiation remained elusive for several decades, retinoic acid (RA) has emerged in recent years as the key driver of meiotic induction in the ovary (Bowles et al. 2006, Koubova et al. 2006, Bowles & Koopman 2007, MacLean et al. 2007, Griswold et al. 2012). RSPO1, a regulator of the canonical WNT/β-catenin signalling pathway, is secreted by somatic cells of the developing ovary and appears to influence germ cell proliferation, gene expression and entry into meiosis, based on analysis of the gonadal phenotype in Rspos1-knockout mice (Chassot et al. 2011).

In the foetal testis, FGF9 acts to antagonise RA, inhibit germ cell entry into meiosis (Bowles et al. 2010) and maintain expression of pluripotency-related genes such as Oct4 and Sox2 in testicular germ cells, evidently by activating the Nodal signalling pathway (Spiller et al. 2012). More recently, mutant mouse gonads lacking both L- and H-Pgds (L/H-Pgds knockout) showed increased numbers of germ cells due to increased proliferation and inhibition of mitotic arrest, altered transcription of germ cell genes and increased expression of pluripotency markers, perhaps by a combination of direct and indirect effects (Moniot et al. 2014).

Hence, a number of known somatic factors combine to direct meiotic entry, sex differentiation, proliferation and pluripotency of foetal germ cells, and it is possible that other such factors remain yet to be identified.

**Germ cell signalling to soma: studies of mammalian ovary development**

In contrast to the well-studied signalling from the somatic cells to the germ cells of the foetal gonads, little is known about how germ cells affect development of the soma. Since the 1950s, a variety of approaches have been used to deplete the gonads of germ cells and examine the consequences. Results from a number of these studies suggest a significant role for germ cells in the regulation and/or maintenance of ovarian development (Table 1).

Chemical depletion of PGCs can be achieved by the cytotoxic action of busulfan, a drug that destroys PGCs if administered at 11 dpc in mice (Hemsworth & Jackson 1963, Heller & Jones 1964). The effect of absence of germ cells has also been evaluated in mouse models with naturally occurring mutations, such as the dominant white spotting (W) and Steel (Sl) mutant strains. These mice have a defect in the migration of PGCs, leading to a greatly reduced number of germ cells colonising the genital ridges (Little & Cloudman 1937, McCoshen & McCallion 1975). More recent studies have made use of whole-body γ-irradiation of postnatal female rats (inducing death of most germ cells; Guigon et al. 2003), or group differentiation factor 9
(Gdf9-Cre;R26DTA mice, in which Gdf9 expression is activated at the primary follicular stage (just before birth) to drive germ cell-specific CRE production that activates diphtheria toxin, resulting in germ cell ablation (Uhlenhaut et al. 2009). The fate of the somatic population has also been evaluated by dissociating and reaggregating somatic cells (Hashimoto et al. 1990), then transplanting the resultant cell pellets into ovarian bursas of ovariectomised nude mice (Regenass et al. 1982), or by transplanting ovaries beneath the kidney capsule of adult male mice (Taketo-Hosotani et al. 1985). Results from these approaches are discussed in the following sections.

**Effects on establishment of ovarian cell phenotype**

A large body of work relates to ovaries from which germ cells were depleted from a very early stage, either before or during gonadal sex determination, chemically (by treatment with busulfan in rats or mice at \( \sim 10.5 \) dpc) or genetically (in W/W or Sl/SL\(^d\) mutant mice germ cell colonisation of the gonad is hampered). McCoshen (1982) evaluated Sl/Sl\(^d\) female mutants from 11 to 14 dpc and found streak gonads with the appearance of condensed stromal tissue. Merchant-Larios & Centeno (1981) analysed germ cell-free W\(^v\) mutant mouse ovaries at later time points, from 15 dpc to 90 days post natum (dpn), by electron microscopy and found that the formation of follicles was prevented, leading to the development of streak ovaries by \( \sim 30 \) dpn. In addition, W/W mutant mice were studied histologically from birth to 28 days postnatal. At all ages examined, sterile ovaries were much smaller than normal, with a tendency for retardation of follicle development and the proportion of atretic follicles increased greatly from 7 to 28 days together (Coulombre 1954). Finally, it has been reported that somatic sex reversal observed in both XY(DOM) and B6-XXSxr mice is exacerbated by KitW-42J, an allele that prevents germ cells from reaching the gonads (Nagamine & Carlisle 1996, Nagamine et al. 1998), suggesting that early foetal germ cells may provide signals that influence sex-determining pathways.

---

**Table 1** Key experiments investigating the effects of germ cell loss in the adult/late foetal/early foetal mammalian ovary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of germ cell loss</th>
<th>Time of analysis</th>
<th>Experimental system</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>29–31 dpc</td>
<td>Rat follicle cell culture with/without oocytes</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Luteinisation of granulosa cells</td>
<td>Nekola &amp; Nalbandov (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5–7 months</td>
<td>αβERKO mice</td>
<td>History, ImHC for SOX9, AMH</td>
<td>Transdifferentiation</td>
<td>Couse et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foetal</td>
<td>Birth–28 days</td>
<td>W mutants (W(^v), W(^w) and WW)</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Smaller ovaries, retardation of follicle development and increase in atretic follicles</td>
<td>Coulombre (1954)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 66 days</td>
<td>Grafting of 12 dpc foetal ovaries in the kidneys of adult male hosts</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Transdifferentiation</td>
<td>Taketo-Hosotani et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 dpc–90 dpc</td>
<td>Ki(^{Wv/Wv}) mice</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Production of testosterone Non-developed ovaries</td>
<td>Merchant-Larios &amp; Centeno (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days in culture</td>
<td>Exposure of 14 dpc ovaries to AMH in culture</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>No folliculogenesis Transdifferentiation</td>
<td>Vigier et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \sim 13 ) dpc</td>
<td>Grafting of somatic cells reaggregates in female hosts</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Transdifferentiation</td>
<td>Hashimoto et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 dpc</td>
<td>Busulfan-treated mice (10.5–11.5 dpc)</td>
<td>ImHC for Laminin, PECAM and GATA4</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>Maatouk et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.5 dpc</td>
<td>K(^{Wv/Wv}) mice (11 dpc)</td>
<td>ImHC for FOXL2, SOX9, SCP3</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>Merchant (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11–14 dpc</td>
<td>Sl/Sl(^d) mice</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>No-developed ovaries but no evidence of transdifferentiation</td>
<td>McCoshen (1982)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ImHC, immunohistochemistry; EM, electron microscopy.
Effects on luteinisation

Another somatic change affected by the presence or absence of germ cells is luteinisation, an essential process of the post-ovulatory follicle. During luteinisation, granulosa and theca cells are induced to develop into a corpus luteum by an ovulatory stimulus. The mechanisms underlying the regulation of this process have been mainly attributed to circulating hormones. However, luteinisation has been observed in cultures of rat follicular cells in which oocytes were removed by enzyme treatment and centrifugation, suggesting that oocytes secrete a factor or factors that prevent luteinisation (Nekola & Nalbandov 1971). Oocyte degeneration was found to be highly correlated with luteinisation in studies on oestrogen-treated rats in which the majority of luteinised follicles contained a degenerating oocyte (Hubbard & Erickson 1988). Both studies support the concept that the oocyte may be an ovarian regulator of luteinisation.

Effects on somatic cell function

Several lines of evidence have emerged that suggest that germ cells play a role in promoting differentiation of ovarian somatic cells and establishing or maintaining their function. Defective follicle maturation has been described in knockout mice lacking germ cell-derived factors such as GDF9, factor in the germline alpha, or bone morphogenetic protein 15 (Vanderhyden et al. 1992, Dong et al. 1996, Galloway et al. 2000, Soyal et al. 2000, Eppig et al. 2002). Moreover, culture of oocyctectomised ovaries (from which oocytes were microsurgically removed) in an oocyte-conditioned medium showed that oocyte-derived factors regulate steroidogenesis in granulosa cells by inhibiting progesterone production and stimulating production of oestradiol (E2) (Vanderhyden et al. 1993, Vanderhyden & Macdonald 1998); the germ cell-derived factor(s) responsible have not been identified.

Effects on transdifferentiation

A number of studies have reported on somatic transdifferentiation associated with degeneration of germ cells in foetal and adult ovaries. Ubiquitous overexpression of an AMH transgene using a metallothionein 1 promoter in XX mice results in ovaries containing few germ cells at birth and total loss of germ cells within a further 2 weeks. In these mice, the somatic population reorganises into structures that resemble seminiferous tubules when analysed histologically. Later in development, these structures degenerate and are undetectable in adult females (Behringer et al. 1990). Similarly, somatic cell transdifferentiation was observed in explanted rat ovaries exposed to purified bovine AMH at 14 dpc and maintained in culture for 3–10 days (Vigier et al. 1987). Treated ovaries showed degeneration of germ cells and formation of testis cord-like structures when cultured ex vivo up to 10 days.

Secondly, transdifferentiation was also observed in alpha–beta oestrogen receptor knockout mice (α/βERKO) mice (Couse et al. 1999). Ovaries in these mice contained some areas with degenerated oocytes and other areas with no evidence of germ cells. The latter areas also contained somatic cells with a tripartite nucleolus, alignment with basal lamina and veil-like cytoplasmic processes, all characteristics of Sertoli cells. Immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated that these transdifferentiated somatic cells in α/βERKO mice express significantly increased levels of the Sertoli cell markers SOX9 and AMH (Couse et al. 1999).

In both cases, these data appear to provide support for the concept that loss of germ cells from an ovary triggers sex reversal of the somatic cell population. However, an alternative explanation is that the same stimulus that causes germ cell depletion (ectopic exposure to AMH and genetic loss of ERs) may directly cause somatic cell transdifferentiation or degeneration, without the effect resulting from the loss of germ cells per se.

When 12 dpc ovaries (without mesonephroi) were grafted beneath the kidney capsules of adult male mice, the ovaries developed areas of testicular transdifferentiation accompanied by loss of germ cells (Taketo-Hosotani et al. 1985). These results were visualised under light and electron microscopes; transplanted ovaries developed normally at first, but from the 11th day after transplantation, groups of Sertoli cells, pregranulosa cells and transitional cells (with intermediate characteristics between Sertoli and pregranulosa) were observed. Later, between 33 and 66 days after transplantation, a mixture of ovarian and testicular structures (seminiferous cords) was found to be present in most of the grafts. These grafts were able to produce testosterone after 3 days in the culture, indicating that the development of steroidogenic Leydig cells had been achieved together with Sertoli differentiation. In contrast, similar grafts transplanted beneath the kidney capsule of an adult female mouse host contained only ovarian structures at all time points examined. As no oocytes were found in well-developed seminiferous tubules, it was assumed that transdifferentiation was an effect of germ cell loss, but it remains formally possible that the grafting itself influences somatic cell differentiation.

Other experiments provide arguably firmer evidence that loss of germ cells is the primary cause of ovarian transdifferentiation. Dissociated/reconstituted 12.5 dpc mouse gonadal somatic cell pellets were analysed after grafting into ovarian bursas of ovariectomised female nude mice. Unlike ovarian somatic/germ cell aggregate controls, ovarian somatic cell aggregates devoid of germ cells did not form follicles, but rather transdifferentiated into Sertoli-like cells, suggesting that ovarian development requires the presence of germ cells (Hashimoto et al. 1990).
In addition, whole-body γ-irradiation of female rats at 5 dpn was used to kill most germ cells, leading to somatic transdifferentiation of some areas in the irradiated ovaries. Histological analyses showed that, at ~12 dpn, treated ovaries contained oocyte-depleted follicles that survived and proliferated but acquired a Sertoli-like phenotype including an elongated cytoplasm, polarised nuclear localisation towards the basal lamina and a distinct morphology of the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Further characterisation of molecular markers expressed by the follicular cells revealed continued expression of the granulosa cell marker FOXL2 but not the Sertoli cell marker SOX9. Curiously, the Sertoli cell marker AMH and the blood–testis barrier marker claudin 11 (CLDN11 or OSP) were also detected in the transdifferentiated areas (Guigon et al. 2005). These experiments support the view that signalling from germ cells is required for somatic integrity of the developing ovary, at least postnatally.

**Conflicting data: evidence that germ cells are not required for ovarian development**

In contrast to the findings outlined earlier, a body of evidence exists that supports the conclusion that germ cells play no role in ovarian development or maintenance. When busulfan was used to ablate germ cells at 11 dpc in rats, high-resolution light and electron microscopic studies of undifferentiated gonads and ovaries analysed daily from 11 dpc to birth showed no alteration in the morphology of somatic cells in the ovaries (Merchant 1975). More recent experiments using mice (Maatouk et al. 2012), where germ cells were ablated by the injection of busulfan at 10.5–11.5 dpc, also showed normal formation of the female gonads and proper maintenance of the different cell lineages when evaluated by immunofluorescence. At 14.5 dpc, granulosa cell markers FOXL2 and GATA4 were established normally in all ovarian somatic cells, and deposition of laminin was similar between control and treated ovaries. Similar results were observed at 18.5 dpc, with normal expression of FOXL2 and PECAM (a marker for vascular endothelial cells). In addition, sex reversal was not observed, as ectopic SOX9 expression was not detected in treated ovaries. Hence, both studies showed that busulfan-treated ovaries were unaffected by the absence of germ cells at the analysed time points. It remains possible to argue that germ cells do play a crucial role, but that the few germ cells remaining after busulfan treatment may be enough to direct normal differentiation of the ovary, although this seems unlikely.

Recent results even suggest that the presence of germ cells is not required postnatally for the maintenance of the ovarian soma. In a study on 8-week-old Gdit9-Cre;R26DTA mice, in which germ cells are selectively killed by transgenic expression of diphtheria toxin, ovaries showed no morphological evidence of transdifferentiation, no loss of the ovarian marker FOXL2 and no ectopic expression of the testicular Sertoli cell marker SOX9 (Uhlenhaut et al. 2009).

**Germ cell signalling to soma: studies of mammalian testis development**

**Effects on adult testis phenotype and function**

Several studies have established that active bidirectional communication occurs between spermatids and Sertoli cells in adult testes (Table 2). Some studies, where rats were treated with methoxyacetic acid (MAA), irradiation or busulfan for depletion of spermatocytes, revealed that spermatids can regulate Sertoli cell-specific factors such as cyclic protein 2 (CP2), sulphated glycoprotein 2 and interleukin 1 alpha (IL1α) (Magueirre et al. 1993, McKinnell & Sharpe 1997, Jonsson et al. 1999). Another study showed that tumour necrosis factor alpha, a secretory product of round spermatids, induces endogenous androgen receptor expression in primary cultures of Sertoli cells (Dellino et al. 2003). Further, factors secreted by pachytene spermatocytes were found to modulate the expression of a potential serotonin receptor mRNA and a second novel mRNA in Sertoli cells (Syed et al. 1999). Germ cell-conditioned medium was found to regulate E2 and androgen-binding protein secretion by immature rat Sertoli cells (Le Magueresse & Jegou 1986). Finally, busulfan treatment of adult mice resulted in increased expression of nine different genes encoding Sertoli cell markers and decreased expression of two others (O’Shaughnessy et al. 2008). It is worth noting, however, that busulfan acts on all proliferative cells, hence it is possible that the observed effects on somatic cells is due to general toxicity.

**Effects on foetal testis development**

In contrast to what happens in adults, no evidence has emerged that germ cells influence early testis development in the fetus (Table 2). Early histochemical and histological studies in male gonads of fetuses carrying an SI/SLd mutation showed normal development of the testes between 11 and 14 dpc. Mutant testes displayed well-developed seminiferous tubules populated by Sertoli cells (resembling tubules in ‘Sertoli cell only’ syndrome; McCoshen 1982). Other studies have demonstrated that somatic cells purified from dissociated 12.5 dpc gonads and reaggregated into ovarian bursas for 1 month could develop into testes even without the contribution of germ cells. Aggregates developed normal testis cords formed by differentiated Sertoli cells, Leydig cells and tunica albuginea (the connective tissue covering the testes; Hashimoto et al. 1990). Further, ultrastructural examination of Wt mouse gonads revealed normal maturation of Sertoli cells postnatally, based on the observations of tripartite

www.reproduction-online.org
nucleolus, Sertoli cell junctions and presence of mitochondria (Handel & Eppig 1979). Together, these results have now led to the universal view that germ cell signalling is not important during mammalian foetal testis development.

Germ cell loss in other taxa

The importance of germ cells to foetal gonad development has been clearly established in non-mammalian taxa (Fig. 1), as discussed in the following sections.

Fish, reptiles and anurans

Zebrafish provide an experimentally tractable model in which to examine the role of germ cells. In this species, loss of function of Dead-end, a gene required for PGC migration and survival, by morpholino oligonucleotide knockdown results in loss of germ cells (Weidinger et al. 2003). Germ cells can also be ablated using the Kid/Kis system, in which PGCs are eliminated by the toxin Kid, whereas somatic cells are protected by an antidote, Kis (de la Cueva-Mendez et al. 2003).

One study found that after treatment of zebrafish embryos by both means (use of morpholino and toxin), all offspring developed into adult males, evaluated by morphological and behavioural criteria. Gonads were present during development from 25 to 35 days post fertilisation (dpf), but later degenerated completely, so that from 90 dpf onwards, no gonad-like structures were observed in experimental fish. These results suggest that germ cells play an essential role in reinforcing the female sex-determining pathway. Moreover, while germ cells are not needed for formation of gonads, they are important for differentiation and survival of gonad structures in both male and female adults (Slanchev et al. 2005).

Similar results were obtained by other workers using morpholino knockdown of Dead-end alone (Siegfried & Nusslein-Volhard 2008) or analysing Piwi gene mutations (Houwing et al. 2007). In addition, use of metronidazole for oocyte ablation in 5-month-old zebrafish led to female-to-male sex reversal (if germline stem cells remain, adult females sex revert to sperm-producing males; Houwing et al. 2007, Dranow et al. 2013). Moreover, a mutation in the Fanconi anemia complementation group L gene (fancl), which is expressed by germ cells, causes zebrafish to develop as male. Female-to-male sex reversal occurs due to an abnormal increase in programmed germ cell death that compromises oocyte survival: somatic cells do not maintain the female gene expression profile and become masculinised, developing into testes (Rodriguez-Mari et al. 2010).

Studies in medaka, where germ cells were depleted by a morpholino-mediated knockdown of cxcrl4, a gene required for PGC migration, revealed a similar pattern, with deficiency of the germ line leading to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of germ cell loss</th>
<th>Time of analysis</th>
<th>Experimental system</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Busulfan-treated mice</td>
<td>PCR of Sertoli markers, Leydig markers and other somatic genes</td>
<td>Increased expression: Cst9, Shbg, Wnt5a, Clu, Il1a, Cldn11, Cys12, Testin and Amh Decreased expression: Spata2 and Synd</td>
<td>O'Shaughnessy et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Post-natal germ cell depletion by busulfan treatment</td>
<td>In situ hybridisation, ImHC and PCR</td>
<td>Decreased expression: Spata2 and Synd Decreased expression: Pdgfa Expression of Il1a mRNA is dependent upon interaction with germ cells</td>
<td>Jonsson et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Prenatal germ cell depletion by foetal irradiation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased expression of CP2</td>
<td>Maguire et al. (1993) and McKinnell &amp; Sharpe (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foetal</td>
<td>~13 dpc</td>
<td>Depletion of spermatozoys by administration of MAA</td>
<td>Northern blot</td>
<td>Decreased expression of CP2</td>
<td>Hashimoto et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 39 days post-natal</td>
<td>Grafting of somatic cells reaggregates in female hosts</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>Handel &amp; Eppig (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11–14 dpc</td>
<td>Ki&lt;sup&gt;lt&lt;/sup&gt;/lt&lt;sup&gt;Wv&lt;/sup&gt; mice</td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>McCoshen (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 39 days post-natal</td>
<td>SI/SL&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; mice</td>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of GC</td>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Adulthood    | Yes/no | Luteinisation (Nekola & Naibandov (1971) and Hubbard & Erickson (1988))
|              |        | Increased progesterone and decreased oestradiol (Vanderhyden et al. 1993, 1998)
|              |        | Transdifferentiation (Behringer et al. (1990), Couse et al. (1999) and Guigon et al. (2005))
|              |        | Normal development (Uhlenhaut et al. (2009)) |
| Foetal life (from 12.5 dpc to brith) | Yes | Non-developed ovaries (Merchant-Larios & Centeno (1981))
|              |        | Transdifferentiation (Taketo-Hosotani et al. (1985), Vigier et al. (1987) and Hashimoto et al. (1990)) |
| Early foetal life (10.5–11.5 dpc) | Yes/no | Non-developed ovaries (McCoshen (1982))
|              |        | Normal development (Merchant (1975) and Maatouk et al. (2012)) |
| Foetal life  | No | Normal development (Handel & Eppig (1979), McCoshen (1982) and Hashimoto et al. (1990)) |
| Foetal life  | Yes/no | Production of male-only offspring in zebrafish and medaka (Sianchev et al. (2005), Houwing et al. (2007), Kurokawa et al. (2007), Siegfried & Nusslein-Volhard (2008) and Dranow et al. (2013))
|              |        | Normal development, no evidence of differentiation in loach and goldfish (Fujimoto et al. (2010) and Goto et al. (2012)) |
| Foetal life  | No | Normal development, no evidence of differentiation in red-eared slider turtle (DiNapoli & Capel (2007)) |
| Foetal life  | Yes | Gonad degeneration (Piprek et al. (2012)) |
| Foetal life  | Yes | Survival of intermingled somatic cells is dependant on GC signalling (Gilboa & Lehmann (2006))
|              |        | Differentiation and proliferation of follicles are dependant on GC signalling (Lopez-Schier & St Johnston (2001)) |

Figure 1 Importance of germ cells for sex development in different species. Comparative schema summarising the observed effects of absence of germ cells on sex development in mice, fish, reptiles, anurans and Drosophila. 'Yes/no effects' indicates conflicting data for the animal group analysed.
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female-to-male sex reversal in adults (Kurokawa et al. 2007). Moreover, in hotei medaka mutants, excessive germ cell proliferation causes male-to-female sex reversal in 50% of the offspring (Morinaga et al. 2007, Nakamura et al. 2012). Hence, it is well established that the germ line is essential for proper somatic sex determination in these two species of fish.

However, this may not be true for all fish species. Germ cell-deficient gonads in the loach can develop into adult testes or ovaries that are normal morphologically and in terms of their gene expression (Fujimoto et al. 2010). In addition, a study on goldfish has demonstrated that ovary-like and testis-like structures are formed in the absence of germ cells and that a later transplantation of GFP-labelled germ cells can rescue both female and male fertility (Goto et al. 2012).

Germ cells have been ablated by busulfan in red-eared slider turtles, where morphological analysis of gonads, together with immunostaining for laminin, revealed that germ cells are not required for morphogenesis of either the testes or ovaries during foetal development (DiNapoli & Capel 2007). Similar studies in four species of anurans showed histologically that germ cells are not necessary for the initial formation of genital ridges, but they are crucial for development of the ovaries and appear to be a key for the maintenance of gonadal structure (Piprek et al. 2012).

**Insects**

Evidence has been gathered through studies in *Drosophila* for the importance of germ cells in somatic gonadal development. Gilboa & Lehmann (2006) demonstrated that proliferation of PGCs and the survival of intermingled cells (ICs: somatic cells in direct contact with germ cells) are connected by a feedback-loop mechanism, where epidermal growth factor receptor signalling plays a central role in a feedback loop coordinating IC survival and PGC proliferation. The authors propose that such a mechanism would ensure proper homeostasis by coordinating the growth of gonads during the development of sex in *Drosophila*. Furthermore, activation of Notch on the somatic follicle triggered by Delta signalling coming from the germline has proven to control the proliferation and differentiation of somatic follicles during oogenesis in *Drosophila* (Lopez-Schier & St Johnston 2001).

**Discussion and future prospects**

The general conclusion emerging from studies to date is that, in mammals, just as somatic cells of the gonads communicate to the germline using a variety of signals so too do the germ cells signal back to the somatic cells to regulate their differentiation and/or maintenance. However, our survey of the literature indicates that it is still not clear what role germ cells play in foetal development of either ovaries or testes, with many studies producing conflicting results or remaining otherwise open to interpretation. This situation is due in part to the fact that the relevant studies were conducted over a period of several decades, using different methods and markers, at different time points, involving different strains of mice and in some cases different rodent species. In some studies, limited time frames of evaluation did not allow for assessment of the longer-term effects of absence of germ cells in the gonads.

Furthermore, while the formation of the different cell lineages in the absence of germ cells in the female gonads has been extensively assessed by immunohistochemistry, similar rigour has not been applied to analyses of male gonads. The current view is that germ cells are important for foetal ovary development (a view not consistently supported by the body of data), and that germ cells are completely unimportant for foetal testis development. We suggest that it will be important to revisit these issues using contemporary tools, given the availability of new molecular markers and sophisticated quantitative gene and protein expression protocols, in addition to the development of advanced imaging and morphometric techniques. Moreover, the recent discovery that small molecules can inhibit specific chromatin-associated proteins expressed at different stages in spermatocytes and spermatids (Matzuk et al. 2012), offers new advanced tools for investigating germ cell function. Such studies will also benefit from the heightened awareness of the timing of key events such as the temporal sequence of gene expression, and regulation of cell cycle, genome methylation and entry into meiosis that has emerged in the last decade.

The impetus for such studies is provided by the clear body of evidence that germ cells are important for sex determination and/or somatic gonadal development in non-mammalian groups. In fish in particular, it is very clear that germ cells play an important role in maintaining the ovary phenotype, which in turn is essential for maintaining the female sex of the entire organism. This situation raises the possibility that signalling from germ cells is important in some taxa but either less so or not at all in others, perhaps depending on the type of sex-determining mechanism (for example, genetic or environmental) and degree of sex plasticity (for example, the ability of some fish species to change sex depending on social factors). If that is the case, some manifestations of these mechanisms may be present vestigially in mammals.

Finally, it is also interesting to note that signals from germ cells regulate the lifespan of *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Hsin & Kenyon 1999), and that germ cell DNA damage activates a somatic stress resistance programme upon germ cells in the same species (Ermolaeva et al. 2013). While these phenomena and the mechanisms underpinning them are beyond the
scope of this review, they clearly support the concept of germ cells as the source of signals to which somatic cells of the organism are responsive. Continued research into the signals emanating from germ cells during foetal development will further our understanding in diverse areas including disorders of sex development, infertility, gonadal germ cell cancers and evolutionary biology.
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