Dexrazoxane exacerbates doxorubicin-induced testicular toxicity
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Abstract

Infertility induced by anti-cancer treatments pose a major concern for cancer survivors. Doxorubicin (DXR) has been previously shown to exert toxic effects on the testicular germinal epithelium. Based upon the cardioprotective traits of dexrazoxane (DEX), we studied its potential effect in reducing DXR-induced testicular toxicity. Male mice were injected with 5 mg/kg DXR, 100 mg/kg DEX, combination of both or saline (control) and sacrificed either 1, 3 or 6 months later. Testes were excised and further processed. Glutathione and apoptosis assays were performed to determine oxidative stress. Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy were used to study the effects of the drugs on testicular histology and on spermatogonial reserve. DXR and the combined treatment induced a striking decline in testicular weight. DEX prevented DXR-induced oxidative stress, but enhanced DXR-induced apoptosis within the testes. Furthermore, the combined treatment depleted the spermatogonial reserve after 1 month, with impaired recovery at 3 and 6 months post-treatment. This resulted in compromised sperm parameters, testicular and epididymal weights as well as significantly reduced sperm motility, all of which were more severe than those observed in DXR-treated mice. The activity of DEX in the testis may differ from its activity in cardiomyocytes. Adding DEX to DXR exacerbates DXR-induced testicular toxicity.


Introduction

Seminal advances in anti-cancer therapy as well as supportive care strategies result in improved survival rates, reaching 80% in the pediatric population (Ward et al. 2014). The growing numbers of young cancer survivors shed an ancillary focus on preservation of an optimum quality of life after anti-cancer treatments. The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study indicated that male survivors were substantially less likely to attain a pregnancy (hazard ratio 0.56, 95% CI 0.49–0.63) compared to their siblings (Green et al. 2010). Nevertheless, although male infertility is one of the major late-term concerns of anti-cancer treatments during childhood and adolescence (Brougham et al. 2003, Wallace 2011), the mechanism of chemotherapy-induced testicular toxicity remains to be elucidated. Studies have demonstrated that several classes of chemotherapy alter spermatogenesis in the pre-pubertal and early pubertal testis (Masala et al. 1997, Thomson et al. 2002, Longhi et al. 2003).

Doxorubicin (DXR), an anthracycline that is a cornerstone of many chemotherapeutic regimens, is used for treating a wide spectrum of malignancies including both hematological and solid tumors. DXR has been previously studied for its deleterious effect on the gonadal epithelium in rodents, where it was shown to cause chromosome aberrations in spermatogonial cells (Au & Hsu 1980, Abraham & Frantz 1983), inhibition of DNA synthesis and induction of apoptosis (Brinkworth et al. 1995, Blanco-Rodríguez & Martínez-García 1996, Shinoda et al. 1999, Hou et al. 2005). DXR has been shown to disrupt sperm maturation, reduce epididymal sperm concentration as well as alter spermatogonial DNA and sperm morphology (Meistrich et al. 1990). One of the ways that DXR exerts its toxic effect on the testis is via oxidative stress, affecting spermatogenesis and sperm function (Ateşşahin et al. 2006, Tanigaki et al. 2013). Oxidative stress by DXR was widely established in other tissues and is probably one of the most accepted pathways of cardiac toxicity (Quiles et al. 2002, Wolf & Baynes 2006, Octavia et al. 2012).
Dexrazoxane (DEX; ICRF-187) is a chelator of intracellular free iron that has been found to effectively reduce the formation of oxygen free radicals by DXR (Keizer et al. 1990). DEX was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a cardioprotective agent in conjunction with DXR, though its mechanism of action is not yet fully understood. DEX was also approved as an antidote for alleviating tissue damage due to accidental anthracycline skin extravasation (Grauslund et al. 2007). DEX has been shown to reduce DXR-induced oxidative stress processes and apoptosis in cardiomyocytes. Preclinical and clinical studies conferred a protective role for DEX on cardiac function devoid of any effect on the rate of response to chemotherapy or on overall survival of the treated patient (Cvetkovic & Scott 2005, Jirkovsky et al. 2013). DEX, which was originally developed as an antitumor agent, belongs to a class of molecules, bis (2,6-dioxopiperazines), known to function as topoisomerase II (TopoII) catalytic inhibitors and differs from the classical anti-cancer agents such as anthracyclins (Andoh & Ishida 1998). Nevertheless, the clinical use of DEX is still limited due to concerns regarding its potential for rescuing tumor cells from the therapeutic effect of DXR (Sepe et al. 2010).

Attempts have been made to evaluate the role of various cytoprotectants in reducing DXR-induced testicular toxicity, based upon their role in apoptotic and oxidative stress pathways. These include amifostin, lycopene and other anti-oxidants with conflicting evidence as for their benefit (Hou et al. 2005, Yeh et al. 2007, 2009, Vendramini et al. 2010). Due to the promising role of DEX in reducing DXR-induced cardiac toxicity, our aim was to evaluate its role in reducing DXR-induced testicular toxicity by assessing morphological and biochemical measurements following in vivo administration of DXR with or without DEX.

Materials and methods

Animals

Adult ICR male mice (8 weeks old; Harlan Laboratories, Jerusalem, Israel) were housed in air conditioned, light controlled animal facilities of the Sackler Faculty of Medicine in Tel-Aviv University. Animal care and experiments were in accordance with institutional guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, ID TAU-R 100106.

Drugs administration and experimental design

Male mice were weighed and injected intraperitoneally (IP) with DEX (100 mg/kg, Cardioxane; Taro, Haifa, Israel), DXR (5 mg/kg, Adriamycin; Teva, Petach Tikva, Israel), both DEX and DXR or saline (control). Mice were re-weighed and sacrificed 1 (7, 10, 9 and 15 mice respectively), 3 (8, 10, 10 and 12 mice respectively) or 6 months later (6, 8, 7 and 8 mice respectively). DXR chosen dose was calculated according to the dose used in our female gonadal toxicity study (Ben-Aharon et al. 2010). DEX chosen dose was calculated according to the dose used in humans (USFDA 2005). Mice epididymides were excised, weighed and processed. Cauda epididymides were punctured and sperm were allowed to swim into pre-warmed (37°C) M2 medium (M-7167; Sigma) in a 35 mm petri dish. Sperm motility and progressive motility were assessed 30 min later by a Makler counting chamber (Sefi Medical Instruments, Haifa, Israel) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Determination of total and oxidized glutathione

Testes of mice were excised 1 month after treatment, lysed in 10 mM HCl followed by repeated (×3) freezing and thawing cycles. Proteins were precipitated by 10% sulfosalicylic acid (SSA), centrifuged (10 000 g), lysed in 0.5 N NaOH and quantified by the Lowry protein assay (Lowry et al. 1951). Supernatants total glutathione (reduced GSH and oxidized GSH, GSSG) was determined by the Anderson recycling method (Horev-Azaria et al. 2009).

Western blot analysis

Testes were excised 1 month after treatment, lysed and their proteins (normalized according to protein content using Bradford quantification assay; Bradford 1976) were separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE; Bio-Rad) in a Hoefer Mighty small chamber (Amersham). Separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) in a mini tank transfer unit (TE 22, Amersham, UK). Approximate molecular masses were determined by comparison with the migration of pre-stained protein standards (Bio-Rad). Blots were blocked for an hour in TBST (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH = 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween 20) containing 5% skim-milk (ALBA, Denver, CO, USA) or 2% BSA (Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel) followed by overnight incubation at 4°C with either rabbit polyclonal anti Bax (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or mouse monoclonal anti phosphorylated-P38 (Ph-P38) and rabbit polyclonal anti general-P38 (Gen-P38; 1:10 000; Sigma) antibodies. Blots were washed three times in TBST and incubated for an hour at room temperature with goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:10 000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by ECL (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Intensity of the protein bands was quantified by the freely available Image-J Software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA).

Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy

Testes of mice were excised 1, 3 or 6 months after treatment and fixed in either Bouin solution (Stat Lab, McKinney, TX, USA) or 4% paraformaldehyde (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Tissues were embedded in paraffin, sectioned (8 μm) and mounted on Superfrost/Plus slides (Daigger and Co. Wheeling, IL, USA). The Bouin-fixed samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Paraformaldehyde-fixed samples,
destined for immunohistochemistry, were deparaffinized, microwaved for 3 min in citrate-based antigen unmasking solution (H-3300; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), incubated with PBStg (0.2% Tween-20, 0.2% gelatin in PBS; 1 h), rinsed in PBS, blocked in blocking solution (927B; Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA; 10 min) and incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies: rabbit anti-proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA; Santa Cruz), goat anti-deleted in azoospermia-like (DAZL; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA), goat anti-GATA-4 (Santa Cruz) and/or mouse anti-promyelocytic leukemia zinc-finger (PLZF; Santa Cruz). We used the following secondary fluorescent antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories): Cy2-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit for PCNA-staining, Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-goat for DAZL-staining, Cy2-conjugated donkey anti-goat for GATA-4-staining and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse for PLZF-staining. DNA was stained by Hoechst 33280 (1 μg/ml; Sigma). Sections were rinsed in PBS (×3), mounted with mowiol (Sigma) and photographed by Zeiss-LSM-510 confocal laser-scanning microscope (CLSM; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging; Plan-Neofluar 25× objective). Staining with secondary antibodies only (Supplementary Fig. 1a and b, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) served as negative control for the photomultiplier offset calibration in fluorescent images, whereas, Ki-67 staining of tonsil tissue (Supplementary Fig. 1c) served as positive control for DIC images.

At least 30 randomly-selected images of seminiferous tubules from each experimental group were photographed (Zeiss-LSM-510 confocal laser-scanning microscope with a Plan-Neofluar 25× objective). All the cells in each field of view were counted and the average ratio of the total number of TUNEL, DAZL and PLZF-positive cells per Sertoli cell was assessed.

**Apoptosis evaluation**

DNA fragmentation was examined in situ on paraffin-embedded testicular sections by terminal transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL; DeadEnd fluorometric TUNEL system, Promega) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Sections exposed for 10 min to DNase I (6 units/ml; Invitrogen) served as positive controls.

**Statistical analysis**

The measured parameters of male mice injected IP with saline (control), DEX (100 mg/kg), DXR (5 mg/kg) or a combination of DEX and DXR and sacrificed 1, 3 or 6 months later were analyzed statistically. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. SPSS 10.0 Software was used for statistical analysis. Independent, two-sample t-test for unequal sample sizes and unequal variances with significance of P<0.05, was employed for assessing the differences among the experimental groups in body, testicular and epididymal weights as well as in spermatozoa motility and progressive motility. For western blotting experiments, protein quantification was performed using the Image-J Software. Differences in the intensity of protein bands of Bax, Ph-P38 and Gen-P38 were assessed using the two-way ANOVA test with significance of P<0.05.

**Results**

**DEX reduces DXR-induced oxidative stress but enhances testicular apoptosis**

In an attempt to assess the role of DEX as a testicular anti-oxidant, we performed a glutathione assay and found a decrease of more than 50% in glutathione level in testes of DXR treated mice, 1 month after treatment (Fig. 1A), implying that oxidative stress may mediate DXR-induced testicular toxicity. We chose the time point of 1 month because the length of mouse spermatogenic cycle is 35 days (Oakberg 1957). Furthermore, we showed that the DXR-induced activation of Ph-P38, a marker for oxidative stress, was prevented completely by DEX administration (Fig. 1B), indicating that in testes, as in cardiomyocytes, DEX reduced the magnitude of oxidative stress exerted by DXR. Bax, a pro-apoptotic factor known to regulate the apoptotic response, was highly expressed in mice testes 1 month after administration of DXR. The level of Bax after administration of both agents was significantly higher than its level after administration of saline or of DXR alone (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, the results of the TUNEL experiment indicate an increase of
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apoptosis 1 month after treatment with DXR alone or with DXR and DEX (Fig. 2A).

**The effect of DEX and DXR on testicular and epididymal weights and on sperm motility**

We examined the short (1 month) and long term (3 or 6 months after drug injection) effects of DEX and DXR on body, testicular and epididymal weight, as well as on sperm motility. DEX alone had no significant effect on body weight (Supplementary Fig. 2, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Males injected with DXR, regardless of DEX, gained less weight at the 1st month compared to control mice injected with saline ($P<0.05$). Total weight-gain, 3 and 6 months after the injection, was the same in all groups (Supplementary Fig. 2). One month after injection the testicular weight of DXR-injected mice was significantly lower than that of testes of control mice at 1 month (Fig. 3A; $P<0.05$), but it was restored after 3 months. Testicular weight of DXR-injected mice was also decreased 1 month after injection. Although body weight gain was restored 3 and 6 months after injection, testicular weight was still significantly lower than that of control mice ($P<0.05$). Surprisingly, the long term (3 and 6 months) recovery of testicular weight in mice injected with DEX and DXR was lower than that of DXR-only injected mice ($P<0.05$). Furthermore, we assessed epididymal weight as a possible indicator of sperm amount and found that DEX, DXR and the combined treatment, all caused an irreversible decrease of epididymis weight ($P<0.05$; Fig. 3B). We assessed the epididymal sperm as well and found that male mice became azoospermic 1 month after DXR treatment or without DEX; virtually no sperm was found in the epididymis. Spermatozoa were observed in the epididymis 3 and 6 months after DXR-injection. However, their motility (Fig. 3C) and progressive motility (Fig. 3D), two important functional properties, were impaired ($P<0.05$). Combined DEX and DXR treatment caused an even more severe reduction of spermatozoa motility and progressive motility after 3 months, compared to that of mice injected with DXR alone ($P<0.05$).

**Figure 2** Quantification of spermatogenic milieu. Testes excised from adult male mice 1 or 6 months after injection of saline, DXR (5 mg/kg), DEX (100 mg/kg) or both (DXR + DEX). TUNEL staining was used for apoptosis assessment (A). DAZL staining was used for spermatocytes assessment (B). GATA-4 staining was used for Sertoli cells assessment (C). PLZF staining was used for undifferentiated spermatogonia assessment (D). At least 30 transverse sections of seminiferous tubules were selected randomly in each experimental group, photographed by Zeiss-LSM-510 confocal laser-scanning microscope with Plan-Neofluor 25 X objective and the average number of TUNEL, DAZL and PLZF-positive cells per Sertoli cells in the field of view was assessed. Each bar is mean ± S.E.M. (*) – significantly different from control value (saline; $P<0.05$). (**) – significantly different from control and DXR values ($P<0.05$).

**Figure 3** Effect of DEX on testicular function. Male mice (8 weeks old) were injected with saline, DEX (100 mg/kg), DXR (5 mg/kg), or DEX and DXR. Testicular weight (A), epididymal weight (B), sperm motility (C) and sperm progressive motility (D) were measured when mice were sacrificed: 1 month (7, 10, 9 and 15 mice respectively), 3 months (8, 10, 10 and 12 mice respectively) or 6 months (6, 7, 8 and 12 mice respectively) after drug administration. Each bar is mean ± S.E.M. (*) – significantly different from control value (saline; $P<0.05$). (**) – significantly different from control and DXR values ($P<0.05$). NA – not assessed due to azoospermia.

**DEX alters spermatogenic milieu and induces spermatocytes proliferation**

Testicular histological sections were analyzed to evaluate specific structural changes and damage caused by in vivo exposure to the various treatments. One month after saline injection (control; Fig. 4A, Supplementary Fig. 3a, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article), the majority of seminiferous tubules contained long spermatids, whereas 1 month after DEX injection the majority of seminiferous tubules were devoid of long
spermatids and contained mostly spermatocytes (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. 3b). We performed differential staining with: Ki-67 to detect proliferation (spermatogonia are stained darker than pachytene spermatocytes), PCNA to detect proliferating cells and cells during DNA-repairing, and DAZL to detect spermatogonia, preleptotene and pachytene spermatocytes (Fig. 4 Ba and b0, Supplementary Fig. 3b0 and b00). Our results show an increase in the number of pachytene-stage spermatocytes, 1 month after DEX administration (Fig. 2 B) compared to control. The effect of DEX on testicular reserve and on Sertoli cells was assessed by two immunohistochemical biomarkers: PLZF, which is expressed specifically in A-single (As), A-pair (Ap) and A-aligned (Al) undifferentiated spermatogonia (Phillips et al. 2010) and GATA-4, a Sertoli-cells marker (McCoard et al. 2001). Our data show that 1 month after administration of DEX (Fig. 2 B) or of the combined treatment (Fig. 2 C and Fig. 5c0), the number of undifferentiated spermatogonia As/Ap/Al in testes of mice treated with DXR alone or with the combined treatment was significantly lower than in spermatids and contained mostly spermatocytes (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. 3b).

**Figure 4** Increase of meiotic-active pachytene spermatocytes 1 month after DEX administration. Testes excised from adult male mice 1 month after injection of saline (a and a’) or DEX (100 mg/kg; b and b’). Representative DIC images (a and b) of testes stained for Ki-67 (proliferating cells; brown) and hematoxylin (blue). Representative CLSM images (a’ and b’) of testes stained for DAZL (spermatogonia as well as preleptotene and pachytene spermatocytes; red) and Hoechst 33280 (DNA; blue). Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted 1:200. SG, spermatogonium; SC, spermatocyte; SR, Sertoli cell; RS, round spermatid; LS, long spermatid; Bars = 100 μm.

**DEX exacerbates DXR detrimental effect on the testis**

We employed immunohistochemistry to assess the short term (1 month after drug administration) testicular effect of DXR and of the combined treatment. Treatment with DXR, whether administered solely (Fig. 5B, Supplementary Fig. 3C, see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article) or with DEX (Fig. 5C, Supplementary Fig. 3D), caused a detrimental effect on testicular histology, manifested by obliteration of the typical morphology and appearance of vacuoles in the seminiferous tubules. However, when compared to control (Fig. 5A), and even to DXR alone (Fig. 5B and Fig. 6; Supplementary Fig. 3c), fewer seminiferous tubules containing Ki-67-positive spermatogonia were observed in the testes of mice receiving the combined DEX-DXR treatment (Fig. 5C and Fig. 6, Supplementary Fig. 3d). Significantly fewer DAZL-positive spermatogonia and spermatocytes remained after treatment with DXR, whether administered solely (Fig. 2B, Fig. 5b0) or with DEX (Fig. 2B, Fig. 5c0). GATA-4 staining for Sertoli cells indicated survival of these cells and showed no significant effect on the number of Sertoli cells, 1 month after administration of DXR (Fig. 2C and Fig. 5b0) or of the combined treatment (Fig. 2 C and Fig. 5c0). The number of undifferentiated spermatogonia As/Ap/Al in testes of mice treated with DXR alone or with the combined treatment was significantly lower than in...
testes of control mice, both 1 and 6 months after treatment (Fig. 2D).

The effect of DXR, administered alone or with DEX, was not stage specific. Ablation of germ cells from seminiferous tubules occurred at stages IX–XII and I–VIII by either DXR alone (Supplementary Fig. 3c) or when administered with DEX (Supplementary Fig. 3d).

**Partial testicular recovery from DXR toxicity**

Histological staining, immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy were used to further study the recovery of the testes from the detrimental effects of DXR and DXR-DEX. The results demonstrate that testicular morphology and cell distribution within the seminiferous tubules of testes of control (Figs 7 and 8a, a’ and a") and DXR (Figs 7 and 8b, b’ and b") treated mice were similar 3 and 6 months post treatment. The number of spermatocytes affected by DEX alone returned to control values (Fig. 2B), but only partial recovery was observed, 3 and even 6 months post-treatment, in testes of mice treated with DXR, whether administered solely (Figs 7 and 8c, c’ and c") or with DEX (Figs 7 and 8d, d’ and d"). Moreover, most of the tubules within the testes of mice treated with DXR recovered and exhibited all types of germ cells, including Ki-67-positive proliferating cells, whereas most of the tubules within the testes of mice treated with a combination of DEX and DXR exhibited a Sertoli-cell-only (SCO) pattern with no Ki-67-positive proliferating cells (Fig. 6, Figs 7 and 8d’).

**Discussion**

The rationale behind the use of DEX in an attempt to reduce DXR-induced testicular toxicity was based upon the biochemical traits of DEX, studied mostly in cardiomyocytes, which paved the way to its implementation as a cardioprotectant. Our results in an in-vivo mouse model indicate that co-administration of DEX and DXR does not result in salvaging spermatogenesis from DXR-induced testicular toxicity, but rather exerts a detrimental effect on the testis, which is more pronounced than the effect of DXR itself.

Spermatogenic cells are highly susceptible to DXR, mainly due to their high mitotic activity. Spermatocytes and spermatogonia are considered predisposed to toxic stimuli, more than other components of the testis (Quiles et al. 2002, Hou et al. 2005).

DXR exerts its testicular toxicity by several pathways: it causes acute impairment of testicular vessels that results in alteration of testicular blood flow (Bar-Joseph et al. 2011). DXR has been shown to adversely affect spermatogenesis as well as the quantity and quality of sperm; it exerts its toxicity via oxidative stress pathways (Sikka 1996, Suominen et al. 2003, Hou et al. 2005, Ates¸s¸ahin et al. 2006). Previous studies have demonstrated that the level of malondialdehyde (MDA), which derives from lipid peroxidation, rises after exposure to DXR, whereas the level of GSH declines (Quiles et al. 2002, Ates¸s¸ahin et al. 2006). Several protectants have been applied to reduce DXR-induced testicular toxicity (Hou et al. 2005); none of them was implemented into clinical practice. Though our results showed that DEX reduced the oxidative stress exerted by DXR within the testis, the oxidative stress may not be the dominant pathway to mediate DXR toxicity, because despite the protective effect of DEX in this cellular pathway the tissue damage was more prominent in mice receiving the combined treatment. This observation indicates a distinctive mechanism of action for DEX in the testis that differs from its effect on cardiomyocytes. Moreover, both DXR and DEX may trigger unique functional cellular pathways in either type of organs.

Oxidative stress has long been widely accepted as the cause of DXR-induced cardiotoxicity, although there is growing evidence for damage caused via other, non-oxidative, mechanisms. The copious cardiac mitochondria play a key role in the mechanism of DXR cardiotoxicity, resulted from vulnerability of the heart to anthracycline-induced oxidative stress. DEX is known to function as Topoll. There are two Topoll isozymes, Topollα and Topollβ, in mammalian cells (Wang et al. 1999) that differ in their cellular regulation and distribution. Topollα, which is only expressed in proliferating and tumor cells, plays important roles in cell cycle pathways, such as DNA replication and chromosome condensation/decondensation (Wang et al. 1999). The high efficacy of DXR chemotherapy...
is conferred to abundant expression of Topollα in cancer cells. Topollβ, but not Topollα, is expressed in adult heart (Lyu et al. 2007, Vejpongsa & Yeh 2014). Topollβ has been implicated in the mechanism that underlies DXR-induced cardiotoxicity where it targets Topollβ in both nuclei and mitochondria of cardiomyocytes. It was demonstrated that DEX reduces DXR-induced DNA damage in the heart through its interference with Topollβ (Lyu et al. 2007). Nevertheless, homology modeling studies of human Topollα and Topollβ indicated that the DEX binding sites are the same for both isozymes (Lyu et al. 2007). Being a highly proliferating tissue with abundant mitoses, Topollα is highly expressed in the testes, mainly in pachytenne spermatocytes, and is subjected to testosterone regulation (Bakshi et al. 2001). It has been implied that Topoll may play a role also in the transient double stranded breaks in elongating spermatids (Laberge & Boissonneault 2005). Furthermore, DXR can induce chromosomal damage in the testis not only via oxidative stress but also by intercalation into DNA and disrupting topo-II-mediated DNA repair. It was also shown that DXR may induce enhanced testicular toxicity in prepubertal rats due to disruption of the supporting Sertoli cells (Brillante et al. 2012).

To further characterize the effect of DEX on specific compartments of the seminiferous tubules and on spermatogenesis, we employed three immunohistochemical biomarkers: Ki-67, prominently expressed in the nuclei of mitotic spermatogonia and meiotic primary spermatocytes; serves as proliferating index in testis (Lissbrant et al. 2003). PCNA, involved in DNA replication and repair, also prominently expressed in the nuclei of spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes (Chapman & Wolgemuth 1994, Kamel et al. 1997, Steger et al. 1998, Bar-Shira Maymon et al. 2003). DAZL that stains intermediate and B type spermatogonia as well as preleptotene and pachytenne spermatocytes (Saunders et al. 2003). According to our observations DEX caused a

Figure 7 Testicular characterization 3 months after administration of either DXR or DEX and DXR. Testes excised from adult male mice 3 months after injection of saline (a, a′ and a″), DXR (5 mg/kg; b, b′ and b″) or combined treatment (c, c′ and c″). Representative DIC images of testes stained with hematoxylin (blue) and eosin (pink; a, b, c and d). Representative DIC images of testes stained for Ki-67 (brown) and hematoxylin (blue; a′, b′, c′ and d′). Representative CLSM images of testes stained for GATA-4 (green), PLZF (red) and Hoechst 33280 (DNA; blue; a″, b″, c″ and d″). SG, spermatogonium; SR, Sertoli cell; SCO, Sertoli-cell-only seminiferous tubule; Bars = 100 μm.

Figure 8 Testicular characterization 6 months after administration of either DXR or DEX and DXR. Testes excised from adult male mice 3 months after injection of saline (a, a′ and a″), DXR (5 mg/kg; b, b′ and b″) or combined treatment (c, c′ and c″). Representative DIC images of testes stained with hematoxylin (blue) and eosin (pink; a, b, c and d). Representative DIC images of testes stained for Ki-67 (brown) and hematoxylin (blue; a′, b′, c′ and d′). Representative CLSM images of testes stained for GATA-4 (green), PLZF (red) and Hoechst 33280 (DNA; blue; a″, b″, c″ and d″). SG, spermatogonium; SR, Sertoli cell; SCO, Sertoli-cell-only seminiferous tubule; Bars = 100 μm.
Further clinical registry of long-term reproductive effects at high risk of cardiotoxicity, may be recommended to the young patients for DEX administration that are indeed its long-term effect. In the meantime, prudent selection of be performed to investigate its mechanism of toxicity and the testis in combination with DXR, further studies should cardioprotectant. Due to the detrimental effect of DEX on potential protectant, based upon its proved action as a was initially designed to employ the widely used DEX as a toxic potential of different regimens is lacking. Our study nevertheless, detailed information with regard to the gonado-
comprising the ‘cost of successful cure’ and may be associated with poor quality of life, decreased sexual functioning, and psychosocial distress related to infertility concerns (Schover et al. 2002, Zebrack et al. 2008). Multi-institutional studies have addressed reproductive outcomes among survivors of childhood cancers and young adults who were treated with chemotherapy. Nevertheless, detailed information with regard to the gonado-
Chemotherapy-induced testicular toxicity may be one of the long-term effects of anti-cancer treatment, representing the ‘cost of successful cure’ and may be manifested by infertility and hypogonadism. Studies have shown that gonadal failure in young patients is associated with poor quality of life, decreased sexual functioning, and psychosocial distress related to infertility concerns (Schover et al. 2002, Zebrack et al. 2008). Multi-institutional studies have addressed reproductive outcomes among survivors of childhood cancers and young adults who were treated with chemotherapy. Nevertheless, detailed information with regard to the gonado-
We can therefore conclude that despite alleviating the testicular oxidative stress induced by DXR, DEX exacerbates DXR-induced testicular toxicity, possibly via a direct effect on germ cells.
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