Oxidative stress and polycystic ovary syndrome: an evaluation during ovarian stimulation for intracytoplasmic sperm injection
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Abstract

Oxidative stress (OS) may affect the natural fertility and the results of assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs). Subfertility associated with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) may be related to OS. This process may intensify during controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) for ARTs because of increased ovarian metabolic activity and hypoestrogenism with the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists (GnRHas). The objective of this study was to investigate the presence of systemic OS in non-stimulated cycles and to determine OS markers (malondialdehyde (MDA), advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP), hydroperoxides (FOX), glutathione (GSH) and vitamin E) during COS in non-obese infertile women with and without PCOS who were subjected to ARTs. A prospective cohort study was conducted on non-obese women (16 with PCOS and 60 ovulatory patients with infertility due to male and/or tubal factors). The OS markers were determined during the following time points: the follicular phase of the natural cycle (D1), after pituitary downregulation with GnRHα and before the use of gonadotropins (D2), on the day of administration of human chorionic gonadotropin (D3), and at oocyte retrieval (D4). Intergroup analysis showed that serum MDA concentrations were higher in the PCOS group at D3 (P = 0.048) and D4 (P = 0.002). On an intragroup analysis, the control group had higher MDA concentrations at D2 than at D1 (P = 0.01) or D4 (P = 0.004). The AOPP concentrations were higher at D2 (P < 0.0001), D3 (P < 0.001) and D4 (P < 0.0001) compared to D1. The FOX concentrations were lower at D2 (P < 0.0001), D3 (P < 0.0001) and D4 (P < 0.001) than at D1. Serum GSH concentrations were significantly higher at D4 than at D1 (P = 0.02). An intragroup analysis of the PCOS subjects showed that the five OS markers did not differ significantly among the four time points when they were analyzed (D1, D2, D3 and D4). In conclusion, non-obese infertile women with PCOS showed evidence of systemic OS after COS with gonadotropins for ICSI. On the other hand, non-obese ovulatory infertile women and women with infertility due to male and/or tubal factors showed a possible systemic oxidative balance until the final COS.


Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is an endocrine and reproductive disorder, ranging in prevalence between 5% (Azziz et al. 2004) and 13% (Melo et al. 2010) during the reproductive age. The clinical manifestations of this disorder vary according to the ethnic group and the geographic region (Wang & Alvero 2013). Its association with infertility is common, occurring in 70% to 80% of women with the syndrome (Azziz et al. 2004). Altered folliculogenesis with the predominance of an androgenic environment and the reduction of apoptosis during the initial stages of the follicle, may promote the polycystic aspect of the ovaries and contribute to subfertility (Regan et al. 1990). It has been postulated that the worsening of oocyte quality and the impairment of endometrial receptivity may also contribute to subfertility in women with PCOS (Patel & Carr 2008, Giudice 2006), although the mechanisms involved are still poorly understood.

The alteration of folliculogenesis in women with PCOS may be influenced by oxidative stress (OS) (Verit et al. 2007). The OS is a complex process, resulting from a disequilibrium between pro-oxidant agents (free radicals) and the antioxidant defence mechanisms of the organism. The main targets of OS are DNA, proteins and lipids. Malondialdehyde (MDA) (Petean et al. 2008) and hydroperoxides (FOX) (Kingsley et al. 1998) are products of lipid peroxidation. Oxidation and protein damage can be assessed by the determination of advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) (Kelly et al. 2002). Conversely, vitamin E, especially in the form of alphatocopherol, is an antioxidant that can antagonize the oxidative effects of lipid peroxidation (Bornoden 1994).
Glutathione (GSH) plays a fundamental role in peroxide neutralization and in cell protection against OS (De Matos & Furnus 2000), participating in the processes of oocyte maturation, sperm condensation, oocyte activation and the pre-implantation development of the embryo (Agarwal et al. 2005).

Evidence has suggested that OS can affect both the natural fertility and the results of assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs) (Agarwal et al. 2012). As there is an increase in ovarian metabolic activity with controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) for assisted reproductive cycles (Yeste et al. 2016), it is possible that the production of free radicals may be increased, favoring the occurrence or worsening of OS. In contrast, the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists (GnRHa) before COS for in vitro fertilisation (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) has been questioned because its use may decrease the serum levels of estradiol (Barash et al. 1998) and induce hypoestrogenism (Cagnacci et al. 2015); this may interfere with the oxidative balance during COS. As women with PCOS have higher antral follicle counts, an increased risk of a hyper-response to COS (Li et al. 2014) and exhibit evidence of systemic and follicular OS (Kaya et al. 2009, Kocer et al. 2014), questions have been raised regarding the occurrence of OS intensification after pituitary downregulation with GnRHa and during COS for ARTs. The evaluation of oxidative balance in women with PCOS and their possible relationship with COS for assisted reproduction could provide perspectives for new therapeutic strategies using antioxidant drugs in the presence of OS in these patients. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have investigated the systemic oxidative balance after acute pituitary downregulation with GnRHa and COS for assisted reproductive cycles in women with or without PCOS.

On this basis, the objectives of the present study were to investigate the presence of systemic OS in non-stimulated cycles and the role of acute pituitary downregulation with GnRHa, and the COS with gonadotropins in the systemic oxidative balance of non-obese infertile women with and without PCOS, by determining the serum concentrations of five OS markers: three pro-oxidant markers (MDA, AOPP and FOX) and two antioxidant markers (GSH and vitamin E) in the natural cycle preceding COS after pituitary downregulation with GnRHa and during COS with exogenous gonadotropins for ICSI.

Patients and methods

Study design

A prospective cohort study was conducted in the Sector of Human Reproduction at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical School of Ribeirão Preto (FMRP-USP), and in the Laboratory of Nutrition and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, FMRP-USP. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University Hospital, FMRP-USP. Patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and who agreed to participate in the project gave written informed consent to participate in the study during their visit immediately preceding the beginning of COS.

Setting and duration

From February 2008 to January 2010, the patients who participated in the Assisted Reproduction Program of the University Hospital, FMRP-USP and had undergone COS for ICSI were evaluated according to the eligibility criteria. Those patients who were considered eligible were interviewed. Blood samples were collected: 1) during the cycle preceding COS, during the early follicular phase of the cycle for the ovulatory women or on any day of the cycle for amenorrheic women with PCOS, as long as a transvaginal ultrasonography (TVUS) did not reveal a follicle ≥10 mm or a corpus luteum (D1); 2) 10 days after pituitary blockage with GnRH agonist (D2); 3) after COS for ICSI, on the day of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) administration (D3) and 4) on the day of oocyte retrieval (D4). The patients were followed up until the results of ICSI were obtained. The markers under study were measured at the Laboratory of Nutrition of FMRP-USP.

Participants – eligibility criteria

The infertile women were divided into two groups: 1) PCOS: patients with PCOS diagnosed according to the Rotterdam Consensus (The Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM—Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group 2003), and 2) Control: ovulatory women with infertility due to only male and tubal factors. All patients underwent diagnostic video-laparoscopy as the routine procedure for the investigation of marital infertility.

The eligibility criteria in both groups were age less than 38 years, body mass index (BMI) <30 kg/m², serum level of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) <10 mIU/mL on the third day of the natural menstrual cycle, non-smoking status. The eligibility criteria also included the absence of endometriosis, hydrosalpinx, chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus or other endocrinopathies, cardiovascular disease, dyslipidemia, systemic lupus erythematosus and other rheumatologic diseases, HIV infection or any active infection. The exclusion criteria were use of hormonal medication, anti-inflammatory drugs or vitamin supplements during the six months preceding the beginning of the study or initiating the use of the above medications before or during COS or a refusal to participate in the study.

Stimulation protocol

Programming of menstruation was used to synchronize and program the beginning of the COS cycle. This procedure consisted of daily administration of combined oral contraceptives, starting during the menstrual period of the preceding cycle up to 5 days before the day scheduled for the beginning of ovarian stimulation, with their administration being discontinued in such a way that the beginning of
menstrual bleeding would coincide with the execution of basal TVUS. This was done to observe the endometrial pattern and rules out the presence of ovarian cysts that might interfere with the response to the administration of exogenous gonadotropins or with the ultrasonographic monitoring of follicular growth.

Pituitary downregulation with a GnRHα was started the evening 10 days before the basal TVUS (long protocol) by the subcutaneous administration of leuprolide acetate (Lupron, Abbott; Reliser, Serono) at a dosage of 0.5 mg/day (10IU), which was maintained throughout the COS period until the day of administration of hCG (Ovidrel, Serono).

Controlled ovarian stimulation was started 5 days after discontinuing the oral contraceptive. The patients received 150–300IU/day of recombinant FSH (FSHr) (Gonal-F, Serono; Puregon, Organon São Paulo, Brazil) subcutaneously during the first 6 days of induction. Starting on the seventh day of induction, the dose was adjusted according to follicular growth, and the endometrial thickness was monitored daily or on alternate days, by TVUS. Recombinant hCG (250µg, Ovidrel, Serono) was administered at 2200 in the presence of at least 2 follicles measuring 18 mm in the mean diameter. Oocytes were retrieved 34–36 h after the administration of recombinant hCG. Mature oocytes were subjected to ICSI 3–4 h after oocyte retrieval. Fertilization was evaluated approximately 16–18 h after ICSI, characterized by the presence of 2 pronuclei and 2 polar corpuscles. The embryo quality for transfer was assessed approximately 43–45 h (second day of embryo development) or 67–69 h after ICSI (third day of embryo development), based on the number and symmetry of blastomeres, percentage fragmentation and the presence or absence of multinucleation (Alpha scientists in reproductive medicine, and ESHRE special interest group of embryology 2011).

We determined the following variables: age, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), basal FSH value on the 3rd day of the natural cycle, total quantity of FSH used for COS, antral follicular count (average between right and left ovaries), the number of days of ovarian stimulation, endometrial thickness on the day of hCG administration, total number of retrieved and mature oocytes, total number of transferred embryos, fertilization rate ([number of fertilized oocytes divided by the number of oocytes injected] × 100), cleavage rate ([number of embryos formed divided by the number of fertilized oocytes] × 100) and rate of clinical pregnancies per initiated cycle ([number of patients with embryos exhibiting a heartbeat upon TVUS 5–6 weeks after embryo transfer divided by the number of cycles] × 100).

Sample collection and processing
Sterile vacuum tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) were used to collect 5 mL of venous blood from the patients on D1, D2, D3 and D4. Samples were centrifuged at 1600 g for 10 min, and the serum was divided into four aliquots and stored at −80°C for later analysis for OS serum markers: pro-oxidants (MDA, AOPP and FOX) and antioxidants (GSH and vitamin E). All determinations were performed in duplicate by a single technician experienced in the methodologies. The technician did not have access to the experimental group’s samples.

Independent variables

Determination of total hydroperoxide concentration (FOX)
The FOX test system was based on ferrous ion (Fe²⁺) to ferric ion (Fe³⁺) transformation by various types of peroxides in the samples analyzed. A purple-blue color complex (ferric-xenylelen orange complex) was formed in the presence of ferric-xenlenol, whose absorbance could be measured (Costa et al. 2006). A special reagent that was prepared on the day of the analysis (the FOX reagent) is used in this method: solution A was prepared with 7.6 mg of xenlenol orange and 88 mg of butyl-hydroxytoluene (BHT) in 90 mL of methanol; solution B was prepared with 9.8 mg of ferrous sulfate in 10 mL of 250 mM sulfuric acid (0.13 mL sulfuric acid in 10 mL water). The two solutions were only mixed on the day of the analysis, in a 9:1 concentration. A 100-µL of serum or follicular fluid (FF), 100 µL of water (for the blank) and 1 mL of the solution were needed for analysis, with a reaction time of 30 min while protected from light and at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 min, and the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 560 nm. A curve was constructed with hydrogen peroxide at concentrations of 10–200 µM. The result was reported as µmol/g protein.

Determination of GSH concentration – total concentration of thiol or sulphydryl groups
Serum GSH was measured by the method originally described by Ellman (1959) and modified by Hu (1994), whereby thiol groups interacted with the dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), forming a deep-colored anion, with a maximum peak at 412 nm (ε412 = 13,600 per M/cm). In this assay, a 25 µL aliquot of serum or FF was mixed with 1 mL of Tris-EDTA buffer (25 mM Tris-base, 20 mMol/L EDTA, pH 8.2), and an absorbance reading was taken at 412 nm wavelength. After this reading, a 25-µL aliquot of the stock solution of DTNB (10 mM/L in absolute ethanol) was added to the solution, which was kept at room temperature for 15 min. After this period, a new reading was obtained using DTNB as the blank (Costa et al. 2006). The concentration of sulphydryl groups was calculated using GSH, and the result was reported as nmol/g protein.

Determination of vitamin E concentration
Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) concentration in the serum was determined by the method of Arnaud et al. (1991), described as follows: A 0.5 mL serum sample was homogenized in 2.0 mL ethanol, placed in 1.0 mL n-hexane and shaken for 2 min. A 0.5 mL aliquot of the supernatant (n-hexane) was carefully pipetted into a test tube and evaporated under nitrogen, suspended in 0.5 mL of the mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile/dichloromethanol/methanol (70:10:20, v/v/v) and filtered. A 100 µL was injected into the high-performance liquid chromatography apparatus, and a reading was obtained as described below. A Shimadzu LC-9A apparatus was used for the determination of vitamin E concentrations using a type C-18 column (Simpack CLC-ODS 4.6 × 25 cm) and a 4 mm × 1 cm precolumn at a flow of...
2 mL/min. The reading was obtained by spectrophotometry with a UV-Vis at 292 nm. The equipment was calibrated with standard alpha-tocopherol solutions (SIGMA) at concentrations of 10, 20 and 200 µmol/L. A standard alpha-tocopherol solution at a concentration of 20 µmol/L was injected before each reading. The equipment was programmed so that the results would be expressed as µmol/L for serum.

**Measurement of malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration**

One mL amount of each sample was mixed with 2 mL of TCA-TBA-HCL (15% trichloroacetic acid, 0.375% thiobarbituric acid and 1.12M hydrochloric acid) and heated in a water bath for 15 min. After cooling, the precipitate was centrifuged at 1000g for 10 min. The absorbance of the product was measured with a spectrophotometer (Spectronic 601-Milto Roy) at 535 nm wavelength. The concentrations of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) were calculated considering the coefficient of molar absorptivity of the product (E535 = 1.56 × 10^{-5} per M/cm), and the results were reported as nmol MDA/L. A standard curve was constructed using a stock solution of 10 mM MDA prepared with tetramethoxypropane (SIGMA). The concentrations detected in the samples were under this curve, showing good linearity with the standard. The result is reported as nmol MDA/g protein.

**Determination of the concentration of advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs)**

The protein oxidation products present in serum were determined by the method of Wits- Sarsat and coworkers (1996): A 40 µL serum sample was mixed with 160 µL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 20 µL of ultrapure glacial acetic acid and an absorbance reading was obtained at 340 nm wavelength. The curve for this reading was constructed using 10–100 mM chloramine-T and 1.16 M potassium iodide; 200 µL of the standards were mixed with 10 µL potassium iodide and 20 µL acetic acid, and the plate was shaken for 6 min before the reading. The result was reported as µmol/L.

**Biases**

To avoid a selection bias, all patients who underwent COS for ICSI in our center and who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were invited to participate in the study. To avoid biases related to imbalances between the groups regarding important covariates related to OS and oocyte quality, highly selective eligibility criteria were adopted for patient inclusion in the study (keeping into account the relationship between some metabolic abnormalities related to PCOS and OS). Thus, the only factor related to infertility in the PCOS group was the presence of the syndrome itself. The control group presented conditions not associated with worse gamete quality or OS. The exclusion criteria were conditions potentially related to OS and oocyte quality. To avoid a performance bias, the subjects included in the study received clinical care according to the standard protocol of the service, by doctors who did not participate in the study. To avoid the detection bias regarding the determination of the different OS markers evaluated, all determinations were performed in duplicate by two technicians experienced in the methodologies. The technicians did not have access to the experimental group’s samples. To avoid a reporting bias, all the results obtained were analyzed and presented in the Results section of the present study.

**Study size**

Because no appropriate reported data were available to us to calculate the sample size for the five independent variables analyzed, we proposed a pilot study in which all eligible patients who agreed to participate in the study were analyzed over a recruitment period of 24 months (February 2008–January 2010).

**Statistical analysis**

The quantitative demographic variables and the variables related to the assisted reproduction procedures were compared by the Student’s t-test (Table 1). The qualitative variables were compared between the groups by the Fisher’s exact test (Table 1). The linear mixed-effects model (random and fixed effects) adjusted using the PROC MIXED procedure was used to compare the OS markers among the days of blood collection and between the groups. The intra- and inter-group comparisons were performed using orthogonal contrast. The residual analysis was performed using normality and dispersion charts, by comparing the measured residual values and predicted values. All analyses were performed using the SAS 9.3 software, with the level of significance set at 5% (P<0.05), with a power of 80%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control (n=57)</th>
<th>PCOS (n=15)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.0 ± 3.9</td>
<td>32.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>26.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (µU/mL)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FSH dose (mIU/mL)</td>
<td>2045.1 ± 633.0</td>
<td>1493.8 ± 331.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral follicular count</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of stimulation</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrium (mm)*</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieved oocytes</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.9</td>
<td>7.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature oocytes</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilization rate (%)</td>
<td>79.8 ± 24.1</td>
<td>77.1 ± 28.8</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleavage rate (%)</td>
<td>88.9 ± 19.1</td>
<td>79.9 ± 27.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of embryos formed</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of embryos transferred</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical pregnancy rate/ initiated cycle (%)</td>
<td>24.2 (16/66)</td>
<td>31.2 (5/16)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; BMI, body mass index; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; fertilization rate, number of fertilized oocytes divided by the number of oocytes injected × 100; cleavage rate, number of embryos formed divided by the number of fertilized oocytes × 100; rate of clinical pregnancy per initiated cycle, number of patients with embryos exhibiting a heartbeat upon transvaginal ultrasound 5–6 weeks after embryo transfer divided by the number of cycles × 100.
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Results

Flowchart

From October 2009 to October 2010, 275 patients attended the Assisted Reproduction Program of the University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto and underwent ovarian stimulation for ICSI. Of these, 180 were deemed ineligible. All 95 eligible patients (75 controls and 20 PCOS) were interviewed and 76 patients (60 controls and 16 PCOS) gave written informed consent to participate (8 patients did not agree to participate in the study, 6 patients solicited postponement of the beginning of the cycle due to financial conditions, 1 patient had started to take sertraline, 1 patient had started to take fluoxetine and 3 patients took an anti-inflammatory agent during the week preceding the beginning of COS). Three control patients discontinued due to a suspended cycle caused by a poor response, and 1 patient with PCOS discontinued due to cancellation of the cycle because of the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (Fig. 1).

Clinical variables, response to ovarian stimulation and results of ICSI for infertile patients with or without PCOS

BMI (26.7 ± 3.8 kg/m² vs 23.7 ± 3.3 kg/m², respectively; P < 0.01) and antral follicular count (4.3 ± 2.4 vs 7.1 ± 3; P < 0.01) were significantly higher, and total dosage of FSH used for COS was significantly lower for women with PCOS compared with the control group (1493.8 ± 331.1 mIU/mL vs 2045.1 ± 633.0 mIU/mL).
A Sanches de Melo and others

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Control (n = 57)</th>
<th>PCOS (n = 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (µmol/g pt)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 9.0⁴</td>
<td>25.9 ± 9.1⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOPP (µmol/L)</td>
<td>100.3 ± 60.9⁴</td>
<td>135.1 ± 69.7⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOX (µmol/g pt)</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.0⁴</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.3⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH (nmol/L)</td>
<td>171.0 ± 48.8⁴</td>
<td>177.1 ± 40.9⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (µmol/L)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 6.7</td>
<td>22.3 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Numbers followed by the same superscript on the same line indicate a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05). ⁴P < 0.05 (comparison of the control and PCOS groups).

Discussion

Non-obese infertile women with PCOS, when compared with infertile ovulatory women without PCOS, exhibit systemic OS, demonstrated by higher serum MDA concentrations during COS for ICSI (on the day of hCG administration and oocyte retrieval). In the present study, pituitary downregulation with GnRHa (D2) and COS with gonadotropins (D3 and D4) were not associated with changes in serum concentrations of OS markers in women with PCOS. On the other hand, non-obese ovulatory infertile women showed increased serum MDA and AOPP concentrations after pituitary downregulation with GnRHa (D2), increased AOPP concentrations during COS with gonadotropins (D2 and D3) and higher GSH concentrations in oocyte retrieval (D4). These characteristics showed that the increase in systemic oxidative markers after the use of GnRHa and COS may be counterbalanced by antioxidants (GSH) in ovulatory women. However, this oxidative equilibrium does not occur in women with PCOS, exacerbating the systemic oxidative stress in this group. Paradoxically, among the control women, the increase of MDA and AOPP levels in the serum occurred concomitantly with the reduction of FOX concentrations.

The presence of PCOS may predict the manifestation of various clinical and metabolic comorbidities (systemic arterial hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia) in the fourth decade of life, whereas in the healthy population, these changes are more frequent starting from the fifth decade (Elting et al. 2001, Moran et al. 2010). As these comorbidities related to PCOS may be associated with OS (Santilli et al. 2015), it is important to exclude women with these conditions to avoid bias and to improve the internal validity of our findings. Several other conditions may also be related to OS, justifying the strict eligibility criteria used in the present study and the small patient series evaluated.
In addition, it is known that most infertile patients with PCOS do not need to be subjected to COS for IVF and ICSI, as this is the third line of the treatment for infertility in PCOS (The Thessaloniki ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group 2008), limiting the number of women eligible for the present study.

Although they included obese and non-obese women with PCOS and insulin resistance (IR), some Turkish investigators have demonstrated the presence of systemic OS in non-stimulated cycles of women with PCOS, compared with healthy women without PCOS, with OS being characterized by higher serum MDA (Kocer et al. 2014) and AOPP (Kaya et al. 2009) concentrations. Another study, also conducted in Turkey, had demonstrated increased serum MDA concentration in natural cycles of young, non-obese women with PCOS (with and without IR) compared to ovulatory women, with a positive association between MDA levels and homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) values, suggesting that IR is associated with OS in women with PCOS. In a previous study, higher serum MDA concentrations were detected in infertile women with PCOS (14 patients, 11 of them without IR) compared to fertile women with PCOS (15 patients, 11 of them without IR), suggesting that infertility is associated with OS in these women (Turan et al. 2015). Contrary to this, in our study, the systemic OS was associated with PCOS and not with infertility because infertile women with PCOS showed a predominance of oxidative markers without the counterbalancing of serum antioxidants during COS and oocyte retrieval, a characteristic not seen in ovulatory women.

In contrast to the present study, a cross-sectional investigation conducted in Iran reported that the increase in serum and FF levels of MDA in samples obtained on the day of oocyte retrieval from women with PCOS was not a primary characteristic of PCOS, but rather a characteristic of the high prevalence of the visceral obesity in the PCOS group. However, this Iranian study does not report the exclusion criteria (Nasiri et al. 2015), which limits the comparability of its results with the results obtained in our present study. As during follicular development in COS there is an increase in the serum concentrations of estradiol (a potent antioxidant that is normally low in natural anovulatory cycles of women with PCOS), in the present study, we were unable to demonstrate OS due to lipid peroxidation.

Among ovulatory women without PCOS, with male and tubal factor infertility, the increase in serum MDA and AOPP concentrations after pituitary downregulation with GnRHa (D2) suggests that pituitary downregulation for a short duration (10 days) may promote systemic OS. Among ovulatory women, the main sources of circulating estrogens are the dominant follicles during the first phase of the menstrual cycle, and the corpus luteum during the second phase of the cycle, which produce and release estradiol into the bloodstream (Qiao & Feng 2011). Because hypoestrogenism may favor the occurrence of systemic OS (Cagnacci et al. 2015), pituitary downregulation with GnRHa before COS (by inhibiting the hypothalamus–pituitary–ovarian axis) reduces the serum concentrations of estradiol (Barash et al. 1998), thus favoring the occurrence of systemic OS. In this group of women, COS with gonadotropins is related to the increased serum concentrations of AOPP, suggesting that it promotes systemic OS. Paradoxically, reducing FOX concentration after the downregulation of pituitary may be due to the fact that this oxidant serves as substrate for the formation of MDA (Pisoschi et al. 2015), which was increased after the use of GnRHα. However, the higher GSH concentrations on oocyte retrieval show that ovulatory women are able to restore the oxidative balance.

The present data do not support the modification of any current clinical protocol regarding the assessment of the systemic oxidative balance in infertile women, with or without PCOS. However, the present paper intends to trigger the discussion on the need for performing longitudinal studies to investigate the profile of OS markers during treatment for assisted reproduction, and their potential impact on the results of such treatments. If a negative impact of systemic OS on reproductive results is confirmed, it will be necessary to define the diagnostic markers to be assessed and to conduct well-designed trials investigating the roles of different antioxidants in women with a diagnosis of systemic OS.

The control group was not investigated for the presence of PCOS, which could represent a limitation of the present study. However, all patients included in the control group had regular menstrual cycles associated with the perception of changes in the cervical mucus pattern, considered as sufficient data to predict ovulation in infertile women (ASRM 2012). Moreover, these women did not have hyperandrogenism symptoms.

In conclusion, non-obese infertile women with PCOS showed evidence of systemic OS characterized by higher serum MDA concentrations without counterbalancing of antioxidants markers after COS with gonadotropins for ICSI. On the other hand, non-obese ovulatory infertile women and women with infertility due to male and tubal factors showed increased serum MDA and AOPP concentrations after pituitary downregulation with GnRHα (D2), increased AOPP concentrations during COS with gonadotropins (D2 and D3) and higher GSH concentrations during oocyte retrieval (D4), suggesting the existence of a possible systemic oxidative balance until the final COS.
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