Nitric oxide (NO) stimulates steroidogenesis and folliculogenesis in fish
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Abstract

The present study was undertaken to understand the physiological significance of the existence of nitric oxide synthase (NOS)/nitric oxide (NO) system in fish ovary. For this, two doses of NO donor, sodium nitroprusside (SNP, 25 µg and 50 µg) and NOS inhibitor, N-nitro-l-arginine methyl ester (l-NAME, 50 µg and 100 µg)/100 g body weight were administered during the two reproductive phases of reproductive cycle of the Clarias batrachus. During the late-quiescence phase, high dose of l-NAME decreased the NO, testosterone, 17β-estradiol, vitellogenin contents in serum and ovary and activities of 5-ene-3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (3β-HSD) and 17β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (17β-HSD) in ovary, whereas higher dose of SNP increased these parameters. l-NAME also reduced oocytes-I but increased perinucleolar oocytes in the ovary, whereas SNP treatment increased the number of advanced oocytes (oocytes-I and II) than the perinucleolar oocytes when compared with control ovary. During the mid-recrudescence phase, both doses of SNP increased NO, testosterone, 17β-estradiol and vitellogenin in serum and ovary; however, l-NAME treatment lowered their levels. The activities of ovarian 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD were also stimulated by SNP, but l-NAME suppressed their activities compared to the control. The SNP-treated ovaries were dominated by oocyte-II and III stages, whereas l-NAME-treated ovary revealed more perinucleolar oocytes and oocytes-I and practically no advanced oocytes. Expression of endothelial NOS (eNOS), inducible NOS (iNOS) and neuronal NOS (nNOS) was augmented by the SNP and declined by l-NAME treatments as compared to the control. This study, thus, provides distinct evidence of NO-stimulated steroidogenesis, vitellogenesis and folliculogenesis in fish.

Reproduction (2017) 153 133–146

Introduction

Ovarian folliculogenesis and steroidogenesis are primarily regulated by hormonal secretions of hypothalamo–hypophysial–ovarian axis. Nevertheless, studies on mammals in recent decade provide evidence that some cytokines, growth factors, regulatory peptides and reactive oxygen species, produced locally by the ovarian cells also play a critical role in the regulation of ovarian folliculogenesis and steroidogenesis (Kim et al. 2005, Tesone et al. 2009, see review Lal 2014). These molecules of ovarian origin are reported to be essential for the production of viable eggs. Among these molecules, nitric oxide (NO) has assumed special recognition by researchers due to its versatile physiological roles in reproductive activities. NO, a gaseous signaling molecule, acts as an autocrine or a paracrine factor and is produced by enzymatic action of nitric oxide synthases (NOSs) on arginine. The presence of different NOSs in variety of ovarian cell type has been demonstrated at transcriptional and translational levels in ovary of rat (Zackrisson et al. 1996, Jablonka-Shariff & Olson 1997, Yamagata et al. 2002), mouse (Nishikimi et al. 2001, Mitchell et al. 2004) and pig (Tao et al. 2004, Kim et al. 2005). In sheep, endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) mRNA and its peptide change with follicular growth and development of atresia in the ovary (Grazul-Bilska et al. 2006). Endothelial NOS mRNA is also detected in theca and granulosa cells, whereas its protein only in the theca and exclusively in the blood vessels of developing preovulatory and postovulatory follicles (Grazul-Bilska et al. 2006). Kim and coworkers (2005) have shown the presence of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and eNOS immunohistochemically in the surface epithelium, stroma, oocytes, theca cells and endothelial cells of blood vessels in pig. Positive immunoreactions for nNOS and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) are also reported in the granulosa cells from multilaminar and antral follicles, but not in unilaminar follicles. iNOS is also detected in the surface epithelium, oocytes and theca of multilaminar and antral follicles (Kim et al. 2005).
NO is reported as a potential regulator of follicular development, atresia, ovulation, oocyte quality, sexual behavior, pregnancy, infertility and luteal function and so forth in mammals (see review Rosselli et al. 1998, Tamanini et al. 2003, Goud et al. 2005, Skarzynski et al. 2005, see review Kumar et al. 2012). Maul and coworkers (2003) have observed a positive correlation among NO, 17β-estradiol concentration and follicular volume in human; serum NO level increases with follicular growth and decreases after ovulation in women. It is reported that eNOS-produced NO stimulates ovulation (Mitsube et al. 1999). The importance of NOS-generated NO in ovulation is also confirmed in NOS-knockout mice (Jablonna-Shariff & Olson 1998). Increased vascularization in the theca interna coincides with rapid growth and differentiation of ovarian follicle, while decreased vascularity with follicular atresia (Reynolds et al. 2002, Dubey & Sharma 2016). In fact, ovarian vascularization increases during the follicular growth in mammals (Mukrakami et al. 1988), particularly after preovulatory LH surge, dilation in vessels around ovarian follicles and blood flow are markedly increased (Kranzfelder et al. 1992). Although the underlying mechanism of this increased vascularization is not fully understood, the involvement of LH-induced intraovarian factors is suggested, and NO is one of them (Kranzfelder et al. 1992). It is suggested that NO is necessary for the maintenance of increased ovarian blood flow and completion of normal ovulatory process in rat (Mitsube et al. 2002).

NO is also shown to modulate ovarian steroidogenesis; most workers have reported NO-induced inhibition in steroids production (Tamanini et al. 2003). Faes et al. (2009), however, have shown that SNP at its higher doses (10^{-3}–10^{-1}M) decreases the steroid production, whereas at lower dose, stimulates the 17β-estradiol production but not progesterone by the cow granulosa cells. NO also uses a number of ways to inhibit ovarian steroid production (Faes et al. 2009).

To the best of author’s knowledge, only two studies are available in lower vertebrates including fishes. Tripathi and Krishna (2008) have shown the presence of all isoforms of NOS in the ovary of *Heteropneustes fossilis* and have indicated that NO plays a role in final oocyte maturation. Recently, authors have also demonstrated season-dependent, cell-specific expression of all forms of NOS in the ovary of catfish, *C. batrachus* (Singh & Lal 2015) and have suggested its involvement in estradiol production. Suggestions regarding the involvement of NO in oogenesis in these fishes and in mammals are largely based on correlative and indirect evidence. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to examine the *in vivo* and *in vitro* effects of NO on steroidogenesis and oogenesis in the catfish, *C. batrachus* using NO donor and NOS inhibitor compounds.

### Materials and methods

#### Chemicals

Anti-iNOS (Cat. No. N7782, 19 amino acid sequence FSYGAKKSALSEEPKATRL of mice iNOS), nNOS (Cat. No. N7280, 21 amino acid sequence RSEIAFIEESKKDADEVFSS of rat nNOS), eNOS (Cat. No. N3893, 21 amino acid sequence RHLRGAVPWAFDPPGPDTGP of bovine eNOS), vanadium trichloride (Cat. No. 208272), androstenedione (Cat. No. 46033), a NOS inhibitor, l-NAME (Cat. No. N5751) and dehydroepiandrosterone (Cat. No. D063) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals. A nitric oxide donor, SNP (Cat. No. 1.94924.0121) and goat anti-rabbit HRP-tagged secondary antibody (Cat. No. 621140380011730) were procured from Merck. β-Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (Cat. No. 044017) was obtained from SRL (Mumbai, India). Testosterone (Cat. No. DKO002) and 17β-estradiol (Cat No. DKO003) ELISA kits were purchased from DiaMetra (Spello, Perugia, Italy). Other chemicals of analytical grade-like salts and solvents were obtained from Merck, Qualigens (Mumbai, India), HiMedia, India.

#### Fish

Adult *C. batrachus* weighing 70–80g were collected from suburbs of Varanasi (25°18’N; 83°1’E), India, in the beginning of February and April and were acclimated in cemented tanks of 200L water capacity for a fortnight under ambient photoperiod and temperature (late-quiescence phase, February – 11.12–11.26L: 12.48–12.34D, water temperature 17.2±1.5°C and mid-recrudescence phase, – April – 12.30–12.40L: 11.30–11.20D, water temperature, 29.1±2.1°C). Fish were fed with chopped goat liver during acclimation and experimentation period; thereafter, catfish were sorted out in a close weight range i.e. 70–75g and were divided into five groups for treatment.

#### In vivo treatment with NO donor (SNP) and NOS inhibitor (l-NAME)

Five batches, each of 15 catfish, were injected intramuscularly with two doses of SNP (25 and 50µg) and l-NAME (50 and 100µg) per 100 g body weight separately, daily for a fortnight during the late-quiescence phase (late February to early March) and mid-recrudescence phase (late April to early May). Control group received only fish saline (0.65% NaCl). Doses of SNP and l-NAME were selected based on the pilot experiment, wherein effects of six doses of SNP (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50µg/100 g body weight) and three doses of l-NAME (5, 50 and 100µg/100 g body weight) were observed on circulating 17β-estradiol and gonadosomatic index (GSI). It was noted that l-NAME at the dose level of 50 and 100µg/100 g body weight suppressed the studied parameters maximally, whereas SNP at 25 and 50µg/100 g body weight induced maximum increase in 17β-estradiol and GSI.

Fish were anesthetized group-wise by immersing them for 5–10min in ice-chilled water after 24h of the last injection, weighed after proper wiping and blood was collected from...
caudal puncture in glass tubes, separately. Ovaries were removed quickly by dissecting the fish in an aseptic condition in tray and weighed to the nearest gram to calculate GSI using the formula $\text{GSI} = \frac{\text{weight of both the ovary in gram/body weight in gram}}{100}$. For the measurement of activities of $3\beta$-HSD and $17\beta$-HSD, levels of total nitrate–nitrite, $17\beta$-estradiol, testosterone and vitellogenin, small pieces of ovary were excised and kept in $-70^\circ\text{C}$. Some pieces of ovary were also fixed in Bouin’s fluid, dehydrated through graded alcohol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Sections (6 µm) were cut for histological and immunohistochemical studies. Serum was separated by spinning blood at 1006 g under refrigeration and stored at $-70^\circ\text{C}$ until assayed for $17\beta$-estradiol, testosterone, vitellogenin and total nitrate–nitrite levels. All the experiments of the study were carried out as per the approval of Institutional Animal Ethical Committee of Banaras Hindu University, India (Letter No. F.Sc./IAEC/2016-27/1152).

**In vitro treatment with NO donor (SNP) and NOS inhibitor ($\text{l}$-NAME)**

To evaluate the in vitro effect of NO on steroidogenesis, ovaries of five catfish were rapidly removed and transferred to chilled Medium-199 (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) containing 0.1% streptomycin, separately, during the mid-recrudescence phase (mid-April). Ovaries were cut into several small pieces in the medium (about 5–6 mg/piece). Such pieces of ovary were taken in fresh media (10–15 mg ovarian fragment/mL media) and pre-incubated for 2 h at 27 ± 2°C in humidified chamber having 95% air and 5% CO$_2$. After this pre-incubation, ovaries were transferred to the culture wells containing the Medium-199 mixed with 0.1% streptomycin and then incubated with three doses of $\text{l}$-NAME (10$^{-3}$ M, 10$^{-4}$ M and 10$^{-5}$ M) and SNP (10$^{-6}$ M, 10$^{-5}$ M and 5 × 10$^{-4}$ M) during the mid-recrudescence phase for 36 h. Control incubations were also maintained in which no SNP and $\text{l}$-NAME was added. After the treatment, ovarian fragments and medium were collected and stored at $-70^\circ\text{C}$ till the activities of $3\beta$-HSD and $17\beta$-HSD and levels of steroids and NO were analyzed. For each treatment, four incubations (using four separate wells) were made for each ovary separately. The process was repeated independently for five ovaries separately on different days.

**Measurement of $17\beta$-estradiol and testosterone**

Ovarian and serum levels of $17\beta$-estradiol and testosterone were measured using highly sensitive and specific commercial ELISA kits (DiaMitrea, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Before using these kits routinely, dose response inhibition curves of serially diluted solutions of the female *C. batrachus* were examined, which were parallel to the standard curves of $17\beta$-estradiol and testosterone. Intra-assay and inter-assay precisions were calculated using the serum of the catfish, and coefficient of variations were found to be 5.5% and 6.4% for $17\beta$-estradiol and 6.1% and 7.2% for testosterone respectively. Detailed procedures of measurement of $17\beta$-estradiol and testosterone are described elsewhere (see Pathak & Lal 2010, Singh & Lal 2015).

**Determination of activities of $3\beta$-HSD and $17\beta$-HSD in ovary**

Activity of $3\beta$-HSD was determined by adopting the original method of Wiebe (1976) with slight modifications with regard to pH of the buffer (from 8.0 to 7.5) and concentrations of NAD (from 2.7 µM to 2.8 µM) and substrate (from 0.23 mM to 0.3 mM). Detailed protocol is described elsewhere from the author’s laboratory (Singh & Singh 1985). In brief, 10% ovarian homogenate (w/v) were prepared in buffered sucrose solution, centrifuged at 1000 g, collected the supernatant, re-centrifuged at 12,000 g for 30 min at 4°C and collected the supernatant finally for activity assessment. Supernatant (250 µL) was added to the sample cuvette containing 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), β-NAD at saturation concentration (2.8 µM) and steroid substrate dehydroepiandrosterone (0.3 mM), dissolved in methanol. The total reaction volume was 3 mL. Cuvettes were pre-incubated at 30°C for 5 min, and then the reaction was initiated by adding β-NAD. Readings were taken after 20 s of β-NAD addition at 340 nm, every 15 s interval for 2 min against blank containing all ingredients except substrate. All assays were run in triplicate for each of the five specimens, separately.

Measurement of $17\beta$-HSD activity was performed after the original method of Jarabak (1969) with slight modification in using substrate, androstenedione, instead of $17\beta$-estradiol. Although the detailed procedure of estimation for $17\beta$-HSD activity is described elsewhere from the author’s laboratory (Singh & Singh 1985), the substrate (androstenedione) concentration-response was once again determined in the present study before its routine use.

The reaction system contained steroid substrate androstenedione (0.3 µM), 0.025% bovine serum albumin, 440 µM sodium pyrophosphate buffer (pH 10.2), 1.4 µM β-NAD at saturation concentration and 250 µL enzyme preparation. A control containing all components except androstenedione was also run. The total reaction volume was 3 mL. The reaction was started with the addition of β-NAD. Readings were taken 20 s after the addition of β-NAD at 340 nm, every 15 s interval for 2 min. All assays were run in triplicate using five specimens separately.

**Estimation of total nitrate–nitrite**

Serum and ovarian NO was estimated by the method of Miranda and coworkers (2001) with a change in standard – KNO$_3$ instead of NaNO$_2$. In brief, serum and 10% ovarian homogenate (w/v) were deproteinized by ethanol, centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min and supernatant was collected. Hundred microliters of each of the graded standard KNO$_3$ solution, serum and ovarian supernatant were taken separately, in triplicate wells of the microtiter plate and added 100 µL of vanadium trichloride (VCl$_3$) solution (0.8% VCl$_3$ in 1 M HCl) in each well, and then rapidly added the Griess reagent (50 µL 0.1% NEDD in distilled water and 50 µL 2% sulphonylamine in 5% HCl), incubated at 37°C for 30–45 min, and absorbance was measured at 540 nm. Standard curve for total nitrate–nitrite was plotted using absorbance against KNO$_3$ concentrations. The concentration of total nitrate and nitrite in serum and ovarian samples were extrapolated from the standard curve.
Determination of vitellogenin

Concentration of serum and ovarian vitellogenin (Vg1) were determined by homologous ELISA for catfish (C. batrachus) vitellogenin (catfish-Vg1) in the laboratory of Prof P Nath, Department of Zoology, Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan, India, adopting original method of Nath and Maitra (2001). Purified vitellogenin, its specific antibody and other reagents were provided by Prof Nath. Details of the procedure are described elsewhere (Nath & Maitra 2001, Maitra et al. 2007). In brief, microtiter plates were coated with 125 ng/well with catfish-Vg1 in 200 µL of carbonate–bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) and with buffer only for non-specific binding for overnight at 4°C. Next day morning, wells were flicked and washed with PBS-Tween-20 (PBS-T, pH 7.4) and blocked with 200 µL/well of 1% BSA. Two hundred microliters of serially diluted catfish-Vg1 (10,000–156 ng/mL), serum and 10% ovarian homogenate (w/v) samples (diluted 1:100 or more in 0.01 M PBS, pH 7.4) were incubated with equal volume of anti-Vg1 (diluted 1:40,000 in 0.01 M PBS) in micro-centrifuge tubes, separately, for 14 h at 4°C. Assay buffer (200 µL) was also incubated with 200 µL of diluted anti-Vg1 for B0 and with 200 µL of 1% BSA for non-specific binding (NSB). Two hundred microliters of these pre-incubated samples were then transferred in triplicate to the Vg1-coated microplates and incubated for overnight at 4°C. Then, the contents of microtiter plate were flicked and washed with PBS-T thrice. The immobilized Vg–antibody complex was detected with goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to peroxidase antiperoxidase (diluted 1:4000 in PBS-BSA). Color was developed by adding ortho-phenylene diamine (20 mg OPD in 50 mL of 0.1 M citrate–phosphate buffer, pH 5.0, containing 6 µL of hydrogen peroxide) to the plate (200 µL/well) and incubated for 30 min in darkness at room temperature. Reaction was stopped with 1 M H2SO4 (50 µL/well) and read at 492 nm on Anthos 2001 microplate reader (Gemini BV Laboratory, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands). Under these conditions, the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variance were 2.21% and 7.95% (n = 6) respectively.

Morphological analyses of ovary

For histological study, paraffin sections of ovaries collected from treated and control fish were de-paraffinized, hydrated...
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Gradually through graded ethanol to triple distilled water and stained finally with Ehrlich hematoxylin and eosin. After staining, sections were dehydrated sequentially in graded ethanol and were mounted with DPX and cover glass. Images were captured at 10× and 40× by Leica DM2000 camera attached microscope. To count different stages of oocytes, 10× magnified images were opened with Motic Images Plus V2.0 Software. After proper calibration of images, a field of 10 mm² area were selected randomly and perinuclear oocytes, oocytes-I, II and III were counted manually for three such fields from several ovarian sections belonging to the ovaries of five fish, separately. Finally counts were normalized in terms of percent and expressed as mean ± s.e.m.

Fish ovaries, being asynchronous mostly, contain oocytes of different developmental stages in the ovarian stroma. The relative proportion of advanced oocytes increases with the advancement of ovarian development. Different oocytes were categorized based on specific features of each stage and counted as per Sundararaj and Sehgal (1970). The perinucleolar oocytes are very small oocytes with large germinal vesicle showing multiple nucleoli at its periphery and were surrounded by very little cytoplasm. It also exhibited a juxtanuclear mass termed as Balbiani body or yolk nucleus. Oocytes-I, non-yolky oocytes, were differentiated by its relatively larger size over perinucleolar oocytes with big germinal vesicle, substantial cytoplasm and surrounded by somatic cells. Oocyte-II, an early yolky oocyte, was distinguished from oocytes-I by its further enlarged size with distinct cortical alveoli beneath the oocyte membrane. It was enveloped by single granulosa cell layer and thecal layers. Oocytes III, more developed oocytes, were full of yolk granules and had fully developed granulosa and thecal layers.

Figure 3 Representative images of hematoxylin/eosin-stained transverse sections of mid-recrudescence ovaries of C. batrachus after treatments with l-NAME (50 µg and 100 µg) and SNP (25 µg and 50 µg) per 100 g b.wt. Photographs were taken at 10× (a, b, c, d and e) and at 40× (A, B, C, D and E). Oocyte counts are presented in figure F in term of percentage. Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other, whereas bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at P<0.05 (Duncan's multiple range test). Superscripts A, B, C and D are used for perinucleolar oocytes, whereas a, b and c for oocytes-I, 1 and 2 for oocytes-II and α, β, *, #, and for oocytes-III. Note: Perinucleolar oocytes (P), Oocytes-I (OC-I), Oocytes-II (OC-II), Oocytes-III (OC-III), Germinal vesicle (GV), Cortical Alveoli (CA), Granulosa Cell (GC), Thecal Cell (TC). The F values after ANOVA of data related to perinucleolar, oocyte I, II and III counts in response to l-NAME treatment during the mid-quiescence phase were 47.120, 51.319, 30.855 and 54.815, whereas 47.349, 49.128, 9.802 and 75.671, respectively after SNP treatment.

Figure 4 Effect of different doses of l-NAME (50 µg and 100 µg) and SNP (25 µg and 50 µg) per 100 g b.wt. on vitellogenin1 concentration in ovary and serum of C. batrachus during the late-quiescence (A) and mid-recrudescence phases (B). Each bar represents mean ± s.e.m. (n=5). Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other, whereas bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at P<0.05 (Duncan’s multiple range test). Superscripts a, b and c are used for ovarian Vg1 whereas &*, * and # for serum Vg1. The F values after ANOVA of data related to ovarian vitellogenin1 and serum vitellogenin1 in response to l-NAME treatment during the late-quiescence phase were 493.897 and 16.601, whereas 69.321 and 1.312 during the mid-recrudescence phase; likewise, in case of SNP treatment, F values were 213.996 and 5.537 during the late-quiescence phase and 40.603 and 23.564 during the mid-recrudescence phase.
Immunohistochemical localization of nNOS, iNOS and eNOS in ovary

Paraffin sections of ovary, collected from the treated and control fish of the mid-recrudescence phase were de-paraffinized, hydrated gradually through graded ethanol to distilled water and finally washed with PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) thrice. Then, endogenous peroxidase activity were quenched in 1:40 H$_2$O$_2$ in methanol for 30 min and washed in PBS. These sections were then processed for immunohistochemical localization of different NOSs through HRP-conjugated antibody method. Details of antibodies used against different NOS and the protocol for immunolocalization are described elsewhere by the authors (nee Pathak & Lal 2010, Singh & Lal 2015). Specificity of antibodies was validated through immunoblotting before their routine use. Proteins of the mice brain for nNOS, mice uterus for eNOS, macrophages for iNOS and catfish ovary were separated on non-reducing SDS-PAGE (7%) and then electro-transferred on polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane along with appropriate protein molecular weight markers and incubated them separately, with corresponding primary antibodies and finally with secondary antibody. Blots were developed with ECL kit on X-ray film.

For immunolocalization, briefly, the ovarian sections were first incubated with 5% normal goat serum for 1 h at room temperature in a moist chamber. Then, it was drained and incubated with 1:600 diluted anti-eNOS, anti-iNOS and anti-nNOS antibodies.
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Methods

anti-nNOS, separately, for overnight at 4°C. Next morning, sections were drained, washed in PBS, followed by their incubation with HRP-tagged secondary antibody (dilution 1:100) for 3.5 h at room temperature, thereafter washed with PBS. Then sections were subjected to the chromogen 0.06% 3-3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride hydrate (DAB) with H2O2 and kept in darkness for 5–10 min to develop color. Reaction was stopped by dipping sections in PBS, dehydrated and mounted with glass cover and DPX. In corresponding negative control sections, primary antibodies were replaced by PBS. The images were captured at 40× by Leica DM2000 camera attached microscope. The immunoreactivity was analyzed by spot densitometry tool, Alpha EaseFC software (Alpha Innotech Corp., CA, USA). Integrated density values (IDV) in term of arbitrary unit were calculated in ovarian sections for NOSs expression after deducting the value of negative control.

Statistical analyses

Data on concentrations of 17β-estradiol, testosterone, vitellogenin, total nitrate–nitrite and activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD and GSI are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 5). Ovarian testosterone is expressed as ng/100 g ovary during the late-quiescence phase and ng/g ovary and ng/10 g ovarian fragments) during the mid-recrudescence phase, whereas 17β-estradiol in terms of ng/g ovary. The circulating levels of 17β-estradiol and testosterone are expressed as ng/mL serum. The total nitrate–nitrite is given as µM/g ovary and µM/mL serum. The activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD are expressed as unit/h/g ovary. Intensity of NOSs expression is presented as IDV. Data were analyzed through ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05). For in vitro studies, the mean for each treatment was calculated using data of four wells for each ovary, separately. Then after means of five separate ovaries, taken for study, were used to calculate final mean ± SEM for presentation and ANOVA analyses supplemented with post hoc tests.

Results

Effects of SNP and l-NAME on gonadosomatic index (GSI)

GSI was suppressed by the l-NAME but was elevated by SNP treatments at their higher dose levels during the

Figure 7 Effect of different doses of l-NAME (50µg and 100µg) and SNP (25µg and 50µg) per 100 g b.wt. on activity of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD during the late-quiescence (A and B) and the mid-recrudescence phase (C and D) in C. batrachus. Each bar represents mean ± S.E.M. (n = 5). Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other, whereas mean bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at P < 0.05 (Duncan’s multiple range test). Superscripts A and B are used for 3β-HSD and a, b and c for 17β-HSD.

The F values after ANOVA of data related to ovarian 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD in response to l-NAME treatment during the late-quiescence phase were 19.394 and 56.333, whereas 21.410 and 70.342 respectively during the mid-recrudescence phase. Similarly, in response to SNP treatment, F values were 25.622 and 23.063 during the late-quiescence phase and 94.174 and 34.650 during the mid-recrudescence phase.

Figure 8 In vitro effect of different doses of l-NAME (10−3 M, 10−4 M and 10−5 M) and SNP (10−6 M, 10−5 M and 5 × 10−4 M) on testosterone, 17β-estradiol and NO levels in ovarian fragments of C. batrachus during the mid-recrudescence phase. Each bar represents mean ± S.E.M. (n = 4). Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other, whereas bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at P < 0.05 (Duncan’s multiple range test). Superscripts A, B and C are used for NO while a, b, c and d for 17β-estradiol, and *, α and # for testosterone. The F values after ANOVA of data related to T in ovarian fragments in response to l-NAME treatment was 12.402 while 31.664 and 36.126 for 17β-estradiol and NO respectively. Similarly, F values for T, 17β-estradiol and NO in ovarian fragments after SNP treatment were 75.993, 78.303 and 10.275, respectively.
late-quiescent phase (Fig. 1A). However, during the mid-recrudescence phase, both doses of l-NAME decreased and SNP increased GSI significantly ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 1B).

**Effects of SNP and l-NAME on ovarian histology**

During the late-quiescent phase, the ovaries were relatively small and contained only perinucleolar oocytes and oocyte-I in the ovigerous folds (Fig. 2a and A). Though the ovary treated with lower dose of l-NAME did not change the ovarian morphology in general (Fig. 2b and B), ovary treated with higher dose of l-NAME revealed relatively more perinucleolar oocytes and less oocytes-I (Fig. 2c, C and F) as compared to the control ovary. However, SNP at both dose levels increased the oocytes-I and decreased the number of perinucleolar oocytes marginally (Fig. 2d, D, e, E and F) in comparison to the control. Occasional oocytes-II was also observed in the ovary treated with higher dose of SNP (Fig. 2e, E).

In the mid-recrudescence phase, ovary became large and was filled with perinucleolar oocytes, oocytes-I and II and occasional oocytes III. The ovary of this phase was characterized by the presence of relatively large number of oocytes-I and II (Fig. 3a and A) as compared to the perinucleolar oocytes when compared to late-quiescent ovary (Fig. 2a and A). Effects of NO-related drugs were more pronounced during this phase than the late-quiescence phase. SNP treatment of recrudescing catfish stimulated remarkable ovarian development as evident from elevated GSI (Fig. 1B). SNP-treated ovary showed predominantly oocyte-III, whereas the perinucleolar and oocyte-I were drastically reduced as
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Figure 9 In vitro effect of different doses of l-NAME ($10^{-5}$M, $10^{-4}$M and $10^{-3}$M) and SNP ($10^{-4}$M, $10^{-3}$M and $5 \times 10^{-4}$M) on testosterone, $17\beta$-estradiol and NO levels in medium after incubation of ovarian fragments of *C. batrachus* during the mid-recrudescence phase. Each bar represents mean ± s.e.m. ($n = 4$). Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other while bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at $P < 0.05$ (Duncan’s multiple range test). Superscripts A, B, C and D are used for NO while a, b, c and d for $17\beta$-estradiol. The F value after ANOVA of data related to $17\beta$-estradiol in media in response to l-NAME treatment was 20.974 while 37.141 for NO. Similarly, F values for $17\beta$-estradiol and NO in media after SNP treatment were 54.433 and 301.088 respectively.

Figure 10 In vitro effect of different doses of l-NAME ($10^{-5}$M, $10^{-4}$M and $10^{-3}$M) and SNP ($10^{-4}$M, $10^{-3}$M and $5 \times 10^{-4}$M) on activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD in ovarian fragments of *C. batrachus* during the mid-recrudescence phase. Each bar represents mean ± s.e.m. ($n = 4$). Means bearing same superscripts do not differ from each other while bearing different superscripts are different from each other statistically at $P < 0.05$ (Duncan’s multiple range test). Superscripts A, B, C and D are used for 3β-HSD while a, b, c and d for 17β-HSD. The F value after ANOVA of data related to 3β-HSD activity in ovarian fragments in response to l-NAME treatment was 27.413 while 20.303 for 17β-HSD. Similarly, F values for 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD activities in ovarian fragments after SNP treatment were 68.354 and 16.210 respectively.

Figure 11 Immunoblots for validation of specificities of eNOS, iNOS and nNOS antibodies. Lane FO ovary of *C. batrachus*, lane MU-mice uterus, lane MM- mouse macrophages, lane MB- mouse brain and lane M wt.- molecular weight marker.
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compared to the control ovaries (Fig. 3d, D, e, E and F). On the contrary, treatment with l-NAME suppressed the ovarian development as was evident from the more oocyte-I and very less oocyte-II (Fig. 3b, B, c, C and F) as compared to control ovary (Fig. 3a, A and F). Oocyte-III was rarely observed in l-NAME-treated ovary (Fig. 3b, B, c, C and F).

**Effects of SNP and l-NAME on circulating and ovarian vitellogenin**

Although vitellogenin level in the serum was unaltered, it was suppressed in the ovary by the higher dose of l-NAME during the late quiescent phase (Fig. 4A). Treatment with high dose of SNP, however, resulted in the marginal rise in vitellogenin in serum and ovary, both. Low dose of SNP was ineffective in altering its level in serum and ovary (Fig. 4A). During the mid-recrudescence phase, both the doses of l-NAME decreased the vitellogenin in the serum as well as ovary. Serum vitellogenin level was drastically suppressed (Fig. 4B). On the contrary, both the doses of SNP increased the vitellogenin in ovary tremendously, whereas its low dose failed to change its level in serum but increased it at high dose level (Fig. 4B).
In vivo effects of SNP and l-NAME on circulating and ovarian 17β-estradiol, testosterone and total nitrate–nitrite

During the late-quiescence phase, ovarian levels of 17β-estradiol, testosterone and NO were decreased by the higher dose of l-NAME (Fig. 5A), whereas SNP treatment elevated their levels in the ovary only at its higher dose (Fig. 5B). Similar effects of these NO-related compounds were observed on their serum levels too except that low dose of l-NAME also decreased NO and testosterone (Fig. 5C and D). However, during the mid-recrudescence phase, both the doses of l-NAME decreased and SNP markedly increased ovarian (Fig. 6A and B) and circulating (Fig. 6C and D) levels of 17β-estradiol, testosterone and NO.

In vivo effects of SNP and l-NAME on the activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD in ovary

l-NAME treatment suppressed the activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD in the ovary only at higher doses during the late-quiescence phase (Fig. 7A), but SNP increased their activities at its higher dose level (Fig. 7B). However, during mid-recrudescence phase, activities of these steroidogenic enzymes were decreased by l-NAME (Fig. 7C) and increased by SNP (Fig. 7D) respectively, at both their dose levels (P < 0.05).

In vitro effects of SNP and l-NAME on 17β-estradiol, testosterone and total nitrate–nitrite in ovarian fragments and culture medium

In the mid-recrudescence phase, all the three doses of l-NAME suppressed the ovarian levels of NO, 17β-estradiol and testosterone in a dose-dependent manner in general (Fig. 8A). However, SNP treatment increased their levels; maximum increase was observed at 10⁻⁵ M SNP (Fig. 8B). Although 10⁻⁴ M SNP raised the levels of NO, 17β-estradiol and testosterone in ovarian fragments in comparison to control but induction was less than the 10⁻⁵ M SNP (Fig. 8B). The levels of NO and 17β-estradiol in the culture medium was also reduced by the l-NAME in dose-dependent manner (Fig. 9A). On the contrary, SNP treatments raised their levels in the culture Medium-199 (Fig. 9B). Moreover, testosterone was neither detected in the medium of control group nor in the treated ones.

In vitro effects of SNP and l-NAME on the activities of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD in ovarian fragments

The activities of these steroidogenic enzymes in the cultured ovarian fragments were decreased by the l-NAME in a dose-dependent manner during the mid-recrudescence phases (Fig. 10A). Although SNP treatment resulted in the significant increase in their activities in comparison to the control ovarian fragments except that SNP at low dose did not influence the activity of 17β-HSD (Fig. 10B).

Effects of SNP and l-NAME on expressions of different NOS in the ovary

The immunoblots presented in Fig. 11 indicates clearly that the antibodies against different NOSs were highly specific, as evident from the single band in the catfish ovarian extract, corresponding to the appropriate molecular weight and the single band in mice tissue’s extract lanes against respective antibody.
After validation, antibodies of different NOSs were used for their immunolocalization in the catfish ovary.

During the mid-recrudescence phase, intense immunoreaction against eNOS antibody were detected in the theca and granulosa layer in the control and SNP-treated ovarian follicles (Fig. 12A, D, E and F), whereas l-NAME treatment reduced the immunoreaction against eNOS antibody (Fig. 12B, C and F). Immunoprecipitation against iNOS and nNOS antibodies were enhanced by the SNP and suppressed by the l-NAME in theca and granulosa cells in comparison to the controls (Figs 13A, B, C, D, E, F and 14A, B, C, D, E, F) respectively. Interestingly, an intense immunoprecipitation against iNOS was also observed in the germinal vesicle of oocyte-I and II of the control as well as l-NAME-treated catfish ovary (Fig. 13A, B and C).

Discussion

Findings of the present study clearly suggest that NO stimulates ovarian folliculogenesis and steroidogenesis in fish. The effectiveness of ovarian stimulatory action of NO depends on the follicular status in fish ovary and concentration of NO. Study also reveals that NO regulates NOS expression in the ovary.

The study indicates that NO promotes follicular growth and maturation in fish ovary as evident from the increased GSI and substantially high number of advanced oocytes, particularly oocytes III, in SNP-treated catfish compared to control fish ovary. l-NAME treatment rather suppressed ovarian development as shown by the decreased GSI and relatively no advance oocytes in ovary. Manwar and coworkers (2006) have also reported that NO promotes ovarian function in a bird Japanese quail, Coturnix coturnix japonica and have shown that high level of ovarian NO is associated with high egg production. However, they have not provided any basis for the NO-stimulated folliculogenesis in Japanese quail. In mammals also, a positive correlation between ovarian NO and growing follicles has been reported (Rosselli et al. 1998, Kumar et al. 2012). In fact, NO is established as one of the several intraovarian regulators of folliculogenesis (Rosselli et al. 1998, Mitsube et al. 2002, Goud et al. 2005, Skarzynski et al. 2005). Matsumi and coworkers (2000) have observed an association between developmental status of immature follicles (either into development or atresia) and iNOS level in granulosa cells. El-Shery et al. (2013) has reported high NO level in ovary concomitant with increase in follicular diameter in ewes.

The follicular growth and development in fishes are largely due to the accumulation of vitellogenin-derived yolk proteins, which is critical in determining the egg quality and reproductive success (Maitra et al. 2007). Vitellogenin, a glycolipophosphoprotein, is synthesized in the liver under the influence of 17β-estradiol and secreted into the blood from where it is sequestered by the growing oocytes through receptor-mediated mechanism under hormonal control. Circulating vitellogenin was significantly high in SNP-treated catfish. Vitellogenin-derived complex protein was also remarkably increased in the ovary. On the contrary, l-NAME decreased it in serum and ovary drastically. Folliculogenic role of NO has been reported earlier in oviparous quail, but no explanation has been provided. However, the present study for the first time provides direct evidence that NO induces vitellogenin synthesis and its uptake by the oocytes in fish. It is likely that the NO-induced 17β-estradiol in C. batrachus might have stimulated vitellogenesis. As NO is known to stimulate the release of gonadotropins, it is possible that NO might have promoted the incorporation of vitellogenin in growing oocytes and depositing it as yolk proteins (Maitra et al. 2007, Nath et al. 2007) by stimulating the release of gonadotropins. The massive increase in yolk granules in highly advanced oocytes in the SNP-treated catfish ovary and occasional appearance of such yolk granules in l-NAME-treated ovary support this notion.

NO also seems to promote the growth and development of follicular layer as a distinct growth in the follicular layer of the follicles in SNP-treated ovary was observed than that of the follicular layer of follicles in the control and l-NAME-treated ovary. The increased steroidogenic activity after SNP treatments indicates the possibilities of NO-induced hypertrophy in steroidogenic cells, i.e., granulosa cells and special thecal cells. Authors have already reported the seasonal pattern of growth of oocytes in this catfish, C. batrachus (Singh & Lal 2015), wherein a reproductive phase-dependent gradual growth in follicular layer is depicted and therein follicular layer of the highly advanced follicles is quite thick in comparison to the less-developed follicles. In fact, in highly advanced ovarian follicles in fishes, the follicular cells are highly hypertrophied; the granulosa cells form single layer around oocytes and thecal cells are arranged in multiple layers around the granulosa cells having a basement membrane between granulosa and thecal cells (Hoar & Nagahama 1978).

Activation of 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD enzymes in catfish ovary with concomitant increase in circulating and ovarian 17β-estradiol and testosterone concentrations after SNP treatment distinctly indicate that NO stimulates ovarian steroidogenesis. This notion is further substantiated by the fact that l-NAME suppressed the activities of these enzymes and simultaneously declined the levels of 17β-estradiol and testosterone in serum and ovary in C. batrachus. Authors have recently reported the expression of nNOS, eNOS and iNOS predominantly in the thecal and granulosa cells of the growing follicles, and positive correlation between ovarian NO and 17β-estradiol with growing follicular size, suggesting an involvement of NO in...
ovarian steroidogenesis (Singh & Lal 2015). However, these findings are in marked contrast to most of the earlier studies, which reveal NO-induced inhibition in ovarian steroidogenesis.

In fact, a number of studies have been carried to examine the role of NO in ovarian steroidogenesis in mammals; however, results are highly inconsistent. Studies suggest that NO exerts anti-steroidogenic effects in rat (Dave et al. 1997), human (Van Voorhis et al. 1994, Rosselli et al. 1998), porcine (Matsumi et al. 2000, Ponderato et al. 2000, Grasselli et al. 2001) and bovine (Basini & Tamanini 2000). Jablonka-Shariff and Olson (1998) observed many-fold increase in estradiol and no change in progesterone concentration in eNOS-knockout mice as compared to control mice. Mitsube and coworkers (1999) have noticed no effect of L-NMMA (a NOS inhibitor) on LH-stimulated steroidogenesis ex vivo perfused preovulatory rat ovary, but spermine NONOate (an NO donor) stimulated progesterone production. Treatment of PMSG primed rat ovary with NO donor promoted progesterone production in a dose-dependent manner but suppressed the estradiol secretion concomitantly (Dong et al. 1999). Bonello and coworkers (1996) noticed a decreased 17β-estradiol secretion in rats after ovarian perfusion with L-NAME (a NOS inhibitor) and suggested that NO may positively regulate 17β-estradiol synthesis. Faes and coworkers (2009) have reported a biphasic effect of NO on 17β-estradiol secretion by antral granulosa cells of cow in vitro; higher dose of SNP (10^{-3} M) decreases and low dose (10^{-5} M) increases 17β-estradiol secretion. The mode and mechanisms that are proposed to explain the negative effects of NO on ovarian steroid production are also varied. Some studies suggest the involvement of NO/cGMP pathway in inhibition of steroidogenesis in rats (Ellman et al. 1993) and pigs (Grasselli et al. 2001). Basini and coworkers (2000), however, have suggested that the anti-steroidogenic action of NO is cGMP independent and is possibly mediated through inhibition of cytochrome P450 aromatase (Van Voorhis et al. 1994). Kagabu and coworkers (1999) have reported NO-induced reduction in aromatase mRNA.

In vitro findings on steroidogenic parameters in the present study further support the steroidogenic role of NO. They also suggest that NO stimulates steroidogenesis by directly acting at ovarian level, though under in vivo condition, its action through hypothalmo–hypophyseal axis cannot be ruled out. It may be suggested that exogenous NO might be stimulating steroid production, at least in part, by augmenting the release of GnRH and gonadotropins by acting at hypothalmo–hypophyseal level. This suggestion is proposed based on some earlier reports wherein NO is shown to release the GnRH and gonadotropins (Kumar et al. 2012). NO is known to release gonadotropins from pituitary by stimulating GnRH secretion from hypothalamus through the activation of heme-containing guanylate cyclase and neuropeptide Y (Bonavera et al. 1996). NO is also reported to decrease brain dopamine (Lorrain & Hull 1993), a strong gonadotropin-release inhibitor in most fishes. SNP might have increased the secretion of gonadotropin in the catfish by decreasing the dopamine. NO might also have activated 3β-HSD and 17β-HSD enzymes to enhance the sex steroid production.

The expressions of all NOS isoforms were enhanced by SNP and reduced by L-NAME suggesting thereby that NO may regulate NOS expression in ovary through feedback mechanism. This positive feedback control of eNOS, iNOS and nNOS expressions in fish may be similar to the recent report by Lee and Choy (2013) who have shown that NO augments iNOS expression in human lung epithelial cell line (A549) through S-nitrosylation of Ras and activation of PI3K and mTOR. Yuhanna and coworkers (1999) have also demonstrated the positive feedback control mechanism of eNOS expression by NO in human lung. Some studies, however, have reported negative feedback control of NOS expressions by NO; iNOS-derived NO downregulates iNOS expression in mouse cells (Griscavage et al. 1993, Hinz et al. 2000). Similarly, NO is also known to inhibit the expressions of eNOS (Grumbach et al. 2005) and nNOS in rat forebrain (De Alba et al. 1999). Though it is suggested that NO may use varieties of mode and mechanism to exert these feedback control on NOS expressions (Griscavage et al. 1993, De Alba et al. 1999, Yuhanna et al. 1999, Hinz et al. 2000, Lee & Choy 2013), no study has been carried out so far to examine that how these feedback regulation of NOS expression by NO is terminated. The experimental design of the present study does not permit us to comment on this aspect, however, considering the wide-ranging biological actions of NO in tissue-specific manner, it may be proposed that perhaps the redox status and concentration of NO of the target cells, bestowed with specific cellular machinery and molecular ambience, altogether may decide the type of feedback effect of NO on the NOS expressions and their termination.

Finally, it can be summarized that chemical (exogenous NO donor) as well as biological (ovary-derived) NO promotes the follicular growth and development in the oviparous lower vertebrates by augmenting vitellogenesis and its uptake by ovarian follicles. It also stimulates the ovarian steroidogenesis. To the best of author’s knowledge, this is the first report in fish. Effectiveness of NO actions seems to be reproductive status dependent; NO exerts more pronounced effects in fish, which has already begun the ovarian activities. The folliculogenic and steroidogenic action of NO appears to be mediated partly through NO-induced GnRH and gonadotropins secretions. However, other mode and mechanisms of NO action cannot be ruled out. The regulation of expressions of NOS by NO is also a noteworthy finding.
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