The effects of three different exercise modalities on markers of male reproduction in healthy subjects: a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT), high-intensity continuous training (HICT) and high-intensity interval training (HIIT) on markers of male reproduction including seminal markers of oxidative stress and inflammation as well as semen quality and sperm DNA integrity in healthy human subjects. A total of 397 healthy male volunteers were screened and 280 were randomly assigned to one of the MICT (n = 70), HICT (n = 70), HIIT (n = 70) and non-exercise (NON-EX, n = 70) groups. Subjects had inflammatory markers (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α), oxidants (ROS, MDA and 8-isoprostane), antioxidants (SOD, catalase and TAC), semen parameters and sperm DNA damage measured at baseline (T₀), the end of week 12 (T₂), the end of week 24 (T₄), and 7 (T₅) and 30 days (T₆) after training. Chronic MICT, HICT and HIIT attenuated seminal markers of oxidative stress and inflammation with different kinetics for the three types of exercise (P < 0.05), and these changes were correlated with favorable improvements in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity (P < 0.05). MICT was superior to HICT and HIIT in the improvements of markers of male reproductive function (P < 0.05). In conclusion, different exercise modalities favorably affect markers of male reproduction with different kinetics, suggesting intensity-, duration- and type-dependent adaptations to exercise training in healthy human subjects.


Introduction

Human semen contains a variety of different cytokines and other immunological factors that may affect physiologic events underlying male reproductive function (Politch et al. 2007). Cytokines, at physiological concentrations, constitute natural components of the seminal plasma and are intrinsically involved in normal reproductive physiology (Fraczek & Kurpisz 2015). However, local or systemic perturbations of these cytokines have been associated with poor semen quality and may be critically dangerous for sperm membranes and DNA integrity (Fraczek & Kurpisz 2015). Some authors have also suggested that high levels of certain proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-1α/β, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) upregulate the expression of genes responsible for the redox system in semen (Naz & Kaplan 1994, Fraczek & Kurpisz 2007). In this sense, an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation by human sperm was observed after incubation of ejaculated spermatozoa with recombinant cytokines at pathological concentrations (Martinez et al. 2007, Fraczek et al. 2008). Under physiological conditions, ROS play an important role in normal physiological processes to ensure appropriate fertilization, but the same ROS under pathological conditions, may induce oxidative stress and can negatively influence the quality of spermatozoa and impair their overall fertilizing capacity (Fraczek et al. 2008). Oxidative stress has been identified as an area of great attention because of the fact that ROS and their metabolites can lead to largely irreversible perturbations of semen parameters and sperm DNA integrity. The consequences are poor fertilization, poor embryonic development, pregnancy loss, birth defects and childhood cancer (De Iuliis et al. 2006, Tremellen 2008, Aitken et al. 2010, Agarwal et al. 2014). To preserve cellular damage by overproduction of ROS, seminal plasma contains a number of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants, which act as free radical scavengers to eliminate surplus ROS and allow
a balance between ROS generation and scavenging activity to be achieved. These antioxidants not only prevent these reactive species from being formed but also remove them before they can damage vital components of the sperm cell (Khosrowbeygi & Zarghami 2007, Yousefniapasha et al. 2015).

Over the last decade, a number of studies have been published on the relevance and effects of physical exercise on reproductive function (Safarinejad et al. 2009, Tartibian & Maleki 2012a,b, Tartibian et al. 2012, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Previous studies have reported that strenuous exercise in the form of long-distance running and endurance cycling may be deleterious for sperm production (De Souza et al. 1994, Wise et al. 2011, Tartibian & Maleki 2012b, Tartibian et al. 2012, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). In this sense, several studies from the author’s group demonstrated that exercise-induced changes in seminal markers of oxidative stress and proinflammatory cytokines were negatively correlated with semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity (Tartibian & Maleki 2012b, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). However, another theory supported by more recent evidence suggests that exercise might improve the semen quality (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Gaskins et al. 2015). Data from recent studies in this context also consistently show an association between training status and markers of male reproduction (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013). A recent study in our lab, for instance, showed that in young, healthy male volunteers, the higher levels of leisure-time physical activity were associated with better semen parameters and DNA integrity as well as lower pro-antioxidant ratio, whereas both vigorous-intensity physical activities and sedentary life style were associated with perturbations in sperm DNA integrity and redox homeostasis as well as poor semen parameters (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013). These findings raise the hypothesis that the magnitude and permanence of reproductive response to exercise may differ with respect to factors such as type of exercise, magnitude of exercise exposure and the intensity of exercise protocol.

Although the modulating effects of exercise training on immunological and oxidative stress responses are widely recognized across body fluids, organs and tissues (Tartibian et al. 2011, 2015, Oh et al. 2013, Soares et al. 2015), its implementation remains challenging in the area of male reproduction. Furthermore, the efficacy of different types of exercise training is still elusive in this field. Up to now, there are also limited data about the influence of chronic exercise on markers of male reproduction. Hence, taken together, we carried out a randomized controlled trial to evaluate comparatively the effects of moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT), high-intensity continuous training (HICT) and high-intensity interval training (HIIT) on markers of male reproduction including seminal markers of oxidative stress and inflammation as well as semen quality and sperm DNA integrity in healthy human subjects.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval

The research protocol was approved by the Human Subject Internal Review Board committee of the Urmia University of Iran.

Experimental design and subjects

A total of 397 healthy men (aged 25–40) were enrolled in this study. Preliminary screening included a medical history and physical examination by medical specialist in Urmia University outpatient clinic. Before the initiation of the study protocol, each subject also performed a Bruce treadmill test (Ergo XELG90 Spezial, Woodway, Weil am Rhein, Germany) to determine the maximal oxygen uptake (VO$_{\text{max}}$). The initial speed and incline were 1.7 mph and 10% respectively. The incline is incremented 2% every 3 min and the speed is incremented 0.8 mph every 3 min until the treadmill reaches 22% grade and 6.0 mph. Respiration parameters were analyzed using Oxygen record (E. Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany). All exercise tests were terminated voluntarily by the subjects or when established criteria of test termination were met (Bruce et al. 1963). To be eligible to participate in the study, subjects had to be married men 25–40 years of age; in good health, as determined by a normal physical examination and routine laboratory tests within the previous year; with no history of chronic illness, serious systemic diseases, testicular varicocele and genital infection; with no history of use of antioxidants as supplements like vitamins and medications that could alter the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal (HPG) axis, such as anabolic steroids; with no history of use of cigarette and alcohol in the last 6 months; with regular eating patterns and with no history of depressive illness; with normal physical and sexual development; not working in professions where the activity might influence reproductive capacity; and with no relevant previous surgery (e.g., vasectomy reversal or varicocele removal). Individuals participating in a regular exercise program or accumulating 25 min or more of moderate physical activity on most days of the week or those unable to participate in the physical activity program were excluded (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a,b, Tartibian et al. 2012, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Once they met the inclusion criteria, eligible subjects ($n=280$) provided written informed consent and entered the study and were randomly assigned to one of 4 groups: MICT ($n=70$), HICT ($n=70$), HIIT ($n=70$) and non-exercise (NON-EX, $n=70$) groups (Table 1). With an $\alpha=0.05$, an effect size $=0.89$ and a power of 0.97, a sample size of 70 was recommended. Randomization was performed by random number generation, and group assignment was placed in a sealed envelope, which was opened by the study...
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Nineteen subjects (MICT, \( n = 4 \); HICT, \( n = 8 \); HIIT, \( n = 5 \); and NON-EX, \( n = 2 \)) could not complete the study protocol and were excluded from the study. Thus, 261 subjects remained in the analysis (Fig. 1). Subjects had anthropometric characteristics (weight, BMI, body fat and waist circumference), aerobic capacity (\( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \)), inflammatory markers (IL-\( \beta \), IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-\( \alpha \)), oxidants (ROS, malondialdehyde (MDA) and 8-isoprostane), antioxidants (superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase and total antioxidant capacity (TAC)), semen parameters and sperm DNA damage measured at baseline (T\(_1\)), the end of week 12 (T\(_2\)), the end of week 24 (T\(_3\)), and 7 (T\(_4\)) and 30 days (T\(_5\)) after training.

**MICT protocol**

During the first 12 weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, subjects walked or jogged on a treadmill 25–30 min/day,
3–4 days/week, at 45–55% of their VO2max and then increased to 40–45 min/day, 4–6 days/week at an intensity of 56–69% of VO2max during the final 12 weeks. All training sessions consisted of 10–15 min of warm-up (40–45% VO2max) and cool-down (35–40% VO2max).

**HICT protocol**

During the first 12 weeks of high-intensity exercise training, subjects performed a 40- to 50-min treadmill running protocol, 3 times a week, consisting of 10 min of running at 70–75% of their VO2max (the work phase) followed by a 3 min at 50–60% of VO2max (the recovery phase). This cycle was repeated four times within each exercise session. During the final 12 weeks, participants performed a 50- to 60-min treadmill running protocol, 3 times a week, consisting of 10 min of running at 75–85% of their VO2max (the work phase) followed by 3 min at 50–60% of VO2max (the recovery phase). This cycle was repeated five times within each exercise session. All training sessions consisted of 10–15 min of warm-up (50–55% VO2max) and cool-down (40–45% VO2max).

**HIIT protocol**

Subjects participated in supervised HIIT on treadmill 3 times a week for 24 weeks. During the first 12 weeks of HIIT, subjects performed 10 ×1-min intervals (75–85% of VO2max) each interspersed by 1 min of recovery with 45–50% of VO2max. During the final 12 weeks, subjects performed 15 ×1-min intervals (85–95% of VO2max) followed by 1 min of recovery with 45–50% of VO2max between each interval. In each training session, a warm-up of 10- to 15-min (50–55% VO2max) and a cool-down of 10–15 min (40–45% VO2max) were performed.

All training sessions were performed at the same time of the day (17:00–19:00 h) and instructions in correct exercise techniques and supervision of the participants throughout the entire training period were performed by a professional instructor and an experienced physician. Exercise adherence was documented through the use of Polar heart rate monitors, and subjects received feedback to adjust to the prescribed intensity. The NON-EX group subjects were instructed to maintain their current physical activity levels during the study as previously described. All subjects were requested to maintain their normal daily activities and not to modify their lifestyles during the 24-week intervention period other than to comply with the requirements of the study. Subjects were asked to refrain from exercising during the post-training period.

**Dietary and medication intake measures**

Trained dietitians collected dietary data over preceding 12 months using a validated semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a,b, Tartibian et al. 2012, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016a,b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Subjects were required to maintain their normal diet during the period of study and instructed to consume a diet as similar as possible in each sampling days. A detailed diary of all types and household measures of food and drinks consumed, including brand names, was recorded at baseline and 30 days after training. Data from the diary were used to check compliance with the diet and ensure that dietary intake did not vary more than that would be expected over the study. Subjects were required to avoid any prescriptive or over-the-counter medications/supplements and foods that may influence the reproductive function one week before and during the study. Information on use of medications/supplements was also obtained through standard and self-reported questionnaires.

**Anthropometric characteristics and aerobic capacity**

Height and body weight were measured to the nearest 0.25 cm and nearest 0.1 kg respectively, using a floor model physician’s scale/stadiometer. Percent body fat and BMI were measured using a body fat analyzer (Omron HBF 306, Japan). Waist circumference also was measured with the standardized cloth tape measure. Additionally, VO2max was measured using the Bruce protocol (Bruce et al. 1963).

**Measurements**

**Semen sampling and assays**

All the subjects were given clear instructions on how to collect their semen samples, and all the samples were provided on site. Each subject collected his semen sample by masturbation into a sterile container. All subjects were advised to observe an abstinence of 3 days and to deliver semen sample on the 4th day (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a,b, Tartibian et al. 2012, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Abstinence times did not differ between the groups. Samples were taken at baseline, 12 weeks, 24 weeks and 7 and 30 days after training. All samples were taken 24 h after the last exercise bout. After allowing at least 30 min for liquefaction to occur, semen analysis was performed to measure semen volume, progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration and number of spermatozoa according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for the examination of human semen (WHO 2010). Liquefied semen samples were centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min. The supernatant seminal plasma was then frozen at –80°C until examination. Semen evaluations were performed on each sample by the same experienced technician throughout the study for the assessment of sperm DNA damage, seminal ROS, MDA, 8-isoprostane, SOD, catalase, TAC, IL-β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α.

**Sperm DNA fragmentation assay**

Sperm DNA fragmentation was evaluated with the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated fluorescein-dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay using Apo-Direct kit (Pharmlingen, San Diego, CA, USA) as established earlier (Gorczyca et al. 1993, Mahfouz et al. 2009a). Briefly, 1–2 million spermatozoa were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), resuspended in 3.7% paraformaldehyde with adjustment of the concentration to 1 × 10^6 sperm/ml and placed on ice for 30–60 min at 4°C. Then, spermatozoa were again washed to remove the paraformaldehyde and then resuspended in...
70% ice-cold ethanol. Specimens were kept at −20°C until the run time. In addition, we also included an internal set of samples that were tested negative or positive for DNA damage with each run. After a second wash in PBS to remove the ethanol, sperm pellets were resuspended in 50 µL of the freshly prepared staining solution for 60 min at 37°C. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, the staining solution contains terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) enzyme, TdT reaction buffer, fluorescein isothiocyanate-tagged deoxyuridine triphosphate nucleotides (FITC-dUTP) and distilled water. All specimens were further washed in rinse buffer to remove the unbound reaction solution, resuspended in 0.5 mL of propidium iodide/RNase solution and incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. All fluorescence signals of labeled spermatozoa were analyzed by the flow cytometer FACScan (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). About 10,000 spermatozoa were examined for each assay at a flow rate of less than 100 cells/s. The excitation wavelength was 488 nm supplied by an argon laser at 15 mW. Green fluorescence (480–530 nm) was measured in the FL-1 channel, and red fluorescence (580–630 nm) was measured in the FL-2 channel. Gating was done to exclude debris and aggregates using 90° and forward-angle light scatter. Both percentage of positive cells and the mean fluorescence were calculated on a 1023-channel scale using the flow cytometer software FlowJo version 6.2.4 (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR, USA). The percentage of positive cells (TUNEL+) was calculated on a 1023-channel scale using the flow cytometer software FlowJo Mac version 8.2.4, FlowJo, LLC) (Mahfouz et al. 2009b).

ROS assay
Levels of ROS were measured by a chemiluminescence assay (Moen et al. 2007). Fresh semen specimens were centrifuged at 300 g for 7 min, and seminal plasma was removed. The pellet was washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and resuspended in the same media. Ten milliliter of luminal (5-amino-2,3 dihydro-1,4 phthalazinedione; Sigma Chemical) were used as a probe and were added to the aliquot. A negative control was prepared by adding 10 µL of PBS. The ROS levels were assessed by measuring chemiluminescence activity with an Autolamat LB 935 Lumimeter (Berthold technologies, Bad-wildbad, Germany) in the integrated mode for 15 min. The results were expressed as RLU (relative light unit) per 20 million spermatozoa.

Lipid peroxidation (LPO) assay
The LPO in seminal plasma was estimated by determining the MDA levels. Briefly, to prevent the oxidation of polyunsaturated free fatty acids during the assay, 0.5 mL of seminal plasma was added to 0.5 mL of Tris–hydrogen chloride (HCl) 0.04 M and acetonitrile containing 0.1% butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT). After derivatization with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine according to the method of Shara and coworkers (1992) with minor modifications, the samples were immediately stirred and extracted with 5 mL of pentane; finally, the samples were dried using nitrogen and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). A calibration curve with concentrations of MDA ranging from 0.5 to 10 nmol/mL was used for the MDA quantifications. The MDA hydrazone was quantified by isocratic high-performance liquid chromatography using a Waters 600 E System Controller HPLC (Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a Waters Dual k 2487 UV detector (Milford, MA, USA) set at 307 nm. A 5 L ultrashphere ODS column C18 (Beckman, San Ramon, CA, USA) was used to separate the hydrazone derivative at the flow rate of 0.8 mL/min with the acetonitrile (45%)–HCl 0.01 M (55%) as mobile phase. The MDA concentrations were calculated by peak areas determined using an Agilent 3395 integrator (Agilent Technologies) (Shara et al. 1992).

8-Isoprostane assay
We assessed free form of 8-Isoprostane and only the fraction shedded to seminal plasma from cell membranes.

Free 8-isoprostane purification
Free 8-isoprostane was purified by affinity chromatography method (Nonaka-Sarukawa et al. 2003). We used commercially available affinity column (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). All samples were centrifuged at 15,000 g for isolation of particulates and precipitates. Then, the supernatant was diluted 1:5 with column buffer and applied to the column. Other procedures were conducted according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The ethanol washed 8-Isoprostane was stored at −80°C until measurement.

Free 8-isoprostane
At first, the elution solution was evaporated to dryness using a vacuum centrifugation. Then, the concentration of free 8-Isoprostane was measured by enzyme immunoassay (EIA) method (Nonaka-Sarukawa et al. 2003). We used commercially available EIA method (Cayman Chemical). The procedure for the EIA was followed according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The sample volume used was 50 µL. Absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 405 nm using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (STAT FAX 2100, ST Louis, MO, USA). The levels of free 8-Isoprostane were presented as ng/mL. The intra-assay coefficient of variation was less than 10%.

TAC assay
TAC was measured by colorimetric assay (Meucci et al. 2003, Said et al. 2003). We used commercially available colorimetric method (Randox Laboratories Ltd, UK). The frozen seminal plasma was thawed by placing the vials in a water bath at 37°C for 20 min and immediately assessed for its antioxidant capacity. Twenty microliters of seminal plasma were added to 1 mL of the reconstituted chromogen, 2,2'-azino-di-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulphonate) (ABTS)-metmyoglobin (10 mL vial with 10 mL of phosphate-buffered saline buffer). Twenty microliters of Trolox (6-hydroxyl-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid) at a concentration of 1.71 mmol/L was used as the standard, whereas 20 µL of deionized water was used as a blank. One milliliter of chromogen was added to the standard and blank samples. With spectrophotometer adjusted at a wavelength of 600 nm, the initial absorbance (A1) was read.
Two hundred microliters of H$_2$O$_2$ (250 $\mu$mol/L) was then added to all tubes (standard, blank and sample), and absorbance (A2) was read exactly after 3 min. The difference between A2 and A1 ($\Delta$A) was calculated. The TAC of the sample was then calculated by the following formula:

$$TAC = \frac{\text{Concentration of the standard} \times (\Delta A \text{ Blank} - \Delta A \text{ sample})}{(\Delta A \text{ blank} - \Delta A \text{ standard})}$$

In this equation, A1 is the initial absorbance rate, A2 is the final absorbance rate and $\Delta$A is the difference between A2 and A1.

**SOD activity assay**

SOD activity was measured by colorimetric assay (Zini et al. 2002). We used commercially available colorimetric method (Randox Laboratories Ltd, Antrim, UK). This method employs xanthine and xanthine oxidase to generate superoxide radicals, which reacts with 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenol)-5-phenyltetrazoliumchloride (INT) to form red formazan dye. The SOD activity is then measured by the degree of inhibition of this reaction. One unit of SOD inhibits the reduction of INT by 50% under the conditions of the assay. After thawing, the seminal plasma was diluted 30-fold with 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. Assay was performed at 37°C. Phosphate buffer was used as blank. Mixed substrate and xanthine oxidase were added into standards and sample tubes and vortexed well. With spectrophotometer adjusted at a wavelength of 505 nm, the initial absorbance (A1) was read. Final absorbance (A2) was read exactly after 3 min. Percentages of inhibition of standards and samples were calculated. The SOD activity was measured using calibration curve of percentage inhibition for each standard against Log10 of standards, and SOD activity was expressed as U/mL.

**Catalase activity assay**

Catalase activity was estimated by the method of Aebi (Aebi 1984). Catalase can degrade hydrogen peroxide, which can be measured directly by the decrease in the absorbance at 240 nm. The hydrogen peroxide was diluted with phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and its initial absorbance was adjusted between 0.5 and 0.6 absorbance unit at 240 nm. The decrease in the absorbance was measured. One unit of catalase activity was defined as the amount of catalase that was absorbed in 30 s at 25°C. The catalase activity was then calculated from the change in absorbance and finally expressed as U/mL.

**Cytokines assay**

The Predica (Cambridge, MA, USA) IL-1$\beta$, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-$\alpha$ enzyme immunoassay kits contain a 96-well microtiter plate precoated with monoclonal antibody to a proper cytokine. A measured volume of the studied samples, either standard substance or control buffer, was added to each test well and incubated to allow any cytokine present to be captured by antibodies on the microtiter plate. The wells were then washed, and a biotin-labeled polyclonal antibody to the tested cytokine was added to bind the captured IL-1$\beta$, IL-6, IL-8 or TNF-$\alpha$. The wells were washed again and a peroxidase-labeled avidin reagent was added to attach the biotin (in the immune complex) on the plate. After incubation, the wells were washed and a peroxidase-labeled goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G was added to attach the polyclonal antibody (in the immune complex) on the plate. After a third wash, a substrate buffer (peroxide) and chromogen (tetramethylbenzidine) were added to the wells, thereby producing a blue color in the presence of peroxidase. The color reaction was stopped by the addition of sulfuric acid, which converted the blue color to yellow. The intensity of the colorimetric reactions was in a direct proportion to the amount of tested cytokine present in the studied sample or standard. The absorbance was read with Multiscan Plus (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) at 450 nm, and a standard curve was constructed to quantitate cytokine concentrations. The results were expressed as pg/ml. (Sanocka et al. 2003).

**Statistical analysis**

Group differences were determined using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures, for continuous variables. If the main effects F ratio was significant, differences among groups were subsequently identified using a Bonferroni post hoc analysis. Partial correlation and mixed model regression coefficients were used to evaluate the association between the variables studied. The statistical software program SPSS (SPSS, version 23) for windows was used for data analysis. All statistical tests were performed and considered significant at a P≤0.05.

**Results**

Baseline characteristics of the four study groups did not show any significant differences among groups in weight, BMI, body fat, waist circumference, VO$_{2\text{max}}$, semen volume, progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration, number of spermatozoa, percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa, SOD, catalase, TAC, ROS, MDA, 8-isoprostane, IL-1$\beta$, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-$\alpha$ (P>0.05).

**Dietary and medication intake**

Subjects’ dietary intakes including the quality, quantity and frequency of consumption of foods including red meat, chicken, fish, eggs, vegetables, fruits and milky products were similar in all groups, and the dietary intakes between the groups or within the groups did not alter more than would be expected over the 24 weeks of the study (P>0.05).

**Anthropometric characteristics and aerobic capacity**

In the MICT and HIIT groups, weight, body fat percent, waist circumference and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ were significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline (P<0.05). BMI was significantly
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Figure 2 Baseline, week 12, week 24, and 7 and 30-day values of anthropometric characteristics and aerobic capacity in different groups of healthy male subjects. MICT, moderate-intensity continuous training; HICT, high intensity continuous training; HIIT, high-intensity interval training; NON-EX, non-exercise. T₁: baseline (24h before training session). T₂: 24h after the last training session in week 12. T₃: 24h after the last training session in week 24. T₄: 7 days after the last training session in week 24. T₅: 30 days after the last training session in week 24.

*P < 0.05, significant difference between groups. †P < 0.05, significantly different from baseline values (within groups, baseline vs week 12). ‡P < 0.05, significantly different from week 12 values (within groups, week 12 vs week 24). Superscripts denote significant differences among the groups (MICT = 1; HICT = 2; HIIT = 3; and NON-EX = 4).
altered after 24 weeks of training protocol compared to baseline in these groups \( (P < 0.05) \) (Fig. 2). In the HICT group, weight, BMI, body fat percent, waist circumference and \( VO_{2\text{max}} \) were significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). These changes remained significantly altered compared to baseline at 30 days after training in MICT, HICT and HIIT groups \( (P < 0.05) \) (Fig. 2). Significantly lower values were observed for weight, BMI, body fat percent and waist circumference in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with the NON-EX group \( (P < 0.05) \). Further, there were significantly enhanced values for \( VO_{2\text{max}} \) in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with the NON-EX group \( (P < 0.05) \). At 24 weeks, the HICT changes of body weight were significantly greater than those in the HIIT group \( (P < 0.05) \). At 12 weeks, changes in BMI were significantly greater in the HICT group than those found in the HIIT group \( (P < 0.05) \). By 24 weeks, MICT and HICT interventions resulted in greater changes in BMI than those seen in the HIIT and NON-EX groups \( (P < 0.05) \). The MICT and HICT values of body fat percent were significantly lower than those in the HIIT and NON-EX groups \( (P < 0.05) \). At 12 and 24 weeks, the MICT and HICT changes of waist circumference were significantly greater than those of the HIIT group \( (P < 0.05) \). At 12 weeks, the HICT changes of \( VO_{2\text{max}} \) were significantly greater than the other groups \( (P < 0.05) \). Likewise, at 24 weeks, the HICT changes of \( VO_{2\text{max}} \) were significantly greater than the HIIT group \( (P < 0.05) \). The NON-EX group demonstrated no significant changes in these parameters in the 24 weeks \( (P > 0.05) \) (Fig. 2).

### Semen parameters

In the MICT group, progressive motility, sperm morphology and sperm concentration were significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). Semen volume and number of spermatozoa were significantly altered after 24 weeks of training protocol compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). These alterations were maintained 7 days after training. Only semen volume and progressive motility remained significantly altered compared to baseline at 30 days after training \( (P < 0.05) \) (Fig. 3). In the HICT group, sperm concentration was significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). Progressive motility and sperm morphology were significantly altered after 24 weeks of training protocol compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). Alterations in progressive motility and sperm concentration were maintained 7 days after training \( (P < 0.05) \). These alterations were maintained 7 days after training \( (P < 0.05) \). Further, at 24 weeks, the HICT changes of number of spermatozoa were significantly greater than those observed in any of the other groups \( (P < 0.05) \). The NON-EX group demonstrated no significant changes in semen quality parameters in the 24 weeks \( (P > 0.05) \) (Fig. 3).

### Sperm DNA fragmentation

In the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups, percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa were significantly modulated by 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). These alterations were maintained 7 days after training in the MICT group \( (P < 0.05) \) (Fig. 3). Significantly lower values were observed for percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with the NON-EX group \( (P < 0.05) \). The NON-EX group demonstrated no significant changes in percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa in the 24 weeks \( (P > 0.05) \) (Fig. 3).

### Oxidants and antioxidants

In the MICT group, ROS, MDA and catalase were significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). SOD, TAC and 8-isoprostane were significantly altered after 24 weeks of training protocol compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). These alterations were maintained 7 days after training. Only catalase and ROS remained significantly altered compared to baseline at 30 days after training \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 2). In the HICT group, ROS, SOD and catalase were significantly attenuated by 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). SOD and catalase alterations were maintained 7 days after training (Table 2). In the HIIT group, ROS, MDA, SOD, catalase and TAC were significantly attenuated by 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline \( (P < 0.05) \). TAC and ROS alterations were maintained 7 days after training. Only catalase remained significantly altered compared to baseline at 30 days after training in this group \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 2). Significantly lower values were observed for ROS, MDA and 8-isoprostane in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with
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Figure 3 Baseline, week 12, week 24, and 7 and 30-day values of semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity in different groups of healthy male subjects. MICT, moderate-intensity continuous training; HICT, high-intensity continuous training; HIIT, high-intensity interval training; NON-EX, non-exercise. T₁: baseline (24 h before training session). T₂: 24 h after the last training session in week 12. T₃: 24 h after the last training session in week 24. T₄: 7 days after the last training session in week 24. T₅: 30 days after the last training session in week 24.

*P < 0.05, significant difference between groups. †P < 0.05, significantly different from baseline values (within groups, baseline vs week 12).
‡P < 0.05, significantly different from week 12 values (within groups, week 12 vs week 24). Superscripts denote significant differences among the groups (MICT = 1; HICT = 2; HIIT = 3; and NON-EX = 4).
the NON-EX group (P < 0.05). Further, there were significantly enhanced values for SOD, catalase and TAC in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with the NON-EX group (P < 0.05). At 24 weeks, the MICT changes of ROS were significantly greater than those observed in the HICT and NON-EX groups (P < 0.05). The MICT concentrations of 8-isoprostane were significantly lower than the HIIT and NON-EX groups (P < 0.05). At 12 weeks, the MICT changes of MDA were significantly greater than those of the HICT and NON-EX groups, whereas at 24 weeks, these changes were greater in the MICT than that observed in any of the other groups (P < 0.05). By 24 weeks, the MICT, HICT and HIIT changes of SOD were significantly greater than those observed in the NON-EX group (P < 0.05). At 12 and 24 weeks, the MICT changes of catalase were significantly greater than those observed in the HIIT and NON-EX group (P < 0.05). Likewise, at 12 and 24 weeks, the MICT changes of TAC were significantly greater than those observed in the HICT and NON-EX group (P < 0.05). The NON-EX group demonstrated no significant changes in seminal markers of oxidative stress in the 24 weeks (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

### Cytokines

In the MICT group, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α were significantly modulated by 12 and 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline (P < 0.05). These changes remained significantly altered compared to baseline at 30 days after training (P < 0.05) (Table 3). In the HICT group, IL-6 and TNF-α were significantly attenuated by 24 weeks of exercise training compared to baseline (P < 0.05). These alterations were maintained 7 days after training (Table 3). In the HIIT group, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α were significantly altered by 24 weeks of exercise training.
training compared to baseline. These alterations were maintained 7 days after training (P < 0.05) (Table 3). Significantly lower values were observed for IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α in the MICT, HICT and HIIT groups compared with the NON-EX group (P < 0.05). At 12 and 24 weeks, the MICT changes of IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 were significantly greater than those observed in any of the other groups (P < 0.05). The MICT concentrations of TNF-α were significantly lower than those in the HIIT and NON-EX groups (P < 0.05). The NON-EX group demonstrated no significant changes in seminal proinflammatory cytokines in the 24 weeks (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

**Correlations**

The association among body composition, maximal oxygen consumption, oxidants, antioxidants and cytokines with semen parameters and sperm DNA damage in all groups are shown in Table 4. Significant negative correlations were observed among progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration, number of spermatozoa and percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa with weight, BMI, body fat, waist circumference, ROS, MDA, 8-isoprostane, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α (Table 4). Results from the mixed model regression revealed that each unit (%) increase in progressive motility was associated with a 4.3 kg reduction in weight, a 1.6 kg/m² reduction in BMI, a 3.4% reduction in body fat, a 5.3 cm reduction in waist circumference, a 3.1 RLU reduction in ROS, a 2.2 nmol/mL reduction in MDA, a 0.9 ng/mL reduction in 8-isoprostane, a 4.9 pg/mL reduction in IL-1β, a 2.6 pg/mL reduction in IL-6, a 2.7 pg/mL reduction in IL-8 and finally a 4.6 pg/mL reduction in TNF-α. Furthermore, each unit (%) increase in sperm morphology was associated with a 4.1 kg reduction in weight, a 1.7 kg/m² reduction in BMI, a 2.1% reduction in body fat, a 6.3 cm reduction in waist circumference, a 3.3 RLU reduction in ROS, a 1.2 nmol/mL reduction in MDA, a 1.7 ng/mL reduction in 8-isoprostane, a 5.1 pg/mL reduction in IL-1β, a 2.2 pg/mL reduction in IL-6, a 3.4 pg/mL reduction in IL-8 and finally a 5.8 pg/mL reduction in TNF-α. Likewise, each unit (10⁶) increase in number of spermatozoa was associated with a 3.1 kg reduction in weight, a 0.8 kg/m² reduction in BMI, a 0.8% reduction in body fat, a 3.3 cm reduction in waist circumference, a 1.8 RLU reduction in ROS, a 0.9 nmol/mL reduction in MDA, a 0.8 ng/mL reduction in 8-isoprostane, a 3.3 pg/mL reduction in IL-1β, a 1.1 pg/mL reduction in IL-6, a 1.8 pg/mL reduction in IL-8 and finally a 2.1 pg/mL reduction in TNF-α. Additionally, each unit (%) improvement in TUNEL-positive spermatozoa was associated with a 4.0 kg reduction in weight, a 1.9 kg/m² reduction in BMI, a 1.0% reduction in body fat.
a 4.7 cm reduction in waist circumference, a 2.4 RLU reduction in ROS, a 3.1 nmol/mL reduction in MDA, a 2.7 ng/mL reduction in 8-isoprostane, a 4.2 pg/mL reduction in IL-1β, a 3.6 pg/mL reduction in IL-6, a 3.4 pg/mL reduction in IL-8 and finally a 6.9 pg/mL reduction in TNF-α (Table 4).

Table 4  Correlation of body composition measures, VO$_{2\text{max}}$, antioxidants, oxidants and cytokines with semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity in healthy male subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Progressive motility (%)</th>
<th>Sperm morphology (%)</th>
<th>Sperm concentration (×10^6/mL)</th>
<th>No. of spermatozoa (×10^9)</th>
<th>TUNEL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>-0.271</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>-0.213</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>-0.138</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>-0.302</td>
<td>-0.287</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>-0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (mL/kg/min)</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD (U/mL)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase (U/mL)</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (mM)</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS (RLU)</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/mL)</td>
<td>-0.223</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.196</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>-0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Isoprostane (ng/mL)</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>-0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β (pg/mL)</td>
<td>-0.319</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>-0.259</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>-0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
<td>-0.251</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>-0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>-0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>-0.267</td>
<td>-0.295</td>
<td>-0.232</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>-0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*P &lt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05, adjusted for the group through the study based on mixed model. Regression coefficient; WC, waist circumference.
Significant positive correlations were also observed among progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration, number of spermatozoa and percentage of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa with SOD, catalase and TAC (Table 4). Each unit (%) increase in progressive motility was associated with a 1.1 U/mL increase in SOD, a 4.9 U/mL increase in catalase and finally a 1.7 nM increase in TAC. Also, each unit (%) increase in sperm morphology was associated with a 2.7 U/mL increase in SOD, a 3.2 U/mL increase in catalase and finally a 3.7 nM increase in TAC. Similarly, each unit (%×10⁶) increase in number of spermatozoa was associated with a 1.3 U/mL increase in SOD, a 2.5 U/mL increase in catalase and finally a 1.9 nM increase in TAC (Table 4). Percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa and VO₂max levels were negatively correlated, whereas positive correlations between VO₂max, progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration and number of spermatozoa were noted. The mixed-model regression showed that each unit increase in VO₂max levels resulted in 2.5% increase in percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa, and each unit increase in VO₂max levels was associated with a 1.4% increase in progressive motility, a 2.1% increase in sperm morphology, a 1.4×10⁶ U/mL increase in sperm concentration and finally a 1.1×10⁶ increase in number of spermatozoa (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The results showed that 24 weeks of MICT, HICT and HIIT decreased seminal markers of oxidative stress and inflammation with different kinetics for the three types of exercise, and these changes were correlated with improvements in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity in healthy human subjects. These data clearly show that MICT is superior to HICT and HIIT in the improvements of markers of male reproductive function, representing adaptation to moderate-intensity regular training.

To date, no reports have conducted to examine the seminal cytokines response to moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training. However, there is some evidence that aerobic exercise training is anti-inflammatory, and moderate-intensity aerobic exercise has been shown to attenuate the circulating markers of inflammation in both healthy and diseased populations (Tartibian et al. 2011, 2015, Abd El-Kader et al. 2013). Recently, a 3-month randomized trial aerobic exercise training showed reduced plasma concentrations of TNF-α, IL-2 and IL-6 among obese type 2 diabetic patients (Abd El-Kader et al. 2013). Likewise, previous studies have also shown decreases in serum C-reactive protein (CRP), prostaglandin (PG) E₂, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 levels with 16 and 24 weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise training in postmenopausal women (Tartibian et al. 2011, 2015). Our results indicate that seminal IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α were significantly attenuated at both 12 and 24 weeks after MICT. However, in the HIIT group, significant alterations in seminal cytokines observed only after 24 weeks of the exercise intervention. Our data also show an improvement in seminal inflammatory mediators after HICT as reflected by significant decreases in IL-6 and TNF-α at 24 weeks. It seems that MICT can elicit greater cytokine adaptations than HICT and HIIT. Reasons for this include improvements in seminal markers of inflammation that are more remarkable when exercise is performed at moderate intensities. Single bouts of intense exercise have been shown to induce systemic inflammatory responses similar to those associated with injury (Kaspar et al. 2016). However, evidence suggests that long-term exercise training reduces plasma inflammatory states (Homaee et al. 2014). The mechanisms by which exercise training attenuates seminal proinflammatory cytokines are still not fully understood; however, it is plausible that production of proinflammatory mediators induced by every single session of HICT and HIIT could be progressively reduced as confirmed by previous studies (Homaee et al. 2014). Moreover, it has been suggested that exercise training lowers resting proinflammatory mediators by upregulating the anti-inflammatory cytokine levels across body fluids, organs and tissues (Gleeson et al. 2006). So, the 24 weeks of training could have allowed enough time for the immune systems to reduce the acute elevation of each single session of high-intensity training. Likewise, exercise-induced weight loss appears to lower levels of circulating cytokines, and the effect is greater with larger amounts of weight loss (Lambert et al. 2008). Therefore, in the present study, it seems exercise-induced changes in body composition (weight, BMI, fat% and waist circumference) also mediated these effects. Our finding regarding the decrease in proinflammatory cytokines in the HICT group was somewhat unexpected because previous studies by our team have reported significant increases in seminal IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α after chronic high-intensity cycling training in male road cyclists (Maleki et al. 2014, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). These discrepant findings may be due to the different training methods used to evaluate chronic cytokines responses to exercise training as well as different populations studied. The physiological and metabolic factors associated with cycling performance and factors inherent to cycling training, such as compression of the area by the bike saddle and the tight culottes worn, may be other possible contributing factors for these discrepant results. These data demonstrate that exercise training is effective in reducing seminal proinflammatory cytokines levels in healthy human subjects and indicate that the anti-inflammatory effect is dependent on the characteristics of exercise modalities, including volume, intensity and type.
It is known that moderate-intensity aerobic training improves oxidative stress status in a wide range of body fluids, cells and/or tissues (Ennezat et al. 2001, Edwards et al. 2004, Linke et al. 2005). In samples of skeletal muscle and plasma, twelve weeks of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, for instance, has been shown to induce significant decreases in markers of oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation as well promote an upregulation in antioxidant defense, evident by an elevation in the activity of SOD, glutathione peroxidase and catalase (Ennezat et al. 2001, Edwards et al. 2004, Linke et al. 2005). Similar studies, in type 2 diabetics and obese individuals, reported a decrease in serum and plasma lipid peroxidation as well as an increase in glutathione and catalase activity after six months of moderate-intensity (50–70% HRmax) aerobic exercise protocol (Lazarevic et al. 2006, Rector et al. 2007). In the present study, pro- and antioxidants measurements were not made in blood; however, relatively good correlations have previously been reported between systemic and seminal oxidative stress by other investigators (Shamsi et al. 2010, Benedetti et al. 2012). Our data are in agreement with these results as we observed significant attenuation in oxidative stress after exercise in the MICT group. In the present study, significant alterations in SOD, TAC and 8-isoprostanate observed only after 24 weeks of MICT (56–69% VO2max), but not after 12 weeks (45–55% VO2max). In contrast, catalase, ROS and MDA changes were observed after 12 weeks of the intervention. Thus, the training duration and intensity seem to be important variables affecting these adaptations. These findings also raise the hypothesis that seminal markers of oxidative stress tend to respond differently to exercise intervention.

A trend toward reductions of resting seminal antioxidants including SOD, TAC and catalase as well as increases of oxidative stress markers, evident by an elevation in the seminal concentrations of ROS, MDA and 8-isoprostanate, were shown in male road cyclists after chronic intensive cycling training (≥82.9% VO2max) (Tartibian & Maleki 2012b, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Conversely, in the study by Miyazaki and coworkers, a 12-week strenuous endurance training (running at 80% maximal exercise heart rate for 60 min/day, 5 days/week) resulted in significant increases in resting SOD and GPX activities in erythrocytes. This upregulation in antioxidant defenses was also accompanied by a reduction in exercise-induced lipid peroxidation in erythrocyte membrane (Miyazaki et al. 2001). Our data have shown attenuation in oxidative stress only after 24 weeks of the HICT as reflected by a decrease in ROS levels as well as increases in SOD and catalase activities. The HICT intervention also induced positive but non-significant reductions in MDA and 8-isoprostanate concentrations. This response seems to be affected by the training duration and intensity as there were no significant changes in these parameters at 12 weeks of the intervention in this group.

As for HIIT, the effects on seminal markers of oxidative stress are not well understood yet; however, our findings parallel previous reports that HIIT promotes antioxidant defense system (Tucker et al. 2015, Wadley et al. 2016) and decreases markers of oxidative stress in several tissue compartments in both healthy and diseased populations (Tucker et al. 2015, Wadley et al. 2016). Other investigators previously reported (Wadley et al. 2016) that HIIT was more effective at upregulating mRNA expression of renal SOD1 and catalase, compared to low-intensity exercise. However, in the present study, compared to HICT and HIIT, MICT induced significantly more profound effects on oxidant/antioxidant markers in seminal plasma. This divergence could be explained, in part, by the diversity of protocols implemented (training methods, protocol duration, training volume, age of participants, etc.), tissue-specific oxidative stress responses and the individual responses of each subject. In addition, improvements in seminal oxidative status were apparent in HIIT compared to HICT, as HIIT intervention resulted in marked changes in seminal TAC and MDA than those seen in the HICT group. Mechanisms responsible for beneficial effects of chronic exercise on redox balance are training-induced upregulation of antioxidant enzymes, reduced mitochondrial ROS production and increase in radical scavenging capacity of body tissues and fluids (Finaud et al. 2006, Gomes et al. 2012). Exercise-induced upregulation of the antioxidant defense in the HICT and HIIT groups may also explain the observed reductions in seminal markers of oxidative stress in these groups. However, one should bear in mind that, although the ROS family induces oxidative damages when the balance between the generation of ROS and the capacity of antioxidation systems to eliminate them is disturbed, in recent years, also a significant number of research studies have documented a role of ROS as second messengers of cell signaling responsible for the prevention of diseases either by provoking antioxidant response (Meilhac et al. 2001) or other adaptations to exercise via activating useful cellular redox-sensitive signaling pathways (Gomez-Cabrera et al. 2005, Ji 2007, Kang et al. 2009). Exercise-induced ROS may therefore serve also as signaling molecules to enhance the expression of cytoprotective proteins and to preserve some other normal physiological functions (Li 2013).

Seminal markers of oxidative stress and inflammation have been linked to sperm function and DNA integrity (Sanocka et al. 2003, Fraczek et al. 2008, Fraczek & Kurpisz 2015). Oxidative stress in seminal plasma have been associated with negative changes in sperm concentration, motility and morphology (Khosrowbeygi & Zarghami 2007, Agarwal et al. 2014) and can cause potential damage to the plasma membrane of the sperm cell and DNA integrity (Tremellen 2008), leading to poor
semen quality and sperm dysfunction. Elevated levels of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α in seminal plasma have also been demonstrated as the factors linked with a decrease in number of spermatozoa, progressive motility, sperm morphology as well as sperm vitality (Fraczek & Kurpisz 2015). These cytokines may adversely affect sperm cell function and the reproductive process (Sanocka et al. 2003, Fraczek et al. 2008, Fraczek & Kurpisz 2015). The redox imbalance is probably the major etiological factor responsible for the destructive effects of proinflammatory cytokines on sperm DNA integrity (Sanocka et al. 2003, Fraczek et al. 2008).

Our results indicate that progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration and number of spermatozoa were significantly elevated at both 12 and 24 weeks after MICT.

In addition to the enhancement of semen quality, our data show an improvement in semen volume and sperm DNA integrity after MICT as reflected by a decrease in percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa at 24 weeks. Several studies (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013) have reported that low-to-moderate-intensity recreational physical activities causes an improvement in semen parameters as well as sperm DNA integrity than either vigorous-intensity physical activities or sedentary life style. It has been proposed that low-to-moderate-intensity recreational physical activity-induced adaptations in seminal antioxidant defense system mediated these effects (Tartibian & Maleki 2012a, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013). Our data confirm and extend these findings as we observed positive correlations among semen quality parameters, sperm DNA integrity and antioxidants. Seminal markers of oxidative stress also were significantly negatively associated with sperm DNA integrity and semen quality parameters.

Additionally, significant increases in progressive motility, sperm morphology, sperm concentration as well as marked decreases in percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa observed only after 24 weeks of both HICT and HIIT interventions, but not after 12 weeks. We could not find any research papers that investigated the impact of HICT on male reproductive function; however, based on findings of reduced semen quality in long-distance runners and endurance cyclists, several studies have reported detrimental effects of strenuous exercise on male reproductive function (De Souza et al. 1994, Miller et al. 1997, Safarinejad et al. 2009, Tartibian & Maleki 2012b, Maleki et al. 2014, 2016b, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). Increased percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa were also observed in male road cyclists after intensive cycling training (Maleki et al. 2016b). The authors suggested that this increase may be due to either exercise-induced oxidative stress or increased intrascrotal temperature produced mainly by the bike saddle and the tight clothes worn during long rides in male road cyclists (Maleki et al. 2016b). Different training methods and different assessment parameters as well as different groups of subjects were involved may contribute to the inconsistent findings in the present study. In this study, improved sperm DNA integrity after HIIT intervention are consistent with Vezzoli and coworkers who investigated the effect of 8 weeks of high-intensity discontinuous training (at 120–140% VO₂peak) on 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG) as a biomarker of DNA base modifications in long-distance runners and showed a decrease (~25%) in urinary 8-OH-dG excretion after exercise (Vezzoli et al. 2014). These findings may be influenced by increases in endogenous antioxidant status, upregulation of antioxidant capacity, increase in radical scavenging capacity of spermatozoa or seminal plasma as well as upregulation in DNA damage-repairing enzymes after participation in HICT and HIIT interventions. In addition, the results confirmed that attenuation of seminal proinflammatory cytokines with chronic exercise is associated with improvements in semen quality and DNA integrity in this cohort of healthy subjects, which is consistent with previous reports (Sanocka et al. 2003, Fraczek & Kurpisz 2007, 2015, Fraczek et al. 2008, Tartibian & Maleki 2012b, Hajizadeh Maleki et al. 2013, Maleki et al. 2014, Hajizadeh Maleki & Tartibian 2015). These findings are important to the fields of exercise science and reproductive function because they provide substantial evidence regarding the immunological and oxidative stress responses of human semen to physical exercise. Though all exercise modalities induced significant alterations in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity, the changes were more pronounced in the MICT group than those observed in the HICT and HIIT groups. This current investigation also presents evidence for intensity- and duration-dependent alterations in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity after different exercise modalities, as these variables changed exponentially in relation to exercise intensity and duration. Therefore, the magnitude and extent of semen parameters and DNA integrity responses to physical exercise seem to be associated with characteristics of exercise intervention such as type, intensity and duration.

Also, it should be pointed out that, although the allotted time was substantially higher for the HICT group, there was no increased benefit. Thus, with the fewer number and lower duration of exercise sessions as those in the HICT group, subjects in the HIIT group achieved the same or even better improvements in markers of male reproductive function, changes that can greatly influence male reproductive health and functions. This indicates that the short high-intensity stimuli (on average 85% of VO₂max) were effective in provoking a better response than that of a HICT at 70–85% of VO₂max.

In addition, the results confirmed that decreases in weight, BMI, fat% and waist circumference are
associated with improvements in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity, which is consistent with previous reports (Kort et al. 2006, Kriegel et al. 2009). Reviewed elsewhere, research has substantiated the inverse relationship between body composition and male reproductive potential (Kort et al. 2006, Kriegel et al. 2009). There is some evidence that excessive body fat in men reduces sperm concentration (Kort et al. 2006), sperm motility (Kort et al. 2006) and sperm morphology (Kriegel et al. 2009). Despite the use of a variety of different methodologies to measure sperm DNA integrity, several human studies have also demonstrated that a relationship exists between obesity and reduced sperm DNA integrity (Kort et al. 2006, Kriegel et al. 2009).

In the present investigation also respectively, negative and positive correlations were found between $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ with percentages of TUNEL-positive spermatozoa and semen quality parameters. Although the exact mechanisms by which improvements in cardio-respiratory fitness benefits male reproductive potential are not clear yet, several lines of evidence have correlated post-exercise alterations in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$, among others, to improved body composition measures (lean-mass:fat-mass) (Casla et al. 2015). Therefore, in the present study, post-exercise improvements in semen quality and sperm DNA integrity are likely related, in part, to the effects of exercise training on measures of body composition and cardio-respiratory fitness.

This study is limited mainly by the difference in volume and total work performed. Future studies may want to verify whether volume- and work-matched MICT, HICT and HIIE exhibit different reproductive responses. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in which three different exercise modalities have been compared before and after training with a control group, with respect to the markers of male reproductive function in healthy human subjects.

In conclusion, the present study adds to this body of evidence and shows seminal markers of inflammation and oxidative stress improved significantly after 24 weeks of MICT, HICT or HIIT; and these changes were correspond with favorable improvements in semen quality parameters and sperm DNA integrity. These results further indicate that MICT was more beneficial in improving markers of male reproductive function, compared to HICT and HIIT. These observations suggest that the intensity, duration and type of exercise training could be taken into consideration when investigating reproductive responses to exercise training in men. It remains to be determined how changes in seminal markers of male reproductive function may be connected with reproductive outcomes in healthy human subjects.
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