Testicular transcriptional signatures associated with high fertility
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Abstract

Factors of high fertility are poorly described. The majority of transgenic or knockout models with a reproductive phenotype are subfertile or infertile phenotypes. Few genotypes have been linked to improved reproductive performance (0.2%) or increased litter size (1%). In this study, we used a unique mouse model, fertility line FL1, selected for ‘high fertility’ for more than 170 generations. This strain has almost doubled the number of littermates as well as their total birth weight accompanied by an elevated ovulation rate and increased numbers of corpora lutea compared to a randomly mated and unselected control line (Ctrl). Here, we investigate whether the gonadal tissue of FL1 males are affected by ‘co-evolution’ after more than 40 years of female-focused selection. Using microarrays, we analysed the testicular transcriptome of the FL1 and Ctrl mice. These data were also compared with previously published female gonadal transcriptional alterations. We detected alterations in testicular gene expression, which are partly associated with female reproductive performance. Thus, female-focused selection for litter size has not only affected the female side, but also has been manifested in transcriptional alterations on the male gonadal organ. This suggests consequences for the entire mouse lines in the long run and emphasizes the perspective of inevitably considering both genders about mechanisms of high fertility. Reproduction (2018) 155 219–231

Introduction

Many genes necessary for reproductive performance are shared by both sexes. The similar gene expression patterns of ovary and testis are evidence of their close relationship as germinal organs. The Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) gene expression database (www.informatics.jax.org) harbours mouse tissue-specific expression data. After extracting all annotated testicular and ovarian transcripts for co-expression analysis, we found that more than 50% of testicular expressed genes are also found in the ovary (Fig. 1, bright subsets). If considering only male and female gonadal-specific transcripts, the intersection between both reproductive organs is more than its divergence (Fig. 1, darkened subsets). A similar relationship between both gonadal tissues has been reported at the protein level (Kosti et al. 2016).

Given the complexity of the reproductive processes, the germinal organs are susceptible to alterations leading to reduced fertility or infertility (Evers 2002, Matzuk & Lamb 2008, Devroey et al. 2009). As many cases of impaired fecundity remain idiopathic, it is important to identify animal models, particularly mouse models, with reproductive phenotypes, thereby facilitating investigations into fertility mechanisms. Indeed, more than 2000 mouse models with reproductive phenotypes can be found within the MGI databases. The majority of existing mouse models are transgenic or knockout mice exhibiting an infertile or subfertile phenotype (99%). Our institute generated two unique outbred fertility mouse lines (named FL1 and FL2) that have been established by long-term selective breeding for high reproductive performance. The advantage of this approach is its heterogeneity, which more closely mimics the phenotypical alterations in humans than single gene approaches like classical transgenic or knockout models. Breeding strategies and comparative data for these high-fertility mouse lines have been described previously (Dietl et al. 2004, Langhammer et al. 2014, 2017).

Here we focus on the FL1 mouse line, which, after more than 170 generations of breeding, has almost double the selection traits litter size, and litter birth weight in comparison to the control line (Ctrl). This is accompanied by no sign of growth retardation in the
offspring litters and no alteration in pup survival after weaning (Langhammer et al. 2014).

The selection parameters of the FL1 line are primarily female-focused and are accompanied by physiological alterations within the ovary of FL1 dams (Spitschak et al. 2007). Previous work has shown that the ovary is the primary organ affected by this selection process. Females of the high-fertility line can ovulate more eggs and harbour almost twice as many corpora lutea (CL) as the Ctrl mice (Spitschak et al. 2007). Furthermore, FL1 females generally have an imbalance between the number of ovulated eggs and CL. In fact, FL1 females are prone to develop a considerable number of polyovulatory follicles (POF), harbouring up to seven oocytes per follicle (Alm et al. 2010). In addition, alterations have been detected at the global ovarian transcriptional level (Vanselow et al. 2008).

On the male side, initial characterisations of FL1 bucks reveal altered sperm motility characteristics, as well as elevated lifetime expectancy and increased explorative phenotype. Furthermore, we detected changes in testicular maker genes pointing to alterations not only on the female side but the male side as well (Michaelis et al. 2013, Langhammer et al. 2014). Therefore, we hypothesize that after 40 years of female-focused selection, the male germinal organ of FL1 mice has also been affected, due to the ovarian adaptation of highly increased ovulation rates.

Here, we examine the germinal organ transcriptome of male FL1 mice and make comparison to previously published transcriptomic data from FL1 ovaries (Vanselow et al. 2008). These data are informative about the extent of gender-focused fecundity selection.

Materials and methods

Animal model and ethics statement

The animal experiments were performed following national and international guidelines and were approved by the local authorities (Landesamt für Landwirtschaft, Lebensmittelsicherheit und Fischerei, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Germany).

Up to the 162nd generation, the mice were kept in a semi-barrier unit. After relocation into a newly built animal facility, the mice were maintained under a specific pathogen-free (SPF) environment with a 12:12-h light–darkness (cycle) regime and ad libitum access to water and food (ssniff M-Z, Soest, Germany) at the Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Dummerstorf (FBN).

The FL1 and Ctrl mouse lines were originally derived from the same genetic pool of a mixture of eight defined founder mouse lines (Dietl et al. 2004). The FL1 fertility line was selected over a long-term breeding process for (i) number of offspring and (ii) total litter birth weight. These criteria were combined into a fertility breeding index = 1.6 × litter size + litter weight (at first delivery). Using the selection index for ranging the females offspring of the largest and heaviest litters were recruited for breeding of the next generation (Schüler L 1982). After 162 generations of index selection, breeding procedure was replaced by BLUP (Best Linear Unbiased Prediction) breeding value estimation, focused only on the number of newborn pups per litter in primiparous females (Langhammer et al. 2017). The population size of this outbred model has been maintained at 60–100 mating pairs per generation, ensuring its heterogeneous character. The unselected control line (Ctrl) has been sustained by using a rotational mating scheme with 125–200 breeding pairs per generation and avoiding full-sib mating. Hence, this outbred mouse line could preserve its properties over generations to ensure a significantly lower inbreeding coefficient compared to common inbreeding lines (Langhammer et al. 2017). Details regarding breeding procedures can be found in Dietl and coworkers, Schüler and coworkers and Langhammer and coworkers (Schüler L 1982, Dietl et al. 2004, Langhammer et al. 2017). The males of this investigation have been further described in a two-factorial breeding experiment to delineate the impact of males and females on fertility parameters (Langhammer et al. 2017).

RNA extraction

Testicular RNA was extracted of 12- to 13-week-old males. For the transcriptional analysis, we used 16 mice per line, subdivided into two separate sets of biological replicates (each of eight animals per set and line). Mice were killed by CO₂ inhalation, and the testes were dissected to be either snap frozen in liquid N₂ or preserved in RNAlater (Ambion). Tissue disruption was done in RLT buffer (RNeasy kit, Qiagen) using a FastPrep-24 device (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) and Lysing Matrix D disposable tubes. RNA was extracted by the phenol/chloroform method, followed by spin column chromatography using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) protocol according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

In the case of snap-frozen tissue the InviTrap Spin RNA Mini Kit (Stratec, Birkenfeld, Germany) was used for whole RNA extraction. The quantification was done spectrophotometrically using a NanoDrop 1000 device (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the RNA integrity was checked by capillary electrophoresis (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer).
Microarray experiment

For transcriptome profiling, we used the GeneChip Mouse Transcriptome Array (MTA 1.0) (released in May 2015; currently termed Clarion D assay) by Affymetrix. RNA labelling and hybridization were executed at the Core Facility for Microarray Analysis, University of Rostock. Briefly, as recommended, 200 ng of quality controlled total RNA was used for cDNA preparation and labelled with the GeneChip WT PLUS Reagent Kit (Affymetrix). The fragmented (~100 nucleotides) and biotinylated ssDNA was hybridized for 16 h at 45°C to Affymetrix Gene Chip MTA 1.0, followed by washing and staining steps, using the Affymetrix Fluidics Station 450 according to standard protocols. Chips were then scanned at 0.7-micron resolution using the GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G (Affymetrix). The resulting image files (.DAT) were processed using Affymetrix GeneChip Command Console 4.0 (AGCC 4.0.0.1567) software for generating cell intensity files (.CEL). Raw data cell intensity files (.CEL) were normalized by the Robust Multiarray Average (RMA) algorithm with a Signal Space Transformation (SST) employing the Affymetrix Expression Console software (EC, version 1.4.1.46). All hybridizations were assessed for quality requirements, and raw data were submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database according to MIAME guideline (GSE86063).

Microarray experimental design

Our microarray experimental design comprised two separated sets of microarrays. Initially, testicular RNA of eight animals per line was hybridized to eight individual microarrays with the intention to analyse for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (FL1 vs Ctrl), employing fold change (FC) and statistical filter criteria. The resulting DEG lists were experimentally verified by a second microarray set. For re-evaluation, we used the same group size of eight animals per line as independent biological replicates pooled in equivalent amounts and hybridized to one single ‘pool microarray’ for each mouse line.

Using this approach, we ensured a comprehensive verification of the entirety of gained gene expression results of the first round of microarray hybridizations. This allowed us to exclude ‘false-positive’ transcripts, which were initially indicated as differentially expressed but could not be confirmed in independent re-evaluation experiments. This experimental approach has been applied to the second mouse line (FL2) and is currently submitted to another journal in a parallel manuscript.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Microarray results were additionally confirmed for selected genes by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). To this end, 0.5 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using random hexamer primers and the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad), in accordance to the manufacturer’s instructions. For qPCR, 2 µL of a 1:5 dilution of each cDNA was amplified with technical duplicates using SYBER Green mix (Bio-Rad), as previously described (Michaelis et al. 2013). The cycling conditions for the PCR procedure were identical (40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 45 s at 56°C, and 30 s at 72°C). Primers were designed using Primer-BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast) (Ye et al. 2012). If possible, special care has been taken to select oligonucleotides binding to intron-overspanning exons. Also, specificity was controlled by gel electrophoresis. The primers are listed in the Supplementary Table 1 (see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Samples were normalized to a combination of reference genes (36B4, GAPDH, HPRT, B2m) and statistically evaluated using the Relative Expression Software Tool (REST 2009) (Pfafl et al. 2002).

Hormone analysis

Serum progesterone was measured by 3H-radioimmunoassay (3H-RIA) with [1,2,6,7-3H] progesterone tracer (Hartmann Analytic, Germany), as previously described (Brussow et al. 2011). Briefly, 50 µL of serum in duplicates (n = 14 per group) was analysed with an incubation step at 37°C for 30 min and at 4°C for 2 h. The B/F (bound to free antigen) separation was performed by the dextran-charcoal method. Counting of radioactivity was accomplished by a Liquid Scintillation Counter with an integrated RIA program (TriCarb 2900 TR; Perkin-Elmer). Intra-assay and interassay precision were estimated as 7.6% and 9.8% for progesterone, respectively. The standard curve ranged from 62.5 to 16,000 pg/mL and the detection limit was 70 pg/mL. The Student’s t-test was used for statistical analyses.

Availability of data

Microarray data were submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number (GEO: GSE86063).

Ethics approval

The animal experiments were approved by the local authorities (Landesamt für Landwirtschaft, Lebensmittelsicherheit und Fischerei, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Germany).

Results

Animal model

FL1 mice were selected for a high number of offspring and increased total litter weight at birth via an established breeding index combining both selection criteria (breeding index = 1.6 × litter size + litter weight). The factor 1.6 reflects the average birth weight of single mouse pups at birth and is applied to avoid growth retardation in the offspring population. Thus, only animals of the largest and heaviest litters were recruited for further breeding.

Due to the lack of selection pressure, the breeding parameters for Ctrl remained the same over the generations (Fig. 2A and Langhammer et al. 2014). After 172 generations, the Ctrl strain had a litter size of 11.4 ± 3.3 (mean ± s.d.) and a total birth weight of 20.8 ± 4.8 g (mean ± s.d.). These data are similar
Figure 2 Breeding success (number of offspring per litter) over the generations (A). From generation 163 onwards, all mouse lines have been selected and maintained in a new animal facility. Presumably, due to the improved housing conditions (specific pathogen-free, SPF), there was an increase in the selection criteria ‘litter size’ in all mouse lines (see a shift in offspring per litter from the 162nd to 163rd generation). Data until generation 161 have already been presented in the study by Langhammer and coworkers (Langhammer et al. 2014). The number of offspring per litter and total litter weight at birth (mean ± s.d.) for Ctrl and FL1 after >170 generations of selection to Ctrl are indicated (B). ***Will indicate statistically significant differences between FL1 and Ctrl (t-test, P<0.001).

Expression profiling

Within the germinal organs, males and females share many genes essential for reproductive performance. We assume that alterations on a transcriptomic level have gradually established over the selection process for increased fertility performance, not only for the female (Vanselow et al. 2008) but also for the male reproductive organ. To test this notion on a molecular level, we employed whole transcriptome analysis using the GeneChip MTA 1.0 (Affymetrix) providing broad transcript coverage for more than 66,100 coding and non-coding probe sets (PS).

The overall cell intensity distribution for each microarray before and after normalization (SST-RMA) is evidence for an overall well-performed hybridization experiment (Supplementary Fig. 2). However, to evaluate the overall transcriptional difference of the two mouse lines (FL1 vs Ctrl) and to demonstrate any potential effects in the males, a principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out. This was done in an unbiased manner, based on the 1st microarray set with the individual animal hybridizations, to determine whether FL1 breeding effects are visible at the overall transcriptional level. Additionally, this approach allowed us to identify any potential unusual observations, which could then be investigated before application of a supervised method. The resulting plot has a clustering congruent with a line-based grouping and represents an overall PCA mapping of 53.8% (Fig. 3A). The particular principal components are denoted.

To further explore the variability of the dataset, we performed a hierarchical cluster analysis of the 500 most diversely expressed genes. In line with PCA results, FL1 and Ctrl transcripts clustered corresponding to their distinctive populations (Fig. 3B). Additionally, the gene expression data are more homogenous for the FL1 bucks than the Ctrl males, possibly due to the selection pressure applied to the high-fertility line. Contrarily, the randomly selected Ctrl line exhibits a more heterogenic expressed transcriptional profile.

To display the experimental benefit of the ‘two array sets approach’, we used nonparametric Spearman correlation analysis in dependence of varyingly stringent filter proceedings for the two array sets, plotting the FC of each transcriptome data set (Fig. 4). For the unfiltered 65770 probe set (PS), the correlation between the FCs of the 1st and 2nd array sets was assessed as 0.2628 (Fig. 4B). After increasing the stringency by
plotting only FCs that passed a statistical filter (such as ANOVA ($P < 0.05$), false discovery rate (FDR, $q < 0.05$) or Bonferroni correction ($P^* < 0.05$) based on the 1st array set), the correlation coefficient increased from 0.7242 to 0.9663, depending on the applied filter (Fig. 4B). However, depending on the filter method, the number of PS passing these statistical criteria decreased dramatically from 10571 using ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) to 234 applying the Bonferroni correction ($P^* < 0.05$). Thus, PSs in quadrant II and IV (Fig. 4A) are supposed to be ‘false-positive’ DEGs due to a lack of biological validation of its expression intensity and direction as seen in the 1st array set. Therefore, without the information of the 2nd microarray set, it would be impossible to filter out these ‘false-positive’ transcripts.

Figure 3 Principal component analysis (PCA) plot (A) of the individual testicular transcriptional profile of enrolled samples. Each cube represents one single microarray of FL1 (red) and Ctrl (green) mice. The PCA plot was generated using Expression Console software (Affymetrix). Hierarchical cluster analysis (B) was performed unsupervised for the 500 most DEGs in all groups. Genes are indicated vertically; the individual testicular samples are designated horizontally (FL1 red; Ctrl green). The intensity of red or blue colour suggests signal intensity as annotated by the scale.

Figure 4 Scatter plots showing the correlation between the two sets of microarray experiments. Abscissae indicates FCs of the 1st microarray experiment. Ordinates represent FCs of the 2nd array validation experiment. Colour code indicates the dependence upon statistical filtering based on the 1st array set that enrolled animal individual hybridizations (blue: unfiltered; green: ANOVA, $P < 0.05$; orange: FDR, $q < 0.05$; yellow: Bonferroni correction, $P^* < 0.05$) (A). Correlation coefficient according to Spearman ($r$) depending on the significance filtering and the number of enrolled XY pairs (probe set pairs) (B).
Each tissue expresses a subset of genes. To identify only transcripts that were present in the tissue, we filtered for detection of gene expression. With the current generation of Affymetrix gene expression arrays, the probes are designed to cover the entire transcript ranging from 5′ to 3′-end, resulting in a PS. To classify a transcript/PS as being present, at least in 50% of the samples, the probes have to be detected above background (DABG) levels. For this analysis, we used the Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) software as recommended by Affymetrix (see TAC user manual chapter ‘Identifying and validating alternative splicing events’). This filter for expression detection was employed in both microarray sets (see experimental design).

Out of the list of 65770 PSs, for FL1, 57227 PS (87%) were classed as present. For the Ctrl strain, we detected 57851 PS (88%)-expressed transcripts. These lists were considered as testis-expressed genes and used for the identification of DEGs.

To identify DEGs, we used the following criteria: (i) transcripts assigned as upregulated must have been expressed in the FL1 group; transcripts assigned as downregulated must have been detected in the Ctrl group; (ii) ≥1.5 or ≤−1.5 FCs were set as cut-offs and (iii) DEGs had to passed statistical filter as indicated (ANOVA ($P<0.05$), FDR ($q<0.05$) or Bonferroni correction ($P^*<0.05$), of the 1st array set, as indicated).

To correct for multiple testing, it is recommended to correct for the tested null hypotheses. For microarray experiments, a common statistical algorithm is the concept of the FDR or the Bonferroni correction. However, both statistical algorithms are incapable to reflect the biological diversity. Figure 5B illustrates the validated DEG intersection or ‘recovery rates’ for both array sets after the consecutive performance of our filtering criteria. Using ANOVA or FDR as a filter, we detected moderate ‘recovery rates’ of 61% (PS: 739/1204) and 68% (PS: 558/825) respectively. Only the Bonferroni correction resulted in a good correlation 89% (PS: 148/167) between the 1st and 2nd array set, but this was also associated with a considerable reduction in the number of DEGs.

Using ANOVA in combination with our experimental validation method, we avoid the need for multiple-testing corrections, 1204 PSs of the 1st array met the filter criteria for differential expression and had a $P<0.05$ (ANOVA). Of these, 739 PSs could be validated by the 2nd array set of biological replicates (Fig. 5B).

**Bioinformatics evaluation**

To detect the activation or inhibition of potentially relevant cellular or biological processes, gene ontology (GO) classification was performed for all differential expressed transcripts in dependence of upregulation or downregulation. However, of the 739 differentially expressed PS, almost half (375) are not annotated with official gene symbols or public gene IDs due to missing database information, resulting in lost resource of those mainly non-coding transcripts (Fig. 5B, see filtered PS). For rough classification, we identified 496 non-coding transcripts, of those: 314 lincRNAs, 124 antisense RNAs, 16 sense no-exonic RNAs and two microRNAs. However, the expression site is known for 105 non-coding RNAs, 70% (74 transcripts) are annotated as expressed in the testis and 52% (55 transcripts) are exclusively expressed in the testis while 17% non-coding RNAs (18 transcripts) are related to oocyte expression site (Supplementary Fig. 3). The list of those DEGs with official gene
symbols is provided in the Supplementary Table 2. GO classifications were able to identify 190 annotated mouse genes (www.geneontology.org) (Harris et al. 2004, Mi et al. 2005). The overall GO classification is shown in Fig. 6. FL1 transcripts associated with nucleic acid-binding properties were twice as likely to be downregulated as upregulated, whereas the reverse was true for transcripts with protein-binding properties.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was used to evaluate functional differences between the FL1 and Ctrl testicular transcriptomes. Before this, duplicates within the gene list were excluded. The resulting list of 358 DEGs with official geneIDs was subjected to the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, david.ncifcrf.gov). We found 324 matches for murine annotations within the database. An extract of the GSEA with corresponding genes is presented in Table 1.

In general, the GSEA identified a wide range of biological as well as molecular categories that have been altered in FL1 bucks testis during the long-term breeding process. Including, enrichment of peptidase inhibitor activity, mainly of serine protease inhibitors and Serpin transcripts, half of which were upregulated (e.g. Serpine3, Serpin1, Serpin2) and half decreased expressed (e.g. Agt, Serpinb10, Serpina3a). In addition to those transcripts involved in proteolytic processes, we detected genes of the steroid hormone biosynthesis cascade and oestrogen metabolic processes to be downregulated in FL1 testis (e.g. Hsd3b1, Cyp1b1, Sult1e1). Besides, we noticed unexpected enrichments like adaptive immune response as well as haptoglobin–haemoglobin complex.

FL1 mouse line has been selected for increased reproductive performance. Based on that assumption and to detect fertility relevant alterations in the testicular transcriptome, we analysed our DEG lists for matches of mammalian phenotype (MP) with reproductive system phenotypes taking both genders into count, using the MGI database (www.informatics.jax.org). By this approach, we identified 12 mouse reproductive phenotype-associated genes that matched our DEG list. These genes are summarized in Table 2, with a selection of the most pronounced reproductive phenotypes.

The lists include the genes Agt and Ccnd2, which are known to be important for female fecundity and proper ovulation. While Agt expression is decreased, Ccnd2 is upregulated in FL1 testis. In addition, Nr2c2, associated with oligozoospermia, and Sult1e1, related to abnormal sperm motility, were downregulated in FL1 bucks.

**FL1 signatures of differential expression in male and female gonads**

High-fertility mouse line FL1 have been selected for increased reproductive performance via female index traits. Previous FL1 examinations focused on the dams, revealing an increase in ovulation rates due to increased oogenesis yields, which are partly reflected by POF (Spitschak et al. 2007, Alm et al. 2010). Consequently, the FL1 dams ovarian transcriptome was dissected, leading to the detection of gene expression alterations, which possibly could cause these extraordinary oocyte numbers as basis for the FL1 litter sizes. Based on
these findings, we pursued a comparison of FL1 ovary and testis transcriptome variations towards Ctrl, to get information about gender-crossing FL1 transcriptional signatures of DEGs. These could apparently represent targets of influence by the long-lasting continuous breeding process and as such could be of high relevance for eliciting high fertility.

The considered ovarian microarray experiment was performed by Vanselow and coworkers (2008). Briefly, ovarian samples were originally collected at the

Table 1 Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for testis of FL1 vs Ctrl line using the platform Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Fold enrichment</th>
<th>Fisher P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peptidase inhibitor activity</td>
<td>CST9, WFDC10, SERPINB10, SERPINI1, SERPINA3A, SERPINI2</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpin</td>
<td>AGT, SERPINE3, SERPINB10, SERPINI1, SERPINA3A, SERPINI2</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid hormone biosynthesis</td>
<td>HSD3B1, CYP1B1, SULT1E1</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrogen metabolic process</td>
<td>CYP1B1, SULT1E1</td>
<td>51.28</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid binding</td>
<td>SHBG, RXRA, SULT1E1, NR2C2</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid hormone mediated signalling pathway</td>
<td>RXRA, ABHD2, NR2C2</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptoglobin-haemoglobin complex</td>
<td>HBA-A2, HBB-BS, HBB-BT</td>
<td>61.24</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive immune response</td>
<td>XRCC4, FAS, CD74, FCGR3</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table represents an extraction of the functional analysis for overrepresented GO terms with indicated fold-enrichment score and Fisher Exact P value.

Table 2 List of genes associated with reproductive phenotypes found in the database Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI – www.informatics.jax.org) and corresponds to DEGs of FL1 compared to Ctrl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>FC 1st set</th>
<th>FC 2nd set</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>MP ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agt</td>
<td>−2.6***</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
<td>Decreased ovulation rate</td>
<td>MP:0003355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamts5</td>
<td>1.5***</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Reproductive system phenotype</td>
<td>MP:0005389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sult1e1</td>
<td>−4.4***</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>Abnormal sperm motility</td>
<td>MP:0002674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leydig cell hyperplasia</td>
<td>MP:0001152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal seminiferous tubules</td>
<td>MP:0002216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced female fertility</td>
<td>MP:0001923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased litter size</td>
<td>MP:0001935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr2c2</td>
<td>−1.7***</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
<td>Reduced male fertility</td>
<td>MP:0001922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seminiferous tubule degeneration</td>
<td>MP:0001134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccmd2</td>
<td>2.2**</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Abnormal ovulation</td>
<td>MP:0001928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal ovarian folliculogenesis</td>
<td>MP:0001130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced male fertility</td>
<td>MP:0001922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal sperm motility</td>
<td>MP:0002674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Azospermia</td>
<td>MP:0005159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Small seminiferous tubules</td>
<td>MP:0001153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insl5/relaxin</td>
<td>−2.0*</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
<td>Male infertility</td>
<td>MP:0001925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female infertility</td>
<td>MP:0001926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased litter size</td>
<td>MP:0001935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal estrous cycle</td>
<td>MP:0001927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asthenozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nsun2</td>
<td>−1.6***</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>Male infertility</td>
<td>MP:0001925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Testis degeneration</td>
<td>MP:0003600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tll1</td>
<td>1.9**</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Male infertility</td>
<td>MP:0001925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asthenozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligozoospermia</td>
<td>MP:0002687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal spermatogenesis</td>
<td>MP:0001156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dnah11</td>
<td>−2.2**</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>Reduced fertility</td>
<td>MP:0001921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased litter size</td>
<td>MP:0001935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gpr133/Adgrd1</td>
<td>−2.7***</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>Female infertility</td>
<td>MP:0001926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mige8</td>
<td>2.1***</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Reduced male fertility</td>
<td>MP:0001922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased litter size</td>
<td>MP:0001935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genes, FCs for bot array sets, matching mammalian phenotype (MP) description and number are indicated. FC of 1st (*, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; *** P< 0.001) and 2nd microarray set are indicated in table.
metestrous stage and similarly prepared, filtered and processed like the investigated testis samples, differing in the employed microarray platform (see GSE11113). The ovaries were analysed by the previous 3′ IVT (in vitro transcription) microarrays by Affymetrix being equipped with the feature of mismatched controlled expression detection. Those previous microarrays consist of PS representing 11–20 probes targeting the 3′ end of the mRNA (Della Beffa et al. 2008). As such the 3′ IVT microarray, target more or less only transcripts that are protein-coding genes. However, our testicular transcriptional analyses are based on current microarray generation with PS ranging from 5′ to 3′-end. This makes it possible to analyse the transcriptional expression for splicing events. A list of alternative splicing events is provided in the Supplementary Table 3. However, we dismissed the feature to analyse for alternative splicing events and focused on differential gene expression to compare the testicular and ovarian transcriptional profiles. Furthermore, the ‘new’ exon gene chips are equipped with a wider range of transcripts, ranging from protein-coding to non-protein-coding RNAs (e.g. IncRNAs and microRNAs transcripts). Hence, the ‘old’ gene chips represent only a subset of transcripts found in the current array generation. As such, we only compared both germinal organs for coding transcripts.

For DEG comparison, we included the testis result tables derived from the two microarray set analyses and opposed them to the former DEG list of the FL1 ovary study summarized in Fig. 7A. We could reveal an intersection of 23 DEGs detectable in both FL1 testis and ovary when compared against Ctrl samples. Among these, DEGs 15 are regulated in the same manner in the gonads of both genders, i.e. Agt, Sult1e1, Aldh1a7 and Serpina3a. In contrast, 8 transcripts are reversely expressed meaning sex-dependent observation of downregulation and upregulation for the same gene, e.g.: Cyp1b1, Rd3 and Ccnd2.

Those transcriptional signatures we imported to the form FNTM (Functional Networks of Tissues in Mouse; fntm.princeton.edu) for network analysis. The inquiry was done for germ cells of both genders. For germ cells of the testis, there was a strong direct functional relationship between Agt, Aldh1a7, Atpe6v0e2, Bdh1, Ccnd2, Cdk14, Cyp1b1, Dapp1, Fam132a, Figl, Fxyd6, H2-K1, Ili204, Iqgap2 and Ptpn14, having Agt as a central linker (Fig. 7B). Unexpectedly, this strong network was not reflected in germ cells of the ovary. On the female side, we detected only moderate or weak functional relationships (Fig. 7C).

**Validation of microarray data with qPCR**

To validate our microarray data, we performed qPCR analysis of a selected set of transcripts intending to re-evaluate and to confirm the relative expression ratio of the 2nd set microarrays. To overcome shortage of knowledge concerning individual expression variation of the 2nd pooling array samples for qPCR. For this, we used the same mouse line testis RNA samples (before pooling) and analysed each sample’s relative transcriptional abundance separately. Primers for qPCR were designed as oligonucleotides, binding to intron-overspanning exons if possible (list of primer sequences,

---

**Figure 7** Testicular and ovarian differentially expressed genes, compared the FL1 to Ctrl strains (A). Testicular differential expression profile is based on two sets of microarray experiments (1st and 2nd array set; males). The ovarian transcriptional profile is based on previous work and has been extracted from the GEO database (GSE11113; females). Functional relation within testicular (B) and ovarian (C) germ cells of genes were found to be altered in high-fertile FL1 vs Ctrl mice, analysed by the Functional Networks of Tissues in Mouse platform (fntm.princeton.edu). Minimum (0.01, green) and maximum (1.0, red) relationship confidences are indicated by the colour code.
Supplementary Table 1). All relative expression ratios were normalized to a combination of four reference genes (36B4, GAPDH, HPRT, B2m) using REST 2009 software (Pfaffl et al. 2002). Within this software, FC and P value were generated for statistical evaluation.

By qPCR, comparing FL1 and Ctrl strains, we detected significant differences in expression of Sult1e1 (FC: −3.0, P<0.001), Ccnd2 (FC: 1.8, P<0.01), Cdkl4 (FC: −2.4, P<0.001), Stat2 (FC: −4.1, P<0.001), Act (FC: −1.8, P<0.001), Aldh1a7 (FC: −8.6, P<0.001), Cst9 (FC: −5.3, P<0.001) and Cyp1b1 (FC: −2.2, P<0.001).

Hence, these specific 2nd array set qPCR transcription data revealed high similarity to both the 2nd set array data itself (Fig. 8A, number below column) as well as to the biological-independent replicates of the 1st array set (Fig. 8A, number in brackets below column). The overall agreement between the qPCR and microarray data was visualized using Spearman correlation (Fig. 8B). Except for Cdkl4, Aldh1a7 and Cst9, the correlation values demonstrated high similarities, even though qPCR and microarray represent two different techniques, which differ in mRNA detection target.

**Progesterone concentration in blood**

In a previous publication, we noticed an increase in serum corticosterone levels in FL1 males (Langhammer et al. 2017). Progesterone (P4) can serve as a precursor of the corticosteroid pathway. Furthermore, one of the key enzymes of P4 pathway is differentially expressed in FL1 testis on the transcriptional level. Hsd3b1 (FC: −2.0***, −1.7; 1st and 2nd array set, respectively) encodes the enzyme 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1, which is involved in steroid biosynthesis, converting pregnenolone to P4. Although this enzyme is associated with adrenal gland expression, according to the Biogps database, the ovary had the second highest abundance of Hsd3b1 mRNA (biogps.org/#goto=genereport&id=15492). In addition, we noticed high Hsd3b1 mRNA levels in the testis ((Ctrl: Avg Signal (log2): 10.18, 10.28 (1st, 2nd set); (FL1: Avg Signal (log2): 9.22, 9.53 (1st, 2nd set))). To test if differences in Hsd3b1 mRNA levels affect the hormonal concentration, the serum P4 level of FL1 and Ctrl males were examined by radioimmunoassay (RIA). To minimize the influence of circadian fluctuation all serum samples were collected at the same time of the day.

In Ctrl males, we measured 2.9 ± 0.8 ng/mL total P4 from blood plasma samples (Fig. 9). This concentration was slightly higher than has been reported for C57BL/6 bucks (Schneider et al. 2003). Unexpectedly, compared to the Ctrl mice, the FL1 mice had significantly increased P4 values (4.0 ± 1.1 ng/mL, P<0.05, n = 10 animals per group). Statistical data are indicated as means ± s.d. and have been analysed by unpaired t-test.

![Figure 8](image-url)

Figure 8 qPCR re-evaluation of selected, microarray-founded differentially expressed transcripts. Certain relative mRNA levels for 2nd microarray set samples gained by using qPCR as an independent method are designated. Total tests RNA of individual FL1 and Ctrl mice was used prior to pooling for the 2nd microarray set. Samples were normalized to a combination of reference genes (36B4, GAPDH, HPRT, B2m) and statistically evaluated employing the Relative Expression Software Tool (REST 2009) (Pfaffl et al. 2002). Relative expression ratios are presented as log2 bars and statistical significance is indicated above the columns (A). Numbers below bars indicate fold change (FC) values calculated for the 2nd microarray set comprising the same tests samples as one pool. Numbers in brackets denote FCs of the 1st microarray set as independent biological replicates. Scatter plot illustrates the correlation of 2nd array set sample FCs acquired by both microarray (abscissae) and qPCR (ordinate), exposing the verification of expression data valid for specified transcripts (B).

**Discussion**

Here, we aimed to analyse the long-term effects and induced alterations in the males after more than 170 generations of female-focused high-fertility breeding. FL1 mouse line is generally distinguished and induced alterations in the males after more than 170 generations of female-focused high-fertility breeding. FL1 mouse line is generally distinguished and induced alterations in the males after more than 170 generations of female-focused high-fertility breeding. FL1 mouse line is generally distinguished and induced alterations in the males after more than 170 generations of female-focused high-fertility breeding. FL1 mouse line is generally distinguished.
In order to investigate the potential effects of the female-focused breeding strategy on testicular gene expression, we have compared the transcriptomes of FL1 and Ctrl mice. The analysis of the testis transcriptome alterations in the FL1 mice revealed a number of genes that might be directly causative of the female-focused breeding strategy, which is expected to be linked to the female-focused selection process. The altered expression of these genes might have been engrained in the males by the long-term high-fertility selection process towards elevated fertility rates and heavier litters, which can serve as a precursor of androgens, estrogens, and glucocorticoids synthesis. Interestingly, the Hsd3b1 gene in females is downregulated in FL1 testis in comparison to Ctrl mice. Transgenic interventional studies already reveal overexpression of the Agt gene in females leads to reduced ovulation capacity (Hefler & Gregg 2001). Additionally, antagonizing Ang II type 1 receptor (AGTR1) blocks the growth of dominant follicles and their subsequent rupture, with a simultaneous downregulation of Ccnd2 mRNA expression. However, in FL1 females, we detected a reduction in Agt expression but upregulation of Ccnd2 expression. We propose that the altered expression of Agt in the testis is directly linked to the female-focused selection.

Ccnd2 is important in testicular as well as ovarian cell cycle (Lee et al. 2009, Shimizu et al. 2013). Genetic intervention studies report on oligozoospermia and abnormal ovarian folliculogenesis (Sicinski et al. 1996). The Ccnd2 gene is induced by FSH and regulates cell proliferation in the gonads (Sicinski et al. 1996). Downregulation by dihydrotestosterone (DHT) induces cell cycle arrest in granulosa cells (Pradeep et al. 2002), while deletion of the gene leads to female sterility (Sicinski et al. 1996).

Furthermore, Hsd3b1 is a key enzyme in biosynthesis of steroids, which is essential for the production of P4, which can serve as a precursor of androgens, estrogens and glucocorticoids synthesis. Interestingly, the Hsd3b1 transcript was downregulated in FL1 testis in comparison to Ctrl line. To test for possible physiological effects of this differential Hsd3b1 expression, we analysed the P4 level in the serum by RIA. The P4 concentrations of the Ctrl males were similar to values found in the literature (Schneider et al. 2003, Deniselle et al. 2016). However, the P4 levels of FL1 bucks were almost 1.5-fold (40%) greater than those of the Ctrl strain. The elevated P4 level in FL1 bucks was surprising because Hsd3b1 transcripts are decreased in these mice. Although P4 is widely considered a ‘female hormone’, the serum P4 levels of males do not differ greatly to females in the luteal phase (Zumoff et al. 1990a,b) or post-menopausal women (Oettel & Mukhopadhyay 2004, Trabert et al. 2015). In men, P4 has been shown to be crucial for spermatogenesis, which is stressed by its induced functional loss ultimately leading to infertility (Abid et al. 2008, Aquila & De Amicis 2014). The hormone is known to induce the acrosome reaction and to increases the percentage of oocyte penetration (Vigil et al. 2011). Moreover, P4 can trigger hyperactivation in spermatozoa and acts as a precursor of the active angiotensin (Ang) II within the angiotensin cascade. This hormonal system is known for its blood pressure regulation (Williams 2016). Also, there is increasing evidence for the local synthesis of RAS components in various tissues (Bader & Ganten 2008), as well as for the direct action of angiotensin (Ang) II in male reproductive organs (Maruta & Arakawa 1983). Nevertheless, the role of angiotensin as an effector in the testis is only partially understood. Besides its possible implication in male reproduction, Agt is of vital importance for ovarian function. In our work, Agt was found to be altered in FL1 testis as well as in FL1 ovary in comparison to the Ctrl strain. In both gonadal tissues, Agt is downregulated in FL1 compared to Ctrl mice. Transgenic interventional studies already reveal overexpression of the Agt gene in females leads to reduced ovulation capacity (Hefler & Gregg 2001). Also, antagonizing Ang II type 1 receptor (AGTR1) blocks the growth of dominant follicles and their subsequent rupture, with a simultaneous downregulation of Ccnd2 mRNA expression. However, in FL1 females, we detected a reduction in Agt expression but upregulation of Ccnd2 expression. We propose that the altered expression of Agt in the testis is directly linked to the female-focused selection.

Figure 9 Progesterone. Plasma progesterone concentrations of FL1 and Ctrl males were analysed by radioimmunoassay (RIA). Individual values, mean and s.d. are shown. Groups were tested for normal distribution and analysed by two-tailed t-test (*P<0.05).
due to a rapid increase in Ca\(^{2+}\), possibly mediated by the GABA\(_A\) receptor (Kon et al. 2014). Also, the P4 can serve as a precursor for corticosterone, thus feeding the corticosteroid pathway. We previously analysed the serum corticosterone levels in males by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry and detected significantly enhanced (+80%) corticosterone levels in FL1 compared to Ctrl males (Langhammer et al. 2017). In males, the hormone is known to be either of testicular or adrenal origin.

Furthermore, the transcript of Sult1e1 was downregulated in FL1 tests in comparison to Ctrl strain. Oestrogen (E2) is known to be inactivated by the steroid sulfatase Sult1e1, which is commonly regarded as the only relevant sulfate transferase for estrogens (Geyer et al. 2017). The enzyme Sult1e1 is present in the ovary, whereas its testicular expression and function have not yet been reported (Brown et al. 2006). However, sulfated steroid inactivation, as well as transport and local storage of steroids, have been postulated (Geyer et al. 2017). Also, it could be unfolded that overexpression of Sult1e1 counteracts estrogen-mediated proliferation and decreases the expression of D-cyclin (Xu et al. 2012).

Unexpectedly, we notice an overrepresentation of genes of the haptoglobin–haemoglobin complex in the FL1 tests. We also decotted among the DEGs an enrichment of adaptive immune response, which we currently cannot map to a functional role in reproductive performance. However, this might be rather an indirect effect due to the breeding process and the genetic separation of more than 170 generations causing an undirected allelic drift rather than an active regulation.

**Conclusion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study describing global transcriptional changes in the male mice following long-term female-focused, high-fertility selection process, with a still existing and maintained random-mated control mouse line. We detected gene expression changes in the FL1 tests partially associated with female fecundity and ovulation. However, in pig breeding, several races have been selected for different functional traits. Although Landrace and Large White have been selected for high female fertility, the corresponding males do not have superior fertile compared to those breeds selected for other functional traits (e.g. Pietrain, selected for meat quantity and quality) (Kondrakzi 2003). Thus, our mouse model might serve as a suitable model for pigs or pig breeding strategies. Building on our approach, the FBN ‘high-fertility’ mouse lines might serve as an informative model to estimate the long-term effects of female-focused breeding on both genders.
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