COMPARISON OF VARIOUS COMMERCIAL PREPARATIONS OF MELATONIN IN IMMATURE RATS
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Summary. Daily injections of various commercial preparations of melatonin into immature female rats yielded different results. Melatonin obtained from Regis and Sigma resulted in decreased ovarian and uterine weights when compared to controls, while melatonin obtained from Calbiochem and Nutritional Biochemicals did not alter ovarian or uterine weights. No change was noted in anterior pituitary weights in any of the groups. At present, the explanation for these differences is unknown.

The pineal gland has been shown to have an inhibitory influence on certain reproductive functions in mammals (Kitay, 1967). In female rats, pinealectomy results in premature vaginal opening and ovarian hypertrophy. Pineal extracts are prepared differently by different research workers, but, in general, pineal extracts reverse the effects of pinealectomy (Meyer, Wurtman, Altschule & Lazo-Wasem, 1961; Moszkowska, 1963). Melatonin is found in the pineal glands of all mammals tested (Wurtman, Axelrod & Chu, 1964) and has been claimed by some to be the pineal hormone since the enzyme necessary for its synthesis (hydroxy-indole-O-methyltransferase) is located only in the pineal gland (Axelrod, MacLean, Albers & Weissbach, 1961). In female rats, microgram doses of melatonin result in delayed vaginal opening, decreased ovarian weight, and a decreased incidence of the appearance of cornified cells in the vaginal smears (Wurtman, Axelrod & Chu, 1963; Chu, Wurtman & Axelrod, 1964). In the male rat, microgram doses of melatonin result in a decrease in the weight of the seminal vesicles and prostates (Motta, Fraschini & Martini, 1967). Injecting microgram amounts of melatonin, however, has not given consistent results in different laboratories. Ebels & Prop (1965) noted that melatonin injection had no effect on the gonadal weights of immature male or female rats or on the vaginal cycle of adult female rats. It does not appear likely that these differences are due to the age of the animal, the lighting conditions or the dose used.

Since the melatonin used by each group was obtained from different sources,
the present study was undertaken to compare the biological effects of melatonin obtained from four different commercial sources.

Twenty-one-day-old female Holtzman rats were maintained on a regimen of 16 hr light/8 hr darkness/24-hr period and were fed commercial food and tap water freely.

Melatonin was obtained from four commercial sources: Calbiochem (Los Angeles, California); Nutritional Biochemicals Corporation (Cleveland, Ohio); Regis Chemical Company (Chicago, Illinois); and Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, Missouri). Crystalline melatonin was stored frozen until the solutions were to be prepared. Fresh solutions were prepared weekly and were stored refrigerated. Melatonin from each source was dissolved in ethyl alcohol. After the addition of saline, 0.2 ml of each solution contained 100 μg melatonin in 2% ethyl alcohol. Subcutaneous injections of 100 μg were given daily in the morning for 23 days. (Controls were injected with saline in 2% ethyl alcohol.)

Animals were killed by decapitation in the afternoon and the anterior pituitaries, ovaries and uteri were weighed.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>No. of rats</th>
<th>Final body wt (g) (Mean ± S.E.)</th>
<th>Ovary wt (mg) (Mean ± S.E.)</th>
<th>Uterus wt (mg) (Mean ± S.E.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>143 ± 3</td>
<td>53.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>234.2 ± 24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin (100 μg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>145 ± 3</td>
<td>47.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>234.0 ± 25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional Biochemicals</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>143 ± 2</td>
<td>51.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>198.9 ± 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>142 ± 3</td>
<td>38.6 ± 0.3**</td>
<td>152.7 ± 14.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>142 ± 4</td>
<td>42.0 ± 2.4*</td>
<td>147.7 ± 12.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.01 versus control.
** P < 0.02 versus control.

No difference was noted in the weights of the anterior pituitaries of the five groups. The ovarian and uterine weights of animals injected with melatonin obtained from Calbiochem and Nutritional Biochemicals did not differ from the ovarian and uterine weights of the control group, but the ovarian and uterine weights of animals injected with melatonin from Sigma or Regis were shown to be significantly lower than those of the control group (Table 1).

Studies cited in the literature on the effects of exogenous melatonin on reproductive systems have not given consistent results. Since Wurtman obtained his melatonin from Regis, and Ebels & Prop obtained their melatonin from Calbiochem, the difference in the biological effects of melatonin reported by these two laboratories could be explained by our results. Thieblot, Berthelay & Blaise (1966) noted that melatonin injection increased the ovarian and uterine weights in the female rat and increased the seminal vesicle weights in the male rat. Since the source of their melatonin was not given, it is difficult to reconcile their results.
Comparison of various melatonins

Two possible explanations exist to explain the differences in biological activity of melatonin obtained from the four commercial sources cited: (a) the material obtained from Sigma and Regis is, in fact, melatonin and the results cited by Wurtman give a true picture of the effects of this compound which has been isolated from the pineal gland. If this is true, the melatonin obtained from Calbiochem and Nutritional Biochemicals may be defective in some way; (b) melatonin obtained from Sigma and Regis may be contaminated and the biological effects attributed to melatonin may be due to some contaminant present. Tests are in progress in our laboratory to determine this.

The function of the pineal gland has long been a mystery in mammalian physiology. As long as the biological effect of melatonin differs among various chemical companies, or among lots within the same company, work toward the solution of the function of this gland will be hampered.
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