PASSAGE OF SODIUM INTO THE UTERINE LUMEN OF THE RAT DURING THE SEXUAL CYCLE AND UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF STEROIDAL HORMONES
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Summary. The rate of passage of intravenously injected $[^{22}\text{Na}]$sodium into the uterine lumen of the rat has been measured in vivo as a means of examining changes in the uterine luminal environment. The rate varied significantly at different stages of the oestrous cycle and during the first 10 days of pregnancy and pseudopregnancy. Some of these variations were probably the result of changes in the levels of circulating steroid hormones since variations of a similar type could be produced in ovariectomized rats given physiological amounts of oestrogen or oestrogen plus progesterone. The other variations in the rate of passage appear to be due to changes brought about by the process of implantation and the growth of the implantation site.

The comparatively slow rate of passage of $[^{22}\text{Na}]$sodium into the lumen in these experiments indicates that there is a considerable barrier to the passage of sodium between the extracellular fluid and the uterine luminal fluid.

INTRODUCTION

In the present work, an attempt has been made to present a new approach to the examination of the uterine luminal environment during the oestrous cycle and under the influence of oestrogen and progesterone. The rate of passage of $[^{22}\text{Na}]$sodium into the uterine lumen of the rat has been measured to see whether it changes under these conditions.

This technique could be applied to many ions, but it has been confined initially to sodium as this is the major cation in uterine and blastocyst fluids. In one experiment, however, $[^{131}\text{I}]$idoantipyrine was also used.

METHOD

Albino Wistar rats bred in the Animal House at Guy's Hospital Medical School were used. The females were nulliparous, weighed 200 to 300 g and had cycle lengths of 4 days before the experiments. Pseudopregnancy was induced
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by mating with vasectomized males implanted with testosterone. The day of mating was called Day 1.

Each rat was used for only one perfusion experiment. The perfusions in the normal non-pregnant rats were performed in conscious animals following operation carried out under ether anaesthesia, whereas the perfusions in ovariectomized, pregnant and pseudopregnant rats were performed under pentobarbitone sodium (25 mg/intraperitoneally) anaesthesia.

The following procedure was adopted for the perfusion of the uterus. The uterus was exposed at the ovarian and cervical ends through small abdominal incisions. Cotton ligatures were placed around the ends of the uterus, being sewn between the mesometrial blood vessels since this avoided damage and prevented their occlusion when the ligatures were tied. Small incisions were made antimesometrially through the wall of the uterus at both ends of each horn and about 2 mm of the tip of each cannula (approximately 1 mm diameter), was pushed into the lumen and the ligatures were then tied.

Each cannula was attached to silicone rubber tubing, the free part of which issued from the peritoneal cavity and the abdominal muscles were closed around it. Where the perfusions were performed in conscious rats, the tubes were brought out at the dorsal side of the neck, and the rats were restrained in adjustable cages for the duration of the perfusions. These procedures were unnecessary for the perfusions performed under anaesthesia, but the body temperature of the rats had to be maintained at 37° C.

The uterine lumen was perfused with a Locke solution containing 9.2 g NaCl, 0.42 g KCl, 0.24 g CaCl₂, 0.15 g NaHCO₃ and 1.0 g glucose per litre. The pressure head of the perfusion system was achieved by passing compressed air into the perfusion fluid reservoir. The air pressure in the reservoir was set at 35 mm Hg above atmospheric pressure by adjustment of an air leak. Thus, the perfusion pressure within the uterine lumen never exceeded 35 mm Hg and was usually much lower during perfusion. The Locke solution was warmed to 37° C and was perfused through the lumen at a rate of 0.4 ml/min (the rate was not critical). The fluid was collected in disposable test tubes.

An injection of 4 to 10 µg [²²Na]Cl was given into a tail vein and the uterine perfusion was begun about 25 min later. Within this time, the ²²Na was distributed throughout the extracellular water since, thereafter, the ²²Na content of the blood decreased only slowly compared with its initial decrease, a fact established in an initial experiment by monitoring the radioactivity of blood passing through a coil of polythene tubing connected between a carotid artery and femoral vein.

The uterine lumen was perfused for at least 5 min before any samples were collected in order to wash out any ²²Na which had passed into the lumen. The perfusate collected 30 to 60 min after the injection was used to determine the rate of passage of ²²Na into the lumen. The total radioactivity present in the perfusate was expressed as a percentage of the radioactivity in an arbitrary volume of 1 ml of plasma, a blood sample being taken from the heart at the end of the perfusion.

Radioactivity was measured with a Dynatron/Ekco well-crystal scintillation counter using a sample volume of 2 ml. The [²²Na]Cl and [¹³¹I]4-iodoanti-
pyrine were obtained from the Radiochemical Centre, Amersham. The steroid hormones were dissolved in arachis oil and injected subcutaneously.

RESULTS

Rate of passage of $^{22}\text{Na}$ into pro-oestrous fluid

The rate of passage of $^{22}\text{Na}$ into the fluid contained within the uterine lumen at pro-oestrus was studied first. Radioactive sodium was injected intravenously into conscious rats and the uterine fluid was removed after various time intervals.

Equilibration of $^{22}\text{Na}$ with pro-oestrous fluid was slow compared with its rate of equilibration with the tissues of the uterus, where equilibration was almost complete within 30 min of the injection (Text-fig. 1). For example, 400 min after the injection, the fluid contained 40% of the $^{22}\text{Na}$ content of an
equal volume of plasma. As the $^{22}\text{Na}$ content at full equilibration would have been about 83%, allowing for the fact that uterine fluid contains less sodium than does plasma (Howard & De Feo, 1959), only about 50% equilibration had occurred within 400 min.

It was found that $[^{131}\text{I}]$idoantipyrine, which equilibrates with total body water and was used as a control in this experiment, equilibrated with uterine fluid at a faster rate than $^{22}\text{Na}$, the process being virtually complete after 4 hr (Text-fig. 1).

**Text-fig. 2.** The rate of absorption of $^{[22}\text{Na}]$sodium from the uterine lumen of rats at the oestrous (●) and dioestrous (○) stages of the cycle at the commencement of the experiment. The blood radioactivity in the rats injected intraluminally with $^{[22}\text{Na}]$sodium is expressed as a percentage of the blood radioactivity in control rats injected intraperitoneally with the same amount of $^{[22}\text{Na}]$sodium. Each point is the mean ± S.E. value of a number of observations, as shown. Values at 6, 11 to 12 and 22 hr are significantly different ($P<0.02$) comparing the two stages.

**Rate of absorption of $^{22}\text{Na}$ from the uterine lumen**

With the uterus ligated at the cervix and at the site of injection at the uterotubal junction to prevent any leakage, $^{22}\text{Na}$ injected into the uterine lumen passed only slowly into the general circulation. For example, 22 hr after the injection, the blood radioactivity was 34 to 54% lower than in rats where $^{22}\text{Na}$ had been injected intraperitoneally (Text-fig. 2). The $^{22}\text{Na}$ unaccounted for was shown to be present within the uterus.

The rate of absorption of $^{22}\text{Na}$ from the uterine lumen was significantly slower in those rats which were injected on the day of dioestrus than in those injected on the day of oestrus, judging by the blood radioactivity (Text-fig. 2).
Passage of $^{22}$Na into the rat uterus

Rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the perfused uterine lumen

At most stages of the cycle, it was difficult to obtain samples of uterine fluid and, therefore, the horns were perfused with Locke solution to wash out any $^{22}$Na which passed into the lumen. Light microscopical studies revealed no apparent damage due to the perfusion. However, the results obtained by perfusion may overestimate the normal rate since, at pro-oestrus, the rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the lumen of horns filled naturally with fluid appears to be twice as slow as in the perfused horns, as can be seen from the following figures. The radioactivity of pro-oestrous fluid increased by 7% per hr when expressed in terms of the radioactivity in an equivalent volume of plasma (average vol.

| Table 1 |

THE RATE OF PASSAGE OF [$^{22}$Na] SODIUM INTO THE PERFUSED UTERINE LUMEN, IN RATS AT VARIOUS STAGES OF THE OESTROUS CYCLE AND IN OVARIECTOMIZED RATS TREATED WITH OESTROGEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage or treatment</th>
<th>No. of horns perfused</th>
<th>$^{22}$Na content of perfusate†</th>
<th>Mean ± S.E.</th>
<th>Ref. no.</th>
<th>Significance‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro-oestrus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.24</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>**(3, 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oestrus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>*(1)</td>
<td>***(3, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoestrus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.83 ± 0.17</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>**(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioestrus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.14 ± 0.73</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>**(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovariectomized and injected 3 weeks later with 1 or 10 μg oestradiol monobenzoate/day × 5 days, and perfused on 5th day</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.38</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>N.S. (1, 2)</td>
<td>**(3, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovariectomized and primed 3 weeks later with 10 μg oestradiol 5 days before perfusion, followed by:</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.43</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No further treatment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.38 ± 0.81</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>N.S. (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μg oestradiol 6 hr before perfusion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.25</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>***(6) * (7, 9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μg oestradiol 18 hr before perfusion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.02 ± 0.40</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>N.S. (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μg oestradiol 44 to 50 hr before perfusion</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Counts/min per 30-min perfusate sample × 100.

‡ Levels of significance, compared with the mean corresponding to the ref. no. given, ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; N.S. not significant.

0.2 ml, Text-fig. 1). Expressed in terms of 1 ml plasma, this is equivalent to an increase in radioactivity in the lumen of 1.4% per hr, whereas 1.46% of the radioactivity in 1 ml plasma was recovered in 30 min in the perfusion experiments (Table 1).

(i) Effect of stage of the oestrous cycle. These experiments were performed in conscious rats. For the major part of the perfusion, the pressure of the fluid as it entered the uterine lumen ranged between 0 to 5 mm Hg. As the uterus contracted rhythmically throughout the perfusion, there was a greater resistance to perfusion and the pressure increased to, though not above, 15 mm Hg.

The amount of $^{22}$Na washed from the uterine lumen varied significantly at different stages of the oestrous cycle. The perfusate contained the least at
oestrus and the most at dioestrous, the values at pro-oestrus and metoestrus being intermediate (Table 1).

(ii) Effect of oestrogen in ovariectomized rats. Three weeks after ovariectomy, rats were injected with oestradiol monobenzoate daily for 5 days (three with 1 µg and three with 10 µg/day). The uterine horns were perfused on the 5th day of oestrogen treatment, without anaesthesia. The vaginal smears were cornified on that day.

The rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the uterine lumen was not significantly different in these rats from the rates at the oestrous and pro-oestrous stages, but it was significantly lower than those at the metoestrous and dioestrous stages (Table 1).

In the next experiment, the effect of a single dose of oestradiol was investigated in rats ovariectomized 3 weeks before perfusion. Since the uterine horns were too small to be perfused successfully so long after ovariectomy, the rats were given a priming dose of 10 µg oestradiol 5 days before the perfusion to initiate growth. On the day of perfusion, the rats were either given no further treatment (controls) or were injected with 10 µg oestradiol 6, 18 or 44 to 50 hr before the perfusion. During the perfusion, the rats were anaesthetized with pentobarbitone sodium.

The rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the uterine lumen was significantly lower in rats perfused 18 hr after the oestradiol injection than in the control rats, but the rate was not significantly different 6, or 44 to 50 hr after treatment (Table 1). By contrast, oestradiol produced an increase in uterine weight both 18 hr ($118 \pm 7$ mg/horn, mean±S.E.) and 44 to 50 hr after the injection ($119 \pm 4$) since these horns were significantly heavier ($P<0.002$) than those of the controls ($80 \pm 7$) or those of the 6-hr group ($86 \pm 3$).

(iii) Effect of stage of pregnancy and pseudopregnancy. There was a higher resistance to perfusion at these stages. The lowest pressures (10 to 25 mm Hg) were found in anaesthetized rats, so these were used in preference to conscious rats. However, there was no evidence to suggest that the higher perfusion pressures affected the rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the lumen. For example, there was no association between the amount of radioactivity in the perfusate and the perfusion pressure, which could vary by 10 or 15 mm Hg in different rats at the same stage. When the pressure was maintained at various levels by occluding the flow from the uterine lumen of a rat at dioestrous, no significant effect was seen with pressures of 15 and 30 mm Hg, i.e. the range used, although a significant decrease in rate occurred at 35 mm Hg (33%, $P<0.05$).

The amount of $^{22}$Na washed from the uterine lumen was the same on Days 4 and 5 of pregnancy and pseudopregnancy as it was at dioestrous (Table 2). Between 21.00 and 24.00 hours on Day 5, however, the uterine perfusates contained about twice as much $^{22}$Na as at the previous times. In the pseudopregnant rats, this situation remained unchanged until Day 10 (the last day investigated) but, in the pregnant rats, the $^{22}$Na content decreased on the day of implantation (Day 6) and, thereafter, increased so that by Day 8 it was significantly greater than that in the pseudopregnant rats (Table 2).

(iv) Effect of progesterone alone or in combination with oestrogen. Experiments were carried out to determine whether the increase in the rate of passage of $^{22}$Na...
into the uterine lumen on the evening of Day 5 of pregnancy and of pseudopregnancy was dependent on the hormonal levels of progesterone and oestrogen at that time.

Pseudopregnant rats were ovariectomized on Day 3 and were given immediately, and on subsequent days, 4 mg progesterone subcutaneously. Half of these rats were given 1 µg oestradiol subcutaneously at 17.00 hours on Day 4, a time which corresponds to the period of 'oestrogen surge' before implantation (Shelesnyak, 1960). The uterine horns were perfused on Day 6.

The rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the uterine lumen was different in the two

### Table 2

**The rate of passage of $[^{22}$Na]$^+$sodium into the perfused uterine lumen, in rats at various stages of pregnancy or pseudopregnancy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage or treatment</th>
<th>No. of horns perfused</th>
<th>$^{22}$Na content of perfusate†</th>
<th>Ref. no.</th>
<th>Significance‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant Day 4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.54±0.36</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>N.S. (4, 7, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.89±0.53</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>*** (3, 5, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5§</td>
<td>9.3±1.13</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>*** (2, 4) N.S. (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6§</td>
<td>4.89±0.64</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>*** (3, 5, 6, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.9±1.52</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.5±1.76</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>*** (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudopregnant Day 4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.91±0.90</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.75±0.34</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>*** (9, 10, 11, 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5§</td>
<td>10.6±0.77</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>N.S. (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.1±1.09</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>*** (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.84±1.48</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>*** (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.94±1.62</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>* (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.6±2.16</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovariectomized on Day 3 of pseudopregnancy, given 4 mg progesterone daily and perfused on Day 6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.60±0.33</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>*** (8, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No other treatment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.19±2.12</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>*** (10, 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µg oestradiol 17.00 hours Day 4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.00±0.33</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>*** (8, 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Counts/min per 30-min perfusate sample x 100

Counts/min/ml plasma from same rat

‡ Levels of significance, compared with the mean corresponding to the ref. no. given. *** $P<0.001$; ** $P<0.01$; *$P<0.05$; N. S. not significant.

§ Perfused between 21.00 and 24.00 hours; otherwise between 10.00 and 17.00 hours.

groups, being almost three times as great in the group treated with oestrogen plus progesterone (Table 2). In neither case was the rate the same as in the intact pseudopregnant rats on Day 6. These results indicate that oestrogen can increase the rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the uterine lumen when the uterus is under progesterone dominance.

(v) Comparison between pregnant and pseudopregnant horns in the same rat on Day 8. The rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the uterine lumen on Day 8 was significantly greater in pregnant than in pseudopregnant rats (Table 2). As this could have been due to differences in the levels of oestrogen and progesterone, as indicated by the results above, the following experiment was performed.

Rats were mated and one horn in each animal was made pseudopregnant by
ligating and cutting the oviduct at the utero-tubal junction on Day 3, thus preventing ova from entering the uterus. The horns of four such animals were perfused on Day 8. The rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the ligated pseudopregnant horns (10.27 ± 2.40) was the same as that in intact pseudopregnant rats and different from that in pregnant rats on Day 8 (Table 2). Thus, there was no evidence to suggest that the circulating steroid hormone levels were different on Day 8 of pregnancy and pseudopregnancy.

DISCUSSION

There are no other data available on the rates of equilibrium of isotopes with uterine fluid apart from those in the rabbit, where equilibration appears to be complete within 45 min (Lutwak-Mann, Boursnell & Bennett, 1960).

Data obtained in the rat show that the rate of passage of $^{22}$Na into the lumen is slow, and varies during the oestrous cycle and early pregnancy and pseudopregnancy. This indicates that, like other secretions of the anatomical extracellular water (Manery, 1954), uterine fluid is separated from the physiological extracellular water by a diffusion barrier which probably maintains the differences in composition between it and plasma (Howard & DeFeo, 1959; Ringler, 1961). In addition, it suggests that the nature of the barrier may change during the cycle.

The slow rate of passage of sodium into or out of the uterine lumen at pro-oestrus and oestrus and in ovariectomized rats treated with oestrogen suggests that the barrier to diffusion may be increased by oestrogen, but a number of factors could bring this about. It is paradoxical that at pro-oestrus, when the rate of passage of sodium is almost at its lowest, the rate of secretion of uterine fluid should be at its greatest, and sodium relative to other ions should be in greatest concentration in luminal washes (Heap, 1962).

Oestrogen may hinder diffusion by increasing the thickness of the endometrial epithelium (Hooker, 1945; Davis & Alden, 1959; Elftman, 1963) and the granular secretion which covers it (Fuxe & Nilsson, 1963). Others have interpreted the actions of oestrogen on diffusion across the vagina in terms of changes in the cells lining the vaginal epithelium (Gregoire, Driscoll & Adams, 1967; Gregoire, Driscoll, Adams & Rakoff, 1967). Alternatively, oestrogen might decrease the rate by reducing blood flow in all or part of the uterus perhaps by a secondary effect due to oedema. Although oestrogen causes hyperaemia (Hechter, Krohn & Harris, 1942; Williams, 1948; Reynolds, 1949; Young, 1952) and can increase the blood volume three-fold (Cole, 1950), blood flow per gram uterine tissue is lower at oestrus than at dioestrus (Kopin & Wurtman, 1963).

By contrast with the effects of oestrogen in non-pregnant rats, experiments in pregnant and pseudopregnant or in progesterone-treated rats show that oestrogen can increase the rate of passage of sodium into the lumen under certain circumstances. As the dose of oestrogen used in the latter experiment was one tenth that used in the former, it is possible that oestrogen has a different effect depending on its concentration. However, a more likely explanation is that oestrogen has a different effect when acting in conjunction with progesterone.
This may explain why oestrogen early in pregnancy has an effect which differs from that seen a few days later in the equilibration experiments of Lutwak-Mann et al. (1960) in the rabbit.

The mechanism by which oestrogen under these circumstances can increase the rate is not known. Changes do occur in the endometrium, for example in the epithelial lining (Allen, 1931) and in the blood supply (Williams, 1948), but these are not in sequence with the recorded changes in rate. If oestrogen is secreted only once between Days 4 to 10 of pseudopregnancy, i.e. as a surge on the evening of Day 4 yet its consequences in pseudopregnant rats last from the evening of Day 5 until Day 10, oestrogen must bring about a fundamental change in the uterus.

In the mouse, the uterine lumen closes at the time of implantation, as microvilli bordering the surface cells of the epithelium on adjacent sides enmesh and lock together (Potts, 1966) and this may be initiated by oestrogen. In pseudopregnant rats, the uterine lumen appears to close between Days 5 and 6 since, following perfusion, the microvilli are intact on Day 5 but are torn on Day 6 (Marley & Potts, unpublished). As the rate increases at precisely the time when the microvilli become torn, the two may be associated. Whether this accounts for the elevated rate on other days up to Day 10 of pseudopregnancy cannot be determined until it is known for how long the uterine lumen remains closed.

The low rate on Day 6 in pregnant as compared with pseudopregnant rats appears to be associated with decidual cell formation since it occurs in pseudopregnant rats in which a decidual cell reaction is induced with arachis oil (Marley & Robson, unpublished). The oedema which accompanies decidual cell initiation may reduce blood flow in the endometrium and thus lower the diffusion rate.

The present results do not preclude the possibility that the rate of passage of sodium into the lumen reflects the suitability of the uterine lumen for the pre-implantation zygote. Thus, the rate of passage is similar at a number of different stages at which morulae and blastocysts can survive in the lumen, i.e. dioestrus, Days 4 and 5 of pregnancy and pseudopregnancy, and in ovariectomized rats. Furthermore, oestrogen can change the rate of passage of sodium, and causes the death of morulae and blastocysts when they are unable to implant (Dickmann, 1967, 1969).
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