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Summary. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was used to evaluate rete testis fluid (RTF) and plasma from the cauda epididymidis (CEP) obtained from conscious Holstein bulls. The major protein in RTF had an electrophoretic mobility similar to that of blood serum albumin, but the seemingly analogous protein in CEP had a slower relative mobility. Bovine CEP contains at least three proteins, one glycoprotein and possibly three other PAS-positive components not detected in RTF or blood serum. In addition, esterases, acid phosphatases and several β-glucuronidases have been detected in CEP which were absent in RTF. Agarose immunoelectrophoretic analyses confirmed the basic differences among the three fluids. Although RTF and CEP share certain proteins with blood serum, each fluid is biologically unique. Certain proteins detected in CEP but not in RTF or blood serum probably originated from the testicular spermatozoa while others may represent epididymal secretion.

INTRODUCTION

The electrophoretic and antigenic characteristics of bovine seminal plasma, as well as those of other species, indicate differences from homologous blood serum (e.g. Wilson, 1969; Barker & Amann, 1970). There are also distinct antigenic differences between plasma from the cauda epididymidis (CEP) and the seminal plasma of bulls (Barker & Amann, 1970) and rams (Alumot, Lensky & Schindler, 1971). This is not surprising since in 3-year-old Holstein bulls, the epididymides contribute only 19 to 31% of the ejaculate volume (Seidel & Foote, 1970) and about half of this amount is spermatozoa (Crabo, 1965). Furthermore, the concentration of protein in CEP is lower than that in accessory sex gland fluids (30 versus 73 mg/ml; Sexton, Amann & Flipse, 1971). Thus, CEP contributes less than 10% of the protein found in the seminal plasma. Antigens found in CEP but absent from accessory sex gland fluid or blood serum do not necessarily represent epididymal secretion since some proteins are contributed in rete testis fluid (RTF) while other components apparently are of sperm origin (Barker & Amann, 1970, 1971; Killian & Amann, 1973).
The objective of this study using electrophoretic analyses was to determine if CEP contained proteins not detectable in blood serum or RTF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Reproductive fluids and blood serum were obtained from conscious, unrestrained 2- to 11-year-old Holstein bulls (Table 1). When all three fluids were analysed for a single bull, the samples were not always taken at the same time. The RTF was collected from six bulls through a Silastic cannula (Amann, Kavanaugh & Griel, 1970; Sexton et al., 1971) and from two bulls through a silicone rubber catheter (Voglmayr, Kavanaugh, Griel & Amann, 1972). Samples from Bulls 289, 290 and 294 represented a 12- to 24-hr accumulation at ambient barn temperature during autumn or winter months while samples from Bulls 357 and 359 represented a 30- to 60-min accumulation during June.

Table 1. Sources of fluids analysed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bull no.</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ret testis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Each sample represents a pool of two collections, 24 hr apart.

Samples of RTF were taken between 4 hr and 12 days after surgery while the rate of flow and concentration of spermatozoa appeared normal. Traces of haemoglobin were present in three samples. The RTF was separated from the spermatozoa by centrifugation and then clarified (1000 g for 7 min and 3000 g for 10 min for samples from three bulls; 350 g and 20,000 g for 10 min for samples from two bulls) before storage below -20°C.

Spermatozoa and CEP were obtained during May and June through a cannula placed in the proximal ductus deferens (Sexton et al., 1971). Except for the 10 to 20 min required each day for sample collection, the ductus deferens cannula was occluded with a small clamp. Samples were obtained 3 to 12 days after surgery by allowing the bull to mount a 'teaser' three times (false mounts) followed by a fourth mount and ejaculation into an artificial vagina. With each mount, fluid was forced through the cannula in the ductus deferens. The void volume of the cannula was small (about 0.08 ml) and the first few drops were discarded. The fluid and spermatozoa collected were taken to represent those found in the distal cauda epididymidis. Following collection, a sample was diluted with four vols of phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) or occasionally tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 350 g for 10 min.
The supernatant, dilute CEP, was clarified (20,000 g for 10 min) and stored at 
−196°C.

Blood serum was prepared by centrifuging (1000 g for 15 min) clotted 
peripheral blood and the serum was then recentrifuged at 20,000 g and stored 
below −20°C. Individual samples from four bulls were analysed.

Electrophoretic analyses utilized a 7% polyacrylamide gel with a tris-
glycine buffer (pH 9.5) and bromphenol blue as the tracking dye (Benton & 
Myers, 1967). Generally, 60 μl RTF (without concentration), 30 μl of the 1:4 
diluted CEP or 3 μl blood serum were applied to a gel. Following separation 
at 5±3°C, components in the gels were stained with amido black followed by 
electric destaining. Alternatively, gels were stained with the PAS-technique 
using 10% acetic acid for destaining. The location of each band was measured 
in four duplicate gels per sample stained by each method and the mean 
relative mobilities (M_r) of protein and PAS-positive components were calcu-
lated. No difference was obvious in the electrophoretic pattern of CEP diluted 
with tris or phosphate buffers or for RTF centrifuged at different gravitational 
forces. The data were therefore pooled. Conclusions that components in the 
three fluids had differing relative mobilities (P<0.01) or that a component was a 
glycoprotein (non-significant difference between relative mobilities of protein 
and PAS-positive components) were based on analyses of variance and use 
of a modified Duncan’s LSD test (Waller & Duncan, 1969). Estimates of the 
relative concentrations of amido black-stained proteins were based on 
densitometric analysis of two gels for each sample. A Photovolt system in-
corporating a 420-nm filter and an integrator unit was used.

Gels representing two samples of each fluid were sectioned longitudinally 
and one half of each was stained for protein. The other halves were stained by 
the PAS-technique for α-naphthol esterase activity (Benton & Myers, 1967) or 
for acid phosphatases using sodium naphthol AS-TR phosphate, N-acetyl-β-D-
glucosamine naphthol AS-LC, and naphthol AS-BI β-D-glucuronic acid as 
substrates in reactions utilizing hexazonium pararosaniline as the simultaneous 
diazo coupler (Barka & Anderson, 1962; Hayashi, Nakajima & Fishman, 
1964; Hayashi, 1965).

To facilitate identification of components in CEP which were not present in 
either RTF or blood serum, three samples of CEP were fractionated by pre-
parative polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using a Buchler Poly-Prep 100. 
Each sample fractionated contained 60 to 70 mg protein and the conditions of 
electrophoresis were similar to those described above. Each 10-ml fraction of 
eluate was evaluated by analytical gel electrophoresis, as described above, and 
fractons containing single protein bands were concentrated using Sephadex 
G-25 and then subjected to immunoelectrophoresis.

Antiserum were produced in rabbits against blood serum, CEP, RTF, and 
testicular spermatozoa. Globulins were separated and utilized, before or after 
absorption with blood serum, for microimmunoelectrophoretic analyses 
(Killian & Amann, 1973).

RESULTS

The interval after surgery was not associated with any consistent change in
protein composition of RTF. Data for one series of samples (Text-fig. 1) show that typically there was a major component with an electrophoretic mobility similar to that of serum albumin ($M_r \approx 0.82$) and probably three partially separated components with mean relative mobilities of 0.41, 0.44 and 0.48. The apparent shift in mobilities for the sample on 15th June may be an artifact. The relative amounts of components with mobilities less than 0.26 differed among samples from each bull. For the three samples from Bull 294 with visually detectable blood contamination, the electrophoreto-grams were similar to those shown in Text-fig. 1. Not all protein in the sample entered the separating gel with this anodic system. Of the material which did enter the gels, for the twenty-six samples analysed, 69% of the protein was estimated to have a relative mobility greater than 0.26.

Despite differences among samples of RTF from the same or different bulls (Text-figs 1 and 2), there was an obvious pattern in all electrophoreograms. Considering all samples of RTF, a total of thirty-one bands was detected and these subsequently were grouped into twenty-one bands, each with a different ($P<0.01$) relative mobility (Text-fig. 3). For individual samples of RTF, however, only seven to fourteen bands (with $M_r > 0.10$) were sufficiently intense to allow measurements of mobility. No consistent difference in RTF associated with bulls or the interval after surgery was apparent.

The electrophoretic patterns for CEP are summarized and compared with
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Text-fig. 2. Densitometric tracings comparing the amido black-stained proteins in electrophoretograms of bull RTF (---), CEP (-----) and blood serum (——). Prealbumins in RTF and CEP with a relative mobility greater than 1.0 are not shown. Cannulations in Bull 357 were made on 11th June 1971 and the sample dates were 11th June for RTF, 18th June for CEP and 7th July for serum. For Bull 359, cannulations were made on 8th June 1971 and the sample dates were 12th June for RTF, 18th June for CEP and 7th July for serum.

those for RTF and blood serum in Text-figs 2 and 3. The electrophoretograms depicted for Bulls 357 and 359 are typical of all samples of their RTF and CEP. The CEP contained a major component with a mean electrophoretic mobility of 0.78 which was different (P<0.01) from that of serum albumin,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amido black-stained protein</th>
<th>PAS-positive material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTF (n=26)</strong></td>
<td><strong>CEP (n=12)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Text-FIG. 3.** Composite disc electrophoretograms comparing the amido black-stained proteins and PAS-positive materials detected visually as discrete bands in bull RTF, CEP and blood serum (Serum). Components assigned different band numbers or letters (BN) had different (*P<0.01*) mean relative mobilities. Components which are stippled were detected both in gels stained for protein and in those stained for PAS-positive material. The number of samples in which a component was detected is indicated (column n).
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but not significantly different from that of a major component(s) detected in thirteen out of twenty-six samples of RTF (Band 24, Text-fig. 3). The relative mobility of this albumin-like component in CEP was consistently between 0.75 and 0.81 while in RTF, it was between 0.75 and 0.87; in the latter fluid, values for this component(s) fell into two groups (Bands 24 and 25). For four of twenty-six samples of RTF, both components in this broad band were detected individually. This fact, and the fact that variation in relative mobility was recorded for all samples, suggests that RTF may contain several components with relative mobilities averaging 0.78, 0.82 and 0.86.

One component (Band 18) in RTF had a relative mobility identical to that of one of the bands (18 and 20) presumed to represent α-globulins in blood serum. A similar component (Band 20, M\textsubscript{x} = 0.64) was detected in CEP. Immunological analyses of fractionated CEP, however, indicated that the component with a mean relative mobility of 0.64 was not detectable with globulin against bovine blood serum, although it was clearly detected as a single arc using globulin against CEP or the same globulin absorbed with blood serum. Apparently Band 20 in CEP is immunologically different from the band with a similar relative mobility in blood serum.

Both disc electrophoresis of CEP and immunoelectrophoretic analysis of the fraction with a relative mobility of 0.57 suggest that the component in Band 17 is not found in either blood serum or RTF.

All three fluids contained components, presumed to be β-globulins, with relative mobilities of approximately 0.41, 0.44 and 0.48. Although only Band 13 was detected consistently in blood serum, most samples of RTF contained the two faster migrating bands (13 and 14) while about half of the samples of RTF contained Band 12 in combination with either Band 13 or 14. For most samples of CEP, two or three bands with relative mobilities between 0.40 and 0.49 were recorded. Since Band 12 and especially Band 14 were frequently detected in RTF and CEP, but rarely and only in trace amounts in blood serum (Text-figs 1 to 3), components represented by Bands 12, 13 and 14 are probably carried from the testis into the epididymis by RTF. Alternatively, they might pass into both fluids directly from the blood. Although Band 13 was found in all three fluids, different components may be involved. This band was detected by PAS-staining only in serum. Furthermore, a component with a relative mobility of 0.43 which was isolated from CEP was detectable by immunoelectrophoresis using globulin against CEP (with or without absorption with blood serum) but not with globulins against blood serum or RTF absorbed with blood serum. If this fraction represents Band 13 in CEP, it probably is not of blood or RTF origin.

Invariably, CEP contained component(s) with a relative mobility of 0.33. No component similar to Band 10 was detected in blood serum but, in twelve of twenty-six samples of RTF, traces of a component with a similar mobility (M\textsubscript{x} = 0.34) were detected. As indicated in Text-fig. 3, CEP also contained the similarly migrating PAS-positive component G. Statistical analysis, however, indicated that the relative mobility of this component was less than that of the protein Band 10 in CEP. Relatively high concentrations of slower components (M\textsubscript{x} = 0.27 to 0.38) distinguished CEP from both RTF and blood.
serum (Text-fig. 2). In addition, the relatively low concentrations of components with electrophoretic mobilities between 0·12 and 0·24 in RTF and CEP, as compared to those in bovine serum (Text-fig. 2), suggest that the samples analysed in this study were not grossly contaminated with blood serum.

Following electrophoresis of RTF, only three esterase isozymes were detected. No positive histochemical reaction for acid phosphatases was obtained with RTF. By contrast, CEP contained a number of enzymes present in sufficient concentration for their detection. In addition to at least one esterase not detected in RTF, the CEP contained two prominent and three or four weak bands with β-glucuronidase activity and a diffuse band of N-acetylglucosaminidase.

DISCUSSION

These analyses showed that CEP and RTF each contain unique and characteristic components in addition to those common to both fluids and blood serum. Our data support and amplify earlier reports that RTF from the ram (Johnson & Setchell, 1968) and rat (Koskimies, Korman & Hunter, 1971) contain certain blood proteins. Based on data for rats (Koskimies, Korman & Lahti, 1971; Koskimies, Korman & Hunter, 1971), it seems probable that most of the blood proteins found in RTF of bulls (Text-fig. 3; Killian & Amann, 1973) and rams (Johnson & Setchell, 1968; Edwards, 1969) are added to this fluid in the rete testis and do not represent part of the primary secretion of the seminiferous tubules. Other components, such as those in Bands 5, 8, 21, 22 and 31 (Text-fig. 3), may arise in the seminiferous tubules.

The electrophoretic pattern of ram RTF separated on cellulose acetate (Johnson & Setchell, 1968) is grossly similar to that reported herein for the bovine fluid. However, the better separations characteristic of the disc-gel technique resolved a number of minor bands not previously reported for RTF. In addition to an albumin band, Johnson & Setchell (1968) found only a single, broad peak whose major component(s) migrated at a rate similar to the α-globulins of ovine blood serum but which also contained several slower moving components.

It is clear that the passage of blood proteins into RTF is rather selective for both rams and bulls and not the result of a simple filtration process. Although proteins in RTF are concentrated in the caput epididymidis (Crabo, 1965), the RTF may not serve as the sole source of blood proteins detectable in CEP. Both the present data and immunological studies (Killian & Amann, 1973) support the conclusion that, at least quantitatively, the mixture of blood proteins in CEP is very different from that in RTF. A portion of the blood proteins in CEP of bulls (Barker & Amann, 1971; Killian & Amann, 1973), rams (Alumot, Lensky & Schindler, 1971) and boars (Schellpfeffer & Hunter, 1970; Dostál & Veselský, 1972) may enter the lumen of the ductus epididymidis from the periductular vascular plexus. However, it was calculated (R. P. Amann, unpublished observation) that about 100 mg protein enters the bovine caput epididymidis daily in RTF while only about 12 mg/day is recovered in CEP. Clearly, the twentyfold increase in protein concentration is accompanied
Electrophoresis of bull accessory sex-gland fluids

by a net loss of protein from the fluid in the epididymis. Thus, selective concentration of some proteins concurrent with this general resorption of proteins could account for many of the differences detected between RTF and CEP.

The electrophoretic pattern of CEP has been reported for rams (Alumot et al., 1971) and boars (Einarsson, Crabo & Ekman, 1970; Lavon & Boursnell, 1971). The broad spectrum of proteins detected in bovine CEP was thus expected. Some of the non-blood proteins in CEP may have originated in RTF. For example, the proteins identified as Bands 10 and 13 (Text-fig. 3) appear to have been contributed to CEP in the RTF. Certain other proteins (e.g., Band 20) in CEP were electrophoretically, biochemically or immunologically distinct from proteins detected in RTF or blood serum. Thus, some components must be of epididymal or sperm origin. As reported for boars (Einarsson et al., 1970), the relative mobility of the major albumin in bull CEP was slightly less ($P<0.01$) than that of serum albumin ($M_x$ of 0.78 versus 0.82). Furthermore, the albumin in CEP was not PAS-positive as were the albumins in RTF and blood serum. Immuno-electrophoretic analyses, however, revealed that all of these albumins formed precipitin arcs with monospecific anti-bovine serum albumin.

Bovine CEP contained a greater diversity of esterases and substrate-specific acid phosphatases than RTF. It seems likely that some of the enzymes and isozymes found in CEP may be released from the cytoplasmic droplet (Dott & Dingle, 1968; Garbers, Wakabayashi & Reed, 1970; Moniem & Glover, 1972) of spermatozoa during epididymal transit, but their exact source may be hard to establish. Ram RTF contains many enzymes (Suominen & Setchell, 1972) and sites of acid phosphatase activity are common in the epididymal epithelium of rats (Pugh & Walker, 1961; Hayashi, 1967; Martan, 1969; Snaith & Levvy, 1969) and bulls (R. P. Amann, unpublished observation). Immunological analyses (Killian & Amann, 1973) revealed that portions of the spermatozoa other than the cytoplasmic droplet also contribute to the protein found in CEP.
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