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Summary. The many factors which may influence the fertility of a cattle population are reviewed. The following subjects are discussed: the bull, the method of insemination, the cow, the conditions of herd management and chance. Particular attention is paid to factors related to the conditions of herd management. These are the climate, the season, the size of the herd, the housing system, the choice of a bull, hygiene at the time of calving, the interval between parturition and the first insemination, detection of oestrus, the time of insemination during oestrus, nutrition, selection and the culling of cows. In the discussion, the effect which the various factors may have on fertility is illustrated by a case in point.

On a large number of cattle farms, reproduction does not follow an optimum course. As reproduction plays an important role in the entire economy of a cattle farm (Louca & Legates, 1968; Esslemont, 1974), it is essential that reproductive failure should be traced to its source as rapidly as possible. This requires detailed analysis and interpretation of the data on reproduction. This is only possible, however, when there is a clear understanding of the many factors which influence fertility in a cattle population. Only then can a definite opinion on fertility be reached and those measures be adopted that will result in the disappearance of any reproductive failure which may be present.

Before proceeding to discuss the various factors which may affect fertility, the concept of fertility should be specified. Fertility of a herd is best determined when three criteria are adopted: (a) the pregnancy rate after the first insemination; (b) the number of inseminations per conception; and (c) the average interval between parturition and conception. By adopting these criteria and applying a formula, it may be possible to express fertility as a figure (Fertility Status; De Kruif, 1975a). From now onwards, the above criteria will be used wherever possible. The many factors which may influence these criteria may broadly be classified as (1) the bull; (2) the method of insemination; (3) the cow; (4) the conditions of herd management; (5) chance. After discussion of these factors, an attempt will be made to elucidate the relative importance of each one.

The bull

When natural service is employed, then it regularly happens that the bull is the cause of the failure of cows to become pregnant (Ayalon, 1964; Roberts, 1971). However, it is beyond the scope of this paper to discuss the many causes of inadequate fertility of the bull. When A.I. is used, the fertility of the bulls employed is usually calculated and those bulls which fail to fertilize or do so inadequately are eliminated by selection. All the same, there continue to be considerable differences in fertility between the remaining bulls. A difference of 15% with regard to conception rate after the first insemination between the bull displaying the best and the bull showing the poorest fertilizing capacity is a common phenomenon in A.I. centres of average size. Particular bulls, for instance those of which the semen does not lend itself readily to freezing, occasionally show even poorer results (Mann, 1976). It will therefore be necessary to study the fertility of the A.I. bull(s) most commonly used in a herd.

Method of insemination

A satisfactory method of insemination is essential for obtaining high fertilization rates (Roberts, 1971; Paufler, 1974). In almost all A.I. centres, there are marked differences between the various inseminators as regards fertilization rates. These differences often range from 5 to 10%. The rates
obtained by a single inseminator may actually show a marked decrease: experience shows that this is usually due to problems in private life. Adequate checks on the results obtained by each inseminator are extremely useful to be able to detect any sudden decline in conception rates as soon as possible.

The cow

Age

The age of the cow has a marked effect on the pregnancy rate after the first insemination (Boyd & Reed, 1961a; Van Dieten, 1964, 1968; De Kruif, 1975b). It is concluded by nearly all investigators that the pregnancy rate is reduced in those animals which have calved for the first time. De Kruif (1975b), for instance, observed a difference of 5% in pregnancy rate between primiparous and secundiparous cows (Table 1).

In animals over 7 years of age, pregnancy rates following the first insemination will also be lower. The relationship between age and length of the interval between parturition and conception was also studied (Andersen, 1966; Flores, 1972; De Kruif, 1975b). All these authors report that this interval is longer in those animals which have calved for the first time than it is in triparous and quadriparous cows (Table 1). The majority of investigators do not attribute the reduced conception rates in primiparous cows to their age but to the fact that it is precisely in these animals that more problems arise at the time of parturition and during the puerperium. This was verified by De Kruif (1975b), who compared primiparous cows which had calved normally and in which the placenta had not been retained with a similar group of secundiparous, triparous and quadriparous cows, and showed that the differences had disappeared.

Infections

Previously, venereal infection (Vibrio fetus and Trichomonas foetus) was an important factor in the problem of herd infertility in a great many countries (Roberts, 1971; Carrol & Hoerlein, 1972; Winter, 1973). The incidence of these infections has been markedly reduced, particularly as a result of veterinary measures and the use of artificial insemination. In a number of countries, however, these infections continue to be important causes of diminished fertility of the cattle population. Another infection which may cause considerable losses is Brucella abortus (Roberts, 1971). The infection has also been almost completely eradicated in a number of countries (Bane, 1964; Roberts, 1971) and, in others, animals are being vaccinated (Zemjanis, 1974). In those countries, however, in which standards of cattle farming are lower, considerable efforts will be required to eliminate this infection. A large number of other infections may also give rise to abortion (IBR virus, leptospirosis, bovine viral diarrhoea), occasionally accompanied by retained placenta and subsequent metritis and infertility (Hanson, 1960; McKercher, 1969; Storz, 1971; Kahrs, 1973; Kirkbride et al., 1973; Williams, Shreeve, Herbert & Swize, 1977). Infectious pustular-vulvo-vaginitis (IPV) infections may be an incidental cause of diminished fertility. When natural service is employed, only vaginitis will occur and fertility usually does not show a decrease (Bouters, Vandeplassche, Floret & Leunen, 1964; Parsonson & Snowdon, 1975). When, on the other hand, semen infected with IPV virus is introduced.

---

### Table 1. Relationship between age and calving rate after the first insemination and interval between parturition and conception (after De Kruif, 1975a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Calving rate after first insemination</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Time between parturition and conception (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15328</td>
<td>55-9</td>
<td>8880</td>
<td>109-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16019</td>
<td>60-5</td>
<td>10476</td>
<td>105-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13013</td>
<td>63-0</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>103-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>53-6</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>106-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>42-0</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
into the uterus, this frequently results in endometritis and a decrease in pregnancy rates. Adequate inspection of A.I. bulls is therefore essential (Bouters et al., 1964; Kendrick & McEntee, 1967).

The extent to which other forms of infection (mycoplasmosis, chlamydiasis, bovine viral diarrhoea) affect fertility is more or less obscure. The literature on the subject is often contradictory (Schoop, Krüger-Hansen & Wachendorfer, 1965; Hirth, Plastridge, Tourtellotte & Nielson, 1966; Archbold, Gibson, Schultz, Fahning & Zemjanis, 1973; Langford, 1975).

Infections of the genital tract which are due to inadequate hygiene at the time of parturition are discussed below.

**Individual pathological changes**

As a result of fortuitous causes, various individual pathological conditions may involve problems in a herd (for instance, urovagina, hydrosalpinx, adhesions, cystic ovarian disease). When a number of these conditions occur concurrently in a herd, as the result of coincidence, fertility may be impaired to a considerable extent. Detailed clinical studies will then reveal the presence of these abnormalities in a large number of individuals.

**Conditions of herd management**

**Climate**

The climate is an important factor for the conception of cattle (Thatcher, 1974; Gwazdauskas, Wilcox & Thatcher, 1975). High temperatures and a high degree of humidity will result in less marked signs of oestrus and reduced conception rates (Stott, Wiersma & Woods, 1972; Vincent, 1972; Gwazdauskas, Thatcher & Wilcox, 1973; Monty & Wolff, 1974; Wolff & Monty, 1974). The proportion of cows in which embryonic death occurs is believed to be much higher in the tropics than it is in the more temperate zones (Stott & Williams, 1962).

**Season**

The results of insemination vary from month to month. In temperate regions, pregnancy rates are highest in the spring (Mercier & Salisbury, 1947; De Kruif, 1975b) and results are decidedly less satisfactory during the autumn and winter months (Table 2). The consensus is that the poorer results obtained during the winter season are attributable to a set of factors such as: fewer hours of daylight, less obvious signs of oestrus and less efficient detection of oestrus (Cohen, 1956; Andersen, 1966; Deas, 1971; Roine, 1973; Kordts, 1974; De Kruif, 1975b). The interval between parturition and conception is longest in those cows which have calved in autumn (Andersen, 1966; De Kruif, 1975b); e.g. in cows which calved in October, the interval was almost 1 month longer than in animals which calved in April. Hewett (1968) observed that cows which had calved in the autumn included a larger proportion of repeat breeders (failure to become pregnant after as many as 3 inseminations) than did those which had calved in spring. Hewett attributed this finding to the fact that detection of oestrus was poorer during the winter months.

**Table 2. Relationships between the month during which the first insemination took place and the calving rate after the first insemination and between the month of parturition and the interval between parturition and conception (De Kruif, 1975a)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month of first insemination</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Calving rate after first insemination</th>
<th>Month of parturition</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Time between parturition and conception (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>5507</td>
<td>54-1</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>5435</td>
<td>109-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>8099</td>
<td>56-9</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>4862</td>
<td>93-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>10 812</td>
<td>66-5</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>2244</td>
<td>101-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>6525</td>
<td>63-8</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>105-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>59-5</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>2793</td>
<td>119-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Size of the herd

A large number of studies have been concerned with a possible effect of the size of the herd on the pregnancy rate after the first insemination. It is concluded by almost all investigators that the pregnancy rate decreased with the size of the herd (Andersen, 1966; Olds & Deaton, 1968; Van Dieten, 1968; Ayalon, Harrari, Lewis, Pasener & Cohen, 1971; MacMillan & Watson, 1971; De Kruif, 1975b). Fewer studies have been concerned with the relationship between the size of the herd and the interval between parturition and conception. It is concluded by De Kruif (1975b) that this interval is shorter in large herds than in small herds (Table 3), because insemination is started sooner after parturition in the former. This shorter interval between parturition and first insemination might account in part for the lower pregnancy rates recorded in the larger herds. Hewett (1968) showed that repeat breeders are more common in the larger herds than in small herds. In the very small herds 8.5% of the animals failed to become pregnant after as many as three inseminations, whereas this proportion was 13.1% in the larger herds. It should be pointed out, however, that these studies were concerned with herds which usually included less than 30 cows.

Housing system

The manner in which the cows are housed was found to affect the pregnancy rate after the first insemination (Willems, 1971). The average rate is higher when systems are adopted in which the cows have freedom of movement (loose housings or loose housings with cubicles) than it is in tying stalls. The differences between the herds were found to have disappeared during the grazing season. Freedom of movement not only increases the intensity of the signs of oestrus (Kiddy, 1977) but also stimulates the onset of the cycle after parturition, particularly in primiparous animals (Kordts & Gravert, 1972; De Kruif, 1977). Thus inactive ovaries are less common in animals in loose housings than they are in those in tying stalls. In tying stalls, in which the quantity of light which enters is inadequate, detection of oestrus is particularly difficult and the conception rates are often very poor. Alterations in the housing system, such as switching from tying stalls to loose housings with cubicles, which are often accompanied by enlargement of the herd as new cows have been purchased, will occasionally result in lower conception rates. The results obtained will not show any improvement until dairymen and cows have adjusted themselves to the new situation (Williams, 1960; De Kruif, 1975a).

Management

Management is made up of a large number of entities which are of vital importance to fertility. Choice of the bull. Many problems of parturition are due to the fact that the calf is too heavy. These problems can largely be avoided when the dairymen has his heifers inseminated with semen of bulls known to produce smooth deliveries (Van Dieten, 1963; Philipsson, 1976; Remmen, 1976). Difficulties at parturition frequently result in changes of the genital tract (endometritis, adhesions), which are detrimental to subsequent fertility (Konermann, Daerr & Frerking, 1969; Ayalon et al., 1971; Baier, Bostedt & Schmidt, 1973; Laster, Glimp, Curdiff & Gregory, 1973; De Kruif, 1975b).

Table 3. Relationships between the size of the herd and the calving rate after the first insemination, the interval between parturition and conception and the interval between parturition and first insemination (De Kruif, 1975a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of herd</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Calving rate after first insemination</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Time between parturition and conception (days)</th>
<th>No. of cows</th>
<th>Time between parturition and first insemination (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>20,549</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>13,300</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>13,560</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>23,737</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>14,920</td>
<td>106.1</td>
<td>15,292</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>14,796</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>8782</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>9005</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>6993</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>4070</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>18,895</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 61</td>
<td>3045</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>75,310</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>46,225</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>47,311</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inbreeding should be avoided as this may result in lower pregnancy rates. On the other hand, the hybrid vigour associated with outbreeding may give rise to higher pregnancy rates (Mares, Menge, Tyler & Casida, 1961; Conneally, Stone, Tyler, Casida & Morton, 1963; Pirchner, Chakzabarti, Erlacher, Schleger & Rohrbacher, 1971). Pregnancy rates vary with semen quality. When the dairyman does not intend to retain the calves, the selected bulls should be those known to produce high fertility rates (Olds, 1969).

**Hygiene at the time of calving.** It has long been known that inadequate hygiene at the time of calving may give rise to a wide variety of changes of the genital tract (De Bois, 1961; Roberts, 1971), particularly retained placenta and endometritis. These conditions will result in 5–10% lower pregnancy rates after the first insemination and a longer interval between parturition and conception (Brands, 1966; Morrow, Roberts & McEntee, 1969a, b; Bach & Priebus, 1972; De Kruif, 1975a; Pelissier, 1976). Hygiene is of particular importance in loose housings or loose housings with cubicles, in which large numbers of cattle are kept. There is close contact between the cows, resulting in a markedly increased risk of infection. Problems are particularly common on those farms on which the cows are housed in summer as well as in winter (De Kruif, 1975a). On these farms, cows should calve in optimum conditions (calving pen, thorough cleansing of the hindquarters of the cow, etc.).

**Interval between parturition and the first insemination.** The interval between parturition and the first insemination has been often discussed (VanDemark & Salisbury, 1950; Shannon, Salisbury & VanDemark, 1952; Trimberger, 1954; Erb & Holtz, 1958; Touchberry, Rottensten & Andersen, 1959; Boyd & Reed, 1961b; Friedrich, 1964; Olds & Cooper, 1970; Flores, 1972; Kräusslich, 1974; Britt, 1975; De Kruif, 1975a). The interval between parturition and conception is composed of the interval between parturition and the first insemination and that between the first insemination and conception. All these studies showed that the pregnancy rate after the first insemination increased, that the number of inseminations per conception decreased and that the interval between parturition and conception lengthened as the interval between parturition and the first insemination increased. The highest pregnancy rate after the first insemination was achieved when the interval between parturition and the first insemination was around 100 days. When this interval was shorter than 40 days pregnancy rates showed a rather sharp decline. In that case, however, the interval between parturition and conception was also short (Table 4). Assessment of fertility should not be based, therefore, on a single criterion such as the pregnancy rate but on all criteria in conjunction with each other. Low pregnancy rates are by no means invariably evidence of poor fertility! Accordingly, insemination soon after parturition will result in a lower pregnancy rate but there will be a shorter interval between parturition and conception. This is profitable financially because a short interval between parturition and conception will increase the milk and calf yields per annum (Louca & Legates, 1968; Esslemont, 1974). When insemination is carried out soon after parturition (within 60 days), it is essential that this should only be done in cows which have calved normally and had a normal puerperium (Macnair & Kali, 1971; De Kruif, 1975a). When early insemination is carried out in cows in which parturition or the puerperium ran an abnormal course, pregnancy rates will show an extremely marked decrease. Studies on possible adverse effects on reproduction (e.g. an increase in irregular cycles, more repeat breeders or an increased incidence of abortion) when insemination is carried out soon after parturition have revealed no detectable effects (Shannon, Salisbury & VanDemark, 1952; Olds & Cooper, 1970; Whitmore, Tyler & Casida, 1974a; De Kruif, 1975a).

**Detection of oestrus.** One of the most important elements of management of a cattle herd is detection of oestrus. Its neglect may give rise to a wide variety of problems related to the fertility of the herd. Naturally, too few animals in oestrus are detected in time, and this results in an unduly long interval between parturition and the first insemination (Table 4) and thereby in too long an interval between parturition and conception (Bozworth, Ward, Call & Bonewitz, 1972; Esslemont & Ellis, 1974; Barr, 1975; Pelissier, 1976). Cows which have previously been inseminated and failed to conceive will often pass unnoticed because of inadequate detection of oestrus. This will result in an unduly long interval between inseminations and consequently also in an unduly long interval between parturition and conception (Olds, 1969; Esslemont, 1974). Moreover, as a result of inadequate detection of oestrus, cows which are not in oestrus (cyclic or pregnant) are frequently
submitted for insemination. These cows will naturally return to oestrus (often irregularly) and give rise to a low pregnancy rate after insemination and too large a number of inseminations is required for each conception. (Endometritis may occur incidentally in these cows because the resistance of the uterus to bacteria is lowered during the luteal phase (Roberts, 1971; Gunnink, 1973).)

The above findings clearly show that inadequate detection of oestrus may give rise to very serious problems in a herd. In the literature, considerable attention is therefore paid to this component of herd management and much advice is offered (Hartigan, 1972; Esslemont, 1974; Foote, 1975; Sorensen, 1975; O’Farrell, 1975; Appleyard & Cook, 1976; Boyd, 1977). The fact is invariably stressed that successful detection of oestrus will only be possible when cows can be recognized (identification system) and adequate records are kept. These are precisely those components of management which cause problems to many dairymen (Conlin, 1974; Esslemont, 1974; Foote, 1975) and the degree of detection of oestrus depends on the technical skill of the dairymen (Williamson, Morris, Blood & Cannon, 1972; Pelissier, 1976). It is apparent from several studies that almost all cows in a herd show signs of oestrus but that these are inadequately observed, particularly in large herds (Zemjanis, Fahning & Schulz, 1969; Williamson et al., 1972; Esslemont, 1974; Hurnik, King & Robertson, 1975; De Kruijf, 1975a). In these large herds, considerable technical skill is required for dairymen to detect oestrus, and some are better able to do so than others, even though records are in order and sufficient time is spent on observation. Silent heat is therefore a matter of management rather than of cows.

**Table 4.** Effect of mean interval between calving and first service, conception rate and oestrus detection rate on mean interval between calving and conception (in days) (Esslemont & Ellis, 1974)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean interval from calving to first service (days)</th>
<th>Average conception rate (%)</th>
<th>50% detection rate</th>
<th>80% detection rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>110 92 78</td>
<td>87 76 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>120 102 88</td>
<td>97 86 77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>130 112 98</td>
<td>107 96 87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>140 122 108</td>
<td>117 106 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>150 132 118</td>
<td>127 116 107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time of insemination during oestrus.** Particularly in artificial insemination, it is essential that the cow should be inseminated between the middle and the end of the period of oestrus (Trimberger & Davis, 1943; Bane, 1964; Roberts, 1971). When insemination is carried out during the early part of oestrus, fewer cows will conceive, particularly when the semen is of indifferent or inferior quality. Insanations carried out after ovulation has occurred will also result in lower pregnancy rates (Trimberger & Davis, 1943; Boyd, 1970; Deas, 1970).

**Nutrition and milk yield.** In the literature, the nutrition is frequently referred to as the cause of inadequate fertility. In the course of time, deficiencies in and excesses of an unusually large number of feeds, minerals, trace elements and vitamins have been stressed. Therefore, it is particularly difficult to enter deeply into this matter within the scope of the present paper and a number of reviews on the subject are available (Lamond, 1970; King, 1971; Broster, 1973; Lotthammer & Ahlswede, 1973; Lohthammer, 1974; Parker & Blowey, 1976; Francos, Davidson & Mayer, 1977). Study of the literature shows that opinions on the effect of the various nutrients differ markedly. Local differences and conditions play an important role in this regard. However, a general comment would appear to be in place. Roughly speaking the first symptom to appear when the diet is wrong is a decrease in milk production. Fertility will only be affected at a later stage. In the Netherlands, for example, the diet is not believed to be of much importance as a cause of breeding insufficiency. Dietary errors may naturally be associated with reproductive disorders but impaired reproduction is often not due to an error in the diet but both problems may have been created by a dairymen of low skill. Infertility due to nutritional causes is usually characterized by a failure of oestrus and only under
certain conditions is it characterized by a failure of conception or early embryonic death (Roberts, 1971). Sometimes infertility may be associated with the consumption of phyto-oestrogens from plants (clover, alfalfa, sugarbeet) (Adler & Trainin, 1960; Kallela, 1964; Lotthammer & Ahlswede, 1973).

The milk yield is closely related to the diet. Opinions on the effect of the milk yield on fertility are also divided. Some workers were able to show that the milk yield had a marked effect (Hewett, 1968; Francos & Rattern, 1975; Spalding, Everett & Foote, 1975), others failed to do so (Metz & Politiek, 1970; Koopman & Wijbenga, 1973, 1975). A diagnosis of cystic ovarian disease is more common in cows with high milk yield than it is in those showing lower milk yields (Roberts, 1971b; Whitmore et al., 1974b). It is doubtful, however, whether there is a genetic correlation between the milk yield and cystic ovarian disease (Casida & Chapman, 1951; Menge, Mares, Tyler & Casida, 1962).

Selection. Faulty selection of cows may give rise to a number of problems. Among these are hypoplasia of the gonads, e.g. in Swedish Highland cattle (Lagerlöf & Settergren, 1953; Lagerlöf & Boyd, 1953), white heifer disease (Bennett, Olds, Deaton & Thrift, 1973) and cystic ovarian disease (Garm, 1949; Sonnenbrodt & Ranninger, 1950; Henrikson, 1956; Foote, 1970; Wegner, 1973). Cystic ovarian disease may be common in particular families, especially when bulls descended from these families are used. Selecting animals for specific fertility characters such as non-return or conception rates or the intensity of oestrus is impractical in field conditions because heritability is too low (Rottensten & Touchberry, 1957; Inskeep, Tyler & Casida, 1961; Hahn, 1969; Foote, 1970; Wegner, 1973; Kräusslich, Osterkorn & Richter, 1977). Most fertility disorders are indeed the result of interaction between environment and genotype and therefore it is difficult to classify them as being strictly inherited or strictly acquired. Studies of lymphocyte chromosomes showed that the conception rates of female offspring sired by bulls bearing particular chromosome aberrations were reduced (Gustavsson, 1969; Fecheheimer, 1973; Halnan, 1975). It should be borne in mind that there is a constant natural selection against factors which have an adverse effect on fertility. Natural selection will increase as the reduction of fertility caused by these factors increases (Gross Genital Abnormalities, 1966).

Culling cows. In correctly interpreting the findings on reproduction in a herd, it is essential to know the rate at which the dairyman culls those cows which fail to become pregnant. In other words, what is the average number of inseminations in each non-pregnant cow? In certain breeding and pedigree herds, insemination of particular valuable cows is occasionally continued over prolonged periods (Van Dieten, 1968). This may result in a long average interval between parturition and conception. On the other hand, in other herds cows that do not become pregnant immediately are culled rapidly, and this naturally has a beneficial effect on the interval between parturition and conception, as well as on the average number of inseminations per conception (Van Dieten, 1968).

Chance

Chance may be a factor, particularly in small herds (up to approximately 40 cows). Embryonic mortality is among the most important causes of failure of an animal to become pregnant. Bishop (1964) claims that each fertilization may be regarded as a genetic experiment which fails in 10–20% of the animals inseminated. These animals will therefore return to oestrus again (David, Bishop & Cembrowicz, 1971). If the above should occur in several cows as a result of accidental conditions in a herd, fertility in a herd may be impaired during that year. In small herds, conception rates may therefore vary markedly from one year to another (Olds, Colvin, Cooper, Deaton, 1966; Olds, 1969; Stämpfli, 1973). Correct interpretation of the data on reproduction will only be possible in that case when this interpretation is based on the situation over a number of years.

Discussion

In the past, fertility was most severely impaired in many countries by infections of the genital tract. As these infections have been eradicated entirely or in part in various countries as a result of the development of an adequate veterinary infrastructure, other causes such as failings of management have become more outstanding. In countries in which infections (venereal) continue to be present,
these should first be eliminated before much attention can be paid to the other causes of inadequate fertility. As is apparent from the above, the fertility of a cattle population may be affected by an exceptionally large number of factors in addition to infection. In turn, these factors often interact so that a correct interpretation of each individual factor is usually impossible. It is therefore difficult to assess correctly the fertility of a cattle population. As a rule, a number of criteria and the corresponding normal values are adopted in assessing fertility (Morrow, 1970; Roberts, 1971; De Kruif, 1975b). However, these normal values are based on local conditions and the effect of a large number of factors has already been discounted in these values. Therefore, it will be better to adopt ‘ideal’ values in which the various factors have not yet been taken into account. These ‘ideal’ values could be as follows for a cattle population:

— a pregnancy rate of 80% after the first insemination,
— a number of 1.3 inseminations per conception,
— an average interval of 85 days between parturition and conception.

In actual practice, it will be next to impossible to attain these ideal values as one or several factors will invariably exert an adverse effect. This is illustrated in studies by Boyd & Reed (1961b) and by De Kruif (1975b). The latter compared pregnancy rates after the first insemination in two groups of cows. One group consisted of 3- to 6-year-old cows which had calved normally and had been inseminated during the period from 90 to 100 days after parturition in the months of May and June. The other group consisted of primiparous cows inseminated during the winter months, approximately 60 days after parturition. The pregnancy rate was 67% in the former and 48% in the latter group. The factor contributing most to this difference of 19% was the month of insemination, and the difference would have been even greater if semen of bulls showing good fertility had been used in one group and that of bulls showing inadequate fertility had been used in the other. If, moreover, insemination had been carried out by a highly skilled technician in one group and by a not-so-capable technician in the other, the total difference would have increased to 30-40%. And should oestrus detection have involved problems in the second group (Table 4; Esslemont & Ellis, 1974), then there obviously would have been a particularly marked difference between the two groups.

This is an extreme instance, but it clearly illustrates the great importance of the various factors. It is therefore understandable that reproduction does not reach an optimum level on a large number of cattle farms. What emerges from the literature is that the best thing to help those herds in which fertility is unsatisfactory, and/or which, as is usually the case, is due to failings in management, will be a herd fertility control programme (Morrow, 1966; Roberts, 1971; Stämpfli, 1973; Oxender, 1973; De Kruif, 1976). As visits are made to the farm at regular intervals, dairymen will be stimulated to pay special attention to the various factors influencing fertility in their herds. Improvement in management should primarily result in a shorter interval between parturition and conception.
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