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Summary. When female voles were allowed contact with the stud male for only
1 h at the time of mating, 55% exhibited pregnancy failure when exposed to a
strange male 48 h later. When females were made pseudopregnant by hormone
treatment and vaginal stimulation (i.e. no stud male involved), 87% exhibited
luteal failure when exposed to a strange male. It is suggested that the
characteristics of the stud male are rapidly imprinted upon the female at the
time of mating and that this imprinting is important in preventing the female
showing a blocking response to this male upon any subsequent exposure.

Introduction

One of the most interesting phenomena in which rodent pheromones have been implicated is the
failure of pregnancy in a high percentage of newly mated females upon their exposure to a
'strange' male (i.e. a male other than the stud). The effect is primarily due to a failure of luteal
function (Dominic, 1970; Milligan, 1976b) and can also be demonstrated in pseudopregnant
females (Dominic, 1966). The phenomenon was first observed in the mouse (Bruce, 1959), but
has since been demonstrated in the deermouse (Peromyscus maniculatus: Eleftheriou, Bronson
& Zarrow, 1962) and a number of microtine species (Clulow & Clarke, 1968; Clulow &
are believed to be the effective stimuli mediating the response in mice (Dominic, 1966), although
whether the same is true for other species is uncertain. The vole, Microtus agrestis, certainly
differs from the mouse in that unrestricted contact between the female and strange male is
required for the effect (Milligan, 1976a). Regardless of the cues involved, however, the different
responses of the female to the stud and strange male implies that the female can remember, and
therefore recognize, the stud male. In view of the well defined stimulus and response parameters
of male-induced pregnancy failure, it seems surprising that so little attention has been paid to the
characteristics of this memory system. This paper reports a study in voles to determine the time
required for the female to acquire the memory of the stud male. In addition, since pseudopregnancy
may be induced experimentally without the intervention of a male (Milligan, 1975),
such pseudopregnant females were used to investigate how important a memory of the stud male
is in determining the blocking effect of strange males.

Methods and Results

Laboratory bred voles from the colony at King’s College were maintained as described by
Milligan (1974). Females were 2- to 4-month-old virgins and the males were breeding adults.
Experiment 1

Females which mated within 30 min of their introduction between 09:00 and 12:00 h to a clean cage containing a male were allowed to remain with the male for a total period of 1 h. After 2 days, the mated females were transferred to another clean cage containing either the original stud male or a strange male and were left with this male for 24 h. A further group of females was mated as above, but the females were left with the stud male for the 2 days before exposure to a strange male on the 3rd day. All females were examined for the presence of implantation sites on the 8th day after mating.

Of the females that were left with the stud male for only 1 h at the time of mating, 16/19 (84%) remained pregnant after their re-exposure to the stud male on the 3rd day, while only 9/20 (45%) were pregnant after exposure to a strange male ($\chi^2 = 6.51; P < 0.02$). Increasing the time spent with the stud male did not significantly affect the number of females remaining pregnant after exposure to a strange male ($12/16 = 46%; \chi^2 = 0.006; P > 0.05$).

Experiment 2

Pseudopregnancy was induced in female voles by the subcutaneous injection of 200 ng LH-RH (Hoechst U.K. Ltd) followed by mechanical stimulation of the vagina and cervix with a motor-driven rod (Milligan, 1975). Females were either caged with a male for 2 days beginning on the 2nd day after mating, or were left alone. All females were laparotomized 5 days after mating for examination of the ovaries (Milligan, Charlton & Versi, 1979).

Degenerating corpora lutea were found in 13/15 (87%) of the females that were caged with the male for 2 days, but in only 3/16 (19%) of the control females ($\chi^2 = 14.3; P < 0.001$). The remaining females in each treatment possessed functional CL.

Discussion

The results from Exp. 1 differ from those reported for mice by Lott & Hopwood (1972). These authors observed that the incidence of pregnancy blockage was considerably lower in females that had been exposed to the stud male for only a short period (3 h) as compared to those exposed for longer (24 h). It was suggested that this was consistent with the hypothesis that the females gradually become responsive ('sensitized') to the blocking stimuli of strange males through exposure to the stud male (Lott & Hopwood, 1972). Although the occurrence of pregnancy blockage in voles, even when associated with only a very short exposure to the stud male (Exp. 1), might be due to a different time course of the sensitization process in this species, the results from Exp. 2 argue against this. Since the females in this experiment had not been exposed to a stud male, the blocking effect of male voles would not seem to be dependent on stimuli provided by a male at the time of the induction of ovulation and luteal activity. Instead, it is possible to suggest that all males are potentially able to block pregnancy, but that the normal lack of effect of the stud male is due to the female's recognition of this male through the imprinting which occurs at the time of mating.
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