Purification, partial characterization, and development of a specific radioimmunoassay for goat placental lactogen
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Summary. Placental lactogen (PL) was isolated from goat cotyledonary tissue by a combination of mild alkaline extraction, anion and cation exchange chromatography, chromatofocussing and molecular filtration. The product, enriched 15 000-fold from the initial extract, was homogeneous when examined by SDS-gel electrophoresis ($M_r$ 22 500) and isoelectricfocussing indicated a pI of 8:35 with a trace contaminant of pI 8:0. When assessed by relative binding activity in radioreceptor assays (RRA), goat PL exhibited somatotropic activity equivalent to 2.2 units/mg dry weight and lactogenic activity equivalent to 28.5 units/mg. A radioimmunoassay (RIA) for goat PL is described that is highly sensitive (190 pg/tube) and has acceptable repeatability within and between assays (6 and 13%, respectively). The assay is not affected by goat pituitary extracts or partly purified goat growth hormone and prolactin. Despite the marked increase in sensitivity of the RIA over that previously available when goat PL was measured by RRA, the hormone was not detected in jugular plasma of goats before Day 44 of pregnancy; concentrations increased thereafter and highest levels were measured during the last third of pregnancy in animals bearing triplets. Measurements by RIA are in general agreement with those obtained earlier in several studies in which RRAs were used. The hormone was detected in amniotic fluid. Maternal concentrations of goat PL declined before parturition and were undetectable by 18 h post partum.

Keywords: goat; placental lactogen; purification; pregnancy; radioimmunoassay

Introduction

Placental lactogens (PLs) are polypeptide hormones produced by chorionic tissue in primates, rodents and ruminants (Talamantes, 1975; Talamantes & Ogren, 1988). Their occurrence in ruminants was established using in-vitro bioassays for lactogenic activity (Buttle et al., 1972; Forsyth, 1974) then confirmed with the introduction of radioreceptor assays (RRA) for lactogenic, or prolactin-like activity (prolactin-LA, Shiu et al., 1973; Kelly et al., 1974) and then somatotropic, or GH-like, activity (GH-LA, Kelly et al., 1976). The PL of goats, first detected by Buttle et al. (1972), has been studied extensively with RRAs (Currie & Thorburn, 1977; Currie et al., 1977; Hayden et al., 1979, 1980, 1983). Antisera prepared against purified PLs from other species have not exhibited sufficient cross-reactivity with goat PL to permit measurement of the latter by radioimmunoassay (RIA). While Becka et al. (1977) and Chan et al. (1986) described some properties of partly purified goat PL, hormone of sufficient purity has not previously been available for development of an homologous RIA.

The present report describes the isolation of goat PL, provides some fundamental characteristics of the molecule and then describes the development of a sensitive, specific radioimmunoassay.
Materials and Methods

**Purchased supplies.** Materials used in this work and their suppliers included: Sephadex G-100, G-75 Superfine, Polybuffer Exchanger 94, Polybuffers 96 and 74, Pharmacia (Piscataway, NJ, USA); ampholines for isoelectricfocussing, LKB-Instruments (Paramus, NJ, USA); diethylaminoethyl (DEAE) cellulose and other reagents for electrophoresis, Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA, USA); carboxymethyl (CM) cellulose, Whatman, Inc. (Clifton, NJ, USA); protein standards used as markers for molecular weights (MW-SDS-70L) and isoelectric points (IEF-M1), all tissue culture media, fetal bovine serum and adjutants, Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA); Iodo-Gen, Pierce Chemical Co. (Rockford, IL, USA); T-61 euthanasia solution, Hoechst Rousell Pharmaceuticals (Somerville, NJ, USA); Surital, Parke-Davis (Morris Plains, NJ, USA) and routine laboratory supplies, Fisher Scientific Co. (Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

**Tissue sources.** Placentomes were collected immediately post mortem from goats of mixed breeding at known stages of pregnancy (110–125 days after mating). The fetal cotyledons were squeezed off the caruncles, trimmed from intercotyledonary membranes, rinsed in saline and then stored at −20°C for up to 9 months before extraction.

Intact pituitary glands were removed promptly after chemical euthanasia of goats without regard to physiological state. Tissues were collected and held in sealed vials at −20°C for up to 5 years before use.

Livers and mammary glands were collected from parturient rabbits and kept at −20°C for up to 3 months before preparation of microsomes for radioreceptor assays (RRAs).

Jugular blood was collected from grade dairy goats at known times after mating. Animals were housed in a free-stall barn during the winter and fed fresh quality hay and concentrates to meet requirements. Three does were moved to individual box stalls and were observed continuously until parturition. Blood was collected into heparinized glass tubes and placed on ice, centrifuged at 1000 g for 20 min, and then plasma was stored at −20°C until analysis.

Amniotic fluid was obtained from a doe carrying a chronically catheterized fetus in late gestation.

**Purification of PL.** Batches of tissue (500 g wet weight) were processed as follows: frozen cotyledons were chopped coarsely, suspended in 2:51 25 mM-ammonium bicarbonate containing 100 µM-PMSF, adjusted to pH 9.0 with ammonium hydroxide, then homogenized for 60 sec at full speed in a Waring blender. The homogenate was further processed for 30 sec at full speed using a Polytron (Brinkman Instrument Co., Westbury, NY, USA) fitted with a PT-25 generator.

After mixing for 3 h at 4°C, the homogenate was adjusted to pH 8.0 with glacial acetic acid then centrifuged for 20 min at 12 000 g. The supernatant was diluted with an equal volume of distilled water, adjusted to pH 7.8 with acetic acid, and batch-mixed for 1 h with 1250 g swollen wet DEAE-cellulose, equilibrated with 25 mM-ammonium borate (pH 7.8) and the mixture was maintained at pH 7.8 during a 1-h period by addition of acetic acid. The filtrate was collected and the DEAE-cellulose was washed *in situ* with 11 25 mM-ammonium bicarbonate, pH 7.8.

The filtrate and wash were pooled, adjusted to pH 6.0 with glacial acetic acid, then batch-mixed for 1 h with 100 g (wet weight) CM-cellulose, previously equilibrated with 50 mM-ammonium acetate, adjusted to pH 6.0 with acetic acid. The exchanger was collected by filtration, washed with equilibration buffer to remove coloured solute, and then with several litres of 10 mM-ammonium bicarbonate (pH 7.8). Final washing at pH 7.8 was performed on a bed support of polyester fibre in a separatory funnel and continued until absorbance at 280 nm of the filtrate was < 0.1.

Step-elution of PL was achieved with 25 mM-ethanolamine-HCl (pH 9.0) and the pH and absorbance of the eluate were used to construct a pool of minimal volume. This pool was adjusted to pH 9.4 with ethanolamine then applied to a column packed with 10 ml PBE 94 Polybuffer Exchanger, equilibrated with 25 mM-ethanolamine-HCl (pH 9.4). The loaded column was washed with 20 ml equilibration buffer then developed with 100 ml Polybuffer 96, diluted 1:15 and adjusted to pH 7.4 with HCl. Fractions (2 ml) were collected and monitored for pH, protein (by absorbance at 280 nm), GH-LA and/or prolactin-LA (by RRAs, see below).

Fractions containing PL were pooled, concentrated by precipitation and washing with ammonium sulphate (80% saturation) to remove Polybuffer components, then dissolved in about 1–1.5 ml distilled water. Final purification was achieved by chromatography on a column of analytical dimensions (12 mm i.d. × 120 cm), packed with Sephadex G-75 SF, equilibrated with 10 mM-ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0). Fractions of 2 ml volume were collected, monitored as described above, then were pooled on the basis of specific activity and lyophilized.

**Isolation of GH and prolactin.** The method used was developed from that of McNeily & Andrews (1974) for goat prolactin and, as modified, enables simultaneous isolation of both prolactin and GH. Frozen tissue (5 pituitaries) was homogenized for 30 sec in 5 volumes of the extractant used for PL, using the Polytron fitted with a PT-10 generator. The homogenate was stirred for 15 min, rehomogenized and stirred for a further 15 min, and then centrifuged at 48 000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was fractionated on a column (16 mm i.d. × 95 cm, 190 ml bed volume) packed with Sephadex G-100 and eluted with 10 mM-dietanolamine–HCl (pH 8.5). Fractions (2.5 ml) were monitored for protein, GH and prolactin, as described above. Fractions containing both GH and prolactin were pooled and chromatofocussed on a 5 ml PBE 94, equilibrated with 10 mM-dietanolamine–HCl (pH 8.5). The column was eluted with a mixture of Polybuffer 96 and 74 (40:60, v/v), diluted 10-fold and adjusted to pH 5 with HCl. Fractions (0.7 ml) were monitored for pH and protein (absorbance at 280 nm). Fractions of pH 8.2–7.0 were assayed for GH and those of pH 6.5–5.6 were assayed for prolactin, each by the respective RRAs. Appropriate fractions were pooled, brought to 80% saturation with ammonium sulphate, precipitates were collected at 48 000 g for 15 min, washed with 80% saturated ammonium sulphate and then recentrifuged to remove Polybuffer components. Each precipitate was
dissolved in 1-1.5 ml distilled water and fractionated on Sephadex G-75 SF, as described for PL. Fractions (2 ml) were monitored for protein (absorbance at 280 nm) and either GH or prolactin by RRA, aliquants were saved for electrophoresis, and then the remainder was lyophilized.

**Radioreceptor assays.** The radioreceptor assay (RRA) for GH-LA was essentially as described by Tsushima & Friesen (1973) except for minor procedural differences. Bovine GH (Miles Lot 13, 1.2 IU/mg) or goat GH, isolated in this work, was used to prepare $^{125}$I-labelled GH and for assay standards. Tracers were prepared by reacting 500 µCi $^{125}$I with 5 µg GH for 4 min in 50 µl of 50 mM-Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) in tubes that were previously coated with 5 µg Iodo-Gen. All GH RRAs were performed at 37°C for 45 min. The RRA for prolactin-LA (Shiu et al., 1973) was modified slightly in the use of bovine prolactin (NIH, Lot B-18, 28 IU/mg) or goat prolactin, isolated here, for preparation of tracer and for standards. Tracer was prepared using Iodo-Gen as above except the reaction time was only 1 min. Prolactin-LA was usually determined using overnight incubation at room temperature. Goat PL was iodinated by the same method except using an 8 min reaction time. $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL was used in both GH-LA and prolactin-LA RRAs with either goat PL or appropriate pituitary hormones as standards.

**Electrophoresis.** SDS-polyacrylamide electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed in 11-5% slab gels (Laemmli, 1970). Samples (5 µg protein) and molecular weight markers were stacked at 5 mA for 30 min then separated at 30 mA for 3 h. Gels were fixed overnight in 2 changes of 30% methanol:5% acetic acid (v/v), stained with 0.2% Coomassie Blue R-250 in 50% methanol, destained with 30% methanol, dried onto cellophane and photographed.

Isoelectric focussing was performed on a flat-bed apparatus (LKB Multiphor), using 0.5 mm gels of 5% acrylamide (acrylamide:bis 32:1, w/w) containing 2.5% pH 3.5-10 ampholines. Gels were prefocussed for 10 min at 25 W. Protein samples (5-10 µg) were focussed at 10°C using constant power (25 W, until a maximum of 2000 V was attained), for a total of 50 min. Gels were fixed using 4 changes (30 min) of 5% trichloroacetic acid and 10% sulphosalicylic acid, then water for 15 min and finally 30% methanol for 15 min. Gels were stained with 0.2% Coomassie Blue R-250 in 30% methanol and 8% acetic acid, then destained, dried and photographed as described above.

**Characteristics of rabbit anti-goat PL and a radioimmunoassay for goat PL.** Antisera to goat PL were raised in rabbits using 50 µg protein (in Freund’s Complete Adjuvant) for primary immunization and multiple periodic boosts with 25 µg goat PL (in Freund’s Incomplete Adjuvant). Blood was withdrawn by cardiac puncture during brief anaesthesia with pentobarbitone sodium, and clotted overnight; serum samples were kept at -20°C. Test sera were diluted in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 50 mM-disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.25 mM-sodium merthiolate and 1:400 non-immunized rabbit serum. Aliquots (100 µl) of diluted sera with approximately 20 000 c.p.m. freshly prepared $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL were incubated overnight at 4°C in a final volume of 400 µl made up with PBS containing 0.25 mM-sodium merthiolate and 0.1% (w/v) gelatin (gel-PBS). On the second day, a 1:25 dilution of ovine anti-rabbit gamma globulin was added and incubation continued for a further 24 h. Bound and unbound $^{125}$I-labelled PL were separated after diluting the contents of tubes with 3 ml ice-cold gel-PBS and centrifuging at 1000 g for 20 min.

In routine use, the RIA for PL was performed as above with standard amounts of PL in gel-PBS (100 µl) or with unknown samples (<200 µl) included within the initial 400 µl incubation volume. Non-specific binding of $^{125}$I-labelled PL, assessed by omitting the antibody, seldom exceeded 3 times background for the gamma counter. Using a final antisera dilution of 64 000, binding of $^{125}$I-labelled PL in the absence of added unlabelled PL (B0) was typically 30-35%. At this antisera dilution, logit-transformed binding was linearly related ($R^2 > 0.98$) to the log of added PL between 190 pg and 50 ng/tube.

Specificity testing within the RIA has been confined to assessing cross-reactivity with the relevant pituitary hormones (goat PL and goat GH, as isolated here) and to determining possible interference in the assay from various volumes (10-200 µl) of plasma and serum from goats not expected to contain PL, i.e. males and non-pregnant females. Additionally, because the assay is to be used with conditioned tissue culture media, Dulbecco’s MEM, Medium 199 and Ham’s F-12, all containing 10% fetal bovine serum, were also substituted (25-200 µl) within multiple standard curve assays.

Further validation testing involved assaying goat plasmas containing moderate to high concentrations of endogenous PL at various dilutions to assess parallelism with the purified standard and an assessment of the recovery of purified PL used to enrich the endogenous content of selected serum and plasma samples. Finally, estimates of repeatability have been obtained during extensive use of the assay (in excess of 8000 samples) over a period of 12 months. Plasma pools were included at 2 dilutions in each of 60 assays; intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation and were 6% and 13%, respectively.

**Results**

**Isolation of goat PL**

The isolation protocol was optimized from several dozen pilot procedures and, as described here, requires 3 days from the start until the purified hormone is frozen for lyophilization. The content of extractable PL was highest, but still only about 10-15 µg/g wet weight, in fetal cotyledons collected before Day 135 of pregnancy, and lowest (<2 µg/g in cotyledons from placentas
delivered vaginally at term. Extraction efficiency was optimal under mildly alkaline conditions and the relative amount of PL to total protein was maximal at pH 9.0. Yield was slightly greater after extracting for 6 h rather than the 3 h used here.

Goat PL was slightly cationic at pH 7.8 when anion exchange (DEAE) was used to remove the most acidic components in the crude extract. The non-absorbed fraction therefore contained almost all of the immunoassayable PL and 25–30% of the total protein in the initial extract (Table 1). When RRAs were used to monitor the purification, lack of parallelism between dilutions of these crude early fractions with purified goat PL or the appropriate pituitary standards prevented accurate quantification of recoveries and yields. The filtrate that was excluded from DEAE-cellulose at pH 7.8 could be acidified to pH 6.0 without visible precipitation, whereas when the crude extract was acidified below pH 7.5, substantial precipitation occurred, with concurrent loss of PL.

Table 1. Summary of purification of goat placental lactogen from a 500 g wet weight batch of cotyledons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Goat placental lactogen* (mg)</th>
<th>Protein† (mg)</th>
<th>Specific activity mg/(mg)</th>
<th>Purification (fold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude extract</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>38000</td>
<td>0.00019</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After DEAE–cellulose</td>
<td>6.59 (91%)†</td>
<td>12667 (33%)‡</td>
<td>0.00052</td>
<td>2.74 (2.7)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CM–cellulose</td>
<td>5.27 (80%)</td>
<td>56.26 (9-4%)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>493 (179-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After chromatofocussing</td>
<td>3.27 (62%)</td>
<td>7.43 (13%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2317 (4-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After gel filtration</td>
<td>2.71 (83%)</td>
<td>0.93 (12.6%)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>15292 (6-6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By radioimmunoassay, after diluting 1:10 to 1:1000.
†Estimated from absorbance at 280 nm.
‡Values in parentheses are relative to preceding step.

Cation exchange using batch methods and pH adjustment to effect selective elution, was rapid (about 3 h overall), powerful (180-fold increase in specific activity) and efficient (>80% recovery, relative to the preceding step) and minimized the volume of material to be loaded onto the chromatofocussing column. The buffer used to elute the PL off the CM–cellulose caused a sharp increase in pH coincident with the appearance of hormone in the eluate. Elution of the PL was complete by the time that pH of the eluate had reached that of the eluting buffer (Fig. 1a). To illustrate the performance of the steps with greater precision, the concentrations of PL were measured using the RIA rather than the RRA for GH-LA that was routinely employed because of its rapidity.

The steps before obtaining the pool from the CM–cellulose were so highly repeatable between batches that there was no need routinely to monitor PL activity by RRA when moving from one step to the next; monitoring pH and absorbance at 280 nm sufficed. The buffer used at this stage was readily adjusted to be directly compatible with the equilibrated chromatofocussing medium. As a rule, the chromatofocussing column was loaded overnight on the first day and developed during the following morning.

Chromatofocussing resulted in a further 4-5–5-fold purification with about 60% recovery, relative to the loaded material (Fig. 1b). The Polybuffer components were efficiently removed by precipitating and washing the PL with ammonium sulphate, as evidenced by the absence of diffusely stained material in the low molecular weight region when focussed fractions were examined by SDS-PAGE.

Gel filtration of the concentrated fraction was performed using a column with analytical dimensions; the salt-precipitated PL was readily dissolved in 1–2 ml distilled water. The material was efficiently separated with PL being clearly resolved from a major peak of higher molecular weight material. The peak of activity, detected by RIA, eluted a little more sharply than did protein, as assessed by absorbance (Fig. 1c), but SDS-PAGE of individual fractions within the peak showed
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no significant heterogeneity. Recovery, relative to the chromatofocussed material, ranged from 75 to 85% for an additional 6–7-fold of purification. Overall purification, based on RIA measurements and absorbance, exceeded 15 000-fold.

Fig. 1. Purification of goat placental lactogen (PL). (a) Elution of carboxymethyl cellulose in semi-batch mode using 25 mM-ethanolamine–HCl at pH 9, showing pH (—), absorbance (---) and PL (shaded) in 20 ml fractions. (b) Development of Polybuffer Exchanger with ethanolamine–HCl (0 to 40 ml) then (arrow) with Polybuffer 96 at pH 7-4; fractions from 88 to 114 ml (vertical marks) were pooled. (c) Final gel-filtration step showing elution of PL and absorbance. Fractions eluting from 58 to 68 ml (vertical marks) were pooled for lyophilization.

Isolation of goat GH and goat prolactin

Fractions containing GH and prolactin, which intentionally were not resolved from each other under the conditions used for gel filtration of pituitary extracts, represented about 25% of the bed volume of the column. The elution peak for GH off Sephadex G-100 consistently preceded that of prolactin (Fig. 2a). The absorbance and pH profiles of the chromatofocussing step (Fig. 2b) reliably identified the fractions containing GH (peak absorbance and RRA activity at pH 7-6) and prolactin (peak absorbance and RRA activity at pH 5-7). The absorbance profile has proved to be adequate for selecting fractions to pool for salt precipitation, thus obviating the need to delay purification while awaiting RRA data. The final gel filtration steps for each of the hormones separated the polypeptides of interest from variable amounts of high molecular weight contaminants (Fig. 2c &
d) and some residual Polybuffer components, and served to eliminate ammonium sulphate from the preparations. Slight differences in the ratio of activity (from RRA) to absorbance at 280 nm within the peak reflected minor contamination of the hormone preparations with other peptides. As a routine, the final pools were constructed on the basis of specific activity, determined in this manner. Since the objective was to purify GH and prolactin for use as analytical standards, yield was compromised in favour of purity; for less demanding use, yield could be increased by being less discriminating when constructing pools for lyophilization.

Fig. 2. Isolation of goat growth hormone (GH) and prolactin. (a) Preparative gel filtration of pituitary extract shows elution of protein (absorbance ····), GH (●——●) and prolactin (▲——▲). The shaded fractions were pooled for chromatofocussing. (b) Development of Polybuffer Exchanger showing typical profiles for pH and absorbance. The shaded areas represent GH (12–19 ml) and prolactin (26·6–35 ml), measured by radioreceptor assays. Vertical marks show fractions pooled for final gel-filtration of GH (c) and prolactin (d).
Electrophoretic characterization

SDs and electrofocussing gels are shown in Fig. 3. The preparation of goat PL purified here was estimated to have a molecular weight of 22 500 from SDS-PAGE. Comparable estimates for goat GH and prolactin were 21 500 and 23 500, respectively. Using broad range isoelectricfocussing on thin gels, the pI of goat PL was estimated to be 8.35 ± 0.2 (3 determinations from different batches), with only one slightly more acidic contaminant. The preparation of goat prolactin (pI 5.6) showed no heterogeneity while goat GH (pI 7.3) did contain a slightly more basic contaminant.

![Fig. 3. Electrophoretic characterization of goat placental lactogen, growth hormone, and prolactin. SDS-gel electrophoresis (a) and analytical thin-layer isoelectricfocussing (b) of molecular weight or isoelectric point calibration standards (1), goat prolactin (2), goat GH (3) and goat PL (4).](image)

Radioreceptor assay potencies

On the basis of dry protein weight, goat PL had a relative binding activity 1.4 times that of bovine prolactin (NIH Lot B18) in the prolactin-LA RRA (Fig. 4a), using rabbit mammary microsomes with 125I-labelled goat PL as the radiolabelled ligand. In the GH-LA RRA using rabbit hepatic microsomes and 125I-labelled goat PL as the radioligand, serial dilutions of goat PL did not parallel those of either bovine GH or our goat GH (Fig. 4b); the lack of parallelism was consistent over 4 preparations of goat PL and with microsomal preparations from 3 rabbits. It is, however, apparent that goat PL competed more effectively (approximately 3 times) than did either GH preparation for binding sites occupied by 125I-labelled goat PL. In comparable tests using 125I-labelled goat GH as the radioligand, binding competition curves could not be shown to have different slopes (P > 0.05) and goat PL exhibited relative binding activity 1.8 times that of bovine GH (Miles Lot 13).

The preparations of goat prolactin and GH competed for binding in the respective RRAs (Figs 4a & b) with the former being about 51% as effective as bovine prolactin and goat GH being 93%
as active as the bovine GH reference preparation. They showed minimal cross-reaction in heterologous assays, i.e. goat GH did not compete with $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL for binding to mammary microsomes but goat prolactin at 1 µg per tube displaced $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL to 90% of B₀ values for hepatic microsomes, i.e. goat prolactin was about 0-2% as active as goat PL.

![Graphs showing specific binding of $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL to crude membranes prepared from mammary and hepatic tissue from rabbits.](image)

**Fig. 4.** Characterization of the binding of $^{125}$I-labelled goat placental lactogen (PL) to crude membranes prepared from (a) mammary and (b) hepatic tissue from rabbits. In (a), competitive binding curves for radioinert PL (♀), bovine prolactin (▼) and goat prolactin (▲) do not differ in slope ($P > 0.05$). In (b), competition by radioinert PL (♀) for hepatic binding of $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL does not parallel that exhibited by bovine (○) or goat (●) growth hormones.

**Radioimmunoassay of goat PL**

Of 3 rabbits immunized, useful antisera were obtained from 2 after several months of boosting with small quantities of goat PL. The antiserum characterized for use had an operational titre (B₀ less non-specifically bound c.p.m. equal to 50% of total added $^{125}$I-labelled PL less non-specifically bound c.p.m.) of 1:44 000 (final dilution) but was used at 1:64 000 in assays to maximize sensitivity. Sensitivity, defined as the lowest standard distinguishable from zero, was consistently 190 pg. Neither crude extracts made from goat pituitaries nor purified goat GH or prolactin at doses up to 1000 ng were detected (Fig. 5), and volumes of <200 µl plasma or serum from male goats and non-pregnant females, and a variety of culture media containing 10% fetal bovine serum contained no detectable PL.

Plasma concentrations of PL in 6 goats from 40 to 60 days of pregnancy are shown in Fig. 6(a). The hormone was first detected (> 1 ng/ml) in jugular plasma on Day 44 (range Days 44–48, mean Day 46) and concentrations increased rapidly, initially doubling every 1-5 days. Concentrations of PL for a selection of later stages of pregnancy are shown in Fig. 6(b); maternal levels were highest in mid- to late-pregnancy and does with triplets had the highest concentrations of PL. High concentrations of PL were measured in amniotic fluid collected on Days 119, 125 and 126 from a doe with twins: amniotic values were 524, 294 and 148 ng/ml while maternal plasma concentrations were
Fig. 5. Selectivity of the radioimmunoassay for goat placental lactogen. Logit-transformations of % specific binding of $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL for the bleed and dilution of rabbit anti-goat PL used routinely for radioimmunoassay purposes. Binding competition using up to 100 ng per tube of PL (♀), goat growth hormone (●) and goat prolactin (▲) are shown along with serial dilutions of a goat placental extract equivalent to the starting material used for purification (*) and various volumes of plasma from a ditocous goat in late pregnancy (■). Dilutions of a goat pituitary extract, plasma from a non-pregnant goat and tissue culture media containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum were without effect and are not shown.

1004, 818 and 887 ng/ml, respectively. Changes in plasma concentrations of PL around parturition, measured at 6 h intervals in 3 goats, are shown in Fig. 6(c); values declined gradually throughout the 36 h pre partum, decreased rapidly after parturition, and were undetectable by 18 h post partum.

Discussion

A simple and rapid procedure for purification of goat PL from fetal cotyledons is described. Consistently low placental content of the hormone in the goat, often < 5 µg/g wet weight (0.000083:1, on an extractable protein basis) has posed considerable difficulties throughout this work. The methodology exploits the basic (pI 8.35) nature of the hormone by the sequential use of exclusion anion exchange followed by a more conventional cationic exchange chromatography, the combination of which affords an approximate 500–600-fold purification within the first day of the procedure. The protocol developed for the CM–cellulose is devised so that the monitoring of pH and absorbance obviates the need for assaying PL. At the scale of isolation described here, chromatofocussed PL can be finally purified by gel filtration using very small volumes (1–2 ml) of applied sample. During pilot studies, that initially required up to 10 days to complete the purification, considerable loss of PL was a common occurrence and recoveries of PL were substantially improved when individual steps were devised that minimized delays.

The method described here for the purification of GH and prolactin permits rapid processing of small numbers of pituitaries to achieve purification of 20–40 mg quantities of the hormones within
Fig. 6. Placental lactogen concentrations in maternal jugular plasma from pregnant goats. The assay sensitivity was 1.9 ng/ml (broken horizontal line). (a) First detection of PL in 6 goats was on Day 44. One goat (*) subsequently delivered triplets while the others carried twins; measured concentrations initially doubled every 1-5 days. (b) Concentrations measured during the last third of pregnancy are shown for goats carrying single (1), twin (2) and triplet (3) fetuses. Samples from the same animals are shown connected. (c) Changes in PL concentrations around parturition (vertical broken line) are shown for 3 animals, one with a single fetus (open symbols) and 2 that delivered twins (closed symbols). The hormone could not be detected in samples collected at 18 h post partum.

Based on the work of McNeilly & Andrews (1974), the partial coelution of GH and prolactin from Sephadex G-100 operated in a semi-preparative mode is exploited, and by using the same buffer for gel filtration and subsequent chromatofocussing, delays between the steps are minimized. Polybuffer components were eliminated by salt precipitation and gel filtration, as for goat PL.

Electrophoresis of the purified goat hormones, PL, GH and prolactin, indicated molecular sizes of 22 500, 21 500 and 23 500 respectively. There was no indication of contamination by components of other size. Analytical isoelectricfocussing indicated pIs of 8.35, 7.3 and 5.6 for PL, GH and prolactin, respectively. Most batches of goat PL contain a trace contaminant of pI 8.0 and the GH preparation examined in Fig. 3 shows the presence of a slightly more basic contaminant.

On the basis of relative binding in the GH-RRA, our preparation of GH has a potency of 93% that of Miles bGH, Lot 13, or 1.12 IU/mg using the commercial preparations as a reference. For goat prolactin, the relative binding in the prolactin-RRA was 51% that of bovine prolactin (NIH, B-18) or 14 IU/mg. These crude estimates of potency from the RRAs merely provide an indication that the electrophoretically homogeneous preparations (Fig. 4) can be expected to exhibit acceptable biological potencies. At this level of scaling, the methods described yield 20–40 mg quantities of the two hormones. Such amounts are useful for electrophoretic and radioimmunoassay reference preparations and as test materials for in-vitro studies in which goat hormones are required.

When compared to pituitary GH and prolactin in RRAs using rabbit microsomes, goat PL has a dry weight potency of 2.2 GH units/mg and 28.5 prolactin units/mg. This preparation can be compared to the partly purified material obtained by Becka et al. (1977) and a brief description of goat PL by Chan et al. (1986). Becka et al. (1977) fractionated medium conditioned by short-term
explant culture of goat cotyledons and obtained a product of apparent $M_r$ 22,000 by gel filtration, and pI 8.8 by preparative focussing. Their peptide was not purified to the extent described here and no potency information was obtained. More recently, Chan et al. (1986) briefly described a preparation of goat PL that seems to be more equivalent to that obtained in our work. They describe two forms (PL-I and II) with $M_r$ values of 22,000–23,000 with minor contaminants of 28,000 and 35,000. Potencies of their preparations, based on RRAs, were equivalent to those of sheep GH, prolactin and PL.

Useful antisera were obtained from 2 of 3 immunized rabbits after a protracted series of boosts. In routine use, one serum at 1:64,000 final dilution bound 30–35% of 20,000 total added c.p.m. of $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL with non-specific binding ranging between 1 and 3% of total labelled hormone. Standard competition curves using 190 pg to 50 ng PL per tube provide acceptable linearity after logit-log transformation. A standard dose of 100 ng displaced bound $^{125}$I-labelled goat PL into the vicinity of tubes lacking antisera.

Antiserum binding of $^{125}$I-labelled PL and standard curves constructed as above were insensitive to various added volumes of serum or plasma from non-pregnant goats. Similarly, the related pituitary hormones, goat GH and prolactin, while not purified to the same degree as was PL, were quite satisfactory for testing possible cross-reactivity with anti-goat PL. Dilutions of a crude goat placental extract, but not that of a pituitary extract, exhibited parallelism to purified goat PL standards. Minor cross-reactivity (5–10%) with sheep PL, purified to a similar degree by the same methodology (W. B. Currie, unpublished), was observed in most bleeds from the immunized rabbits.

Plasma samples from pregnant goats that contained high, moderate and low endogenous concentrations of PL were enriched with purified PL before assay and quantitative recoveries were obtained (regressions of amount measured against amount added were $>0.9$). Additionally, samples with high endogenous concentrations were assayed at various dilutions and measured concentrations, adjusted for dilution, agreed within 5–7%, values comparable to within-assay variability.

Concentrations of PL were undetectable in jugular plasma samples from goats during the first 5 weeks of pregnancy, corroborating earlier findings with far less sensitive RRAs (Currie et al., 1977; Hayden et al., 1980). The first appearance of goat PL occurred on Day 44 which agrees with an earlier report using the RIA described here (Card et al., 1988). Concentrations increased to peak levels during the last third of pregnancy and does bearing triplets had the highest peripheral concentrations of PL. Maternal levels of goat PL were shown by Hayden et al. (1979) to be correlated with fetal number. The hormone was detected in amniotic fluid. Concentrations of PL declined pre partum, and were undetectable by 18 h post partum. Further comprehensive studies characterizing endocrine changes in pregnant goats and using the RIA for goat PL have been performed (Card, 1989).
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