REPRODUCTION
RESEARCH

A comparison of transcriptomic profiles in endometrium during window of implantation between women with unexplained recurrent implantation failure and recurrent miscarriage

Jin Huang[1,*], Hao Qin[2,*], Yihua Yang[1,3], Xiaoyan Chen[1], Jiamiao Zhang[1,3], Susan Laird[4], Chi Chiu Wang[1,5,6], Ting Fung Chan[2] and Tin Chiu Li[1]

[1]*Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,* [2]*School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China,* [3]*Reproductive Medicine Centre of the Affiliated Hospital, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, Guangxi, China,* [4]*Biomolecular Sciences Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK,* [5]*Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, and* [6]*School of Biomedical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to T C Li; Email: tinchiu.li@cuhk.edu.hk

*(J Huang and H Qin contributed equally to this work)

Abstract

The endometrium becomes receptive to the embryo only in the mid-luteal phase, but not in the other stages of the menstrual cycle. Endometrial factors play an important role in implantation. Women with recurrent miscarriage and recurrent implantation failure have both been reported to have altered expression of receptivity markers during the window of implantation. We aimed to compare the gene expression profiles of the endometrium in the window of implantation among women with unexplained recurrent implantation failures (RIF) and unexplained recurrent miscarriages (RM) by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq). In total 20 patients (9 RIF and 11 RM) were recruited. In addition 4 fertile subjects were included as reference. Endometrium samples were precisely timed on the 7th day after luteal hormone surge (LH+7). All the 24 endometrium samples were extracted for total RNA. The transcriptome was determined by RNA-Seq in the first 14 RNA samples (5 RIF, 6 RM and 3 fertile). Differentially expressed genes between RM and RIF were validated by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) in all 24 RNA samples (9 RIF, 11 RM and 4 fertile). Transcriptomic profiles of RM and RIF, but not control samples, were separated from each other by principle component analysis (PCA) and support vector machine (SVM). Complementary and coagulation cascades pathway was significantly up-regulated in RIF while down-regulated in RM. Differentially expressed genes *C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF* and *SERPING1* in complement and coagulation cascade pathway between RM and RIF were further validated by qPCR. This study compared endometrial transcriptome among patients with RIF and RM in the window of implantation; it identified differential molecular pathways in endometrium between RIF and RM, which potentially affect the implantation process.

Reproduction (2017) **153** 749–758

Introduction

Implantation is a process when the embryo attaches to the endometrium, followed by migration and invasion into the deeper layer of the endometrium to become embedded, which involves a complex sequence of cellular and molecular changes. There are two well-defined categories of reproductive failure attributable to implantation disorders, namely recurrent miscarriage (RM) and recurrent implantation failure (RIF). Recurrent implantation failure refers to failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after transfer of at least four good-quality embryos in a minimum of three cycles in a woman under the age of 40 years (Coughlan *et al.* 2014). The failure usually occurs at an earlier stage, resulting in complete failure to implant or failure to establish the pregnancy. On the other hand, recurrent miscarriage is defined as three or more consecutive clinical miscarriages (Saravelos & Li 2012). It commonly manifests as pregnancy loss later on in the pregnancy, often around 6–8 weeks gestation.

A number of earlier studies suggested that the endometrium in unexplained RM and RIF shared some common pathological changes. For example,

© 2017 Society for Reproduction and Fertility
ISSN 1470–1626 (paper) 1741–7899 (online)
DOI: 10.1530/REP-16-0574
Online version via www.reproduction-online.org

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access

both uNK cell count and interleukin 15 expressions have been reported to be increased in the two conditions (Tuckerman *et al.* 2007, 2010) On the other hand, certain molecules have been found to be deranged in one condition but not in the other. A notable example is beta3 integrin, which is down-regulated in RM (Germeyer *et al.* 2014) but not in RIF (Coughlan *et al.* 2013); whereas leukemia inhibitory factor is down-regulated in RIF (Mariee *et al.* 2012), but not in RM (Xu *et al.* 2012, Karaer *et al.* 2014). In most of these earlier studies, only one specific marker was examined, with the exception of a few, which examined up to 3 specific markers at the same time (Xu *et al.* 2012). The study of a single or a few biomarkers has a limited value especially in the case of implantation as it is a rather complex process involving several well recognized steps (apposition, adhesion and invasion including angiogenesis) (Fitzgerald *et al.* 2008), each of which involves many molecules.

Transcriptomic study by using micro-array analysis or RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) enables the simultaneous study of all gene changes involved in the implantation process. Several studies have used this approach to examine the endometrium in the peri-implantation period but they focused either on the changing transcriptome profiles before and during the window of implantation (Diaz-Gimeno *et al.* 2011, Hu *et al.* 2014), or in a specific population such as PCOS (Qiao *et al.* 2008), RIF (Koler *et al.* 2009, Altmae *et al.* 2010, Ruiz-Alonso *et al.* 2013, Koot *et al.* 2016) or RM (Othman *et al.* 2012, Kosova *et al.* 2015), or under the impact of different hormonal treatment (Mirkin *et al.* 2004, Haouzi *et al.* 2009). However, none of the earlier studies employed RNA sequencing to compare and contrast the transcriptome profiles of endometrium in unexplained RM and RIF.

More recently, Brosen *et al.* (Teklenburg *et al.* 2010, Brosens *et al.* 2014, Macklon & Brosens 2014) hypothesized that RM is associated with an over-receptive endometrium which would allow defective or abnormal embryos to implant and in turn lead to super-fertility, but followed by an increased risk of miscarriage of an abnormal embryo. In contrast, in women with RIF, implantation often fails to take place despite the replacement of many good-quality embryos, implying that the defect is in the endometrium which is less receptive. The underlying molecular mechanism of altered endometrium receptivity during window of implantation in RM and RIF are still unclear.

In this study, we wish to directly compare the transcriptome profiles of RM and RIF, on precisely timed endometrial specimens obtained seven days after the LH surge (LH+7) with a view to establish to what extent RM and RIF represent the two ends of the spectrum of implantation disorder.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Subjects were recruited from the Prince of Wales Hospital, Chinese University of Hong Kong. The inclusion criteria of all subjects recruited include: age not more than 40 years, with regular menstrual cycles (25–35 days) and had not used steroid hormone in the preceding 2 months. Women with one or more of the following situations were excluded: peripheral blood showing chromosomal anomaly, tested positive for anticardiolipin antibody or lupus anticoagulant, abnormal thyroid function test, uncorrected uterine anomalies, intrauterine device *in situ*, intrauterine adhesions or serious systematic disease. Women with unexplained RIF were defined as failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after transfer of at least four good-quality embryos in a minimum of three cycles in a woman under the age of 40 years, in whom routine investigations had not uncovered any obvious cause (Coughlan *et al.* 2014). Unexplained RM was defined as three or more consecutive miscarriages before 24 weeks of gestation, with no identifiable cause after routine investigations according to an established protocol (Saravelos & Li 2012). Fertile control subjects referred to women, who had one or more live birth following spontaneous conception, stopped breastfeeding for more than 6 months and without any history of spontaneous miscarriage, were also included as reference. In total, 14 women were recruited for transcriptome sequencing as screening, 5 women with unexplained RIF, 6 women with unexplained RM and 3 fertile. For validation, additional 10 women were included, with 4 unexplained RIF, 5 unexplained RM and 1 fertile.

Endometrial sample

In the cycle of study, all subjects started daily urine LH test from day 9 of the cycle onward until the LH surge had been identified. An endometrial biopsy was obtained on day LH+7 as an outpatient procedure with the use of a Pipelle sampler. The samples were immediately snap-frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen for later processing.

RNA extraction and expression calculation

For the first batch of 14 recruited samples (5 with RIF, 6 with RM and 3 fertile), total RNA was extracted from endometrium by TRIzol according to the manufacturer's protocols (Invitrogen). RNA quality and integrity was confirmed by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and Bioanalyzer 2100 Eukaryote Total RNA Pico (Agilent Tech) respectively. All 14 extracted RNA samples were rRNA depleted by Ribozero (Illumina) and the paired-ends strand-specific libraries were prepared by TrueSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina). All samples were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq2000. After sequencing, low-quality reads whose sequencing quality below 20 were trimmed. All reads were mapped to human genome hg38 by Tophat2 (Kim *et al.* 2013) with default parameters. The Reads Per Kilobase Per Million Reads (RPKM) of gene expression was calculated based on the GENCODE v23 annotation (Harrow *et al.* 2012).

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access

Table 1 Demographic characteristics in women with recurrent miscarriage or fertile controls.

	RIF	RM	C	*P* value
Age (year), mean ± S.D.	36.6 ± 3.4	35.3 ± 3.0	31.8 ± 4.3	NS
Body mass index (kg/m²)	21.8 ± 2.1	20.6 ± 2.2	21.1 ± 2.5	NS
Cycle length (day), mean ± S.D.	29.1 ± 4.0	28.5 ± 4.2	30.0 ± 3.1	NS
Endometrium thickness on the biopsy day, mean ± S.D.	10.2 ± 5.1	9.8 ± 4.7	10.5 ± 4.4	NS
Average times of live birth	0	0.1	2.0	–
Average times of miscarriage	0.1	3.5	0	–
Average times of implantation failure	5.8	0	0	–

C, fertile subjects; NS, not significant; RIF, recurrent implantation failures; RM, recurrent miscarriages.

All expressions were normalized by quantile normalization method using median (Risso *et al.* 2014). The differential expressed genes (DEGs) were determined by two criteria: (a) the fold change between the means of groups was higher than 1.5; and (b) the *P*-value calculated from pooled *T*-test was smaller than 0.05.

Hierarchical clustering and principle component analysis (PCA)

The expressions of each gene were firstly scaled as follow:

$$S_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{\max(R_i)}$$

where S_{ij} is the scaled expression of gene *i* in sample *j*, R_{ij} is the raw normalized expression of gene *i* in sample *j*, $\max(R_j)$ is the largest value of gene *i* among all samples from RM, RIF and fertile groups. Afterward the scaled expressions will be used for unsupervised hierarchical clustering and then PCA by R packages gplots (Warnes *et al.* 2009) and prcomp.

After PCA was done, the vector of each principle component was calculated. In the space constructed by any two principle components $\mathbf{V_p}$ and $\mathbf{V_q}$, the direction of classification which was vertical to the calculated boundary $aP + bQ = c$ by SVM is $\mathbf{V_D} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, where $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Thus the contribution of each gene to the classification direction was calculated by:

$$a\mathbf{V_p} + b\mathbf{V_q} = \mathbf{X}$$

where **X** contains the contribution of the corresponding genes to the classification direction. Support vector machine (SVM) was performed by Python library sklearn 0.17.0 (Pedregosa 2011). Genes whose absolute values of the contribution scores were larger or equal to 0.01 were considered to have significant contribution.

Gene ontology and pathway analysis

Only genes with significant differential expression were retrieved for gene ontology (GO) and pathway analysis. Pathway enrichment was analyzed by DAVID 6.7 (the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) (Risso *et al.* 2014). The pathways whose correlated *P*-value (*q*-value) smaller than 0.05 were considered significantly enriched.

Quantitative RT-PCR

In additional to the 14 sequenced samples, extra 10 independent samples (4 RIF, 5 RM and 1 fertile) were added to measure relative gene expression using quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qPCR) for validation. TATA-box binding protein (TBP) and ribosomal protein L13a (RPL13A) were used as reference genes for expression normalization. Total RNA was extracted and quality checked as above. One microgram of total RNA was



Figure 1 Unsupervised clustering of all gene expression in 14 subjects, 5 with recurrent implantation failure (RIF), 6 with recurrent miscarriage (RM) and 3 fertile controls (C).

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access



Figure 2 Linear separation of RIF and RM on principle component analysis (PCA) by support vector machine (SVM).

used for reverse transcription. Quantitative PCR was performed by using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with Roche LightCycler 480 II. Primer sequences can be found in Supplementary Table 1 (see section on supplementary data given at the end of this article). Wilcoxon test was used to examine the statistical significance between RIF and RM.

Ethics

This study was approved by the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong – New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

The demographics of the recruited subjects are summarized in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, BMI, cycle length and endometrium thickness at the time of biopsy among RIF, RM and fertile groups (Table 1).

The reads mapping of all 14 samples for RNA-Seq were satisfactory (Supplementary Fig. 1). All samples had over 80% reads mapped to the human genome hg38. The raw sequencing data was uploaded to NCBI with reference BioProject ID: PRJNA314429. Firstly, we explored whether RM, RIF and fertile samples could be separated in the transcriptome profiling. After normalization and scaling of gene expressions, unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed to all samples (Fig. 1). Most RIF and RM samples were clustered to two sub-trees, while fertile samples could not be grouped and were clustered within RIF or RM samples. There were 661 genes significantly up-regulated in RIF compared with RM; while 301 genes up-regulated in RM compared with RIF. To further compare and contrast the differences between RIF and RM, fertile samples were excluded and principle component analysis (PCA) was performed. RIF and RM samples showed distinct spatial distribution in the three-dimensional space constructed by the first three components. In the space constructed by the first component and the third component, RIF and RM were linear-separated perfectly (Fig. 2). The boundary between RIF and RM could be further learned by SVM, where the classification direction which was vertical to the linear boundary gave the best resolution to distinguish RIF and RM. To identify the genes that contribute the most to the classification direction, the contribution score for each gene was calculated (see 'Materials and methods' section). The genes with positive contribution scores showed higher expressions in RM, while genes with negative contribution scores showed higher expressions in RIF (Fig. 3). Genes whose absolute values of contribution scores were larger or equal to 0.01 were considered to have significant contribution to the classification of RIF and RM, where 183 genes had significant positive contribution and 380 had significant negative contribution.

To investigate which biological and molecular pathway contributed the most to the differential transcriptomic pattern between RIF and RM, pathway enrichment analysis was applied on genes with significant contribution on both directions (Fig. 3).



Figure 3 Scatter plot of gene contribution scores against group fold changes. Positive-contributing genes expressed higher in RM and negative-contributing genes expressed higher in RIF.

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access





Figure 4 Pathway enrichment analyses of genes that have positive or negative contribution. Genes with positive contribution indicate those had higher expressions in RM than those in RIF; while those with negative contribution indicate genes had higher expressions in RM than those in RIF. The data shown are negative −log(*q*-value) within each category, and more than 2 are considered as significant.

Pathways with −log(*q*-value) > 2 were considered as significant. The localization of proteins encoded by genes in both directions showed high enrichment in extracellular regions indicated by GO cellular component terms. However, the pathways where they were involved were distinct, which could be revealed by GO biological process terms and KEGG pathways (Fig. 4). On negative direction, many responses to wounding and inflammatory genes were predominately enriched, including the most enriched complement

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access



Figure 5 KEGG complement and coagulation pathway. Pink boxes indicate up-regulated genes in RIF samples.

and coagulation cascades in KEGG (Fig. 5). Several central components of complement and coagulation cascades have significantly higher expressions in RIF than those in RM, with significant *t*-test *P*-values less than 0.05. We chose the top six over-expressed genes in RIF from complement cascade, namely *C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF* and *SERPING1*, for real-time PCR validation (Fig. 6). In contrast, on a positive direction, genes that were involved in extracellular structure organization and biological adhesion by GO biological process terms and neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction and calcium signaling pathways in KEGG were significantly enriched, but only few (2/78) significant differentially expressed genes were identified.

Real-time PCR was performed on all 24 samples to validate the differential expression of 6 genes in complement cascade (Fig. 6). All the gene expressions were significantly up-regulated in RIF group compared with that of RM with Wilcoxon test, *P*-values less than 0.05. However, the real-time PCR results of control group showed great variation, and most of the difference is not significant.

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access



Figure 6 Validation of selected genes by quantitative RT-PCR. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed to compare the gene expression levels of 24 subjects, including 9 recurrent implantation failures (RIF), 11 recurrent miscarriages (RM) and 4 fertile subjects (C). Y-axis indicates relative expression scale. The data are presented in boxplot.

Discussion

In this study, we have found that the transcriptome profiles of the two groups of patients studied (RM and RIF) are distinctively different from one another. In addition, we have found significant amounts of differentially expressed genes (661 up-regulated in RIF and 301 up-regulated in RM) and one distinctively and validated pathway between women with RIF and RM.

Among all the enriched pathways, the complement and coagulation cascades pathway was the most significantly affected pathway with −log(q-values) > 4 in KEGG pathway analysis in RIF. This particular pathway was up-regulated in RIF patients compared with RM patients, the genes involved in this pathway included *C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF* and *SERPING1*. All of these 6 up-regulated genes had been validated with qPCR, which confirmed that the up-regulation generally existed in patients with unexplained RIF. Reference to Fig. 6 showed that *C3* expression in RIF was significantly higher than that of control, whereas the expression in RM was not different to that of control subjects. The complement system, represented by complement component 3 (*C3*), is a proteolytic cascade in plasma and an upstream mediator of innate immunity. It is known that human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) has positive effects on endometrial *C3* expression (Palomino *et al.* 2013). While the adverse effect of decreased C3 expression on placental development and fetal development has been shown in *C3* deficient mice (Chow *et al.* 2009), and variants in *FOXD1* that enhance the expression of *C3* were associated with miscarriage in humans and mice (Laissue *et al.* 2016). The possible adverse effect of over expression of *C3* has not been previously reported. It seems therefore a fine balance is necessary; both under expression as well as over expression of *C3* may be detrimental. Our

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access

observation that the C3 was over expressed in RIF but not in RM suggested that the two conditions affect uterine receptivity in different ways. One of the major immune functions of C3 pathway is to form the membrane attack complex, leading to cell lysis (Ricklin *et al*. 2010). While DAF (complement-protective protein decay-accelerating factor, also known as CD55) is considered as an inhibitor of increased complement activity (Young *et al*. 2002), and the expression of *DAF* was minimal in the proliferative and early secretory phase in endometrium, increasing to a maximum on LH + 7, and decreasing until next cycle. Endometrial *C3* and *DAF* expression was associated with human chorionic gonadotropin, indicating its roles in early embryo development (Palomino *et al*. 2013). While there has not been any study in the literature which reported on the expression of any of the genes involved in this pathway in the endometrium of RIF or RM at the time of implantation, previous genetic association studies found the loss of functional mutation of some genes in this pathway were associated with RM (Mohlin *et al*. 2013) or other adverse pregnancy outcome, such as preeclampsia (Salmon *et al*. 2011). Although both *C3* and *DAF* were up-regulated in RIF when compared with RM, the increased *C3* expression (3.5 folds) was higher than the increased *DAF* expression (2.8 folds), suggesting the inhibitory complement system in RIF may be more likely a reactive response. Further studies of its inhibitory mechanism and subsequent downstream innate immune response in RIF are needed.

On the other hand, though the neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway and calcium signaling pathway were enriched in RM according to the positively contributed gene list from SVM analysis, we did not consider them as important as the complement and coagulation cascades pathway as discussed above. Firstly, most of the genes with positive contribution in these two pathways were expressed at very low expression level. Furthermore, almost no differentially expressed genes were identified in those two pathways. One explanation for the lack of significantly expressed genes in calcium pathway in our study could be that this activity could be prominent in endometrial epithelium cells (Thie & Denker 2002, Brosens *et al*. 2014, Ruan *et al*. 2014), which might be diluted if sequencing endometrium tissue as a whole. However, it has long been known that Ca^{2+} channels involve in a variety of implantation processes and increased Ca^{2+} mobilization can assist blastocyst-endometrium adhesion (Thie & Denker 2002, Brosens *et al*. 2014, Ruan *et al*. 2014). Brosen and coworkers found that competent and low-quality embryos elicited different Ca^{2+} channel responses *in vitro*, which indicate the active role of endometrial selective function of human embryos (Brosens *et al*. 2014). And this selection was impaired in the endometrium of RM subjects (Teklenburg *et al*. 2010). In this study, the genes with positive contribution in calcium signaling pathway may suggest the higher activity of the Ca^{2+} channel in the endometrium of RM compared with that of RIF. It might indicate that the endometrium during WOI is more favorable for implantation in RM compared with RIF, which would also be consistent with the *in vitro* study carried out by Brosens and coworkers that pattern of Ca^{2+} signals was associated with the implantation results.

According to the hypothesis put forward by Brosen and Macklon and coworkers (Teklenburg *et al*. 2010, Brosens *et al*. 2014, Macklon & Brosens 2014), women with unexplained RM would be superfertile because the endometrium is over-receptive, less able to discern and prevent the abnormal embryos from implantation, in contrast to that of women with RIF, in which the abnormality makes it difficult for even the normal embryo to implant. While our findings do not directly confirm or refute the Brosen hypothesis that the endometrium is over-receptive in women with RM, our finding regarding the differential regulation of the pathways between the two groups of women may provide insight into the molecular mechanism controlling the implantation process in the endometrium to make it under-receptive (as in women with RIF) or over-receptive (as in women with RM).

The transcriptome pattern of fertile women seems dispersedly distributed among RIF and RM subjects, but the sample size in our study indeed is very small to make any conclusion. The dispersed distribution may be due to the heterogeneity of endometrial receptivity status. Although they were classified as fertile controls as they had successful pregnancy in early years, unfortunately it does not necessarily imply they will still be able to achieve successful pregnancy if conceived. This is one of the limitations of our study.

A particular strength of our study is the precise timing of the endometrial specimen, all obtained on day LH + 7. While some earlier studies did time the specimen precisely on a single day (Diaz-Gimeno *et al*. 2011, Hu *et al*. 2014) others obtained the specimen over two or more days (Ledee *et al*. 2011, Koot *et al*. 2016). Given that the endometrium changes very rapidly around the time of implantation, the inclusion of samples collected on different days after the LH surge could introduce a significant source of variance to the results. It may help to explain why, in a previous study by Ledee and coworkers which studied similar subjects groups as in our study but with biopsies obtained over a three-day period from days LH + 7 to +9, they could find gene expression differences between RM and RIF, consistent with our findings, but not able to identify the pathways (Ledee *et al*. 2011).

Another strength of this study is that we used RNA-seq rather than micro-array to analyze the specimens. Earlier transcriptome studies of the endometrium used micro-array analysis (Ledee *et al*. 2011, Othman *et al*. 2012, Ruiz-Alonso *et al*. 2013, Koot *et al*. 2016) although 2

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access

recent studies did use sequencing techniques (Hu *et al*. 2014, Kosova *et al*. 2015). It is now well accepted that sequencing technique is more comprehensive in coverage and precise in quantification of global gene expression profiles (McGettigan 2013). Furthermore, in our study we have used more straightforward and more comprehensive methods to mine the features which contributed to the classification of the two groups of women, and thus identified genes and pathways that were differentially expressed between RIF and RM. The chosen testing platforms and analysis methods could also greatly contributed to the identification of significant pathways.

One possible limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size (RIF=9, RM=11 and control=4) and so the conclusions reached in this study should be considered preliminary, especially in view of the potential heterogeneity of the study populations.

To conclude, we have identified that the complement and coagulation cascades pathway are significantly different between women with RM and RIF. The identified pathways provide an insight into how the process of implantation in these two types of implantation disorder differs from one other.

Supplementary data

This is linked to the online version of the paper at http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-16-0574.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

Direct Grant for Research (Ref No. 2014.1.042) from the Chinese University of Hong Kong. H Q is supported by a General Research Fund (GRF14102014) from the Research Grant Committee, Hong Kong Special Administration region to T F C.

Authors' contribution statement

J H and T C L designed the study, prepared the samples, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. H Q and T F C performed the bioinformatics analysis and prepared the figures. Y Y and J Z performed the qPCR validation. X C helped on patient recruitment and sample collection. C C W and S L contributed to the interpretation of data and the manuscript preparation. All of the authors contributed to finalizing of the manuscript.

References

Altmae S, Martinez-Conejero JA, Salumets A, Simon C, Horcajadas JA & Stavreus-Evers A 2010 Endometrial gene expression analysis at the time of embryo implantation in women with unexplained infertility. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **16** 178–187. (doi:10.1093/molehr/gap102)

Brosens JJ, Salker MS, Teklenburg G, Nautiyal J, Salter S, Lucas ES, Steel JH, Christian M, Chan YW, Boomsma CM *et al*. 2014 Uterine selection of human embryos at implantation. *Scientific Reports* **4** 3894. (doi:10.1038/srep03894)

Chow WN, Lee YL, Wong PC, Chung MK, Lee KF & Yeung WS 2009 Complement 3 deficiency impairs early pregnancy in mice. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* **76** 647–655. (doi:10.1002/mrd.21013)

Coughlan C, Ledger W, Wang Q, Liu F, Demirol A, Gurgan T, Cutting R, Ong K, Sallam H & Li TC 2014 Recurrent implantation failure: definition and management. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* **28** 14–38. (doi:10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.08.011)

Coughlan C, Sinagra M, Ledger W, Li TC & Laird S 2013 Endometrial integrin expression in women with recurrent implantation failure after in vitro fertilization and its relationship to pregnancy outcome. *Fertility and Sterility* **100** 825–830. (doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.05.007)

Diaz-Gimeno P, Horcajadas JA, Martinez-Conejero JA, Esteban FJ, Alama P, Pellicer A & Simon C 2011 A genomic diagnostic tool for human endometrial receptivity based on the transcriptomic signature. *Fertility and Sterility* **95** 50–60.e1–15. (doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.04.063)

Fitzgerald JS, Poehlmann TG, Schleussner E & Markert UR 2008 Trophoblast invasion: the role of intracellular cytokine signalling via signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). *Human Reproduction Update* **14** 335–344. (doi:10.1093/humupd/dmn010)

Germeyer A, Savaris RF, Jauckus J & Lessey B 2014 Endometrial beta3 integrin profile reflects endometrial receptivity defects in women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **12** 53. (doi:10.1186/1477-7827-12-53)

Haouzi D, Assou S, Mahmoud K, Tondeur S, Reme T, Hedon B, De Vos J & Hamamah S 2009 Gene expression profile of human endometrial receptivity: comparison between natural and stimulated cycles for the same patients. *Human Reproduction* **24** 1436–1445. (doi:10.1093/humrep/dep039)

Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, Aken BL, Barrell D, Zadissa A, Searle S *et al*. 2012 GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for the ENCODE Project. *Genome Research* **22** 1760–1774. (doi:10.1101/gr.135350.111)

Hu S, Yao G, Wang Y, Xu H, Ji X, He Y, Zhu Q, Chen Z & Sun Y 2014 Transcriptomic changes during the pre-receptive to receptive transition in human endometrium detected by RNA-Seq. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **99** E2744–E2753. (doi:10.1210/jc.2014-2155)

Karaer A, Cigremis Y, Celik E & Urhan Gonullu R 2014 Prokineticin 1 and leukemia inhibitory factor mRNA expression in the endometrium of women with idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. *Fertility and Sterility* **102** 1091–1095.e1091. (doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.07.010)

Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R & Salzberg SL 2013 TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biology* **14** R36. (doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36)

Koler M, Achache H, Tsafrir A, Smith Y, Revel A & Reich R 2009 Disrupted gene pattern in patients with repeated in vitro fertilization (IVF) failure. *Human Reproduction* **24** 2541–2548. (doi:10.1093/humrep/dep193)

Koot YE, van Hooff SR, Boomsma CM, van Leenen D, Groot Koerkamp MJ, Goddijn M, Eijkemans MJ, Fauser BC, Holstege FC & Macklon NS 2016 An endometrial gene expression signature accurately predicts recurrent implantation failure after IVF. *Scientific Reports* **6** 19411. (doi:10.1038/srep19411)

Kosova G, Stephenson MD, Lynch VJ & Ober C 2015 Evolutionary forward genomics reveals novel insights into the genes and pathways dysregulated in recurrent early pregnancy loss. *Human Reproduction* **30** 519–529. (doi:10.1093/humrep/deu355)

Laissue P, Lakhal B, Vatin M, Batista F, Burgio G, Mercier E, Santos ED, Buffat C, Sierra-Diaz DC, Renault G *et al*. 2016 Association of FOXD1 variants with adverse pregnancy outcomes in mice and humans. *Open Biology* **6** pii: 160109. (doi:10.1098/rsob.160109)

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access

Ledee N, Munaut C, Aubert J, Serazin V, Rahmati M, Chaouat G, Sandra O & Foidart JM 2011 Specific and extensive endometrial deregulation is present before conception in IVF/ICSI repeated implantation failures (IF) or recurrent miscarriages. *Journal of Pathology* **225** 554–564. (doi:10.1002/path.2948)

Macklon NS & Brosens JJ 2014 The human endometrium as a sensor of embryo quality. *Biology of Reproduction* **91** 98. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.114.122846)

Mariee N, Li TC & Laird SM 2012 Expression of leukaemia inhibitory factor and interleukin 15 in endometrium of women with recurrent implantation failure after IVF; correlation with the number of endometrial natural killer cells. *Human Reproduction* **27** 1946–1954. (doi:10.1093/humrep/des134)

McGettigan PA 2013 Transcriptomics in the RNA-seq era. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **17** 4–11. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.12.008)

Mirkin S, Nikas G, Hsiu JG, Diaz J & Oehninger S 2004 Gene expression profiles and structural/functional features of the peri-implantation endometrium in natural and gonadotropin-stimulated cycles. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **89** 5742–5752. (doi:10.1210/jc.2004-0605)

Mohlin FC, Mercier E, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP, Gris JC & Blom AM 2013 Analysis of genes coding for CD46, CD55, and C4b-binding protein in patients with idiopathic, recurrent, spontaneous pregnancy loss. *European Journal of Immunology* **43** 1617–1629. (doi:10.1002/eji.201243196)

Othman R, Omar MH, Shan LP, Shafiee MN, Jamal R & Mokhtar NM 2012 Microarray profiling of secretory-phase endometrium from patients with recurrent miscarriage. *Reproductive Biology* **12** 183–199. (doi:10.1016/S1642-431X(12)60085-0)

Palomino WA, Argandona F, Azua R, Kohen P & Devoto L 2013 Complement C3 and decay-accelerating factor expression levels are modulated by human chorionic gonadotropin in endometrial compartments during the implantation window. *Reproductive Sciences* **20** 1103–1110. (doi:10.1177/1933719113477486)

Pedregosa F 2011 Scikit-learn: machine learning in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12** 2825–2830.

Qiao J, Wang L, Li R & Zhang X 2008 Microarray evaluation of endometrial receptivity in Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* **17** 425–435. (doi:10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60228-3)

Ricklin D, Hajishengallis G, Yang K & Lambris JD 2010 Complement: a key system for immune surveillance and homeostasis. *Nature Immunology* **11** 785–797. (doi:10.1038/ni.1923)

Risso D, Ngai J, Speed TP & Dudoit S 2014 Normalization of RNA-seq data using factor analysis of control genes or samples. *Nature Biotechnology* **32** 896–902. (doi:10.1038/nbt.2931)

Ruan YC, Chen H & Chan HC 2014 Ion channels in the endometrium: regulation of endometrial receptivity and embryo implantation. *Human Reproduction Update* **20** 517–529. (doi:10.1093/humupd/dmu006)

Ruiz-Alonso M, Blesa D, Diaz-Gimeno P, Gomez E, Fernandez-Sanchez M, Carranza F, Carrera J, Vilella F, Pellicer A & Simon C 2013 The endometrial receptivity array for diagnosis and personalized embryo transfer as a treatment for patients with repeated implantation failure. *Fertility and Sterility* **100** 818–824. (doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.05.004)

Salmon JE, Heuser C, Triebwasser M, Liszewski MK, Kavanagh D, Roumenina L, Branch DW, Goodship T, Fremeaux-Bacchi V & Atkinson JP 2011 Mutations in complement regulatory proteins predispose to preeclampsia: a genetic analysis of the PROMISSE cohort. *PLoS Medicine* **8** e1001013. (doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001013)

Saravelos SH & Li TC 2012 Unexplained recurrent miscarriage: how can we explain it? *Human Reproduction* **27** 1882–1886. (doi:10.1093/humrep/des102)

Teklenburg G, Salker M, Heijnen C, Macklon NS & Brosens JJ 2010 The molecular basis of recurrent pregnancy loss: impaired natural embryo selection. *Molecular Human Reproduction* **16** 886–895. (doi:10.1093/molehr/gaq079)

Thie M & Denker H-W 2002 In vitro studies on endometrial adhesiveness for trophoblast: cellular dynamics in uterine epithelial cells. *Cells Tissues Organs* **172** 237–252. (doi:10.1159/000066963)

Tuckerman E, Laird SM, Prakash A & Li TC 2007 Prognostic value of the measurement of uterine natural killer cells in the endometrium of women with recurrent miscarriage. *Human Reproduction* **22** 2208–2213. (doi:10.1093/humrep/dem141)

Tuckerman E, Mariee N, Prakash A, Li TC & Laird S 2010 Uterine natural killer cells in peri-implantation endometrium from women with repeated implantation failure after IVF. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* **87** 60–66. (doi:10.1016/j.jri.2010.07.001)

Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Huber W, Liaw A, Lumley T, Maechler M, Magnusson A & Moeller S 2009 gplots: various R programming tools for plotting data. *R package version* **2**.

Xu B, Sun X, Li L, Wu L, Zhang A & Feng Y 2012 Pinopodes, leukemia inhibitory factor, integrin-beta3, and mucin-1 expression in the peri-implantation endometrium of women with unexplained recurrent pregnancy loss. *Fertility and Sterility* **98** 389–395. (doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.04.032)

Young SL, Lessey BA, Fritz MA, Meyer WR, Murray MJ, Speckman PL & Nowicki BJ 2002 In vivo and in vitro evidence suggest that HB-EGF regulates endometrial expression of human decay-accelerating factor. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **87** 1368–1375. (doi:10.1210/jcem.87.3.8350)

Received 18 October 2016
First decision 6 December 2016
Revised manuscript received 2 March 2017
Accepted 9 March 2017

Downloaded from Bioscientifica.com at 03/16/2025 11:30:25PM
via free access