

Reproduction in a polluted world: implications for wildlife

Lucinda C Aulsebrook¹, Michael G Bertram^{1,2}, Jake M Martin¹, Anne E Aulsebrook³, Tomas Brodin², Jonathan P Evans⁴, Matthew D Hall¹, Moira K O'Bryan¹, Andrew J Pask³, Charles R Tyler⁵ and Bob B M Wong¹

¹School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Victoria, Australia, ²Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden, ³School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ⁴School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia and ⁵Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to L C Aulsebrook; Email: lucinda.aulsebrook@monash.edu

Abstract

Environmental pollution is an increasing problem for wildlife globally. Animals are confronted with many different forms of pollution, including chemicals, light, noise, and heat, and these can disrupt critical biological processes such as reproduction. Impacts on reproductive processes can dramatically reduce the number and quality of offspring produced by exposed individuals, and this can have further repercussions on the ecology and evolution of affected populations. Here, we illustrate how environmental pollutants can affect various components of reproduction in wildlife, including direct impacts on reproductive physiology and development, consequences for gamete quality and function, as well as effects on sexual communication, sexual selection, and parental care. We follow with a discussion of the broader ecological and evolutionary consequences of these effects on reproduction and suggest future directions that may enable us to better understand and address the effects of environmental pollution.

Reproduction (2020) **160** R13–R23

Introduction

Environments around the globe are changing at an unprecedented pace and scale as a result of pollution from human activities. In this regard, wildlife are confronted with anthropogenic pollution in a wide variety of forms. This includes chemical contaminants that enter the environment from the manufacture, usage, and disposal of myriad products, such as pesticides, pharmaceuticals, and heavy metals (Dixit *et al.* 2015, Bernhardt *et al.* 2017). Other pollution sources include widespread anthropogenic light sources that illuminate the night (Longcore & Rich 2004), noise that is propagated throughout environmental landscapes from traffic, machinery, and industrial activities (Barber *et al.* 2011), and heat pollution, both local and global, that alters thermal niches within ecosystems (Hansen *et al.* 2006, Yow 2007). These diverse forms of pollution can all have detrimental effects on wildlife and the ecological communities they inhabit.

A particularly concerning consequence of environmental pollution is the disruption of reproductive processes. Pollutants can interfere with a broad range of traits necessary for reproductive success, such as reproductive physiology, gamete function, and organismal behaviour (Table 1). Alteration of these processes can reduce mating success, either directly

by decreasing fertilisations or indirectly by disrupting mate attraction and/or encounter rates. These effects can dramatically alter the number and quality of offspring that individuals can contribute to successive generations, a concept commonly referred to as the 'fitness' of an individual (Orr 2009). These changes in fitness can then have harmful repercussions at the population and community levels, as well as influence the evolutionary trajectories of affected populations. Despite being essential for understanding how species will be affected by human-induced environmental change, the ecological and evolutionary repercussions of pollutants are rarely considered (but see Saaristo *et al.* 2018). Additionally, most studies focus on the direct effects of a single pollutant on a single species, limiting our understanding of how biotic and abiotic interactions influence the broader impacts of pollution.

In this review, we illustrate the ways in which reproduction can be impacted by environmental pollution and discuss the ecological and evolutionary consequences of these effects. We begin by outlining the direct impacts of environmental pollution on reproductive physiology and development, such as pollution-induced changes in sex hormones/pheromones, sex differentiation, and reproductive timing. We then consider how pollution can affect gamete production and function, including sperm motility and egg viability,

Table 1 Summary table of examples featured in this review to illustrate the different effects environmental pollution can have on reproductive function across diverse taxa.

Effect	Pollutant	Source of pollutant	Animal	Paper
Feminisation of males	Ethinylestradiol	Contraceptive pill	Fish (<i>Rutilus rutilus</i> , <i>Pimephales promelas</i>)	Jobling <i>et al.</i> (1998), Kidd <i>et al.</i> (2007), Lange <i>et al.</i> (2009)
	Atrazine	Herbicide	Amphibians (<i>Xenopus laevis</i> , <i>Rana pipiens</i>) Mice (<i>Mus musculus</i>)	Hayes <i>et al.</i> (2002a,b, 2003, 2006, 2010) Cook <i>et al.</i> (2019), Govers <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Masculinisation of females	Tributyltin (TBT)	Anti-fouling paint	Gastropods (various species)	Ten Hallers-Tjabbes <i>et al.</i> (1994), Matthiessen and Gibbs (1998)
Disruption of gonad development	Neonicotinoids	Insecticide	Bumblebees (<i>Bombus terrestris</i> , <i>B. lucorum</i> , <i>B. pratorum</i> , <i>B. pascuorum</i>)	Baron <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Biased sex ratios	Heat	Global warming, heat islands, factory discharge, and so on	Fish (<i>Rutilus rutilus</i>)	Luksiene and Sandström (1994)
	Heat	Global warming, heat islands, factory discharge, and so on	Sea turtles (various species)	Fuentes <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Altered reproductive timing	Clotrimazole	Antifungal	Zebrafish (<i>Danio rerio</i>)	Brown <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Dieldrin	Insecticide	<i>Daphnia galeata</i>	Dodson <i>et al.</i> (1999a)
	Atrazine	Herbicide	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	Dodson <i>et al.</i> (1999b)
Reduced sperm motility	Light	Artificial lighting from streetlights, building exteriors, advertising, and so on	Blackbirds (<i>Turdus merula</i>) Tamar wallabies (<i>Macropus eugenii</i>)	Dominoni <i>et al.</i> (2013a,b) Robert <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Heat	Global warming, heat islands, factory discharge, and so on	Birds (<i>Parus major</i>)	Visser <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Decreased fertilisation success of eggs	Bisphenol A (BPA)	Plastic production	Brown trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>)	Lahnsteiner <i>et al.</i> (2005)
	Air particulates	Smoke, fumes, and so on	Humans	Deng <i>et al.</i> (2016), Jurewicz <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	Radiation	Nuclear accidents	Birds (<i>Hirundo rustica</i>)	Møller <i>et al.</i> (2005, 2008, 2014)
Inhibited pheromone production	Titanium dioxide	Personal care products	Bivalves (<i>Tegillarca granosa</i>)	Han <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	Heavy metals	Mining, products such as fertilisers, batteries, and so on	Fish (various species)	Jeziarska <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Masked mating signals	Diclofop-methyl	Herbicide	Cotton bollworm moth (<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>)	Eliyahu <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	Light	Artificial lighting from streetlights, building exteriors, advertising, and so on	Cabbage moths (<i>Mamestra brassicae</i>)	van Geffen <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Endosulfan	Insecticide	Red-spotted newts (<i>Notophthalmus viridescens</i>)	Park <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Disrupted mate choice	Noise	Traffic, industrial activities, and so on	Fish, amphibians, birds and mammals (various species)	Shannon <i>et al.</i> (2016)
	Light	Artificial lighting from streetlights, building exteriors, advertising, and so on	Glow-worms (<i>Lampyrus noctiluca</i>)	Bird and Parker (2014)
Reduced parental care	Trenbolone	Agricultural growth-promotant	Guppies (<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>)	Tomkins <i>et al.</i> (2018)
	Ethinylestradiol	Contraceptive pill	European Starlings (<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>)	Markman <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Reduced parental care	Noise, light	Traffic, industrial activities, artificial lighting from streetlights, building exteriors, advertising, and so on	Seabirds (<i>Calonectris diomedea</i>)	Cianchetti-Benedetti <i>et al.</i> (2018)

before going on to examine impacts of pollution on reproductive processes such as sexual communication and mate choice. This is followed by a discussion of the ecological and evolutionary repercussions of these effects on reproduction. We conclude by highlighting future directions and steps necessary to understand and address the realised effects of environmental pollution on reproduction.

Direct effects of pollution on reproductive physiology and development

Environmental pollution can fundamentally affect reproduction by altering the production or signalling efficacy of sex hormones. A variety of chemical pollutants, for instance, can interact with steroid hormone receptors directly or indirectly, altering levels of blood sex steroids

and disrupting sexual development. Such chemicals are collectively referred to as endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs). For example, ethinylloestradiol, an oestrogen commonly used in contraceptive pills, can enter the environment via urine (Johnson & Williams 2004) and has been shown to induce physiological feminisation in wild fish through its interaction with oestrogen receptors (Jobling *et al.* 1998, Lange *et al.* 2009). Various other chemical pollutants that mimic endogenous steroids are also known to interact directly with oestrogen receptors (e.g. bisphenol A: Gould *et al.* 1998; phthalates: Jobling *et al.* 1995) and androgen receptors (e.g. the livestock growth promoter trenbolone: Sone *et al.* 2005; various prostate chemotherapeutic agents: Mateo *et al.* 2014), with downstream consequences for reproductive physiology. Pollutants can also change sex hormone levels via stress-related mechanisms or through effects on other hormonal pathways (Brüning *et al.* 2016). Indeed, there is evidence that light pollution can act as a chronic stressor, inhibiting the production of sex hormones in birds via the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis (Russ *et al.* 2015).

Such changes in sex hormone levels can have repercussions for the development of reproductive anatomy and morphology in organisms. For example, in Lake Apopka, Florida, exposure of American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) to a mixture of chemicals – including a spill of dicofol and DDT, agricultural runoff such as pesticides, and sewage treatment outflow – resulted in females exhibiting abnormal ovarian morphology and unusually prominent polyovular follicles, while males developed poorly organised testes and small phalli (Guillette *et al.* 1994, 1995, 1996). Further, developmental exposure of amphibians to the widespread herbicide atrazine has been shown to induce reproductive malformations due to feminisation of males. This includes the anomalous development of multiple gonads (Hayes *et al.* 2002a), altered testicular anatomy (Tavera-Mendoza *et al.* 2002, Hayes *et al.* 2003), development of ovotestes and testicular oocytes (Hayes *et al.* 2006, 2010, Murphy *et al.* 2006) and hermaphroditism (Hayes *et al.* 2002a,b). Atrazine can also lead to feminisation in mice, resulting in penis abnormalities (Govers *et al.* 2019) and altered sperm production (Cook *et al.* 2019). The direct impacts of chemical pollution on reproductive anatomy and morphology have been reported across a diverse array of taxa (e.g. feminisation of fish populations exposed to oestrogenic chemicals: Jobling *et al.* 1998, 2006; masculinisation of gastropods exposed to anti-fouling paint: Ten Hoppers-Tjabbes *et al.* 1994, Matthiessen & Gibbs 1998; reduced ovary development in neonicotinoid-exposed wild bumblebee queens: Baron *et al.* 2017), providing evidence that chemical pollution could be a major driver in the reported increases in abnormalities seen in sexual development in humans

(discussed in Giwercman *et al.* 1993, Skakkebaek *et al.* 2001, Damgaard *et al.* 2002).

Direct effects of pollution on wildlife reproductive anatomy and morphology are not limited to impacts of chemical pollution. Indeed, thermal pollution from nuclear power plants has been associated with altered gonad growth and asynchronous gonad and oocyte development in fish populations (Luksiene & Sandström 1994). Further, thermal pollution exposure has been shown to result in a greater variation in gonad development, both within and among fish populations (Efimova 1977, Virbickas *et al.* 1981, Lapina 1991, Luksiene & Sandström 1994). While few studies have investigated the impacts of noise pollution on wildlife reproductive morphology, long-term (60 days) exposure to noise pollution has been shown to cause a decrease in the diameter of seminiferous tubules and the thickness of the germinal epithelium in adult male rats (Farzadinia *et al.* 2016).

In addition to altering sexual morphology, pollution can disrupt cueing systems for sex determination and/or sexual differentiation, resulting in biased sex ratios. This is best illustrated in species that show temperature-dependent sex determination, including various fish, amphibian, and reptile species (Crews *et al.* 1994, Baroiller & D’Cotta 2001, Eggert 2004). Shifts in environmental temperatures are occurring globally through climate change (Hansen *et al.* 2006), as well as more locally through processes such as warm water discharge into rivers and estuaries from power plants (Raptis *et al.* 2016). An example of the former is seen in sea turtles, where higher nest temperatures are shown to skew sex ratios towards females (Fuentes *et al.* 2009), and this imbalance is predicted to become more extreme as temperatures increase due to global warming. Additionally, changes in environmental temperature can exacerbate the reproductive effects of certain chemical pollutants that affect sexual differentiation. For example, the antifungal chemical clotrimazole has been shown to skew sex ratios in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) towards males by inhibiting production of aromatase, an enzyme that converts testosterone to oestrogen, and this effect is enhanced at higher temperatures (Brown *et al.* 2015). These imbalances in sex ratios potentially reduce reproductive success by lowering encounter rates between males and females. In facultative sexual species, altered sex ratios may change the rate of sexual reproduction vs asexual reproduction. This has been seen in various *Daphnia* species, where the production of males can be affected by a variety of pollutants (dieltrin in *Daphnia galeata*: Dodson *et al.* 1999a; atrazine in *Daphnia pulicaria*: Dodson *et al.* 1999b; dicofol and vinclozolin in *Daphnia magna*: Haeba *et al.* 2008), altering the rate of sexual reproduction.

Exposure to environmental pollution can also alter reproductive timing in wildlife. For example, ambient

light levels, which are used as a cue for the timing of reproductive processes in many animals, can be disrupted by light pollution. A powerful example of this is seen in common blackbirds (*Turdus merula*), where, after 1 year of exposure to artificial light at night, seasonal development of the birds' gonads occurred up to 1 month earlier and, after the second year of exposure, gonads did not show seasonal development at all (Dominoni *et al.* 2013a,b). Further, light pollution has been shown to delay births in tamar wallabies (*Macropus eugenii*), which is potentially mediated by suppression of melatonin (Robert *et al.* 2015). Ambient temperature is also used as a cue for biological events in many species, and similar to effects of artificial light pollution on reproductive timing, increased temperatures due to the effect of urban heat islands – or, more broadly, global warming – can result in temporal shifts in reproduction (Visser *et al.* 2009). Altered reproductive timing can have serious repercussions for offspring production or survival, as the shift may de-synchronise offspring production with peak resource availability. For example, it is predicted that, due to increasing temperatures, forest bird offspring will become increasingly mismatched with peak caterpillar availability (Burgess *et al.* 2018).

Consequences for gametes

The previously described effects of pollutants on hormones and sexual development can have consequences on gamete function and, hence, fertility. For example, when male fish are feminised via exposure to oestrogenic pollutants, this can result in reduced sperm quality (Jobling *et al.* 2002a,b). Further, female brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) exposed to bisphenol A (BPA) prior to, and during, spawning exhibited lower levels and later onset of ovulation, while exposed males showed reductions in sperm density, motility rate, and sperm swimming velocity (Lahnsteiner *et al.* 2005). These effects were likely due to the oestrogenic activity of BPA. Numerous studies have also shown that human males exposed to particulate air pollution produce sperm that exhibit impaired motility (De Rosa *et al.* 2003, Hammoud *et al.* 2010, Huang *et al.* 2019, see also Deng *et al.* 2016 for recent meta-analysis and review by Jurewicz *et al.* 2018) and impaired DNA structure and integrity (Sram *et al.* 1996, Selevan *et al.* 2000, Rubes *et al.* 2005), although the mechanisms for these effects are poorly understood. Similarly, in wildlife such as birds, radiation pollution has been shown to have a range of negative effects on sperm that include increased mutation rates, as well as reduced sperm production, quality, and motility (Møller *et al.* 2005, 2008, 2014).

In externally fertilising species, pollutants can induce detrimental effects through direct contact with sperm and/or eggs. For instance, in capelin fish (*Mallotus villosus*), exposure to chemical-dispersing agents can reduce the fertilising capability of sperm (Beirão *et al.* 2018), while

harmful impacts of contaminants on sperm swimming parameters have been reported in both fish (e.g. copper ions: Kowalska-Góralaska *et al.* 2019; heavy metals: Abascal *et al.* 2007; EDCs: reviewed by Carnevali *et al.* 2018) and invertebrates (e.g. xenobiotics: Gallo 2018; nanoparticles: Hollows *et al.* 2007, Han *et al.* 2019). Pollutants can also have damaging effects through direct contact with eggs. For example, Han *et al.* (2019) found that in broadcast-spawning bivalves (*Tegillarca granosa*), the nanoscale pollutant titanium dioxide can attach to the oocyte surface and damage the plasma membrane, which is thought to contribute to reduced gamete fusion success. Similarly, in fish, exposure of spawned eggs to certain polluting metal compounds can adversely impact fertilisation capacity and general viability (Jeziarska *et al.* 2009).

A particularly concerning aspect of certain environmental pollutants is their ability to induce long-term impacts that may persist across many generations following the initial exposure event. An increasing body of evidence has reported associations between adult exposure to environmental pollutants and reductions in offspring health and fitness, almost certainly via epigenetic mechanisms (Soubry *et al.* 2014). Much of this evidence comes from studies reporting changes in sperm chromatin structure, changes in sperm small ncRNA (sncRNA) content, and altered DNA methylation patterns in sperm (Evans *et al.* 2019). Such epigenetic changes in sperm, for example, can occur following exposure to various pollutants, including cigarette smoke (Jenkins *et al.* 2017), ethanol (Rompala *et al.* 2018) and the fungicide vinclozolin (Ben Maamar *et al.* 2018). Fortunately, these effects appear to be at least partially reversibly via exercise and environmental enrichment, depending on the toxicant, effect and specific process affected (Short *et al.* 2017). Studies have also implicated paternal chronic exposure to EDCs with changes in sperm sncRNAs. For example, in zebrafish, paternal exposure to the synthetic oestrogen 17 α -ethinyloestradiol (EE2) results in a range of disorders in offspring (e.g. skeletal and cartilage deformations, poor locomotion, etc.), mostly likely due to an up-regulation of microRNA transcripts in the testes and sperm (Valcarce *et al.* 2017). Such studies showing transgenerational effects suggest that environmental pollutants can impact population health long after the initial exposure and even after contaminants have been removed, which may cause us to underestimate the full scope of the impacts of such exposures.

Effects of pollution on sexual communication, sexual selection, and parental care

Pollutants can impact the ability of animals to locate potential mates by disrupting the production, transmission, and detection of signals important in sexual

communication (Bird & Parker 2014, Vargas-Salinas *et al.* 2014, Shannon *et al.* 2016, Walters *et al.* 2019). An example of this is pollution disrupting the production of pheromones – some of which are steroid hormones, such as glucuronides (Scott & Vermeirssen 1994). For instance, in the cotton bollworm moth (*Helicoverpa armigera*), exposure to the herbicide diclofop-methyl has been found to inhibit sex pheromone production by reducing fatty acid synthesis (Eliyahu *et al.* 2003). Similarly, light pollution can disrupt pheromone production, with low-intensity artificial light at night reducing sex pheromone production in female cabbage moths (*Mamestra brassicae*: van Geffen *et al.* 2015). As these pheromones are used to indicate reproductive receptivity, inhibited pheromone production will likely reduce mate acquisition and, thus, mating success. Indeed, this has been reported in female red-spotted newts (*Notophthalmus viridescens*), where exposure to the insecticide endosulfan resulted in the suppression of pheromone production and subsequently reduced mating success (Park *et al.* 2001).

As well as disrupting pheromone production, environmental pollution can interfere with acoustic or visual signals used for sexual communication. For example, exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) can reduce androgen-dependent growth in cartilage and muscle of the larynx in male African clawed frogs (*Xenopus laevis*), preventing them from making advertisement calls to females (Qin *et al.* 2007). Similarly, in species as taxonomically diverse as fish, amphibians, birds, and mammals, anthropogenic noise pollution can mask acoustic signals used by individuals to attract their mates (reviewed in Shannon *et al.* 2016) or prevent the perception of these mating signals by damaging auditory organs (Jepson *et al.* 2003, André *et al.* 2011). Likewise, artificial light pollution is thought to be the primary driver for population declines in glow-worms (*Lampyris noctiluca*), as light pollution can drastically reduce the ability of males to detect the bioluminescent light signals of reproductively active females (Bird & Parker 2014).

Environmental pollution can also compromise the ability of animals to select a suitable mate (reviewed in Candolin & Wong 2019). For example, in a freshwater fish, the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*), exposure to an agricultural steroid pollutant (17 β -trenbolone) disrupted patterns of female preference for male colour traits that are important in signalling male genetic quality to choosy females (Tomkins *et al.* 2018). Exposure to pollution can also affect the relationship between the expression of sexual traits and the fitness benefits associated with those traits. In European starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*), for instance, males exposed to a mixture of synthetic oestrogenic endocrine disruptors showed a reduction in immune function, but developed songs that were longer and more complex and, as a result, were actually preferred by females (Markman *et al.* 2008). At its most extreme, the disruption of mate selection can even lead

to the breakdown of premating reproductive isolation and the loss of biodiversity. For example, in two co-occurring species of swordtail fishes (*Xiphophorus birchmanni* and *Xiphophorus malinche*), exposure to sewage effluent and agricultural runoff resulted in the loss of female preference for the odour cues of male conspecifics, leading to females mating indiscriminately with males of both species (Fisher *et al.* 2006).

After mating, environmental pollution can impact the quality of parental care provided to young, with consequences for the health and survival of offspring (Wong *et al.* 2012, Suárez-Rodríguez & Garcia 2017, Cianchetti-Benedetti *et al.* 2018). For example, in seabird nesting colonies (*Calonectris diomedea*), short-term nocturnal exposure to light and noise pollution has been associated with decreased weight gain of chicks, which is thought to result from a reduction in parental nest attendance and feeding (Cianchetti-Benedetti *et al.* 2018). Further, human-induced eutrophication and associated algal blooms have been shown to directly impact the quality of nest construction in a fish, the three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), with nest quality being an important predictor of offspring survival (Wong *et al.* 2012). A complex example of pollution-induced effects on parental care is seen in urban-dwelling house finches (*Carpodacus mexicanus*) that have started to incorporate cigarette butts into the lining of their nests (Suárez-Rodríguez & Garcia 2017). In so doing, the chemicals present in the cigarettes reduced the amount of ectoparasites in the nest, although the benefits of this behaviour may be counterbalanced in the long-term by genotoxic damage to offspring (Suárez-Rodríguez & Garcia 2017).

Ecological and evolutionary implications

We have described how environmental pollution can impact reproductive processes in a broad variety of ways, including via direct effects on sexual development and effects on sexual selection processes. These effects on different aspects of reproduction can dramatically alter the fitness of individuals by reducing the quality and/or quantity of offspring produced. By disrupting the overall reproductive success of a population, environmental pollution has many implications for the viability and evolutionary trajectory of affected populations.

Many of the reproductive alterations outlined in this review decrease fitness as a result of reduced mating or fertilisation success. In some cases, pollution-exposed individuals have reduced fertility due to impaired sexual development and/or gamete function. In other cases, individuals are unable to locate a mate due to the disruption of the production, transmission, or detection of sexual communication signals. As population stability depends on new generations of offspring, lowered reproductive success resulting from pollution exposure may lead to population declines or even extinctions.

For example, Kidd *et al.* (2007) conducted a 7-year whole-lake experiment with chronic exposure of fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) to low concentrations of 17 α -ethinyloestradiol (EE2). The resulting feminisation of males and altered oogenesis in females led to a near extinction of this species in the lake, demonstrating the extreme impacts that reproductive impairment due to pollution can have at the population level (see also Desforges *et al.* 2018).

Effects of pollution on reproductive traits, however, do not always lower organismal fitness. Some alterations in reproductive processes may be minor enough to have negligible effects on fitness. For example, De Jong *et al.* (2015) reported that exposure to light pollution affected the lay date of great tits, although this did not appear to have any measurable fitness consequences in the offspring. Additionally, where pollutants cause the sex ratio of a population to be skewed towards females, this may not significantly affect the growth of a population in cases where the males are still able to successfully fertilise most of the females (Candolin 2019). In other cases, certain pollutants have even been shown to have potentially positive effects on fitness. For example, male fish exposed to growth-promoting steroids have been found to perform more copulations than unexposed males (Bertram *et al.* 2015, 2018). Similarly, *Daphnia magna* have been found to have increased fecundity when exposed to fluoxetine, an ingredient of many antidepressant medications (Campos *et al.* 2012). Together, the previously mentioned examples highlight how pollution can have negative, negligible, and even potentially positive effects on the average reproductive success of individuals within a population, with clear implications for population persistence over time.

In some cases, populations may be able to adjust or adapt to reproductive challenges posed by pollution, either through plastic or evolved responses. For example, city-dwelling song birds sing at a higher pitch compared to rural conspecifics, so that they can be heard above the low frequency din of urban noise (e.g. great tits, *Parus major*: Slabbekoorn & Peet 2003). Some species are also able to adjust the timing of their calls to avoid the noisiest times of the day, as in frogs (*Lithobates clamitans* and *Lithobates catesbeianus*) subjected to road traffic noise (Vargas-Salinas *et al.* 2014) and birds living adjacent to airports (Gil *et al.* 2015). There are also numerous cases where females demonstrate a preference for unexposed males compared to those exposed to a pollutant (Gore *et al.* 2018), although this mechanism is less useful in environments where all males are contaminated. There is evidence to suggest that such changes can impact ecosystem dynamics if some species are able to adapt to pollutants better than others. For example, in New Mexico, bird species that adjust vocalisations in response to noise pollution increased in number, while species unable to do this decreased, altering bird communities and species

interactions (Francis *et al.* 2009). It is also worth noting that the ability of species to adapt to pollutants may be constrained when the pollutants relax sexual selection, as there is evidence that sexual selection may promote evolutionary mechanisms that allow organisms to cope with pollution (Jacomb *et al.* 2016).

When species are unable to adapt to reproductive challenges caused by pollution, the resulting population declines can have cascading effects on the wider ecosystem due to altered species interactions. For instance, following the decrease in fathead minnows due to EE2 exposure, Kidd *et al.* (2014) observed an increase in abundance of its prey species, such as zooplankton, chaoborus, and emerging insects, as well as a decrease in biomass of lake trout, a fathead minnow predator. These species were unaffected by direct oestrogen exposure, highlighting the need for research examining broader ecological effects, such as species interactions, when assessing environmental impacts of pollution (see also Windsor *et al.* 2018). Such cascading effects have also been seen in ecosystems where gastropod populations have declined due to exposure to anti-fouling paint, resulting in disruptions to intertidal community structure and function (Coray & Bard 2007, Roach & Wilson 2009).

Future directions

When investigating effects of environmental change, there is a tendency for studies to focus on the direct, short-term effects of a single pollutant on a single species, most often vertebrates (Lewis & Ford 2012). However, this only provides a limited understanding of pollutant impacts and may underestimate broader hazards posed to wildlife and the ecological communities they inhabit. For a more holistic understanding, future studies should consider both biotic and abiotic interactions (discussed in Saaristo *et al.* 2018). Indeed, the previously mentioned research by Kidd *et al.* (2014) demonstrates the influence of species interactions on the wider effect of a pollutant, which can easily be overlooked when studying direct effects alone. Furthermore, pollutants can interact with other stressors to cause synergistic effects, where the interaction of multiple stressors causes an effect that is significantly greater than would be expected if the independent effects of each stressor were simply summed together. For example, in zebrafish, exposure to progesterin and high temperatures simultaneously had much more severe negative impacts on female fecundity than either effect in isolation (Cardoso *et al.* 2017). Additionally, future research should investigate the effects of pollutants over multiple generations. Such research will help to uncover whether species are able to adapt or habituate to environmental changes and will help us understand how pollutants may otherwise impact evolutionary processes (Saaristo *et al.* 2018, Candolin 2019). More broadly, if we are to more fully understand

the impacts of pollutants on reproduction, more focus needs to be given to integrating research across multiple spatial scales at different levels of ecological complexity, from controlled laboratory assays to field-based investigations under more natural settings (Klaminder *et al.* 2016, Windsor *et al.* 2018; e.g. mesocosm-based studies on light pollution: Bennie *et al.* 2015; field-based studies on noise pollution: Simpson *et al.* 2016; whole-lake experiments on chemical pollution: Kidd *et al.* 2007, 2014).

Our increasing awareness of the impacts of pollutants on reproduction should be harnessed to implement mitigation strategies to manage many of the deleterious effects outlined in our review. Such actions may not only be beneficial for the sustainability of wildlife populations, but also for human health, with mounting evidence that these pollutants can affect humans in a similar manner to other animals (Damgaard *et al.* 2002, Deng *et al.* 2016). Indeed, there has already been some progress towards improved management of pollutants. For example, additional treatment steps, such as ozonation and activated carbon treatment, can play a key role in the removal of EDCs and other contaminants from wastewater (Nowotny *et al.* 2007, Hollender *et al.* 2009). In the context of light pollution, limiting the use of artificial light, shielding lights to reduce 'trespass' of light into neighbouring areas, and altering the intensity or spectrum of lighting can decrease potential impacts of anthropogenic light at night (Gaston *et al.* 2012). It has also been suggested that sound barriers and noise curfews, which are already widely used to reduce impacts on human inhabitants, could be effectively employed to alleviate effects of noise pollution on wildlife (Slabbekoorn & Ripmeester 2008). Such initiatives are just some of the many practical outcomes that can be achieved by harnessing research to minimise the detrimental effects of pollutants.

In this review, we highlight that environmental pollution, in its various forms, can interfere with many different aspects of reproduction, including physiology, gamete function, and organismal behaviour. These disruptions often reduce reproductive success of organisms by, for example, interfering with their ability to secure a mate or decreasing their fertility. In addition, pollution can reduce offspring viability through epigenetic effects or by altering reproductive timing (thereby causing a mismatch between ecological resources and offspring), by disrupting process of sexual selection resulting in mating with less suitable partners, and by disrupting parental care. While it is clear that environmental pollution can affect the reproduction of wildlife, the full extent to which these changes are affecting population growth, ecosystem structure, and evolutionary trajectories is less clear. To better understand these higher-level consequences of pollution, it is important that we collect long-term data and employ studies that incorporate increasing levels of

ecological complexity through the use of mesocosm- and field-based approaches, as well as continue to implement strategies to mitigate the impacts of pollution on wildlife and humans alike.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of this review.

Funding

B B M W was supported by the Australian Research Council (grant number DP190100642 and FT190100014). M G B and T B were supported by the Swedish Research Council Formas (2018-00828).

Author contribution statement

L C A, M G B, J M M and B B M W conceived the idea and developed the structure of the review with input from other coauthors. All authors contributed to the writing of the review.

References

- Abascal FJ, Cosson J & Fauvel C 2007 Characterization of sperm motility in sea bass: the effect of heavy metals and physicochemical variables on sperm motility. *Journal of Fish Biology* **70** 509–522. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01322.x>)
- André M, Solé M, Lenoir M, Durfort M, Quero C, Mas A, Lombarte A, Van der Schaar M, López-Bejar M, Morell M *et al.* 2011 Low-frequency sounds induce acoustic trauma in cephalopods. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **9** 489–493. (<https://doi.org/10.1890/100124>)
- Barber JR, Burdett CL, Reed SE, Warner KA, Formichella C, Crooks KR, Theobald DM & Fristrup KM 2011 Anthropogenic noise exposure in protected natural areas: estimating the scale of ecological consequences. *Landscape Ecology* **26** 1281–1295. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-011-9646-7>)
- Baroiller JF & D'Cotta H 2001 Environment and sex determination in farmed fish. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology: Toxicology and Pharmacology* **130** 399–409. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s1532-0456\(01\)00267-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1532-0456(01)00267-8))
- Baron GL, Raine NE & Brown MJ 2017 General and species-specific impacts of a neonicotinoid insecticide on the ovary development and feeding of wild bumblebee queens. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B* **284** 20170123. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0123>)
- Beirão J, Litt MA & Purchase CF 2018 Chemically-dispersed crude oil and dispersant affects sperm fertilizing ability, but not sperm swimming behaviour in capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). *Environmental Pollution* **241** 521–528. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.05.080>)
- Ben Maamar M, Sadler-Riggelman I, Beck D, McBirney M, Nilsson E, Klukovich R, Xie Y, Tang C, Yan W & Skinner MK 2018 Alterations in sperm DNA methylation, non-coding RNA expression, and histone retention mediate vinclozolin-induced epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of disease. *Environmental Epigenetics* **4** dvy010. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/eep/dvy010>)
- Bennie J, Davies TW, Cruse D, Inger R & Gaston KJ 2015 Cascading effects of artificial light at night: resource-mediated control of herbivores in a grassland ecosystem. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B* **370** 20140131. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0131>)
- Bernhardt ES, Rosi EJ & Gessner MO 2017 Synthetic chemicals as agents of global change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **15** 84–90. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1450>)

- Bertram MG, Saaristo M, Baumgartner JB, Johnstone CP, Allinson M, Allinson G & Wong BBM 2015 Sex in troubled waters: widespread agricultural contaminant disrupts reproductive behaviour in fish. *Hormones and Behavior* **70** 85–91. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2015.03.002>)
- Bertram MG, Saaristo M, Ecker TE, Baumgartner JB & Wong BBM 2018 An androgenic endocrine disruptor alters male mating behavior in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Behavioral Ecology* **29** 1255–1263. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ary121>)
- Bird S & Parker J 2014 Low levels of light pollution may block the ability of male glow-worms (*Lampyrus noctiluca* L.) to locate females. *Journal of Insect Conservation* **18** 737–743. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-014-9664-2>)
- Brown AR, Owen SF, Peters J, Zhang Y, Soffker M, Paull GC, Hosken DJ, Wahab MA & Tyler CR 2015 Climate change and pollution speed declines in zebrafish populations. *PNAS* **112** E1237–E1246. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416269112>)
- Brüning A, Hölker F, Franke S, Kleiner W & Kloas W 2016 Impact of different colours of artificial light at night on melatonin rhythm and gene expression of gonadotropins in European perch. *Science of the Total Environment* **543** 214–222. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.023>)
- Burgess MD, Smith KW, Evans KL, Leech D, Pearce-Higgins JW, Branston CJ, Briggs K, Clark JR, du Feu CR, Lewthwaite K *et al.* 2018 Tritrophic phenological match–mismatch in space and time. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **2** 970–975. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0543-1>)
- Campos B, Pina B, Fernández-Sanjuán M, Lacorte S & Barata C 2012 Enhanced offspring production in *Daphnia magna* clones exposed to serotonin reuptake inhibitors and 4-nonylphenol. Stage- and food-dependent effects. *Aquatic Toxicology* **109** 100–110. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.12.003>)
- Candolin U 2019 Mate choice in a changing world. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **94** 1246–1260. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12501>)
- Candolin U & Wong BBM 2019 Mate choice in a polluted world: consequences for individuals, populations and communities. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences* **374** 20180055. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0055>)
- Cardoso PG, Rodrigues D, Madureira TV, Oliveira N, Rocha MJ & Rocha E 2017 Warming modulates the effects of the endocrine disruptor progesterin levonorgestrel on the zebrafish fitness, ovary maturation kinetics and reproduction success. *Environmental Pollution* **229** 300–311. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.090>)
- Carnevali O, Santangeli S, Forner-Piquer I, Basili D & Maradonna F 2018 Endocrine-disrupting chemicals in aquatic environment: what are the risks for fish gametes? *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **44** 1561–1576. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-018-0507-z>)
- Cianchetti-Benedetti M, Becciu P, Massa B & Dell’Omo G 2018 Conflicts between touristic recreational activities and breeding shearwaters: short-term effect of artificial light and sound on chick weight. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* **64** 19. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-018-1178-x>)
- Cook LE, Finger BJ, Green MP & Pask AJ 2019 Exposure to atrazine during puberty reduces sperm viability, increases weight gain and alters the expression of key metabolic genes in the liver of male mice. *Reproduction, Fertility, and Development* **31** 920–931. (<https://doi.org/10.1071/RD18505>)
- Coray C & Bard SM 2007 Persistence of tributyltin-induced imposex in dogwhelks (*Nuccella lapillus*) and intersex in periwinkles (*Littorina littorea*) in Atlantic Canada. *Water Quality Research Journal* **42** 111–122. (<https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2007.014>)
- Crews D, Bergeron JM, Bull JJ, Flores D, Tousignant A, Skipper JK & Wibbels T 1994 Temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles: proximate mechanisms, ultimate outcomes, and practical applications. *Developmental Genetics* **15** 297–312. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.1020150310>)
- Damgaard IN, Main KM, Toppari J & Skakkebaek NE 2002 Impact of exposure to endocrine disruptors in utero and in childhood on adult reproduction. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **16** 289–309. (<https://doi.org/10.1053/beem.2002.0205>)
- De Jong M, Ouyang JQ, Da Silva A, van Grunsven RH, Kempenaers B, Visser ME & Spoelstra K 2015 Effects of nocturnal illumination on life-history decisions and fitness in two wild songbird species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B* **370** 20140128. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0128>)
- De Rosa M, Zarrilli S, Paesano L, Carbone U, Boggia B, Petretta M, Maisto A, Cimmino F, Puca G, Colao A *et al.* 2003 Traffic pollutants affect fertility in men. *Human Reproduction* **18** 1055–1061. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deg226>)
- Deng Z, Chen F, Zhang M, Lan L, Qiao Z, Cui Y, An J, Wang N, Fan Z, Zhao X *et al.* 2016 Association between air pollution and sperm quality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environmental Pollution* **208** 663–669. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.044>)
- Desforges JP, Hall A, McConnell B, Rosing-Asvid A, Barber JL, Brownlow A, Guise De S, Eulaers I, Jepson PD, Letcher RJ *et al.* 2018 Predicting global killer whale population collapse from PCB pollution. *Science* **361** 1373–1376. (<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat1953>)
- Dixit R, Wasiullah, Malaviya D, Pandiyan K, Singh U, Sahu A, Shukla R, Singh B, Rai J, Sharma P *et al.* 2015 Bioremediation of heavy metals from soil and aquatic environment: an overview of principles and criteria of fundamental processes. *Sustainability* **7** 2189–2212. (<https://doi.org/10.3390/su7022189>)
- Dodson SI, Merritt CM, Shannahan JP & Shults CM 1999a Low exposure concentrations of atrazine increase male production in *Daphnia pulicaria*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **18** 1568–1573. ([https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028\(1999\)018<1568:LECOAI>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(1999)018<1568:LECOAI>2.3.CO;2))
- Dodson SI, Merritt CM, Torrentera L, Winter KM, Tornehl CK & Girvin K 1999b Dieldrin reduces male production and sex ratio in *Daphnia galeata mendotae*. *Toxicology and Industrial Health* **15** 192–199. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/074823399678846574>)
- Dominoni DM, Quetting M & Partecke J 2013a Long-term effects of chronic light pollution on seasonal functions of European blackbirds (*Turdus merula*). *PLoS ONE* **8** e85069. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085069>)
- Dominoni D, Quetting M & Partecke J 2013b Artificial light at night advances avian reproductive physiology. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **280** 20123017. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.3017>)
- Efimova T 1977 Effect of warm water discharges from konakovo tps on sex cycles of fish in the Ivankovo reservoir. *Tr VGOB* **21** 63–82.
- Eggert C 2004 Sex determination: the amphibian models. *Reproduction, Nutrition, Development* **44** 539–549. (<https://doi.org/10.1051/rnd:2004062>)
- Eliyahu D, Applebaum S & Rafaeli A 2003 Moth sex-pheromone biosynthesis is inhibited by the herbicide diclofop. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **77** 75–81. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-3575\(03\)00101-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-3575(03)00101-9))
- Evans J, Wilson A, Pilastro A & Garcia-Gonzalez F 2019 Ejaculate-mediated paternal effects: evidence, mechanisms and evolutionary implications. *Reproduction* **1** 109–126. (<https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-18-0524>)
- Farzadinia P, Bigdeli M, Akbarzadeh S, Mohammadi M, Daneshi A & Bargahi A 2016 Effect of noise pollution on testicular tissue and hormonal assessment in rat. *Andrologia* **48** 869–873. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/and.12524>)
- Fisher HS, Wong BBM & Rosenthal GG 2006 Alteration of the chemical environment disrupts communication in a freshwater fish. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **273** 1187–1193. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3406>)
- Francis CD, Ortega CP & Cruz A 2009 Noise pollution changes avian communities and species interactions. *Current Biology* **19** 1415–1419. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.06.052>)
- Fuentes M, Maynard J, Guinea M, Bell I, Werdell P & Hamann M 2009 Proxy indicators of sand temperature help project impacts of global warming on sea turtles in northern Australia. *Endangered Species Research* **9** 33–40. (<https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00224>)
- Gallo A 2018 Toxicity of marine pollutants on the ascidian oocyte physiology: an electrophysiological approach. *Zygote* **26** 14–23. (<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0967199417000612>)
- Gaston KJ, Davies TW, Bennie J & Hopkins J 2012 Reducing the ecological consequences of night-time light pollution: options and developments. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **49** 1256–1266. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02212.x>)

- Gil D, Honarmand M, Pascual J, Pérez-Mena E & Macías García C 2015 Birds living near airports advance their dawn chorus and reduce overlap with aircraft noise. *Behavioral Ecology* **26** 435–443. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/aru207>)
- Giwerzman A, Carlsen E, Keiding N & Skakkebaek NE 1993 Evidence for increasing incidence of abnormalities of the human testis: a review. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **101** (Supplement 2) 65–71. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.93101s265>)
- Gore AC, Holley AM & Crews D 2018 Mate choice, sexual selection, and endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Hormones and Behavior* **101** 3–12. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.09.001>)
- Gould JC, Leonard LS, Maness SC, Wagner BL, Conner K, Zacharewski T, Safe S, McDonnell DP & Gaido KW 1998 Bisphenol A interacts with the estrogen receptor α in a distinct manner from estradiol. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **142** 203–214. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s0303-7207\(98\)00084-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0303-7207(98)00084-7))
- Govers LC, Harper AP, Finger BJ, Mattiske DM, Pask AJ & Green MP 2019 Atrazine induces penis abnormalities including hypospadias in mice. *Journal of Developmental Origins of Health and Disease* **11** 1–4. (<https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040174419000473>)
- Guillette Jr LJ, Gross TS, Masson GR, Matter JM, Percival HF & Woodward AR 1994 Developmental abnormalities of the gonad and abnormal sex hormone concentrations in juvenile alligators from contaminated and control lakes in Florida. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **102** 680–688. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.94102680>)
- Guillette Jr LJ, Gross TS, Gross DA, Rooney AA & Percival HF 1995 Gonadal steroidogenesis in vitro from juvenile alligators obtained from contaminated or control lakes. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **103** (Supplement 4) 31–36. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.95103s431>)
- Guillette Jr LJ, Pickford DB, Crain DA, Rooney AA & Percival HF 1996 Reduction in penis size and plasma testosterone concentrations in juvenile alligators living in a contaminated environment. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **101** 32–42. (<https://doi.org/10.1006/gcen.1996.0005>)
- Haeba MH, Hilscherová K, Mazurová E & Bláha L 2008 Selected endocrine disrupting compounds (vinclozolin, flutamide, ketoconazole and dicofol): effects on survival, occurrence of males, growth, molting and reproduction of *Daphnia magna*. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **15** 222–227. (<https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2007.12.466>)
- Hammoud A, Carrell DT, Gibson M, Sanderson M, Parker-Jones K & Peterson CM 2010 Decreased sperm motility is associated with air pollution in Salt Lake City. *Fertility and Sterility* **93** 1875–1879. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.12.089>)
- Han Y, Shi W, Rong J, Zha S, Guan X, Sun H & Liu G 2019 Exposure to waterborne nTiO₂ reduces fertilization success and increases polyspermy in a bivalve mollusc: a threat to population recruitment. *Environmental Science and Technology* **53** 12754–12763. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03675>)
- Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R, Lo K, Lea DW & Medina-Elizade M 2006 Global temperature change. *PNAS* **103** 14288–14293. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606291103>)
- Hayes T, Haston K, Tsui M, Hoang A, Haeffele C & Vonk A 2002a Herbicides: feminization of male frogs in the wild. *Nature* **419** 895–896. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/419895a>)
- Hayes TB, Collins A, Lee M, Mendoza M, Noriega N, Stuart AA & Vonk A 2002b Hermaphroditic, demasculinized frogs after exposure to the herbicide atrazine at low ecologically relevant doses. *PNAS* **99** 5476–5480. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.082121499>)
- Hayes T, Haston K, Tsui M, Hoang A, Haeffele C & Vonk A 2003 Atrazine-induced hermaphroditism at 0.1 ppb in American leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*): laboratory and field evidence. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **111** 568–575. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.5932>)
- Hayes TB, Stuart AA, Mendoza M, Collins A, Noriega N, Vonk A, Johnston G, Liu R & Kpodzo D 2006 Characterization of atrazine-induced gonadal malformations in African clawed frogs (*Xenopus laevis*) and comparisons with effects of an androgen antagonist (cyproterone acetate) and exogenous estrogen (17 β -estradiol): support for the demasculinization/feminization hypothesis. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **114** (Supplement 1) 134–141. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8067>)
- Hayes TB, Khoury V, Narayan A, Nazir M, Park A, Brown T, Adame L, Chan E, Buchholz D, Stueve T et al. 2010 Atrazine induces complete feminization and chemical castration in male African clawed frogs (*Xenopus laevis*). *PNAS* **107** 4612–4617. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909519107>)
- Hollender J, Zimmermann SG, Koepke S, Krauss M, McArdell CS, Ort C, Singer H, von Gunten U & Siegrist H 2009 Elimination of organic micropollutants in a municipal wastewater treatment plant upgraded with a full-scale post-ozonation followed by sand filtration. *Environmental Science and Technology* **43** 7862–7869. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/es9014629>)
- Hollows CF, Johnston EL & Marshall DJ 2007 Copper reduces fertilisation success and exacerbates Allee effects in the field. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **333** 51–60. (<https://doi.org/10.3354/meps333051>)
- Huang X, Zhang B, Wu L, Zhou Y, Li Y, Mao X, Chen Y, Wang J, Luo P, Ma J et al. 2019 Association of exposure to ambient fine particulate matter constituents with semen quality among men attending a fertility center in China. *Environmental Science and Technology* **53** 5957–5965. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06942>)
- Jacomb F, Marsh J & Holman L 2016 Sexual selection expedites the evolution of pesticide resistance. *Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution* **70** 2746–2751. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13074>)
- Jenkins TG, James ER, Alonso DF, Hoidal JR, Murphy PJ, Hotaling JM, Cairns BR, Carrell DT & Aston KI 2017 Cigarette smoking significantly alters sperm DNA methylation patterns. *Andrology* **5** 1089–1099. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12416>)
- Jepson PD, Arbelo M, Deaville R, Patterson IA, Castro P, Baker JR, Degollada E, Ross HM, Herráez P, Pocknell AM et al. 2003 Gas-bubble lesions in stranded cetaceans. *Nature* **425** 575–576. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/425575a>)
- Jeziarska B, Ługowska K & Witeska M 2009 The effects of heavy metals on embryonic development of fish (a review). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **35** 625–640. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-008-9284-4>)
- Jobling S, Reynolds T, White R, Parker MG & Sumpter JP 1995 A variety of environmentally persistent chemicals, including some phthalate plasticizers, are weakly estrogenic. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **103** 582–587. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.95103582>)
- Jobling S, Nolan M, Tyler CR, Brighty G & Sumpter JP 1998 Widespread sexual disruption in wild fish. *Environmental Science and Technology* **32** 2498–2506. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/es9710870>)
- Jobling S, Beresford N, Nolan M, Rodgers-Gray T, Brighty GC, Sumpter JP & Tyler CR 2002a Altered sexual maturation and gamete production in wild roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) living in rivers that receive treated sewage effluents. *Biology of Reproduction* **66** 272–281. (<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod66.2.272>)
- Jobling S, Coey S, Whitmore JG, Kime DE, Van Look KJ, McAllister BG, Beresford N, Henshaw AC, Brighty G, Tyler CR et al. 2002b Wild intersex roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) have reduced fertility. *Biology of Reproduction* **67** 515–524. (<https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod67.2.515>)
- Jobling S, Williams R, Johnson A, Taylor A, Gross-Sorokin M, Nolan M, Tyler CR, van Aerle R, Santos E & Brighty G 2006 Predicted exposures to steroid estrogens in UK rivers correlate with widespread sexual disruption in wild fish populations. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **114** (Supplement 1) 32–39. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8050>)
- Johnson AC & Williams RJ 2004 A model to estimate influent and effluent concentrations of estradiol, estrone, and ethinylestradiol at sewage treatment works. *Environmental Science and Technology* **38** 3649–3658. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/es035342u>)
- Jurewicz J, Dziejirska E, Radwan M & Hanke W 2018 Air pollution from natural and anthropic sources and male fertility. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* **16** 109. (<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-018-0430-2>)
- Kidd KA, Blanchfield PJ, Mills KH, Palace VP, Evans RE, Lazorchak JM & Flick RW 2007 Collapse of a fish population after exposure to a synthetic estrogen. *PNAS* **104** 8897–8901. (<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609568104>)
- Kidd KA, Paterson MJ, Rennie MD, Podemski CL, Findlay DL, Blanchfield PJ & Liber K 2014 Direct and indirect responses of a freshwater food web to a potent synthetic oestrogen. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Series B* **369** 20130578. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0578>)

- Klaminder J, Hellström G, Fahlman J, Jonsson M, Fick J, Lagesson A, Bergman E & Brodin T 2016 Drug-induced behavioral changes: using laboratory observations to predict field observations. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* **4** 81. (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2016.00081>)
- Kowalska-Góralaska M, Dziewulska K & Kulasza M 2019 Effect of copper nanoparticles and ions on spermatozoa motility of sea trout (*Salmo trutta* m. *Trutta* L.). *Aquatic Toxicology* **211** 11–17. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.03.013>)
- Lahnsteiner F, Berger B, Kletzl M & Weismann T 2005 Effect of bisphenol A on maturation and quality of semen and eggs in the brown trout, *Salmo trutta* f. *fario*. *Aquatic Toxicology* **75** 213–224. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.08.004>)
- Lange A, Paull GC, Coe TS, Katsu Y, Urushitani H, Iguchi T & Tyler CR 2009 Sexual reprogramming and estrogenic sensitization in wild fish exposed to ethinylestradiol. *Environmental Science and Technology* **43** 1219–1225. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/es802661p>)
- Lapina N 1991 Effect of heat discharge from nuclear-power stations on the physiological-state of the female bleak *Alburnus-Alburnus* during spawning. *Soviet Journal of Ecology* **22** 241–248.
- Lewis C & Ford AT 2012 Infertility in male aquatic invertebrates: a review. *Aquatic Toxicology* **120–121** 79–89. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.05.002>)
- Longcore T & Rich C 2004 Ecological light pollution. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **2** 191–198. ([https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2004\)002\[0191:ELP\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2004)002[0191:ELP]2.0.CO;2))
- Luksiene D & Sandström O 1994 Reproductive disturbance in a roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) population affected by cooling water discharge. *Journal of Fish Biology* **45** 613–625. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1994.tb00928.x>)
- Markman S, Leitner S, Catchpole C, Barnsley S, Müller CT, Pascoe D & Buchanan KL 2008 Pollutants increase song complexity and the volume of the brain area HVC in a songbird. *PLoS ONE* **3** e1674. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001674>)
- Mateo J, Smith A, Ong M & Bono de JS 2014 Novel drugs targeting the androgen receptor pathway in prostate cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Reviews* **33** 567–579. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-013-9472-2>)
- Matthiessen P & Gibbs PE 1998 Critical appraisal of the evidence for tributyltin-mediated endocrine disruption in mollusks. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **17** 37–43. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620170106>)
- Møller AP, Surai P & Mousseau TA 2005 Antioxidants, radiation and mutation as revealed by sperm abnormality in barn swallows from Chernobyl. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **272** 247–253. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2914>)
- Møller AP, Mousseau TA, Lynn C, Ostermiller S & Rudolfen G 2008 Impaired swimming behaviour and morphology of sperm from barn swallows *Hirundo rustica* in Chernobyl. *Mutation Research* **650** 210–216. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2007.12.006>)
- Møller AP, Bonisoli-Alquati A, Mousseau TA & Rudolfen G 2014 Aspermy, sperm quality and radiation in Chernobyl birds. *PLoS ONE* **9** e100296. (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100296>)
- Murphy MB, Hecker M, Coady KK, Tompsett AR, Jones PD, Du Preez LH, Everson GJ, Solomon KR, Carr JA, Smith EE *et al.* 2006 Atrazine concentrations, gonadal gross morphology and histology in ranid frogs collected in Michigan agricultural areas. *Aquatic Toxicology* **76** 230–245. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.010>)
- Nowotny N, Epp B, von Sonntag C & Fahlenkamp H 2007 Quantification and modeling of the elimination behavior of ecologically problematic wastewater micropollutants by adsorption on powdered and granulated activated carbon. *Environmental Science and Technology* **41** 2050–2055. (<https://doi.org/10.1021/es0618595>)
- Orr HA 2009 Fitness and its role in evolutionary genetics. *Nature Reviews: Genetics* **10** 531–539. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2603>)
- Park D, Hempleman SC & Propper CR 2001 Endosulfan exposure disrupts pheromonal systems in the red-spotted newt: a mechanism for subtle effects of environmental chemicals. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **109** 669–673. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109669>)
- Qin ZF, Qin XF, Yang L, Li HT, Zhao XR & Xu XB 2007 Feminizing/demasculinizing effects of polychlorinated biphenyls on the secondary sexual development of *Xenopus laevis*. *Aquatic Toxicology* **84** 321–327. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.06.011>)
- Raptis CE, van Vliet MTH & Pfister S 2016 Global thermal pollution of rivers from thermoelectric power plants. *Environmental Research Letters* **11** 104011. (<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/10/104011>)
- Roach AC & Wilson SP 2009 Ecological impacts of tributyltin on estuarine communities in the Hastings river, NSW Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **58** 1780–1786. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.08.021>)
- Robert KA, Lesku JA, Partecke J & Chambers B 2015 Artificial light at night desynchronizes strictly seasonal reproduction in a wild mammal. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **282** 20151745. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1745>)
- Rompala GR, Mounier A, Wolfe CM, Lin QS, Lefterov I & Homanics GE 2018 Heavy chronic intermittent ethanol exposure alters small noncoding RNAs in mouse sperm and epididymosomes. *Frontiers in Genetics* **9** 32. (<https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00032>)
- Rubes J, Selevan SG, Evenson DP, Zudova D, Vozdova M, Zudova Z, Robbins WA & Perreault SD 2005 Episodic air pollution is associated with increased DNA fragmentation in human sperm without other changes in semen quality. *Human Reproduction* **20** 2776–2783. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dei122>)
- Russ A, Reitemeier S, Weissmann A, Gottschalk J, Einspanier A & Klenke R 2015 Seasonal and urban effects on the endocrinology of a wild passerine. *Ecology and Evolution* **5** 5698–5710. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1820>)
- Saaristo M, Brodin T, Balshine S, Bertram MG, Brooks BW, Ehman SM, McCallum ES, Sih A, Sundin J, Wong BBM *et al.* 2018 Direct and indirect effects of chemical contaminants on the behaviour, ecology and evolution of wildlife. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B* **285** 20181297. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1297>)
- Scott A & Vermeirssen E 1994 Production of conjugated steroids by teleost gonads and their role as pheromones. In *Perspectives in Comparative Endocrinology* 645–654. Eds Davey KG, Peter RE & Tobe SS. Ottawa: National Research Council.
- Selevan SG, Borkovec L, Slott VL, Zudová Z, Rubes J, Evenson DP & Perreault SD 2000 Semen quality and reproductive health of young Czech men exposed to seasonal air pollution. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **108** 887–894. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.00108887>)
- Shannon G, McKenna MF, Angeloni LM, Crooks KR, Fristrup KM, Brown E, Warner KA, Nelson MD, White C, Briggs J *et al.* 2016 A synthesis of two decades of research documenting the effects of noise on wildlife. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **91** 982–1005. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12207>)
- Short AK, Yeshurun S, Powell R, Perreault VM, Fox A, Kim JH, Pang TY & Hannan AJ 2017 Exercise alters mouse sperm small noncoding RNAs and induces a transgenerational modification of male offspring conditioned fear and anxiety. *Translational Psychiatry* **7** e1114. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2017.82>)
- Simpson SD, Radford AN, Nedelec SL, Ferrari MC, Chivers DP, McCormick MI & Meekan MG 2016 Anthropogenic noise increases fish mortality by predation. *Nature Communications* **7** 10544. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10544>)
- Skakkebaek NE, Meyts RD & Main KM 2001 Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: an increasingly common developmental disorder with environmental aspects: opinion. *Human Reproduction* **16** 972–978. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/16.5.972>)
- Slabbekoorn H & Peet M 2003 Ecology: birds sing at a higher pitch in urban noise. *Nature* **424** 267–267. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/424267a>)
- Slabbekoorn H & Ripmeester EA 2008 Birdsong and anthropogenic noise: implications and applications for conservation. *Molecular Ecology* **17** 72–83. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03487.x>)
- Sone K, Hinago M, Itamoto M, Katsu Y, Watanabe H, Urushitani H, Tooi O, Guillette Jr LJ & Iguchi T 2005 Effects of an androgenic growth promoter 17 β -trenbolone on masculinization of mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis affinis*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **143** 151–160. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2005.03.007>)
- Soubry A, Hoyo C, Jirtle RL & Murphy SK 2014 A paternal environmental legacy: evidence for epigenetic inheritance through the male germ line. *BioEssays* **36** 359–371. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201300113>)
- Sram RJ, Bene I, Binková B, Dejmeš J, Horstman D, Kotěšovec F, Otto D, Perreault SD, Rubes J, Selevan SG *et al.* 1996 Teplice program – the impact of air pollution on human health. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **104** (Supplement 4) 699–714. (<https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.104-1469669>)

- Suárez-Rodríguez M & Garcia CM 2017 An experimental demonstration that house finches add cigarette butts in response to ectoparasites. *Journal of Avian Biology* **48** 1316–1321. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01324>)
- Tavera-Mendoza L, Ruby S, Brousseau P, Fournier M, Cyr D & Marcogliese D 2002 Response of the amphibian tadpole (*Xenopus laevis*) to atrazine during sexual differentiation of the testis. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **21** 527–531. ([https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028\(2002\)021<0527:rotatx>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(2002)021<0527:rotatx>2.0.co;2))
- Ten Hallers-Tjabbes CCT, Kemp JF & Boon JP 1994 Imposex in whelks (*Buccinum undatum*) from the open North Sea: relation to shipping traffic intensities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **28** 311–313. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X\(94\)90156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X(94)90156-2))
- Tomkins P, Saaristo M, Bertram MG, Michelangeli M, Tomkins RB & Wong BBM 2018 An endocrine-disrupting agricultural contaminant impacts sequential female mate choice in fish. *Environmental Pollution* **237** 103–110. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.046>)
- Valcarce DG, Vuelta E, Robles V & Herráez MP 2017 Paternal exposure to environmental 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol concentrations modifies testicular transcription, affecting the sperm transcript content and the offspring performance in zebrafish. *Aquatic Toxicology* **193** 18–29. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.09.025>)
- Van Geffen KG, Groot AT, Van Grunsven RHA, Donners M, Berendse F & Veenendaal EM 2015 Artificial night lighting disrupts sex pheromone in a noctuid moth. *Ecological Entomology* **40** 401–408. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12202>)
- Vargas-Salinas F, Cunningham GM, Amézquita A & Fahrig L 2014 Does traffic noise alter calling time in frogs and toads? A case study of anurans in Eastern Ontario, Canada. *Urban Ecosystems* **17** 945–953. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-014-0374-z>)
- Virbickas J, Astrauskas A, Luksiene D & Misuniene D 1981 The effects of thermal power generation on fish populations. *Acta Hydrobiologia Lituonica* **2** 16–37.
- Visser ME, Holleman LJ & Caro SP 2009 Temperature has a causal effect on avian timing of reproduction. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **276** 2323–2331. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0213>)
- Walters MJ, Guralnick RP, Kleist NJ & Robinson SK 2019 Urban background noise affects breeding song frequency and syllable-type composition in the Northern Mockingbird. *Condor* **121** 1–13. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/duz002>)
- Windsor FM, Ormerod SJ & Tyler CR 2018 Endocrine disruption in aquatic systems: up-scaling research to address ecological consequences. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **93** 626–641. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12360>)
- Wong BBM, Tuomainen U & Candolin U 2012 Algal blooms impact the quality of nest construction in three-spined sticklebacks. *Animal Behaviour* **84** 1541–1545. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.09.031>)
- Yow DM 2007 Urban heat islands: observations, impacts, and adaptation. *Geography Compass* **1** 1227–1251. (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2007.00063.x>)

Received 22 March 2020

First decision 24 April 2020

Revised manuscript received 14 May 2020

Accepted 20 May 2020